runt homology domain transcription factors (Runx, Cbfa, and AML) mediate repression of the bone sialoprotein promoter: evidence for promoter context-dependent activity of Cbfa proteins

Amjad Javed

*Et al.*

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.
Follow this and additional works at: https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/oapubs

Part of the Life Sciences Commons, and the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons

Repository Citation

This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMassChan. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by UMMS Authors by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMassChan. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.
Homology Domain Transcription Factors (Runx, Cbfa, and AML) Mediate Repression of the Bone Sialoprotein Promoter: Evidence for Promoter Context-Dependent Activity of Cbfa Proteins
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Expression of the bone sialoprotein (BSP) gene, a marker of bone formation, is largely restricted to cells in mineralized tissues. Recent studies have shown that the Cbfa1 (also known as Runx2, AML-3, and PEBP2αA) transcription factor supports commitment and differentiation of progenitor cells to hypertrophic chondrocytes and osteoblasts. This study addresses the functional involvement of Cbfa sites in expression of the Gallus BSP gene. Gel mobility shift analyses with nuclear extracts from ROS 17/2.8 osteoblastic cells revealed that multiple Cbfa consensus sequences are functional Cbfa DNA binding sites. Responsiveness of the 1.2-kb Gallus BSP promoter to Cbfa factors Cbfa1, Cbfa2, and Cbfa3 was assayed in osseous and nonosseous cells. Each of the Cbfa factors mediated repression of the wild-type BSP promoter, in contrast to their well known activation of various hematopoietic and skeletal phenotypic genes. Suppression of BSP by Cbfa factors was not observed in BSP promoters in which Cbfa sites were deleted or mutated. Expression of the endogenous BSP gene in Gallus osteoblasts was similarly downregulated by forced expression of Cbfa factors. Our data indicate that Cbfa repression of the BSP promoter does not involve the transducin-like enhancer (TLE) proteins. Neither coexpression of TLE1 or TLE2 nor the absence of the TLE interaction motif of Cbfa1 (amino acids 501 to 513) influenced repressor activity. However, removal of the C terminus of Cbfa1 (amino acids 362 to 513) relieved suppression of the BSP promoter. Our results, together with the evolutionary conservation of the seven Cbfa sites in the Gallus and human BSP promoters, suggest that suppressor activity by Cbfa is of significant physiologic consequence and may contribute to spatiotemporal expression of BSP during bone development.

Bone sialoprotein (BSP) is a phosphorylated and sulfated 34-kDa protein that represents one of the major noncollagenous, extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins associated with mineralized tissues. In developing rat calvaria, BSP mRNA expression is detected in osteoblasts on day 17 along with type I collagen, osteocalcin (OC), osteonectin, and alkaline phosphatase. BSP expression is also found in hypertrophic chondrocytes (8). BSP, similar to OC, is upregulated during osteoblast differentiation in vitro as the ECM mineralizes. BSP reaches peak levels slightly earlier than OC and is downregulated in mature osteocytes (14, 35, 36, 39, 43). The protein is selectively associated with clusters of needle-like crystals of hydroxyapatite. Various in vitro studies suggest that BSP can either promote mineral nucleation or facilitate mineral growth (22). In other studies the functional role of this protein was assessed by stably transfecting murine osteosarcoma cell lines with avian forms of this gene (15). The results demonstrated that the cell lines expressing the highest levels of BSP displayed the greatest accumulation of calcium in the ECM, consistent with BSP binding to hydroxyapatite (5, 12).

Regulatory elements in the BSP promoter that contribute to its high level of transcription in osteoblasts have not been identified. BSP promoters from several species have been shown to contain a negative vitamin D response element (33), an inverted TATA sequence, a YY1 motif (28), several Sp1 sites, and a homeodomain (engrailed) motif in the proximal promoter (59), but none of these has been demonstrated to mediate tissue-specific expression. The OC promoter, however, has provided examples of several elements that contribute to its osteoblast-specific expression. The OC box I and homeodomain (Msx or Dlx) binding site (20, 21, 56) both restricts and attenuates OC expression in developing osteoblasts. Most significantly, multiple Cbfa (core binding factor α) sites in the various OC promoters support cell type-specific expression, and forced expression of Cbfa factors can confer induction of OC promoter-reporter constructs in both osseous and nonosseous cells (1, 11, 25). Cbfa1 has been shown to be a key regulator of osteogenic differentiation (2, 31, 42) and regulates several osteoblast-related genes.

The Gallus BSP promoter has been sequenced (59) and contains multiple Cbfa consensus motifs. Several important observations prompted examination of the functionality of these putative Cbfa sites. Firstly, the Cbfa1 null mutant revealed loss of BSP expression, along with other osteoblast phenotypic markers (31). Secondly, the similarities between BSP and OC with respect to their high expression levels restricted to mineralized tissues in vivo and in vitro suggest that
Cbfα may be a strong enhancer of BSP expression in mature bone cells. However, we report here a series of experimental approaches demonstrating that Cbfα factors mediate repression of the Gallus BSP promoter in rat and Gallus osteoblasts, as well as in nonesooHeLa cells.

The present studies show that the Gallus BSP promoter contains seven functional Cbfα sites that bind an osteoblast-specific complex comprising Cbfα factors. Cbfα mediates suppression of the BSP promoter by a mechanism that does not involve interaction of the Cbfα VWRPY domain with TLE (the human homologue of Drosophila Groucho), a known suppressor of Cbfα activity. The opposing regulation of the BSP and OC promoters by Cbfα is consistent with distinct hormonial responses and functional properties of the proteins. More importantly, these studies reveal the significance of the promoter context of Cbfα motifs in contributing to transcriptional control.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell cultures. Osteoblasts were isolated by three sequential trypsin-collagenase treatments of 17-day embryonic chicken (Gallus) calvaria (14). Primary cultures of embryonic chick osteoblasts have been well characterized with respect to their stages of differentiation and reflect bone formation in vivo. Only the cells released during the third digest were used for experiments. Cultures were plated and grown for 2 weeks (until confluence) in minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, with medium changes every 3 days. Upon reaching confluence, the cultures were switched to BGM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. After 2 days this medium was supplemented with 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, and after an additional 2 days the medium was supplemented with 12.5 μg of ascorbic acid per ml. This medium was considered complete medium. All studies were carried out 3 days after switching to complete medium. ROS 17/2.8 cells were maintained in F12 medium supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (Life Technologies, Inc. [Gibco BRL], Grand Island, N.Y.). HeLa cells were cultured in suspension in Joklik-modified minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum for transfections.

Nuclear extracts. Nuclear extracts were prepared according to the Dignam method (10). Briefly, 1×10⁶ rat osteoblastic cells (ROS 17/2.8) or day 3 Gallus osteoblasts were washed once with 10 ml of ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and collected by centrifugation at 165 × g for 5 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 400 μl of ice-cold NP-40 lysis buffer (10 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 3 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40) by gentle pipetting and incubated on ice for 10 min. The nuclei pellet was collected by centrifugation for 30 s and resuspended in 400 μl of cold hypotonic buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.9], 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl). The pellet was again collected by centrifugation at 4,000 × g for 1 min, resuspended in 50 μl of ice-cold extraction buffer (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.9], 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 420 mM KCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 20% glycerol), and vigorously rocked in the cold room for 30 min to 1 h by microfuging for 5 min. Aliquots of supernatant containing nuclear proteins were quick-frozen in a dry ice-ethanol bath and stored at −80°C. Protein concentrations were determined using a protein assay reagent (Bradford; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, Calif.).

Oligonucleotides, probes, and EMSA. Oligonucleotides representing the wild-type or mutant Cbfα sites in the Gallus BSP promoter are shown in Fig. 1. The upper strands (200 ng) of the oligonucleotides were labeled with 32P for 1 h at 37°C in a 30-μl volume using T4 Polynucleotide Kinase (New England BioLabs, Beverly, Mass.) as indicated by the manufacturer. The reaction was stopped by heat inactivation at 65°C for 1 h. Annecaling was performed by addition of a twofold excess amount of bottom strand followed by boiling for 5 min and slow cooling to room temperature. The unincorporated nucleotides were removed using a quick-spin G 25 Sephadex column (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, Ind.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) reaction mixtures were prepared using 50 fmol of probe, 50 nM KCl, 12 mM HEPES, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 12% glycerol, 2 μg of poly(d-dC)·poly(d-dC) and 0 to 10 μg of nuclear protein. After a 20-min incubation at 22°C, unbound double-stranded competitor oligonucleotide (125-, 25-, 50-, and 100-fold molar excess over probe) was added to the reaction mixtures, and aliquots were loaded onto a 4% nondenaturating polyacrylamide gel. The gels were electrophoresed for 1.5 h at 200 V, dried, and exposed to film for autoradiography.

Antibodies. The affinity-purified polyclonal antibodies (a generous gift from Scott Hiebert [38]), designated anti-Cbfα1, anti-Cbfα2, anti-Cbfα3 and anti-Cbβ8, were raised against purified peptides. Except for anti-Cbfα2 antibody, which recognizes an epitope in the N terminus, all the antibodies recognize epitopes within the C termini. The specificity of each antisera has previously been demonstrated in detail (38). Anti-Cbfα3 and Cbfα1 are specific for their respective proteins, but anti-Cbfα2 shows a slight cross-reactivity with Cbfα1. For EMSA supershift experiments, after incubation with the DNA probes, 1 μl of undiluted antibody (for each) was added and incubated with either probe alone (as a negative control) or with the reaction mixtures for an additional 20 min at 37°C.

Transient transfection and CAT assay. Rat osteosarcoma (ROS 17/2.8) or HeLa cells were plated at a density of 0.5 × 10⁶ per ml, 24 h prior to transfection. Cells were transfected at 50% confluency using Superfect transfection reagent (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, Calif.). Briefly, plasmid DNA (2.5 μg of OC or BSP promoter-chloramphenicol acetyltransferase [CAT] construct), 0.75 μg of either cytomegalovirus vector control or Cbfα and TLE expression plasmid and 0.1 μg of Rous sarcoma virus luciferase construct as an internal control) was added to 100 μl of serum-free medium and mixed with 10 μl of Superfect reagent followed by incubation for 15 min at room temperature. After the addition of 0.4 ml of complete medium the mixture was applied to semiconfluent cultures in 35-mm-diameter culture dishes already washed once with PBS. The cells were incubated with the transfection mixture for 2 h at 37°C, washed with PBS, fed 2 ml of complete medium, and then incubated at 37°C for 30 to 36 h before harvesting.

For reporter assays, cells were first washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed with 300 μl of reporter lysis buffer (Promega Corp., Madison, Wis.) for 20 min at room temperature. For CAT assay, cell lysate (50 μl) was incubated with the reaction mixture for 5 h at 37°C. The products were separated by thin-layer chromatography and the amount of incorporated 14C-chloramphenicol was quantified using the Beta-scope (Betagen, Mountain View, Calif.). The data were normalized to luciferase values used as an internal control. For luciferase assay, cell lysate (20 μl) was mixed with luciferase assay buffer (Promega Corp.) and the amount of light units was measured with a Monolight luminometer (Analytical Luminescence Laboratory, San Diego, Calif.). All experiments were repeated four to six times (n = 6 replicates per experiment) using at least three different DNA preparations.

Gallus osteoblasts were transfected with Lipofectamine reagent and 15 μg of plasmid for each assay. Briefly, plasmid DNA was complexed with Lipofectamine reagent in a 1:2 ratio (DNA to lipofectamine) for 30 min at room temperature. Cells cultured for 3 days in complete medium were rinsed once with sterile PBS and overlaid with DNA-lipofectamine complexes in 6 ml of Opti-MEM transfection medium for a total of 5 h. The cells were subsequently rinsed in PBS, fed fresh complete medium, and cultured until harvesting. CAT assays were performed 48 h after transfection on equal aliquots of samples. CAT activity was assayed by liquid scintillation counting (51). The pCAT control vector containing
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**FIG. 1.** The Cbfα sites are shown in boxed areas; the dotted box indicates a second overlapping Cbfα motif. Mutations of the ACC core are shown in lower case and were selected (ac) to avoid generation of a new DNA recognition motif.
the simian virus 40 promoter and enhancer (Promega Corp.) was used in some experiments to compare the relative activities of the various BSP promoter segments. The final enzyme activities were expressed in counts of [$^{14}$C]chloramphenicol converted per minute per microgram of protein.

**Site-directed mutagenesis and expression constructs.** The plasmid construct containing bp 21,239 to 125 of the BSP promoter in the sense direction relative to the CAT coding sequence in the pCAT basic vector (Promega Corp.) was previously described (59). Nested deletions from the 5' end of the BSP promoter were generated by PCR amplification of selected segments of the promoter from bp 2620, 2524, or 2131 to 125 and have been previously described (58). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed to incorporate 2-nucleotide substitutions into the core binding motif (TGG) of each individual Cbfa site (Fig. 1) in the bp 2524 Gallus BSP promoter fragment. Initially the Cbfa site 4 mutant (Fig. 9) was generated by amplifying the bp 2524 promoter fragment using the forward primer carrying the mutation (indicated in lower case), 5'-TGCCTGCA GCAATTAGAGTcTCTGACCTG-3', and the reverse primer 5'-GCTCTAGA GGGTCCACTGGG-3'. The PCR product was digested with PstI/XbaI and cloned in similarly digested pCAT vector (Promega Corp.). For sites 3, 2, and 1 the mutant oligonucleotides used as forward and reverse primers were the same as those used for gel shift analyses (Fig. 1). The plasmid bearing mutations in both sites 4 and 3 was generated by using the bp 524 mutant (site 4) promoter as the template. The PCR product was digested with DpnI to destroy the parental DNA, followed by transformation in XL1-Blue competent cells (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.). Similar stepwise procedures were followed to generate plasmids carrying mutations in all four Cbfa motifs. Incorporation of the substitution mutations in all constructs was confirmed by sequencing. CMV-driven Cbfa1, Cbfa2, and Cbfa3 expression constructs were described previously (2). The rat OC 5' promoter fragment (bp −1, 097 to +23) fused to the CAT gene (pOCZCAT) containing three Cbfa-responsive motifs has been reported previously (2, 25). The Cbfa1 MASN isoform expression construct was obtained from James C. Neil (University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom). Cbfa1ΔC was generated by digesting the MRIPV isoform with AplI to remove the part of the cDNA encoding amino acids 362 to 513. Two synthetic oligonucleotides (5'-GGGCCCTTGATAACTCGAGGAATTCTTATCAAGGGCCC-3' and 5'-GGGCCCTTGATAAGAATTCCTCGAGTTATCAAGGGCCC-3') containing stop codon and unique restriction sites were hybridized to generate a cassette. The cassette was digested with Apal and ligated into Apal-digested pCDNA3.1-Cbfa1. Cbfa1Δ12 was constructed by digesting the MRIPV isoform with EcoRI-XhoI to remove the part of the cDNA encoding amino acids 501 to 513, followed by bluntling and ligation. The sequences of these constructs were confirmed by the dideoxy chain termination method. pBluescript vectors carrying TLE1 or TLE2 cDNAs were obtained from Stefano Stifani, Montreal Neurological Institute, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. TLE1 coding region was amplified by PCR using forward primer 5'-GTAATGGATCCAGTATGGGGTTCCCGCAGAGCCGGCACCCG-3' and reverse primer 5'-GCCATACTCGAGCTAGTAGATGACTT CATAGACTGTAGC-3'. PCR-generated fragments of TLE1 and TLE2 were digested with BamHI-XhoI and

**FIG. 2.** Gallus and human BSP promoters contain seven Cbfa consensus motifs. (A) Illustration of the positions and sequences of the Cbfa1 sites relative to several conserved regulatory sequences in the Gallus BSP promoter. TATA, TATA box; VDRE, vitamin D response element; Col II-labeled octagons, silencer motifs. (B) Comparative schematic showing the numbers and positions of Cbfa consensus sequences in human, mouse, and rat BSP promoters (not drawn to scale).
cloned into BamHI-XhoI-digested pCDNA3.1 vector (Invitrogen Corporation, San Diego, Calif.). The sequences of these constructs were confirmed by the dideoxy chain termination method.

Isolation of total cellular RNA and Northern blot analysis. Twenty-four hours after transient transfection, cultures of Gallus osteoblasts were rinsed twice in 1× PBS and incubated at room temperature for 5 to 10 min in Trizol reagent (Life Technologies, Inc. [Gibco BRL]). (1 ml per 100-mm-diameter plate). Cells were then scraped from the plates into a microfuge tube, and 0.1 ml of 1-bromo, 3-chloropropane (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) was added with mixing. After centrifugation at 4°C, the aqueous layer was collected and RNA was precipitated with 0.5 ml of isopropanol. The pellets were washed with 70% ethanol to remove residual salt, briefly air dried, dissolved in diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water, and stored at −70°C.

RNA samples (20 μg) were electrophoresed in 1% formaldehyde-agarose gels and transferred to Hybond-N+ membrane (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Arlington Heights, Ill.) in 20 × SSC (1 × SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate). Blots were hybridized with randomly primed (Prime-It kit; Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.), 32P-labeled cDNA probes for Gallus BSP at 42°C overnight. The radiographic signal was quantitated by using a STORM Phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, Calif.). Blots were then stripped and reprobed for 18S rRNA. The BSP data were normalized to rRNA values for each sample.

Western blot analysis. Western blots were performed on whole-cell lysates from HeLa and ROS 17/2.8 cells transiently transfected with Cbfa and TLE expression plasmids. For each construct a 100-mm-diameter dish was transfected with 10 μg of either empty vector or expression plasmid in parallel with promoter-reporter transfection studies. After 24 h, cells were washed with 1× PBS and lysed directly with 200 μl of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) lysis buffer (2% SDS, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 10% glycerol, 2 M urea, 1.0 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10 μM Tris-HCl, 0.002% bromphenol blue, 1% protease inhibitor cocktail). Protein (30 μg/well) was resolved by SDS–10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to Trans-Blot membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The blots were incubated with 1:5,000 dilution of mouse monoclonal horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-Xpress antibody (Invitrogen Corporation) to detect the epitope-tagged Cbfa1 and TLE proteins or with a 1:2,000 dilution of rabbit polyclonal anti-Cbfa2 or -Cbfa3 antibodies. The blot was stripped and reprobed with antibody to lamin B or α-tubulin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, Calif.) as a control for equal sample loading.

Immunofluorescence analysis. Primary chick osteoblasts were passed after 6 days in culture onto gelatin-coated glass coverslips (0.5 × 10⁶ cells) and processed for in situ immunofluorescence analysis 36 h after plating. ROS 17/2.8 cells were examined 2 days after plating. Cells were rinsed twice with ice-cold PBS and were fixed for 10 min on ice with 4% formaldehyde. After washing once with PBS, cells were made permeable by incubation for 20 min on ice with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS and then incubated at 37°C for 1 h with the appropriate dilution (1:150) of affinity-purified polyclonal primary antibody against Cbfa1. Cells were washed four times with 1 ml of PBSA (0.5% BSA in PBS), followed by incubation with a 1:400 dilution of secondary antibody (fluorescein isothiocyanate goat anti-rabbit) for 1 h at 37°C. Excess secondary antibody was removed by washing the cells four times with PBSA. Cells were stained with DAPI (0.1% 4’, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBSA) for 5 min on ice followed by a single rinse with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBSA. Finally cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and were mounted using Vectashield (Vector...
Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, Calif.). The coverslips were sealed and stored in the dark at 22°C till microscopy. Cells were viewed in a Zeiss Axioplan2 microscope equipped with epifluorescence filters and a charge-coupled device digital camera. Images were displayed using Metamorph software (Universal Imaging Corporation, West Chester, Pa.) and printed directly from Powerpoint (version 5.1).

RESULTS

Gallus BSP promoter contains functional Cbfa motifs that bind a Cbfa1-containing complex. Figure 2 shows the locations of seven consensus Cbfa sites within 1.3 kb of the 5′ flanking sequences of the Gallus BSP gene. The human BSP promoter also contains seven Cbfa recognition motifs, four of which reside within 1.4 kb of 5′ flanking sequences (Fig. 2B). In the Gallus promoter, three Cbfa motifs are distal to the vitamin D response element from kb −1.2 to −0.8, while four sites reside in the proximal promoter from kb −0.5 to −0.3. In contrast, promoters of the rodent species contain either one or two Cbfa consensus sequences (3). In the present studies, we examined the role of the Cbfa elements in transcriptional regulation of the Gallus BSP promoter. We initially assessed which of these Cbfa consensus sites may contribute to BSP transcription by comparing expression of a series of promoter deletion constructs in osteoblasts. We observed both in rat osteoblastic ROS 17/2.8 osteosarcoma cells (Fig. 3) and in Gallus primary osteoblasts (data not shown) that the bp −131 segment lacking Cbfa motifs exhibited a higher level of basal activity than the full-length promoter. This very proximal segment contains several regulatory elements supporting activation (e.g., Sp1 and TATA [29, 59]), and a homeodomain motif shown to mediate enhancer activity of the BSP promoter [4]). Notably, deletion of the upstream promoter segment (from bp −1244 to −620), which includes a silencer motif and three Cbfa sites, resulted in a threefold increase in basal promoter activity. Further deletion of an AP-1 motif (to bp −524) did not significantly change promoter activity. However, removing the four most proximal Cbfa sites decreased activity 44% in ROS 17/2.8 cells and 50% in Gallus osteoblasts. We therefore focused on functional characterization of the Cbfa motifs in the proximal promoter.

Nuclear extracts from osteoblastic ROS 17/2.8 cells are known to contain a bone-specific DNA binding complex that has been characterized in relation to the Cbfa recognition motifs in the OC promoter (1, 13, 37). Thus, we used these

FIG. 4. Sequence-specific protein-DNA interactions at the proximal Cbfa site 2 in the Gallus BSP promoter. (A) Oligonucleotides representing wild type (WT) and mutant (mt) sequences for each Cbfa site (Fig. 1) were incubated with increasing concentrations (0 to 10 μg) of nuclear extracts from ROS 17/2.8 cells and examined by gel mobility shift assay. Solid arrowheads indicate the formation of different specific complexes. Cbfa-related proteins bind with sequence specificity to all four proximal BSP Cbfa motifs, but representative data are shown only for site 2. The results of competition studies shown in panels B and C demonstrate sequence-specific protein-DNA binding complexes at the BSP Cbfa sites. (B) WT probe was incubated with 6 μg of ROS 17/2.8 nuclear extracts and increasing concentrations (0, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100×) of either WT or mutant (mt) oligonucleotides. (C) Lane 1, WT labeled probe without nuclear extract; lane 2, probe with 6 μg of ROS 17/2.8 nuclear extract; lanes 3, 4, and 5, competition with 100X unlabeled wild-type, mutant, and Cbfa consensus sequence oligonucleotides (Fig. 1), respectively. Only the portion of the gel containing the complexes is shown.
extracts to examine protein-DNA interactions at each of the Cbfa motifs in the BSP proximal promoter. Each of these Cbfa sites (wild type [WT]) forms a major complex that is abolished upon mutation of the core Cbfa motif (Fig. 1 and 4A [representative data shown for site 2]). This complex is not formed at BSP elements using nuclear extracts from HeLa cells (data not shown). Specificity of binding to the putative BSP Cbfa sites was established by competition analyses with oligonucleotides containing wild-type and mutated Cbfa sites (Fig. 4B and data not shown), as well as the Cbfa consensus motif (Fig. 4C). Nuclear extracts from Gallus osteoblasts also formed Cbfa sequence-specific DNA binding complexes at the four Cbfa sites (data not shown). Together, these analyses confirm that each of the Cbfa motifs is a functional Cbfa interaction element.

We identified the Cbfa factors involved in complex formation with BSP regulatory elements by antibody supershift analysis using nuclear extracts from ROS 17/2.8 cells. A panel of well characterized, affinity-purified antibodies to the family of Cbfa proteins encoded by distinct genes, Cbfa1, Cbfa2, and Cbfa3 and their non-DNA binding partner, Cbfβ, was used. Figure 5 reveals a complete supershift by the Cbfa1 antibody, as well as a partial supershift with the Cbfa2 antibody which may reflect possible cross-reactivity with Cbfa1 (see Materials and Methods). Cbfβ, a partner protein which enhances Cbfa DNA binding, is also present in the complexes formed at all sites. Additionally, a very weak supershift was detected only on sites 1 and 3 of the BSP promoter with the Cbfa3 antibody. Thus, Cbfa1 is the major component of an osteoblast-derived complex formed on BSP Cbfa motifs, similar to findings for the OC promoter (2, 37).

We further confirmed the representation of Cbfa1 in Gallus osteoblasts compared to that in rat osteoblastic ROS 17/2.8 cells by in situ immunofluorescence (Fig. 6). In the ROS 17/2.8 cells, Cbfa1 is predominantly restricted to the nucleus and excluded from nucleoli (Fig. 6A). Cbfa1 is also detected at significant levels in the primary (day 3) Gallus osteoblasts (Fig. 6B and C) by in situ immunofluorescence. The subnuclear distribution of Cbfa1 in Gallus osteoblasts (Fig. 6D to F) is shown at higher magnification. The punctate staining typical of the nuclear matrix association of Cbfa factors is observable (54, 61). Together, these data indicate that Cbfa1 is the major factor in osteoblasts interacting with the multiple Cbfa motifs in the BSP proximal promoter.

Cbfa factors downregulate BSP promoter activity and expression of the endogenous gene. Cbfa1, Cbfa2, and Cbfa3 were previously documented to support enhanced transcriptional activity of the rat OC promoter in both nonosseous cells (1, 11) and the ROS 17/2.8 cell line (2). To assess the consequences of Cbfa protein interactions with Cbfa elements in the Gallus BSP promoter, we transfected the various promoter deletion constructs (from kb −1.2 to −0.1) along with expres-
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FIG. 6. Immunofluorescence detection of Cbfa1 in osteoblasts. (A) Cellular representation of Cbfa1 in ROS 17/2.8 cells 24 h after plating. (B) Cellular representation of Cbfa1 in day 3 Gallus osteoblasts by immunofluorescence microscopy. Magnification, ×40. Nuclear staining is observed in whole cells incubated with antisera specific to Cbfa1 (as described in Materials and Methods). (C) Corresponding phase-contrast images of the Gallus osteoblast cell layer. (D) Punctate subnuclear distribution of Cbfa1 foci in Gallus osteoblasts. Magnification, ×100. DAPI-stained nuclei. (F) Differential interference contrast (DIC) of the immunopositive cells.

Thus, all Cbfa factors and the two Cbfa1 isoforms regulate suppression of BSP promoter activity.

Because the full-length promoter in HeLa and ROS 17/2.8 cells exhibited weaker suppression than in Gallus osteoblasts, we examined Cbfa responsiveness of the bp −620 and −524 promoter deletions which are expressed at higher basal levels and each contain four Cbfa sites (Table 1). The bp −620 promoter construct contains an AP-1 site, which has been deleted from the bp −524 construct. The comparison of these promoters was viewed as necessary since AP-1 proteins can strongly regulate bone-related genes (e.g., those for OC and collagenase 3) and can interact with Cbfa factors (49). The deletion of the AP-1 motif does not influence this suppression. We observe a significant level of repression by Cbfa1 in these shorter segments (from two- to threefold in the kb −1.2 BSP promoter to seven- to eight fold in the bp −620 and −524, promoter fragments). Complete loss of repression was observed with the bp −131 promoter which lacks Cbfa motifs. A representative example of an autoradiogram demonstrating...
the extent of suppression is shown in Figure 8. In the mammalian cells, we consistently observed a greater fold suppression of the proximal promoter bp -2620 and -2524 by Cbfa3 and Cbfa2 (from 8- to 16-fold) than by Cbfa1 (four- to eight-fold). These differences were observed at several concentrations of expression plasmid (data not shown), are independent of the cell type, and are not a consequence of differences in expression levels, as confirmed by Western blot analysis (Fig. 7C and data not shown). In the same experiment, the kb -1.1 rat OC promoter was similarly examined and the expected upregulation occurred with all three Cbfa expression plasmids (data not shown), ranging from four- to sixfold, as previously reported (2).

In order to establish that suppression of BSP promoter activity is indeed mediated by Cbfa sites, we introduced point mutations (Fig. 1) in each of the four Cbfa sites in the bp -524 promoter (Fig. 9). Interestingly, we observed a twofold increase in basal promoter activity of the mutant promoter (Fig. 9B) and a loss of suppressor activity in response to Cbfa factors (Fig. 9C). These results further confirm the role of Cbfa sites in mediating repressive activity on the BSP promoter. Because suppression of BSP by Cbfa proteins was not anticipated, we examined the effect of Cbfa factors on the expression of the endogenous BSP gene. Gallus primary osteoblasts were transiently transfected with either empty vector or Cbfa expression constructs, and total cellular RNA was isolated 24 h posttransfection. Figure 9D shows a 31 to 39% decrease in BSP mRNA levels in osteoblasts in response to forced expression of Cbfa factors. This decrease in BSP expression, observed in a transient assay, defines the physiological significance of Cbfa suppression of the BSP promoter in osteoblasts.

Cbfa suppression of the BSP promoter is not mediated through Cbfa interactions with the known repressor protein partner TLE. Drosophila Groucho and the related human TLE...
proteins (TLE1 and TLE2) are well characterized repressors of Cbfa-dependent transcription. The mechanism of this suppression involves a specific protein-protein interaction between TLE proteins and the VWRPY motif in the C terminus of all Cbfa factors. Earlier studies of the OC promoter demonstrated that TLE suppresses Cbfa-mediated transactivation of the OC promoter (18, 55). We anticipated that contransfection of the Cbfa factors with TLE proteins might lead to further suppression or potentially relieve the downregulation of promoter activity by Cbfa. TLE expression plasmids at several concentrations had no effect on activity of the full-length or proximal BSP promoters (data not shown). The effects of forced Cbfa expression in the presence or absence of TLE1 or TLE2 expression on the bp −620 BSP promoter are shown in Figure 10A. The fold suppression mediated by Cbfa factors or Cbfa1 isoforms was not altered by the presence of TLE proteins. Similar results were obtained using the full-length kb −1.2 promoter in osseous and nonosseous cell lines (data not shown). These observations suggested that cellular levels of TLE proteins may be sufficient to provide maximal suppressor activity of Cbfa on the BSP promoter or that suppression is independent of TLE interactions with Cbfa.

To address these possibilities, we constructed a series of C-terminal deletion mutations of Cbfa1. The Cbfa1Δ12 expression construct produces a protein that lacks the last 12 amino acids including the conserved VWRPY motif that interacts with TLE proteins. Figure 10B shows that suppressive activity on the BSP promoter by Cbfa1Δ12 is equivalent to that of the wild-type Cbfa1 and that the coexpression of either TLE1 or TLE2 has no effect on this suppression. These results indicate that repression of the BSP promoter by Cbfa1 does not involve TLE interaction. Since the Cbfa1Δ12 exhibited equivalent suppressive activity to (WT)Cbfa1, the repressor activity must reside in another domain of the protein. The C termini of Cbfa factors contain several functional domains, including a 31-amino-acid sequence that is required for trafficking these nuclear factors to subnuclear sites that support transcription (61). Thus, we compared the effect of WT Cbfa1 (from amino acids 1 to 513) and Cbfa1ΔC (from amino acids 1 to 361), lacking the C terminus, on BSP promoter activity. Although DNA binding

| TABLE 1. Summary of repressor effects of Cbfa factors on various segments of the Gallus BSP promoter |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Construct | Mean CAT activity ± SEM | Cell line |
| -1244 CAT | 2.1 ± 0.2 | 1.7 ± 0.2 | 2.7 ± 0.3 | 4.7 ± 0.2 | HeLa |
|           | 3.4 ± 0.4 | 2.4 ± 0.3 | 3.8 ± 0.4 | 5.8 ± 0.6 | ROS 17/2.8 |
| -620 CAT | 6.8 ± 0.8 | 4.0 ± 0.5 | 7.9 ± 1.0 | 11.0 ± 1.5 | HeLa |
|           | 7.4 ± 1.2 | 4.9 ± 0.5 | 10.4 ± 1.1 | 14.3 ± 1.3 | ROS 17/2.8 |
| -524 CAT | 6.9 ± 0.8 | 3.9 ± 0.6 | 9.9 ± 1.1 | 13.2 ± 1.7 | HeLa |
|           | 8.4 ± 1.3 | 5.5 ± 0.7 | 10.8 ± 1.9 | 16.0 ± 2.4 | ROS 17/2.8 |
| -524 Cbfa mt CAT | 1.0 ± 0.1 | 1.6 ± 0.3 | 1.6 ± 0.2 | 1.3 ± 0.2 | HeLa |
|           | 1.0 ± 0.1 | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 1.5 ± 0.1 | 1.7 ± 0.3 | ROS 17/2.8 |
| -131 CAT | 1.0 ± 0.1 | 1.2 ± 0.2 | 1.2 ± 0.2 | 1.0 ± 0.2 | HeLa |
|           | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 1.1 ± 0.1 | 1.4 ± 0.2 | 1.1 ± 0.1 | ROS 17/2.8 |

*Values shown are normalized CAT activity expressed as fold suppression. n = 18 samples per group for each cell line.*
activity is retained, this mutant protein is not targeted to the nuclear matrix (54). Interestingly, we observed a loss of suppressor function by this mutant protein on BSP promoter activity (Fig. 10C). Taken together, these results indicate that BSP promoter activity and expression (mRNA) in osteoblasts is downregulated by Cbfa1 interaction with Cbfa motifs, but does not involve Cbfa-TLE protein-protein interactions. A different domain in Cbfa1, residing between amino acids 362 and 501, may contribute to Cbfa-mediated suppression of BSP promoter activity.

DISCUSSION

The present studies clearly demonstrate, by several lines of evidence, that the Cbfa family of transcription factors mediate suppression of the Gallus BSP promoter in osseous and non-osseous cell lines. This repression occurred in rodent and human cells (2- to 16-fold) but was most evident (14- to 32-fold) in the species homologous Gallus osteoblasts. Notably, the mouse BSP promoter has been characterized with respect to its responsiveness to Cbfa1 and no consensus Cbfa sequences were defined within the proximal 1.2 kb, nor could the promoter be modulated by forced expression of Cbfa (3). The mouse and rat share similar promoter organizational properties and are in contrast to Gallus and humans, which are more closely related. Thus, downregulation of BSP by Cbfa appears to be more important for regulation in humans and Gallus than for that in rodent species, but the reason why is not clear.

Cbfa factors have been reported to mediate activation of genes related to differentiation of either hematopoietic cells (T- and B-cell differentiation) or osteoblasts, for example, the mouse germ line alpha immunoglobulin promoter (50) and OC promoters (1). Presently, a few gene promoters are known to be downregulated by Cbfa factors (p21 and the multidrug resistance [MDR] genes [34]). However, the suppressive effects on promoter activity were observed only in certain cell types and are either mSin3A- or TLE-dependent (24, 34). In contrast to those studies, we show that suppression of BSP is cell type independent and that both the promoter and endogenous transcripts are downregulated by Cbfa factors. Furthermore, the suppression mechanism does not involve the interaction of TLE proteins. Our findings, which indicate that the seven Cbfa consensus sequences within 1.2 kb of the Gallus BSP promoter mediate a repression of BSP transcription concurrent with the activation of OC in osteoblasts, can be summarized as follows: (i) deletion of 600 bp of distal BSP promoter sequences (from kb −1.2 to −0.6) containing three Cbfa sites raises promoter activity three- to fourfold in osteoblasts and HeLa cells, (ii) forced expression of Cbfa factors in HeLa cells and in rat and Gallus osteoblasts leads to marked downregulation of BSP promoter activity and mRNA levels (31 to 39%) of the BSP gene in osteoblasts, (iii) mutation of Cbfa motifs (bp −524) increases (twofold) basal promoter activity and loss of repression in response to forced expression of Cbfa factors, (iv) competition studies and antibody supershift analyses demonstrate sequence-specific binding of Cbfa factors to the consensus regulatory elements, and, lastly, (v) deletion of the established interaction domain of Cbfa1 with TLE does not affect repressor activity (in contrast, deletion of the entire Cbfa1 C terminus [amino acids 362 to 513] results in loss of suppressor function, suggesting that other regulatory sequences are required for activity). These observations raise several significant questions related to the biology of regulation of this bone-restricted marker of osteoblast differentiation by the Cbfa proteins, as well as the precise molecular mechanism for repressor activity in osteoblasts involving Cbfa1.

The first question raised by our observations is the apparent inconsistency between Cbfa repressor activity on the BSP promoter and the role of Cbfa1 as a critical determinant of osteogenesis and osteoblast differentiation in vivo. Similar to the bone-specific OC gene, BSP mRNA is upregulated in concert with the active deposition of minerals (36, 41, 43, 45, 57). However, BSP is temporally expressed earlier than OC during development of the osteoblast phenotype and is downregulated in mature osteocytes (8, 23, 35, 57, 60). Cbfa1 DNA binding activity is highest in mature osteoblasts (2), when the decline in BSP mRNA is observed (57). This contrasting regulation between the BSP and OC promoters by Cbfa is consistent with other findings. Notably, BSP and OC are quite distinct with respect to their tissue-specific functions and hormonal regulation of expression. For example, vitamin D, dexamethasone, and transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) have opposing effects on transcription of these two genes. BSP is an RGD-containing phosphorylated sialoprotein (5, 17, 40, 60). RGD-containing bone-related proteins (9, 16, 17, 39) have been of considerable interest because they have been shown to interact specifically with the integrin isotypes found on osteoclasts (46, 47). As such, these molecules may be important in the processes of resorption, and, therefore, BSP may require well-controlled transcriptional levels in mature osteoblasts possessing high levels of Cbfa1 in order for bone resorption to be tightly regulated. In this regard, vitamin D, which can promote bone turnover and is a strong enhancer of the OC gene,
is a negative regulator of BSP. Thus, OC and BSP genes are similar with respect to bone tissue-specific expression but are distinct with respect to the timing of their expression, their protein properties, their spatial distribution in the bone tissue, and their functions. Thus, it may be necessary to differentially regulate OC and BSP expression through a tissue-restricted transcription factor. Cbfa factors may attenuate BSP levels at certain stages of osteoblast differentiation to accommodate the functional role of BSP in bone.

The question remains as to how BSP is initially activated in osteoblasts, if not by Cbfa1. Studies have shown that Cbfa1 is necessary but not sufficient for osteogenic differentiation (32). For example, both BMP-2 and TGF-β can induce expression of Cbfa1 and homeodomain proteins in the nonosseous myogenic cell line C2C12 (6, 32), but only BMP-2 can support a change to the osteogenic phenotype with concomitant expression of OC and other osteoblast markers (27). Thus Cbfa1 may be inducing or interacting with an unknown factor in response to BMP-2 that functions as a transcriptional activator, either directly as a DNA binding protein or indirectly as a Cbfa partner protein. BMP-2 induces homeodomain proteins (32, 44a) which have been shown to support bone-specific BSP expression and mediate enhancer activity of the BSP promoter (4). Both OC and BSP, as well as collagen type I expressed in bone, have similar homeodomain binding motifs which have been demonstrated to support tissue-restricted expression of OC and collagen in osteoblasts (20, 48, 56).

Several mechanisms may contribute to Cbfa1 suppression of BSP transcription. Our findings indicate that the C terminus of Cbfa1 contains an important regulatory domain specific to repression of the BSP promoter. The mutant Cbfa1 lacking amino acids 362 to 513 (known to interact with a variety of
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partner proteins) fails to repress the BSP promoter. Thus, a corepressor Cbfa interacting partner may participate in transcriptional regulation within the context of BSP Cbfa motifs. Our mutational evidence has proven that Cbfa elements can mediate repression in the BSP promoter, in addition to the activation function that has been shown for other promoters (e.g., OC, TGF-β type 1 receptor, and collagenase 3). Therefore, the context of the Cbfa sequences within a promoter must contribute to the formation of a Cbfa regulatory complex, mediating either repression or activation. While multimers of the Cbfa consensus sequence fused to a reporter result in enhancer activity (13, 55), the multiplicity of Cbfa sites noted within various promoters (rat OC [37] and TGF-β type 1 receptor [26]) may provide one mechanism for context-dependent modulation of activity through structural organization of gene promoters. We have demonstrated for the OC gene that the three Cbfa sites contribute to formation and maintenance of promoter architecture (25). Cbfa factors are known to interact with proteins that influence chromatin architecture (e.g., p300 histone acetyltransferases [30], LEF-ALY [19], and TLE [7, 18, 44]). In the BSP promoter, if Cbfa binding facilitates promoter architecture and chromatin structure, the binding of Cbfa factors may result in secondary interactions allowing accessibility of potential transcription factors that suppress promoter activity.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated promoter context-dependent Cbfa-mediated suppression of the osteoblast-related BSP gene by several lines of evidence. This first demonstration of negative promoter regulation by Cbfa1 for an osteoblast-expressed gene illustrates the necessity for precise control of expression of a gene that is temporally and spatially restricted in bone tissue and during development of the osteoblast phenotype.
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