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NEUROLOGIC AND PSYCHIATRIC CO-MORBIDITY IN NEUROPSYCHIATRY TRAINING

R. Andrew Sewell, MD¹, Sheldon Benjamin, MD

Combined Neuropsychiatry Program, UMass Medical School, UMass Memorial Healthcare, Worcester, MA

¹Currently: McLean Hospital/Harvard University, Boston, MA

BACKGROUND: Little guidance exists on how many patients or diagnoses must be seen in training to establish competency in neuropsychiatry. Combined neuropsychiatry training is well established, but the overlap between patients seen in neurology and psychiatry is poorly characterized.

METHODS: Case logs of 1552 training patients and 300 psychiatry moonlighting patients evaluated over 5 years by a recently graduated combined neuropsychiatry resident were analyzed for diagnostic distribution using DSM-IV and ICD-10. In cases where ICD-10 differed from DSM-IV, the ICD-10 classification was used to allow greater specificity of neurological and medical diagnoses. Patients were also classified as “psychiatric,” “neurologic,” “both,” or “neither.” Data were analyzed by service (neurology vs. psychiatry) for age distribution, DSM-IV diagnosis, ICD-10 diagnosis, quantity of “neuropsychiatric” patients, and presence of psychiatric and neurologic comorbidity.

RESULTS: Using DSM-IV, of 735 patients evaluated during psychiatry training, 564 (77%) had only psychiatric pathology, 26 (4%) had only neurologic pathology, 132 (18%) had both and 13 (2%) had neither. Of 817 patients evaluated during neurology training, 27 (3%) had only psychiatric pathology, 486 (59%) had only neurologic pathology, 300 (37%) had both, and 4 (0%) had neither (FIGURE ONE). Of 300 patients seen during psychiatry moonlighting, 241 (80%) had only psychiatric pathology, 453 (2%) had only neurologic pathology, 132 (18%) had both and 4 (1%) had neither (FIGURE ONE). Of the 1552 cases seen, 435 (23.5%) had a neurological diagnosis, 657 (46.3%) had a psychiatric diagnosis, and 453 (24.5%) had both neurologic and psychiatric diagnoses. 71% of the total group had a psychiatric diagnosis and 48% had a neurologic diagnosis when patients were classified allowing dual group assignments for the “both” group. 19.7% of patients seen in psychiatry and 42.8% of patients seen in neurology had a comorbid diagnosis from the other field using ICD-10.

Average age of patients seen was 51.0 (SD 18.8) on Neurology, 39.8 (SD 18.5) on Psychiatry, and 29.6 (SD 18.1) in the psychiatric moonlighting control group (which included an adolescent unit). Average ages of patients with purely psychiatric diagnoses was 34.9 (SD 17.9), purely neurologic diagnoses 52.0 (SD 24.8), and both neurologic and psychiatric diagnoses 46.7 (SD 20.4) (FIGURES TWO AND THREE).

Combined neuropsychiatric diagnoses were seen with approximately equal frequency (16-18%) on psychiatry, neurology, and neuropsychiatry services. TABLE ONE shows specific service rates. Psychiatric diagnostic groups seen on neurology and psychiatry services are compared in FIGURE FOUR. Specific psychiatric and neurologic comorbidities for various diagnoses are shown in TABLE TWO. Neurological comorbidity was highest among childhood psychiatric disorders (48%) with mood disorders 18% and substance abuse disorders the lowest at 9%. Psychiatric comorbidity was highest for mood disorders in headache patients (25%), multiple sclerosis (22%) and Parkinson disease (21%). Psychosis was found in 7% of dementia, 5% of epilepsy, and 4% of Parkinson disease. Substance abuse was found in 9% of epilepsy and 5% of headache and chronic pain cases. Medical comorbidities seen most frequently in the entire group were cardiovascular (21%) and endocrine (16%) (TABLE THREE).

CONCLUSIONS: Although a neuropsychiatry teaching clinic is considered one indicator of quality in neuropsychiatry programs, these data indicate that psychiatric inpatient units and neurology clinic and consultation services are also excellent venues for neuropsychiatry teaching. Medical comorbidity data suggests that rotations in cardiology and endocrinology might be useful during the Neuropsychiatry PGY-1 year. Twice as many neurological patients (42.8%) had co-morbid psychiatric conditions than psychiatric patients had neurologic conditions (19.7%). These data both reinforce the need for more rigorous psychiatric training of neurology residents and reflect one advantage of conducting the bulk of psychiatry training prior to the bulk of neurology training in combined Neuropsychiatry programs. Comparison of case mix between combined training and neuropsychiatry fellowship programs would be interesting in light of recent UCNS recognition of neuropsychiatry fellowship training. Additional data such as these are needed to help establish competency criteria for neuropsychiatry training.
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