Betaalpha-hairpin clamps brace betaalphabeta modules and can make substantive contributions to the stability of TIM barrel proteins
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Introduction

The βα-hairpin motif, a small motif consisting of a β-hairpin followed by an α-helix, is a common structure found in TIM barrel proteins [1]. The presence of this motif is thought to contribute to the stability of the protein by providing a structural scaffold that helps to maintain the native fold. In this study, we investigate the role of βα-hairpin clamps in the stability of TIM barrel proteins.

In contrast, the removal of three non-local MC-SC H-bond interactions each reduce the stability of the alpha subunit of tryptophan synthase (TS), a TIM barrel protein, by 4–6 kcal mol⁻¹, and disrupt the complete formation of the TIM barrel motif [6]. These three interactions in TS, between MC amide H-bond donors and SC H-bond acceptors, connect the N-terminus of one element of secondary structure, either β-strand or α-helix, to the C-terminus of the subsequent element of structure, either α-helix or β-strand, respectively. These non-local MC-SC interactions were designated as βα-hairpin clamps and αβ-hairpin clamps, respectively [6]. The significant contribution to structure and stability by three such clamps in TS [6] raises the possibility that potent βα- and αβ-hairpin clamps may be an important general feature of TIM barrel proteins.

A two-pronged approach was taken to probe the significance of βα-hairpin clamps in TIM barrel proteins. First, mutational analysis of two representative TIM barrel proteins, indole-3-glycerol phosphate synthase (IGPS) from S. solfataricus and E. coli, shows that a subset of their βα-hairpin clamps make significant contributions to protein stability. Second, a survey of 71 TIM barrel proteins [15] explored the frequency, location and sequence preferences of all βα-hairpin clamps. The observed preferences for location and sequence for the βα-hairpin clamps and their contribution to the structure and stability of TIM barrel proteins suggest that the recognition of these interactions can enhance protein structure prediction algorithms and provide a strategy for engineering stability in TIM barrel proteins.

Abstract

Non-local hydrogen bonding interactions between main chain amide hydrogen atoms and polar side chain acceptors that bracket consecutive βα or αβ elements of secondary structure in TS from E. coli, a TIM barrel protein, have previously been found to contribute 4–6 kcal mol⁻¹ to the stability of the native conformation. Experimental analysis of similar βα-hairpin clamps in a homologous pair of TIM barrel proteins of low sequence identity, IGPS from S. solfataricus and E. coli, reveals that this dramatic enhancement of stability is not unique to TS. A survey of 71 TIM barrel proteins demonstrates a 4-fold increase in the number of βα-hairpin clamps, a fundamental motif. The preferred sequences and locations of βα-hairpin clamps will enhance structure prediction algorithms and provide a strategy for engineering stability in TIM barrel proteins.
**Results**

Experimental analysis of β2-hairpin clamp interactions in two TIM barrel proteins

The generality of the potent hairpin clamps found in αTS was tested by mutational analysis of β2-hairpin clamps in two homologous TIM barrel proteins with low sequence identity (<30%) to αTS and to each other: sIGPS (Figure 1A) and eIGPS (Figure 1B), each contain three β2-hairpin clamps (Figure 1C and 1D), some of which are conserved in location with those in αTS and others between sIGPS and eIGPS. Figure 1 displays the distances between the donor and acceptor atoms of the β2-hairpin clamps interactions observed in sIGPS (Figure 1C) and eIGPS (Figure 1D). The solvent-exposed surface area of the H-bond donor and acceptor atoms is shown in Figure 1E. Perturbation of the secondary and tertiary structure by clamp deletion in sIGPS and eIGPS. The contribution of each β2-clamp interaction to the structure of the TIM barrel proteins, sIGPS and eIGPS, was assessed by replacing the H-bond acceptor SC with alanine and monitoring the effects on the secondary and tertiary structure by far-UV and near-UV circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. The far-UV CD spectra for the wild-type (WT) and clamp-deletion variants of sIGPS (sIGPS-WT, sIGPS-Δβ2x2-E74A, sIGPS-Δβ3x3-D128A) and sIGPS-Δβ7x7-N228A and eIGPS (eIGPS-WT, eIGPS-Δβ1x1-S82A, eIGPS-Δβ3x3-D132A and eIGPS-Δβ7x7-N231A) are shown in Figure 2A and 2B, and the near-UV CD spectra are shown in Figure 2C and 2D. Relatively small changes in the far-UV and near-UV CD spectra are observed for eIGPS-Δβ3x3-D128A, eIGPS-Δβ1x1-S82A and eIGPS-Δβ3x3-D132A compared to their respective WT sequences. However, the significant changes in the near-UV CD spectra for the sIGPS-Δβ2x2-E74A, sIGPS-Δβ7x7-N228A and eIGPS-Δβ7x7-N231A variants imply that the deletion of the β7x7 clamps in both proteins and the β2x2 clamp in sIGPS result in altered aromatic side chain packing.

Figure 1. Ribbon diagrams of sIGPS (A) and eIGPS (B) highlighting the β2-hairpin clamps. (C) and (D) display the intervening elements of secondary structures between the residues forming the clamps for sIGPS: sIGPS-β2x2-S104-NH-O51-E74; sIGPS-β3x3-I107-NH-O51-D128; and sIGPS-β7x7-K207-NH-O228 and for eIGPS: eIGPS-β1x1-F50-NH-O51-S82; eIGPS-β3x3-I111-NH-O51-D132; and eIGPS-β7x7-V211-NH-O51-N231. The SCs involved in the clamp interactions are highlighted with the H-bond donor and acceptor atoms shown in blue and red, respectively. The distances between the donor and acceptor atoms are indicated. The solvent exposed surface areas of the H-bond donor and acceptor atoms is shown in parenthesis. The H-bonds and their corresponding distances were determined by using the program HBPLUS [45]. The structures were generated using PyMOL v 0.99 (46), and the PDB codes are 2C3Z for sIGPS [21] and 1PII for eIGPS [22].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007179.g001
Perturbation of stability by clamp deletion in sIGPS and eIGPS. The effect of \( \beta_2 \)-hairpin clamp deletion on the stability of sIGPS and eIGPS was determined by urea denaturation. As for \( \alpha \)TS [16], both sIGPS [17] and eIGPS [18] unfold via a highly populated intermediate, and their unfolding titration curves are well described by a three-state model, \( N \rightarrow I \rightarrow U \). With the exception of eIGPS-\( \Delta \beta_7 \)-N231A, the urea-induced unfolding transition for each of the remaining five clamp-deletion variants is also well-described by this three-state model (Figure 3A and 3B). Because a distinct transition between the native state (\( N \)) and the intermediate state (\( I \)) is not observed during the urea induced denaturation of eIGPS-\( \Delta \beta_7 \)-N231A (Figure 3B), kinetic unfolding experiments were performed to verify the existence of \( I \) and measure the free energy difference between \( N \) and \( I \) [6].

The presence of \( I \) in eIGPS-\( \Delta \beta_7 \)-N231A is verified by the observation of a slow kinetic unfolding phase, whose relaxation times decrease with increasing final denaturant concentration [19], when eIGPS is subjected to an unfolding jump from 0 to 3 M urea where \( I \) is not observed during the urea induced denaturation of eIGPS-\( \Delta \beta_7 \)-N231A (Figure 3B), kinetic unfolding experiments were performed to verify the existence of \( I \) and measure the free energy difference between \( N \) and \( I \) [6].

The stabilities of \( N \) and \( I \) for the clamp-deletion variants and the WT parent sequences are illustrated graphically in Figure 3C and 3D for sIGPS and eIGPS, respectively. The free energy differences between \( N \) and \( I \), \( \Delta G_{NI} \), and between \( I \) and the unfolded state, \( U \), \( \Delta G_{IU} \), as well as the \( m \)-values, are tabulated in Table 1. The deletion of the \( \beta_2 \)-clamp in sIGPS, sIGPS-\( \Delta \beta_2 \)-E74A, only reduces the stability of \( N \) by 1.08 kcal mol\(^{-1} \), and the deletion of the \( \beta_3 \)-clamp, sIGPS-\( \Delta \beta_3 \)-D128A, has no significant effect on its stability. By striking contrast, the elimination of the \( \beta_7 \)-clamp, sIGPS-\( \Delta \beta_7 \)-N228A, reduces the stability of \( N \) by 4.30 kcal mol\(^{-1} \). Consistent with the absence of these clamps in \( I \) for all of these variants, the free energy differences between \( I \) and \( U \) for the clamp-deletion variants are comparable to the corresponding value for sIGPS-WT (Figure 3C and Table 1). Similar results are obtained for eIGPS. Only eIGPS-\( \Delta \beta_7 \)-N231A decreases the stability of \( N \) significantly, \( \Delta G_{NI} = 4.32 \) kcal mol\(^{-1} \). eIGPS-\( \Delta \beta_1 \)-S82A and eIGPS-\( \Delta \beta_3 \)-D132A have no significant effect on the stability of \( N \), and none of the clamp-deletion variants perturb the stability of \( I \) relative to \( U \) (Figure 3D).
and Table 1). Thus, while the elimination of either the β1xz, β2xz or β3xz clamps has only marginal effects on sIGPS and eIGPS, the β7xz clamps in both proteins contribute significantly to both the structure and the stability of the native states for their resident TIM barrel protein.

Survey of βx-hairpin clamps in the TIM barrel proteins

The observation that βx-hairpin clamps can have a significant effect on structure and stability in three TIM barrel proteins motivated a survey of the prevalence of such non-local MC-SC H-bonds in the TIM barrel fold. This analysis was carried out for a structural database of 71 TIM barrel domains, previously reported [15]. H-bonds between main chain amide hydrogens and polar side chains (MCa → SC) that serve as βx-hairpin clamps in the TIM barrel domains were identified (Materials and Methods) for a direct comparison with experimental results.

In the 71 TIM barrel proteins examined, there are 131 MCa → SC βx-hairpin clamps. As can be seen in Table S1, there is a very significant preference, >42% of the clamps ($\chi^2 = 592.49$, n = 131, d = 5, p-value = $4.26 \times 10^{-15}$), for aspartic acid SCs forming H-bonds with the MC amide hydrogen of isoleucine, leucine and valine residues. Inspection of the location of the donor and acceptor residues in the β-strands reveals that every βx-hairpin clamp secures the N-terminus of one β-strand to the loop preceding the subsequent β-strand in the barrel.

The locations of the entire group of 131 MCa → SC βx-hairpin clamps are displayed in Figure 4A, with each clamp interaction represented as a bridge across two adjacent β-strands. A very strong preference (77%) is seen for β1xz, β3xz, β5xz and β7xz clamps, where the SC acceptor is C-terminal to the MC H-bond donor. With the exception of 13 β8xz clamps, the paucity of β2xz, β4xz and β6xz clamps is distinct from their odd β-strand counterparts. The relatively large number of clamps for the β8β interface may reflect the necessity for securing the N- and C-terminal β-strands. Far fewer βx-hairpin clamps, in which the SC acceptor is N-terminal to the MC H-bond donor, are observed. Highlighting the significance of this distribution pattern, the 55 Leu and Val (I/L/V) MC → SC Asp (D) sub-group of βx-hairpin clamps always have their MC H-bond donor I/L/V located in the odd-numbered stands, β1, β3, β5 or β7, and their SC acceptor, D, is always located before the succeeding even-numbered β-strands, β2, β4, β6 and β8. There is also a strong preference for the I/L/V residue to occupy the 2nd position in the odd-numbered β-strand and for the D residue to occupy the position immediately preceding the even-numbered β-strand (Figure 4B). This positional

---

Figure 3. Stability perturbation of sIGPS and eIGPS by clamp deletion. (A) and (B) display urea denaturation equilibrium unfolding curves of WT and clamp-deletion variants of IGPS, the lines represent fits of the data for each variant to a 3-state equilibrium folding model as described in the text. (a) sIGPS: sIGPS-WT (●●●●), sIGPS-Δβ1xz-E74A (△△△△), and sIGPS-Δβ3xz-D128A (□□□□), and sIGPS-Δβ7xz-N228A (■■■■). (b) eIGPS: eIGPS-WT (●●●●), eIGPS-Δβ1xz-1-S82A (△△△△), eIGPS-Δβ3xz-3-D132A (□□□□), and eIGPS-Δβ7xz-7-N31A (■■■■). (C) and (D) are bar graphs representing the free energy differences for the N to I step in unfolding, $\Delta$G$_{NI}$ (black bars) and the I to U step, $\Delta$G$_{IU}$ (gray bars) for WT and the clamp-deletion variants of sIGPS (C) and eIGPS (D). The urea denaturation equilibrium unfolding curve of sIGPS-WT (A) and the corresponding folding free energy changes (C) are adapted from Forsyth et al. [17]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007179.g003
Table 1. Thermodynamic parameters for the urea-induced unfolding of sIGPS, eIGPS, αTS and eight βα-hairpin clamp-deletion variantsa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor and acceptor pairs</th>
<th>Donor and acceptor distance (Å)</th>
<th>Variants</th>
<th>ΔG_m (H2O) (kcal mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>m_H (kcal mol⁻¹ M⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔG_m (H2O) (kcal mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>m_H (kcal mol⁻¹ M⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔΔG_m (H2O) (kcal mol⁻¹)b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sIGPS</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.50 ± 0.40</td>
<td>2.10 ± 0.10</td>
<td>4.60 ± 0.80</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.13</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S104–E74</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>7.42 ± 0.46</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.13</td>
<td>5.00 ± 2.02</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.36</td>
<td>–1.08 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I107–D128</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>7.75 ± 1.99</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.12</td>
<td>5.33 ± 1.40</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.23</td>
<td>–0.75 ± 2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K207–N228</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.20 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.03</td>
<td>5.34 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.04</td>
<td>–4.30 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIGPS</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.60 ± 0.99</td>
<td>2.46 ± 0.42</td>
<td>12.39 ± 0.60</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.13</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F50–S82</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.84 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.08</td>
<td>13.24 ± 0.20</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.04</td>
<td>–0.76 ± 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I111–D132</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>6.69 ± 1.57</td>
<td>3.34 ± 0.77</td>
<td>13.36 ± 1.12</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.09 ± 1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V211–N231</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.15e</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.11e</td>
<td>11.84 ± 1.45e</td>
<td>2.62 ± 0.31e</td>
<td>–4.32 ± 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αTS</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.19 ± 0.58</td>
<td>2.85 ± 0.24</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.85</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.17</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F19–D46</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.17</td>
<td>4.97 ± 1.96</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.39</td>
<td>–5.21 ± 0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                          | I97–D124                        | 2.6      | 2.53 ± 0.40            | 1.12 ± 0.19       | 3.81 ± 0.64            | 0.79 ± 0.16       | –4.66 ± 0.70             

a Buffer conditions: 10 mM potassium phosphate, 0.2 mM K₃[EDTA], 1 mM βME, pH 7.8 for sIGPS and pH 7.0 for eIGPS at 25°C.

b Perturbation in stability for the N to I reaction, calculated by ΔΔG_m (H2O, WT) = ΔG_m (H2O, variant) – ΔG_m (H2O, WT).

c Values are from Forsyth et al. [17].

d Determined by fitting the urea dependence of the amplitude of the unfolding kinetic phase to a two-state model.

e Determined by fitting the equilibrium unfolding data to a three-state model with parameters for the N to I transition fixed to the values determined as described in footnote c.

f Values are from Yang et al. [16].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007179.t001

Discussion

Experimental analysis of βα-hairpin clamps between MC H-bond donors and SC H-bond acceptors in three TIM barrel proteins, αTS [6], sIGPS and eIGPS, has shown that a subset of these non-covalent interactions make substantive contributions to stability. Comparisons of the potency of the βα-hairpin clamps in these three proteins shows no correlation between the contributions of these clamps to stability and either the location of the clamps in the structure, their contributing residues or their relative exposure (0–25%) to the solvent. The observation of potent clamps formed by the neutral N228 in sIGPS and N231 in eIGPS, the βα7 clamps, also shows that the formal negative charge on the aspartic acid H-bond acceptor in the remaining two potent βα-hairpin clamps is not deterministic of the strength of the clamp interaction. An examination of the crystal structures of the three proteins, however, suggests that the length of the H-bond in each structure differentiates between the clamps that make major or minor contributions to stability (Table 1). Although the nominal resolutions of the crystal structures of these proteins, 2.0 to 2.8 Å [21–23], dictate that the correlation between H-bond length and the clamp contribution to protein stability be viewed as tentative, it appears βα-hairpin clamps whose H-bonds are less than 2.8 Å in length are those, which when replaced with alanine, reduce the stability of the native state by 4-6 kcal mol⁻¹. The apparent correlation provides a logical and testable hypothesis for future experiments on βα-hairpin clamps in other TIM barrel proteins.

The assay for the contribution of the βα-hairpin clamps to the stability of three TIM barrel proteins involves the replacement of the polar side chain H-bond acceptors, asparagine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid and serine, with alanine. The absence of the H-bond acceptor moiety is accompanied by the introduction of a potential void for these buried side chains, reflecting the absence of chemical mass as the side chain is truncated to the β-carbon. The perturbations in the secondary and/or tertiary structures induced by the mutations (Figure 2C and 2D) show that the loss of the clamp is propagated to numerous other non-covalent interactions via the global cooperativity of the native conformation.

The absence of the βα-hairpin clamps in the I states of all three TIM barrel proteins demonstrates that the potent effects of these clamps only appear as the N state appears [6]. Kinetic folding studies on αTS revealed further that each clamp is crucial for accessing the transition state ensemble required to reach the properly-folded structure [6]. Although the local connectivity of the ββ modules might have been expected to enable the clamp to have a role in the early stages of the folding reaction, the primary role of the potent set of clamps is to drive the final stage of the reaction to completion and fully develop global cooperativity.

The 4-fold symmetry of the preferred βα-hairpin clamps is mirrored, not only in the symmetry of the ββ modules, but also in the packing of the side chains in the interior of the β-barrel. A residue oriented towards the inside of the β-barrel from an odd-numbered β-strand is at the same level as corresponding residues from the three remaining odd-numbered β-strands. The next layer is comprised of the four side chains from the even-numbered β-strands (Figure 5); the third, and usually final layer, is comprised again of side chains from the odd-numbered β-strands [20]. The layering of side chains inside the barrel has its origin in the tilt of the β-strands (35°) with respect to the central axis of the β-barrel [24]. The resulting S = 8 shear [20,24] provides a favorable orientation for the H-bonding network between adjacent parallel β-strands and provides opportunities for MC-SC βα-hairpin clamp interactions. Together, these non-covalent interactions and others stabilize the ββ₆/TIM barrel fold (Figure 3). The observation of similarly placed non-local MC-SC interactions in a limited survey of flavodoxin fold proteins (data not shown) suggests that βα-hairpin clamps are a common structural feature of ββ-repeat proteins.
The chemical origin for the asymmetry between odd- and even-numbered β-strands is apparent from an inspection of the residue preference (>15%) at positions preceding the N-terminus of each β-strand (Figure 5). The conserved proline just before odd-numbered β-strands provides a kink in the backbone that marks the beginning of a β-strand [5]. The preferred sequence pattern of the tight turn connecting the α-helix and the subsequent even-numbered β-strand (Figure 5), GAD, has been reported previously [1]. The positive ϕ angle allowed by glycine and the hydrophobic nature of alanine immediately following the α-helix enables a Schellman motif for the C-terminal capping of the helix [10] and a tight turn to the next β-strand. The aspartic acid just prior to the beginning of even-numbered β-strands forms the βα-hairpin clamp and braces the bab module. This N-terminal cap for the odd-numbered β-strand is very often complemented by a MC–MC H-bond, with the amide group of the aspartic acid acting as the donor to the MC carbonyl oxygen of the partner residue. While other SC acceptors are observed (Table S1), the length of the aspartic acid side chain appears to be optimal for the reinforcement of the MC–SC H-bond with the MC–MC H-bond, providing a plausible explanation for its higher frequency in βα-hairpin clamps.

The preference for I/L/V residues at the MCNH NH bond donor position may reflect, in part, the 40% occurrence of these residues in parallel β-strands of TIM barrel proteins [20]. Further, along with alanine and glycine, I/L/V are the only amino acids that do not partition favorably from the vapor phase to water [25]. As such, these large aliphatic side chains are especially effective at excluding water from MC–SC H-bonds in the βα-hairpin clamps. The exclusion of water, that is apparent from the limited access to solvent for the H-bond donor and acceptor atoms of potent clamp interactions in αTS, sIGPS and cIGPS (Figure 1C and 1D), is expected to strengthen these H-bonds and make them more resistant to exchange with solvent, as observed previously for αTS [26–28]. This presumption is supported by the conclusions of Gao et al. [29], who recently reported that the strength of a MC–MC H-bond is inversely related to the polarity of its local environment. Valine more effectively screened an underlying β-sheet MC–MC H-bond from solvent than alanine in a Pin WW domain, increasing the strength of the H-bond by up to 1.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$. The occurrence of the βαβ motif in a large number of protein families [3,20] suggests that the N-terminal capping of β-strands by βα-hairpin clamps, akin to the analogous N-capping of α-helices [9,10], may be a useful property for the refinement of protein fold prediction and for engineering stability in βα-repeat proteins. βα-repeat proteins are readily recognized from their sequences and the predicted alternating patterns of α-helices and β-strands [30]. The refinement of the 3D structures predicted from...
knowledge-based potentials [31], threading [32] and homology modeling [33] of these protein sequences, could be enhanced by screening for βα-hairpin clamps between the MC amide hydrogens at favored positions near the N-terminus of a β-strand and H-bond acceptor SC in the loop before the subsequent β-strand (25 residues apart in sequence). These clamps would establish the register of the pair of β-strands, and, with the very short loop linking the intervening α-helix to the second β-strand, it might be possible to establish the register of the α-helix on the β-strand pair in the βαβ module. Although TIM barrel proteins typically contain only a few βα-hairpin clamps, defining the spatial relationships of the components of a subset of βαβ modules might increase the probability of predicting the packing of adjacent βα repeats in the structures. The effect of accurately predicting the structure of one βαβ module might, therefore, propagate throughout the TIM barrel protein.

The TIM barrel architecture provides a scaffold that is capable of a very diverse set of enzymatic functions [1], and this property has enabled TIM barrel enzymes to be re-engineered in order to accommodate alternative substrates [34–37] and even to catalyze non-biological reactions [38]. Because the active sites of TIM barrel enzymes are invariably comprised of the loops protruding from the C-termini of the β-strands, engineering βα-hairpin clamps at the N-termini of the β-strands offer a unique opportunity to enhance the stability of TIM barrel proteins without compromising function.

**Materials and Methods**

**Clamp-deletion variants**

The plasmid encoding a truncated version of sIGPS, in which the non-canonical additional α-helix (α00) at the N-terminus was deleted to eliminate aggregation during folding, pTNI4 [17], was obtained from Dr. K. Kirschner (University of Basel, Switzerland). The plasmid coding for eIGPS, pJB122 [39], was obtained from Dr. J. M. Blackburn (University of the Western Cape, South Africa). The eIGPS, with an additional Ala residue after the start codon and a C-terminal FLAG peptide sequence (GSDYKDDDDK), is fully folded and catalytically active [39]. Oligonucleotides for mutagenesis were purchased from Eurofins MWG Operon (Huntsville, AL), and the Quickchange™ site-directed mutagenesis kit was obtained from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA). The site-directed mutations were confirmed by DNA sequence analysis (Genewiz Inc, NJ).

**Protein expression and purification**

The sIGPS protein and its variants were expressed in BL21/DE3 cells and purified as described previously [17]. The expression and purification of eIGPS and its variants followed the same protocol, with the exception that the procedures were conducted at pH 7.0. The purity (>95%) was demonstrated by the appearance of a single band Coomassie blue stained PAGE and confirmed using electrospray mass spectrometry at the
Proteomics Facility at the University of Massachusetts Medical School (Worcester, MA).

Circular dichroism
Far- and near-UV CD spectroscopy was employed to monitor the secondary and the tertiary structure near aromatic side chains, respectively. Spectra were obtained on a Jasco Model J-810 spectropolarimeter equipped with a thermoelectric cell holder. Far-UV CD data were collected from 280 nm to 185 nm at a scan rate of 50 nm/min and at 1 nm intervals using a 0.1 cm path length cell, with a bandwidth of 2.5 nm, with an averaging time of 8 s. Three replicate spectra were collected and averaged. The protein concentration was 50–150 μM, collected from 350 nm to 250 nm at 5 nm/min using a 0.5 cm path length cell, and the protein concentration was 50–150 μM. The temperature was maintained at 25°C with a computer-controlled Peltier system.

Thermodynamic measurements
The stability of the IGPS clamp-deletion variants was measured by urea denaturation as described previously [17] in a buffer containing 10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.8 for sIGPS and pH 7.0 for eIGPS, 0.2 mM K2EDTA, and 1 mM βME. A Hamilton 540B automatic titrator was used to prepare the samples containing 0 to 8 M urea at concentration increments of 0.2 M urea to enhance the precision of the measurements. The samples were incubated overnight at 25°C to ensure equilibration.

Data analysis
Equilibrium CD data at 222 nm were fit to a three-state model, N ↔ I ↔ U, as described previously [40]. All thermodynamic folding data were fit using Savuka version 6.2, an in-house, non-linear, least-squares program [40].

Survey of TIM barrel proteins
A database of 71 TIM barrel proteins has been previously developed [http://www.cbrc.jp/~gromiha/tim/proteinlist.html (15)] from the SCOP [41] and HOMSTRAD [42] databases, with a pair-wise sequence homology of <25%. The highest resolution structure for each domain was chosen from the Protein Data Bank [43]. The secondary structure was calculated using the DSSP program [44] and the H-bond interaction parameters were calculated using default settings of the HBPLUS program [45].

Definitions of βα-hairpin clamp interactions
For each protein, the 8 canonical β-strands and α-helices in the context of the TIM barrel architecture were identified and labeled accordingly. H-bonding partners identified using the HBPLUS program [45], were subjected to the following filters: 1) the H-bonds must be between a MC amide donor and a SC acceptor, 2) the amino acid chain length between the donor and acceptor must be ≥15 residues thereby eliminating shorter-range helix-capping interactions [9,10] and 3) the chain must include exactly one β-strand and one α-helix identified in the context of the TIM barrel architecture. For the case of the βα-hα-hairpin clamps, MC $\rightarrow$ SC H-bonds between the residues prior to β1 and β8 were included. The H-bonds that passed each stage of the filtering process were exported to a PyMOL [46] script in color-coded fashion for manual confirmation.

Statistical significance of residue preference for βα-hairpin clamps.
The frequency of MC $\rightarrow$ SC H-bonds in the 71 TIM barrel proteins, where the donor and acceptor residues were at least 15 amino acids apart and were not involved in βα-hairpin clamp interactions, was determined. This frequency was used to calculate the expected frequency of H-bonding between any two types of residues and compared to that observed in βα-hairpin clamps. Four categories, Ile MC → SC D, Leu MC → SC D, Val MC → SC D, Other MC → SC Other, were used to determine the distribution probabilities, with Yates correction [47], of observed βα-hairpin clamps.
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Dataset S1 Thermodynamic analysis of the eIGPS Δβ7α7 N231A clamp-deletion variant.
Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007179.s001 (0.04 MB DOC)
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