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Nervous system injury or disease leads to activation of glia, which govern postinjury responses in the nervous system. Axonal injury in Drosophila results in transcriptional up-regulation of the glial engulfment receptor Draper; there is extension of glial membranes to the injury site (termed activation), and then axonal debris is internalized and degraded. Loss of the small GTPase Rac1 from glia completely suppresses glial responses to injury, but upstream activators remain poorly defined. Loss of the Rac guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) Crk/myoblast city (Mbc)/dCed-12 has no effect on glial activation, but blocks internalization and degradation of debris. Here we show that the signaling molecules downstream of receptor kinase (DRK) and daughter of sevenless (DOS) (mammalian homologs, Grb2 and Gab2, respectively) and the GEF son of sevenless (SOS) (mammalian homolog, mSOS) are required for efficient activation of glia after axotomy and internalization/degradation of axonal debris. At the earliest steps of glial activation, DRK/DOS/SOS function in a partially redundant manner with Crk/Mbc/dCed-12, with blockade of both complexes strongly suppressing all glial responses, similar to loss of Rac1. This work identifies DRK/DOS/SOS as the upstream Rac GEF complex required for glial responses to axonal injury, and demonstrates a critical requirement for multiple GEFs in efficient glial activation after injury and internalization/degradation of axonal debris.

reactive glia | engulfment signaling | Draper pathway | Wallerian degeneration

Activation of glia is a hallmark of nearly all neurodegenerative diseases and neuronal injuries. When brain insults have occurred, glia rapidly change their morphology and gene expression profiles to invade the injury site and clear pathogens and/or neuronal debris by phagocytic engulfment (1, 2). Failure to clear debris from the CNS can result in prolonged neuroinflammation and hamper the recovery of the CNS (3, 4). However, the genetic pathways promoting glial activation after neuronal injuries remain poorly defined.

Genetic studies of Wallerian degeneration in Drosophila have provided important insights into glial responses to axotomy (5). Olfactory neuron axotomy results in the degeneration of axons projecting into the antennal lobe of the fly brain, where local glia sense degenerating axons, and initiate a multistep process of reactivity. Reactive glia up-regulate the transcription of the engulfment receptor Draper (dpr) and extend membranes to degenerating axons (5, 6). When at the injury site, glia internalize axonal debris and degrade it through the phagolysosomal pathway (7). Finally, glia terminate their responses by withdrawing from the injury site and down-regulating Draper, and finally return to a resting state (6).

Draper is essential for all glial responses to axonal injury. In dpr-null mutants, glia fail to respond morphologically to axonal injury, and axonal debris lingers in the brain for weeks after axotomy (5). Downstream of Draper, the small GTPase Rac1 appears to be critical in executing glial activation to axon injury, as loss of Rac1 phenocopies dpr-null mutants (7). The only Rac guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) known to be required for glial engulfment of axonal debris is the noncanonical GEF Crk/myoblast city (Mbc)/dCed-12. However, in contrast to loss of Rac1, animals lacking Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 signaling exhibit relatively normal activation of glia after axotomy, with glia increasing Draper expression and extending membranes to degenerating axons, but glia then fail to internalize and degrade axonal debris (7). These data argue for a specific role for the Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 complex at the internalization/degradation phase of the glial response, and suggest that an additional Rac1 GEF must act earlier during initial activation of glial responses to axonal injury.

In an RNAi-based screen for new engulfment genes, we identified downstream of receptor kinase (drk) as a gene required for efficient glial clearance of degenerating axons. drk is best known for its role in signaling downstream of the Sevenless (Sev) receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK), where it functions with daughter of sevenless (dos) and son of sevenless (sos) to activate the small GTPase Ras (8–13). More recent studies have also linked SOS to the activation of Rac1 in the regulation of axon guidance during Drosophila embryonic CNS development (14), indicating that DRK/DOS/SOS can act upstream of multiple small GTPases. Here we show that the DRK/DOS/SOS complex plays a critical role in activation of glial responses to injury and internalization of axonal debris. Moreover, we provide genetic evidence that, at the earliest stage of glial activation, DRK/DOS/SOS function redundantly with Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 to promote Rac1 activation and initiate all steps in glial responses to axonal injury.

Results

DRK, DOS, and SOS Are Required for Glial Engulfment of Axonal Debris. To identify new pathways required for glial engulfment of degenerating axons, we performed an RNAi-based screen for genes required in glia for clearing axonal debris after axotomy. We expressed each of ~500 UAS-RNAi constructs from the Vienna Drosophila Resource center (15) by using the pan-glial driver repo-Gal4 (16). For animals that did not survive to adulthood, we
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Glial DRK Is Recruited to Degenerating Axons After Injury. After axon injury, Draper is up-regulated in glial cells and recruited to sites where glia actively engulf axonal debris (5, 6). We sought to determine whether DRK was also expressed in glia, as our RNAi data would suggest, and whether it was recruited to injury sites during glial engulfment. We used α-DRK polyclonal antibodies (11) to detect DRK expression in the adult brain. We first examined DRK localization along the axillary nerve in the sub-esophageal ganglion (SOG), through which GFP-labeled mp ORNs were labeled by membrane-tethered GFP (OR85e-mCD8:GFP) (18) and scored axonal debris clearance 5 d after axotomy by quantifying GFP immunoreactivity of OR85e+ glomerulus in the antennal lobe, as previously reported (5).

In our primary screen we found that an RNAi construct (drkRNAi#23) targeting DRK suppressed glial clearance of axonal debris. In control animals, the vast majority of axonal debris was cleared 5 d after axotomy (Fig. 1A). However, a significant amount of the axonal debris still lingered in the brain of drkRNAi animals at day 5, and ultimately cleared in 20 d after axotomy (Fig. S1A; quantified in Fig. S1B), which argues for a glial role for DRK in engulfment of axonal debris. DRK is known to physically interact with the adaptor protein DOS (19) and the GEF SOS (20), which can activate downstream small GTPase activity through SOS. To further validate this result, we repeated this experiment with an additional RNAi line (dosRNAi#3), which targets different region of dos mRNA from dosRNAi1 (12, 13), and found similar engulfment defects 5 d after injury (Fig. S2), arguing that the phenotype was not caused by the off-target effects of RNAi. We next knocked down glial SOS by using RNAi (sosRNAi#2) (13, 14) and found that axonal debris remained uncleared in the CNS for as long as 20 d (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1A). We did not observe significant change in the expression of Draper when DRK, DOS, and SOS were knocked down respectively (Fig. S1 E and F), implying that the delayed clearance is not caused by a lower level of Draper expression. Together, these data suggest that, during glial engulfment, similar to Sev RTK signaling, DRK, DOS, and SOS interact with each other to regulate downstream small GTPase activity.

During Sev signaling, DRK and DOS couple RTK activation to stimulation of downstream small GTPase activity through SOS. We therefore speculated that increasing SOS activity could potentially compensate for the depletion of DRK and DOS. To test this hypothesis, we explored the effect of a gain-of-function (GOF) SOS allele (Sos^{+/-}) (8, 22) on the ability of glia to clear axonal debris when DRK or DOS was knocked down. Sos^{+/-} animals did not exhibit any discernible clearance defect (Fig. 1A), nor did they clear debris faster than controls (Fig. S3A; quantified in Fig. S3B). However, we found the delay in clearance of axonal debris caused by drkRNAi and dosRNAi was completely rescued by Sos^{+/-} (Fig. 1A; quantified in Fig. 1B). These data indicates that the DRK/DOS/SOS complex is required for efficient engulfment of axonal debris by glial cells, and that activation of SOS is sufficient to drive glia to engulf axonal debris when DRK or DOS are depleted, consistent with the notion that SOS acts downstream of DRK and DOS.

![Fig. 1. Gial clearance of axonal debris requires DRK, DOS, and SOS. (A) Gial failed to clear OR85e+ axonal debris in 5 d after axotomy in drk RNAi (drkRNAi), dos RNAi (dosRNAi), and sos RNAi (sosRNAi) animals and the clearance defects in drkRNAi and dosRNAi animals were completely rescued by a GOF allele of sos, Sos^{+/-}. Axons from a subset of mp ORNs were labeled by OR85e-mCD8:GFP and the integrity of axons 5 d after mp ablation (5 d after injury) was examined under confocal microscope. Representative images (2-stack) are shown. (Scale bar: 30 μm.) SI Materials and Methods provides all genotypes throughout the figures. (B) Quantification of OR85e+ axonal debris remaining in the brain 5 d after injury. GFP immunoreactivity of each OR85e+ glomerulus was measured and normalized to uninjured, age-matched cohorts (as 100%). Error bars represent SEM throughout. n.s., not significant. (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, and ***P < 0.0001; one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc throughout unless otherwise mentioned.)](https://www.pnas.org/content/111/43/1403450111.full.pdf)
which was absent when we drove \( \text{drk}^{\text{RNAi}} \) in glia (Fig. 2A), indicating that glial DRK is recruited to severed axons. Moreover, we found that Draper is required for the recruitment of DRK after injury because we could not detect up-regulation of DRK 1 d after axotomy in \( \text{drpr}^{-/-} \) animals (Fig. S4), suggesting that the activation of DRK/DOS/SOS requires Draper.

We next sought to determine if DRK expression was increased after antennal ablation, which leads to a dramatic increase in Draper expression and hypertrophy of glial membranes (5). We labeled glial membranes with mCD8:GFP by using the repo-Gal4 driver, and assayed glial morphology and DRK expression in control animals and animals where the third antennal segments had been ablated 1 d earlier. Consistent with our findings in the SOG, DRK immunoreactivity was dramatically increased around the antennal lobe 1 d after antennal ablation in control flies (Fig. 2B). The increase in DRK is likely a result of recruitment of DRK to glial membranes at sites of axon injury rather than up-regulation of \( \text{drk} \) gene transcription and/or translation, as DRK protein levels did not increase significantly after ablation of olfactory organs (Fig. S5). When we drove \( \text{drk}^{\text{RNAi}} \) in glia, there was a significant decrease of DRK immunoreactivity in the hypertrophic ensheathing glia (Fig. 2B, arrows) but not in neurons, confirming that the increase of DRK immunoreactivity comes from glia. Together, these results are consistent with the model that DRK acts downstream of Draper to promote engulfment of axonal debris.

**Fig. 2.** DRK is recruited to glial membranes surrounding the injured axons. (A) Endogenous DRK (red, α-DRK) in glia was recruited to the severed mp nerves labeled with OR85e-mCD8:GFP (green, α-GFP) 1 d after axotomy. Before injury, DRK expression was evenly distributed in the SOG but not colocalized with the OR85e+ maxillary nerve. One day after mp injury, DRK expression was increased around the degenerating maxillary nerves (arrowheads), which was not seen in \( \text{drk}^{\text{RNAi}} \) animals. Representative images (single slice) are shown. (Scale bar: 10 μm.) (B) Endogenous DRK expression in glia was increased 1 d after axotomy. Glial membranes were labeled with mCD8::GFP by glia-specific repo-Gal4 driver. Antennal ablation (removal of the third segment of antennae) was performed to induce a greater extent of axon degeneration in the antennal lobe (AL). Normally, thin glial membranes ensheath (arrows) the antennal lobe and each glomerulus (no injury, control). However, 1 d after antennal ablation, ensheathing glia became hypertrophy (1 d after injury, dashed lines), and strong DRK immunoreactivity (red) was found in hypertrophic region of ensheathing glia (yellow), but not when \( \text{drk}^{\text{RNAi}} \) was expressed by repo-Gal4, indicating that the increase of DRK is glia-specific. Representative images (single slice) are shown. (Scale bar: 10 μm.)
might act in a redundant fashion with DRK during this specific signaling step.

In summary, these data argue that DRK-SOS signaling plays a critical role during glial internalization of axonal debris and activation of the phagolysosomal program for degradation of axonal materials.

Rac1, but Not Ras, Is the Main Small GTPase Effector Downstream of SOS in Activating the Engulfing Glia. SOS is an evolutionarily conserved GEF for the small GTPase Ras, and DRK/DOS/SOS were initially identified as key molecules coupling the Sev RTK to Ras activation (8–11, 13, 24). We therefore explored the possibility that Ras might act as the downstream effector after SOS activation to promote glial clearance of axonal debris. We expressed a dominant-negative (DN) form of Ras (Ras85D\(^{\text{N17}}\)) (25) specifically in adult glia and assayed glial engulfment after axotomy. In these animals, 5 d after mp ablation, we found that the vast majority of axonal debris was cleared (Fig. S7A), as <10% of axonal debris was left 5 d after injury (Fig. S7B). This phenotype is extremely mild compared with what we observed with dos, drk, and sos RNAi. Although we could not exclude the possibility that Ras is activated by SOS after injury and plays a minor role in clearance of axonal debris, we suspected additional GTPases might be the key downstream effectors of SOS during glial engulfment.

The small GTPase Rac1 is a potent regulator of membrane ruffling and lamellipodia formation, and is required for glial phagocytosis of degenerating axons (7). Interestingly, SOS possesses Rac1-specific GEF activity in mammalian Drosophila through its conserved N-terminal Dbl homology domain (14, 21). We therefore assayed for genetic interactions between Rac1 and SOS. In Drosophila eye development, overexpression of a DN Rac1 (Rac1\(^{\text{N17}}\)) results in a rough eye phenotype that can be suppressed by supplying excess upstream GEF complex proteins, Mbc and dCed-12 (26). We therefore reasoned that overactivation of SOS by use of Sos\(^{\text{JC2}}\) might suppress Rac1\(^{\text{N17}}\) phenotypes during glial engulfment of axonal debris. Adult-specific overexpression of Rac1\(^{\text{N17}}\) in glia resulted in a potent suppression of axonal debris clearance as previously described (7) (Fig. 4A). However, when we overexpress Rac1\(^{\text{N17}}\) in Sos\(^{\text{JC2}}\)+ background, we observed a ∼50% suppression of clearance defect compared with animals expressing Rac1\(^{\text{N17}}\) alone (Fig. 4B). As Rac1\(^{\text{N17}}\) alsoblocks glial membrane extension to the site of injury (7), we also examined whether Sos\(^{\text{JC2}}\) could rescue this defect by scoring recruitment of Draper to severed axons 1 d after axotomy (Fig. 4C and D). We found that, consistent with our previous study, glial expression of Rac1\(^{\text{N17}}\) completely suppressed the recruitment of Draper to severed axons, but overactivation of SOS using Sos\(^{\text{JC2}}\) partially restored (to ∼30% control levels) glial recruitment of Draper to axonal debris. The simplest interpretation of these data, based on interactions between SOS and RAC1 in other signaling contexts such as the Sevenless pathway, is that the GEF component SOS acts upstream of the small GTPase Rac1. However, we cannot exclude the formal possibility that SOS acts downstream of Rac1 to activate another small GTPase during glial engulfment of axonal debris.

Both DRK/DOS/SOS and Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 Activation Contribute to Rac1 Activity in Glial Response to Axon Injury. Our previous finding argued that the GEF complex Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 acts upstream of Rac1 in glial clearance of axonal debris (7), but there were significant differences in the requirements for Rac1 vs. Crk/Mbc/dCed-12. Specifically, depletion of Rac1 activity by RNAi or use of DN constructs completely blocked glial activation; there was no recruitment of glial membranes or Draper to sites of axon injury, along with severe defects in axonal debris clearance. In contrast, depletion of Crk, Mbc, or dCed-12 resulted in a slight delay in glial recruitment to severed axons, suggesting

LysoTracker\(^{\text{+}}\) puncta (Fig. S6A). One day after injury, LysoTracker\(^{\text{+}}\) puncta appeared robustly along the degenerating OR85e\(^{\text{+}}\) axons. Knocking down DRK in ensheathing glia resulted in ∼75% of reduction in the number of LysoTracker\(^{\text{+}}\) puncta (Fig. S6B), indicating that the loss of DRK function severely impedes glial activation of phagolysosomal pathway. We detected a slight increase in the efficiency of phagolysosome maturation with Sos\(^{\text{JC2}}\), as revealed by a ∼1.5-fold increase in the number of LysoTracker\(^{\text{+}}\) puncta compared with the controls, suggesting that activation of SOS promotes phagolysosome formation. However, we found that Sos\(^{\text{JC2}}\) was not sufficient to rescue the phagolysosome maturation defect caused by drk\(^{\text{N414}}\).

This lack of rescue by Sos\(^{\text{JC2}}\) could indicate that the Sos\(^{\text{JC2}}\) allele is not sufficiently strong to overcome the absence of DRK activity during phagolysosome formation, or that another molecule

...
a complementary GEF acting upstream of Rac1 might also play a role in glial response to axon injury. Based on the similarity of the phenotypes associated with inhibition of Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 and DRK/DOS/SOS (i.e., reduced vesicle formation, failure to activate the phagolysosomal pathway, and an axonal debris clearance defect), we speculated that Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 and DRK/DOS/SOS activation might act redundantly downstream of Draper to activate Rac1 not only at the early phases of the glial response (when glial membranes are recruited to severed axons), but also at later phases (during internalization and degradation of axonal debris). This predicts that simultaneous depletion of Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 and DRK/DOS/SOS should result in a complete suppression of glial activation. To test this model, we simultaneously knocked down SOS and MBC, both of which possess the enzymatic GEF activity for Rac1, specifically in adult glia, and examined the recruitment of glial membranes to the severed OR85e\(^+\) axons 1 d after injury (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, we found that sos\(^{RNAi}\) or mbc\(^{RNAi}\) resulted in a slightly reduced recruitment of Draper-decorated glial membranes to severed axons. However, when sos\(^{RNAi}\) and mbc\(^{RNAi}\) were simultaneously expressed, the recruitment of glial membrane to injured OR85e\(^+\) glomerulus was completely blocked 1 d after injury. The additive nature of the sos\(^{RNAi}\) and mbc\(^{RNAi}\) phenotypes also extended to clearance of axonal debris as well as glial hypertrophy response (Fig. S8). Thus, simultaneous blockade of DRK/DOS/SOS and Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 signaling phenocopied drpr-null mutants (5), and inhibition of Rac1 signaling (7).

We further explored if SOS activation could partially substitute for Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 during glial clearance of axonal debris by crossing Sox\(^{2C}\) into dCed-12\(^{RNAi}\) background. As previously shown (7), glial knockdown of dCed-12 function strongly suppressed glial clearance of axonal debris 5 d after injury (Fig. 5C). However, in a Sox\(^{2C}/+\) background, the effect of dCed-12\(^{RNAi}\) was attenuated (Fig. 5D), indicating that activation of SOS can partially compensate for the reduced activity from Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 to promote glial clearance of axonal debris. We conclude that Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 and DRK/DOS/SOS act in a partially redundant fashion downstream of Draper to activate Rac1 and thereby glia, and promote glial clearance of axonal debris.

Discussion

In this work, we identify Drosophila DRK, DOS, and SOS as new molecules required for glial responses to axonal injury. We show that glial depletion of DRK, DOS, or SOS results in a delay in glial responses to ORN axotomy and reduced efficiency of glial internalization and digestion of axonal debris. We observe no obvious alterations in glial morphology or expression of engulfment machinery (e.g., Draper), and demonstrate that adult-specific knockdown of DRK/DOS/SOS leads to defects in glial clearance of degenerating axons. These data indicate that DRK/DOS/SOS promote engulfment signaling in mature glia, and argue against a developmental defect causing the phenotypes we observe. Based on our observation that a dominant GOF allele of SOS (Sos\(^{2C}\)) can partially suppress depletion of DRK and DOS, we propose that SOS acts genetically downstream of DRK and DOS.

Our previous work demonstrated a key role for the Drosophila GEF Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 in glial engulfment activity, with elimination of this signaling complex from glia resulting in normal
glial activation (e.g., recruitment of glial membranes to axonal debris), but a failure to engulf axonal debris (7). Here we provide strong evidence that DRK/DOS/SOS and Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 act redundantly downstream of Draper at two key steps in the engulfment process (Fig. S9). First, based on the fact that simultaneous depletion of both signaling complexes phenocopies Rac loss of function, we propose that these complexes act redundantly to activate Rac1 and glial responses, including Draper up-regulation and extension of glial membranes to degenerating axons. Second, after glia have arrived at axonal debris, both complexes are required for the elimination of axonal debris. At this step, DRK/DOS/SOS and Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 appear to act in a nonredundant fashion to promote glial internalization of axonal debris and activation of the phagolysosomal program for degradation of internalized axonal material.

DRK/DOS/SOS signaling has been studied most intensively for its role downstream of the RTK Sev, where it acts to activate small GTPase Ras (8–13). However, consistent with our findings, in vitro and in vivo studies have also demonstrated a role for SOS in activating Rac1. In cell culture studies, SOS stimulates guanine nucleotide dissociation from Rac1 but not Cdc42 (21). In some cases, such as axon guidance in the Drosophila embryo, SOS activity as a Rac1 GEF is independent of Ras activation (14), but, in other situations, SOS activation of Rac is coupled to stimulation of Ras (21). Based on our observation that glial expression of a DN Ras only very weakly suppresses clearance of degenerating axons, SOS activation of Rac1 during glial responses to axonal injury is likely largely independent of Ras activation.

The increase of DRK localization to glial membrane processes engulfing axonal debris, and the consequences of DRK depletion by RNAi all argue for an early role for DRK (and likely DOS and SOS) in engulfment events. Although DRK is expressed in neurons and glia (see Fig. 2), our glial-specific RNAi clearly demonstrates that DRK function is required in glia during engulfment. Western blot analysis of the brain lysates before and after axotomy suggests the increase of DRK in the glial membrane processes is likely a result of recruitment of DRK other than up-regulation of gene transcription/translation (Fig. S5). We also found that Draper is responsible for DRK localization to the site of injury, as DRK does not localize to severed nerves in drpr-null animals (Fig. S4), suggesting DRK/DOS/SOS activation requires Draper. It seems Draper activation or Draper-mediated recruitment of DRK is necessary for DRK/DOS/SOS to execute their functions, as we found Sox3−/− failed to rescue the debris clearance defect in drpr-null animals (Fig. S10). Does DRK interact directly with Draper? Despite considerable efforts, we were unable to detect physical interactions between DRK and Draper. DRK may therefore interact very transiently or indirectly with Draper or DRK might associate with another yet unknown glial receptor that localizes to degenerating axonal materials.

The requirements we describe for DRK/DOS/SOS appear to be conserved in mammals in the context of phagocytic activity. Mammalian DRK (growth factor receptor-bound protein 2) is accumulated at the phagocytic cup during leukocyte phagocytosis (27). Mammalian DOS (Grb2-associated binding protein 2) also plays a critical role during Fc receptor-mediated phagocytosis (28). Recently, MEGF10 (mouse Draper) is expressed in mammalian astrocytes and essential for synaptic pruning during refinement of dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus connectivity (29). The work we present here also argues that DRK/DOS/SOS and Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 are exciting candidates downstream of MEGF10 to promote synaptic pruning.

Materials and Methods

Olfactory axon injury, adult brain dissection, sample preparation, and image analysis were previously described in ref. 5. LysoTracker staining in the TARGET and gene-switch systems in Drosophila and inactivation in Drpr−/− animals (Fig. S10). Does DRK depend on Draper or Draper-mediated recruitment of DRK? We present here also argues that DRK/DOS/SOS and Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 are exciting candidates downstream of MEGF10 to promote synaptic pruning.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors thank all members of the laboratory of M.R.F. for helpful advice and discussion, and especially Megan Corty and Jaeda Coutinho-Budd for critical reading of the manuscript. We thank Michael Simon (Stanford University), Gerald Rubin (Janelia Farms), the Blooming Drosophila Stock Center, and Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center for providing fly strains.

Supporting Information

Lu et al. 10.1073/pnas.1403450111

SI Materials and Methods

Fly Strains and Antibodies. The following *Drosophila* strains were used: OR85e-mCD8::GFP/CyO (1) (gift from B. Dickson, Research Institute of Molecular Biology, Vienna, Austria), UAS-mCD8::GFP (2), UAS-mCD8::tdTomato (3), repo-Gal4/TM3 (4), tub-Gal80^ts^ (5) (gift from S. Waddell, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom), TIFR-Gal4/TM3 (gift from H. Hing, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL), Sos^ts^/CyO (gift from G. M. Rubin, Janelia Farm, Ashburn, VA), UAS-Rac1^N17^ (5) (gift from L. Luo, Stanford University, Stanford, CA), and UAS-Ras85D^N17^ (purchased from Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center, Bloomington, IN). The following UAS-RNAi lines were from Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center (Vienna, Austria): UAS-drk^RNAi#105498^, UAS-sox^RNAi#24898^, UAS-mbc^RNAi#16044^, and UAS-ced-12^RNAi#10455^ UAS-dos^RNAi#3^ was generated by cloning daughter of sevenless (dos) cDNA fragment (nucleotide 1,629–2,112; SI20517; Drosophila Genomics Resource Center cDNA Stock Center) into pWIZ vector. Injection of pWIZ-dos^RNAi^ into fly embryos was performed by BestGene (Chino Hills, CA) to make transgenic flies. The following fly stocks were obtained from NIG-FLY Stock Center: UAS-drk^RNAi#5532^ and UAS-sox^RNAi#10455^ to study genetic interactions, the following strains were generated following standard procedure: tub-Gal80^ts^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP/CyO; repo-Gal4/TM3, tub-Gal80^ts^, repo-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP/TM3, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, UAS-mCD4::tdTomato/CyO, and UAS-drk^RNAi#10455^, Sox^JC2^/CyO.

Rabbit anti-downstream of receptor kinase (DRK) polyclonal antibodies (1:500) was a gift from M. A. Simon (Stanford University, Stanford, CA). Rabbit anti-DRaper antisera (1:500) was raised as previously described (6). Mouse anti-GFP monoclonal antibody (1:200) was purchased from Molecular Probes (A11210), Cy3 anti-rabbit IgG and FITC anti-mouse IgG were antibodies (1:500) was a gift from M. A. Simon (Stanford University, Stanford, CA). Rabbit anti-Diaphanous (1:500) was raised as previously described (7). Glial membrane vesicles and LysoTracker puncta were detected by spinning-disk confocal microscopy (Carl Zeiss) under 63X oil objective lens and analyzed in Velocity (PerkinElmer). Statistics were all carried out in GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software).

**Detailed Listing of Animal Genotypes.**

**Figure 1.** Control: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/+; repo-Gal4^ts^, drk^RNAi#105498^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-drk^RNAi#105498^, repo-Gal4^ts^, dos^RNAi#3^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-sos^JC2^, repo-Gal4^ts^, Sos^JC2^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/Sos^JC2^, repo-Gal4^ts^, dos^RNAi#3^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/Sos^JC2^;

**Figure 2.** (A) Control: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/+; repo-Gal4^ts^, drk^RNAi#105498^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-drk^RNAi#105498^, repo-Gal4^ts^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP/+; repo-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP/+; drk^RNAi#105498^, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-drk^RNAi#105498^, repo-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP/+.

**Figure 3.** (A and B) Control: tub-Gal80^ts^/+; repo-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP/+; drk^RNAi#105498^; repo-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP/+; repo-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP++, Sox^JC2^, tub-Gal80^ts^/Sos^JC2^, rep-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP++; Sox^JC2^, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-drk^RNAi#10455^, repo-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP++, Sox^JC2^, tub-Gal80^ts^/Sos^JC2^, repo-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP++; drk^RNAi#10455^.

**Figure 4.** Control: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/+; repo-Gal4^ts^, drk^RNAi#105498^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-drk^RNAi#105498^, repo-Gal4^ts^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/Sos^JC2^, repo-Gal4^ts^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/Sos^JC2^, Rac^1^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/Sos^JC2^, Rac^1^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/Sos^JC2^, Rac^1^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/Sos^JC2^, Rac^1^.

**Figure 5.** (A and B) Control: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/+; repo-Gal4^ts^, sos^RNAi#24898^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-sos^RNAi#24898^, repo-Gal4^ts^, mbc^RNAi#10194^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-sos^RNAi#24898^, repo-Gal4^ts^, mbc^RNAi#10194^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-sos^RNAi#16044^, repo-Gal4^ts^, mbc^RNAi#10194^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-sos^RNAi#16044^, repo-Gal4^ts^, mbc^RNAi#10194^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-sos^RNAi#16044^, repo-Gal4^ts^, mbc^RNAi#10194^, OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80^ts^/UAS-sos^RNAi#16044^, repo-Gal4^ts^, mbc^RNAi#10194^.


---

**Fig. S1.** The depletion of DRK, DOS, and son of sevenless (SOS) in glia results in delay of axonal debris clearance after axotomy. (A) Representative images (z-stack) of OR85e+ axons from control, drk RNAi, dos RNAi, and sos RNAi animals before and after mp ablation (at days 5, 10, and 20). (Scale bar: 30 μm.) (B–D) Quantification of axonal debris remaining in the antennal lobe after axotomy in drk RNAi (B), dos RNAi (C), and sos RNAi (D) animals (n = 10 for all except sos RNAi at day 20, n = 4 because of poor survival of animals). Student t test. Control: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80ts/+; repo-Gal4/+; drk RNAi: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80ts/+; UAS-drk RNAi#105498; repo-Gal4/+; dos RNAi: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80ts/+; UAS-dos RNAi#3; repo-Gal4/+; sos RNAi: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80ts/+; UAS-sos RNAi#42849; repo-Gal4/+.

(E) Draper protein expression does not differ between control, drk RNAi, dos RNAi, and sos RNAi animals. Anti-Draper antibody was used to detect the protein level of Draper in Western blot with approximately five dissected brains per lane. RNAi was induced for at least 5 d at 29 °C before dissection. α-Tubulin was used as the internal control. Representative images are shown. The intensity of anti-Draper signals were quantified and normalized and are shown in (n = 3 for all). Control: tub-Gal80ts/+; repo-Gal4/+; drk RNAi: tub-Gal80ts/+; UAS-drk RNAi#105498; repo-Gal4/+; dos RNAi: tub-Gal80ts/+; UAS-dos RNAi#3; repo-Gal4/+; sos RNAi: tub-Gal80ts/+; UAS-sos RNAi#42849; repo-Gal4/+.  
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**Fig. S2.** Alternative RNAi of drk and dos caused similar engulfment defects in adult glia. (A) The clearance assay was performed as described in Fig. 1. (Scale bar: 30 μm.) (B) Quantification of data in A. GFP immunoreactivity of injured OR85e+ glomerulus in RNAi animals was normalized to uninjured, age-matched controls (as 100%) as a result of insufficient number of RNAi animals collected during experiment (n = 10 for all). Control: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80\(^{ts}\)+; repo-Gal4+. drk\(^{6033R-2}\): OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80\(^{ts}\)UAS-drk\(^{6033R-2}\); repo-Gal4+. dos\(^{1044R-3}\): OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80\(^{ts}\)+; repo-Gal4UAS-dos\(^{1044R-3}\).

**Fig. S3.** Gain-of-function allele of sos (Sos\(^{JC2}\)) alone does not change the efficiency of glial clearance of axonal debris. (A) Representative images of OR85e+ axons in control and Sos\(^{JC2}\)/+ animals before and 1 d after mp ablation. (Scale bar: 30 μm.) (B) Quantification of A (n = 10 for all). Student t test. Control: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80\(^{ts}\)+; repo-Gal4+. Sos\(^{JC2}\)/+: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80\(^{ts}\)Sos\(^{JC2}\); repo-Gal4+.

**Fig. S4.** Draper is required for DRK to be recruited to the severed axons. Maxillary nerves were labeled with mCD8::GFP (green) and DRK immunoreactivity before and after injury was determined by anti-DRK polyclonal antibodies (red). Compared with the increase of DRK around the severed maxillary nerve (arrows) 1 d after injury in Draper (drpr) heterozygous null animal (drpr\(^{Δ5}\)/+), no detectable increase of DRK was found around the severed maxillary nerves in drpr-null animals. Representative images are shown (single slice). drpr\(^{Δ5}\)/+: OR85e-mCD8::GFP/CyO, drpr\(^{Δ5}\)/TM6; drpr\(^{Δ5}\): OR85e-mCD8::GFP/CyO; drpr\(^{Δ5}\). (Scale bar: 10 μm.)
Fig. S5. DRK protein level in the brain is unaltered 1 d after axonal injury. (A) Western blots of adult brain lysates (yw) without injury (no injury) or 1 d after removal of the third segments of the antenna and mps (1 d after injury). No significant change of DRK level was observed. α-Tubulin was used as the internal control. Approximately five brains were used per lane. (B) Quantification of A (n = 3; Student t test).
Fig. S6. DRK-SOS is required for glia to activate phagolysosomal program. (A) Phagolysosome formation 1 d after axotomy was suppressed when drk was knocked down in ensheathing glia (drkRNAi), as assayed by LysoTracker staining. SosJC2 enhanced phagolysosomal activities (SosJC2/+), although it was unable to rescue the effect of drkRNAi on phagolysosome formation (drkRNAi, SosJC2/+). Representative images (z-stack) are shown. (Scale bar: 10 μm.) (B) Quantification of the amount of LysoTracker+ puncta formed in A (n ≥ 5 for all). Control: OR85e-mCD8::GFP+; TIFR-Gal4+. drkRNAi: OR85e-mCD8::GFP/UAS-drkRNAi#105498; TIFR-Gal4+. SosJC2+: OR85e-mCD8::GFP/SosJC2+; TIFR-Gal4+. drkRNAi, SosJC2+: OR85e-mCD8::GFP/UAS-drkRNAi#105498, SosJC2+; TIFR-Gal4+. 
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Fig. S7. Ras has little effect on glial clearance of axonal debris. (A) Overexpression of dominant-negative Ras85D (Ras85D<sup>N17</sup>) in adult glia only mildly affected axonal debris clearance. Representative images (z-stack) are shown. Control: +/+; OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80<sup>ts</sup>; repo-Gal4+. Ras85D<sup>N17</sup>: UAS-Ras85D<sup>N17</sup>; OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80<sup>ts</sup>+; repo-Gal4+. (Scale bar: 30 μm.) (B) Quantification of data in A (n = 10 for all; Student t test).

Fig. S8. Glial activation and the clearance of axonal debris require SOS and myoblast city (Mbc). (A) Knocking down sos and mbc simultaneously in adult glia resulted in more severe axonal debris clearance defects compared with single RNAi. Control: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80<sup>ts</sup>/UAS-sos<sup>RNAi#42849</sup>; repo-Gal4+. sos<sup>RNAi</sup>: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80<sup>ts</sup>/UAS-sos<sup>RNAi#42849</sup>; repo-Gal4+; mbc<sup>RNAi</sup>: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80<sup>ts</sup>/UAS-mbc<sup>RNAi#16044</sup>; repo-Gal4+. sos<sup>RNAi</sup>; mbc<sup>RNAi</sup>: OR85e-mCD8::GFP, tub-Gal80<sup>ts</sup>/UAS-sos<sup>RNAi#42849</sup>; UAS-mbc<sup>RNAi#16044</sup>; repo-Gal4+. (Scale bar: 30 μm.) (B) Quantification of data in A (n ≥ 10 for all). (C) Glial hypertrophy was blocked in sos<sup>RNAi</sup> and mbc<sup>RNAi</sup> double RNAi animals. Glial membranes (labeled with mCD8::GFP) surrounding the antennal lobe (AL) undergo hypertrophy 1 d after antennal ablation, as indicated by arrows in control. However, glial knockdown of SOS and Mbc slightly reduced the hypertrophy, respectively, and the reduction was more severe when SOS and Mbc were knocked known simultaneously in adult glia. Representative images (single slice) are shown. C, cortex. (Scale bar: 10 μm.) Control: tub-Gal80<sup>ts</sup>/+; repo-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP<sup>UAS-mcd8<sup>RNAi#16044</sup></sup>; repo-Gal4, UAS-mCD8::GFP<sup>UAS-mbc<sup>RNAi#16044</sup></sup>.
The proposed model of glial response to and clearance of axonal debris after axon injury in *Drosophila* adult CNS. Upon axon injury, glia receive a yet unknown signal(s) to activate a series of events to clear axonal debris from the CNS, which requires Src42A to phosphorylate tyrosine residue(s) in the intracellular domain of Draper, allowing Shark to bind (1) (step 1). dCed-6, which is required for clearance of axonal debris through an undetermined mechanism, can also be recruited (2). After activation, glial membranes become hypertrophic and Draper is up-regulated. Meanwhile, glia start to extend their processes to the injured axons (3) (step 2). At this step, glia activate DRK/DOS/SOS and Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 pathways to efficiently drive RAC1 function, as knocking down each pathway results in delay of glial membrane recruitment toward the injured axons (Fig. 5) (4). The clearance of axonal debris is mediated by glial membrane vesicles, which enclose and internalize axonal debris (step 3). DRK/DOS/SOS and Crk/Mbc/dCed-12 contribute to the formation of glial membrane vesicles (Fig. 3) (4), possibly through regulating Rac1 activity. Later, the internalized axonal debris is degraded through phagolysosomal pathway (step 4), which also requires DRK, SOS, and Crk/Mbc/dCed12.


**Fig. S10.** DRK/DOS/SOS requires Draper to function. (A) Sok/C2 failed to rescue the clearance defect in drpr-null animals. Representative images (z-stack) are shown. (Scale bar: 10 μm.) (B) Quantification of A (n = 10 for all). Control: OR85e-mCD8::GFP+. Sok/C2+/ drpr5/4: OR85e-mCD8::GFP+Sok/C2; drpr5/4: OR85e-mCD8::GFP+; drpr5/4. Sok/C2+/ drpr5/4: OR85e-mCD8::GFP+Sok/C2; drpr5/4. Sok/C2+/ drpr5/4: OR85e-mCD8::GFP+Sok/C2; drpr5/4.