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Continuing challenges in the diagnosis and management of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding

Veronica Baptista, Neil Marya, Anupam Singh, Abbas Rupawala, Bilal Gondal, David Cave

Abstract
The diagnosis and management of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (OGIB) have changed dramatically since the introduction of video capsule endoscopy (VCE) followed by deep enteroscopy and other imaging technologies in the last decade. Significant advances have been made, yet there remains room for improvement in our diagnostic yield and treatment capabilities for recurrent OGIB. In this review, we will summarize the latest technologies for the diagnosis of OGIB, limitations of VCE, technological enhancement in VCE, and different management options for OGIB.
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Core tip: Since the advent of capsule endoscopy, significant advances have been made in the imaging of the small bowel that allow for the diagnosis and management of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. With a decade of knowledge, it is now appropriate for us to look back, critically evaluate our achievements, improve on our current technologies and develop ideas to circumvent some of the shortcomings.

INTRODUCTION
Remarkable progress has been made since 2001 in the development of technologies that are available to investigate disorders of the small intestine. The wireless video capsule endoscope (VCE) and double balloon enteroscope became available in 2001, followed by the development of computed tomography enterography (CTE) and magnetic resonance enterography (MRE). These tools and newer variations have allowed us to diagnose and manage small bowel lesions in ways that were previously unimaginable. After a 10 year period of remarkable progress it is appropriate to look back, critically evaluate where we are, and use that evaluation as a springboard to critique the technologies and circumvent some of their shortcomings.

Many studies have shown that the diagnostic yield of VCE and deep enteroscopy are similar for obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (OGIB) - approximately in the 40% to 60% range. The higher numbers are for overt obscure bleeding (40% to 92%), occult bleeding (40% to 60%), and iron deficiency (10% to 30%). Assuming indications for these studies are appropriate, a failure-to-diagnose rate of 50% with current techniques appears to be an inconvenient truth. Similarly, recurrent bleeding is distress-
Table 1 Specifications of available capsules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MiroCam (IntroMedic)</th>
<th>PillCam SB/SB2/ SB3 (Given Imaging)</th>
<th>EndoCapsule, EC-10 (Olympus)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size (mm)</td>
<td>11 × 24</td>
<td>11 × 26</td>
<td>11 × 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (pixels)</td>
<td>320 × 320</td>
<td>256 × 256</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frames per second</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery life (h)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>8.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view (˚)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>140/156</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Human body communication</td>
<td>Radiofrequency</td>
<td>Radiofrequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real time viewer</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deep enteroscopy: Deep enteroscopy can be performed using double balloon enteroscopy (DBE), single balloon enteroscopy (SBE) or through spiral enteroscopy (SE). These techniques work by pleating the small bowel back over the overtube to minimize looping, thereby allowing the enteroscope to advance forward.

DBE involves two balloons, one each on the distal end of the enteroscope and the overtube. Studies have found the diagnostic yield of DBE is approximately 60%-80% for evaluating OGIB. Success rate of total enteroscopy, with the evaluation of the entire small bowel, either in the antegrade or antegrade plus retrograde fashion, was reported to be 16%-86%.[11]

Kamalaporn et al.[13] looked at detection rates of combined DBE following VCE in OGIB. Overall detection rates for both techniques were similar. Each technique detected lesions not seen by the other, and are complementary in the evaluation of OGIB. VCE is generally performed before DBE, as it can potentially localize the bleeding source and guide the direction of subsequent deep enteroscopy, either in the antegrade or retrograde fashion.[13]. In a recent systematic review of over 12,000 DBE over a 10-year period, the most common indication for performing DBE (62.5%) was for management of suspected bleeding in the small bowel. DBE was successful in detecting small bowel bleeding in 68.1%.[14]

In contrast, SBE involves one balloon. With the use of an angulated enteroscopic tip that can hook onto the small bowel, this technique allows for the enteroscope to advance with a single balloon. Diagnostic yield and intervention rate of SBE were similar to that of DBE (57% vs 53% and 32% vs 26% respectively)[15], and the procedure
times were both the same at 60 min\textsuperscript{[16]}. SE consists of the Endo-Ease Discovery SB which is a 118 cm long spiral-shaped overtube with spiral ribbing on its surface that is used for enteroscopy via the oral route. Diagnostic yield of SE was 57.1%, similar to that of SBE and DBE, and 60% of the angioectasias seen on VCE were detected during SE\textsuperscript{[17]}. Recurrent overt bleeding after SE was 26% during a mean follow up of 2 years\textsuperscript{[18]}. Compared with DBE, SE had both a shorter examination time, along with shorter time to reach the farthest point of examination (43 min vs 65 min and 24 min vs 43 min respectively). However, DBE allowed for deeper advancement of the enteroscope than SE (310 min vs 250 cm)\textsuperscript{[19]}. A recent comparison review noted similar diagnostic yields for SBE, DBE, and SE (53.9%, 64.4%, and 47.0% respectively). Procedure time was fastest in the SE group (oral: 41.0 min, anal: 46 min) followed by SBE (oral: 59.8 min, anal: 68.8 min), and DBE (oral: 71.6 min, anal: 84.5 min). Therapeutic interventions were highest for DBE (40.1%), compared with SBE and SE (26.8% and 29.7%)\textsuperscript{[20]}. CTE and MRE: Similar to VCE, CTE may be used in the evaluation of OGIB to provide a potential road map prior to performing the more invasive DBE. In comparing CTE and VCE, the diagnostic yield for all findings was 34% and 53% respectively. This yield was similar for the detection of neoplastic or mass lesions. However, VCE was superior over CTE in the detection of vascular or inflammatory mucosal lesions. In comparing CTE with DBE, the diagnostic yield was higher for DBE (78% vs 38%). Other studies comparing CTE with digital subtraction angiography showed similar yield of 64% and 60% respectively\textsuperscript{[21]}. Agrawal et al\textsuperscript{[22]} recently reported that in patients which VCE failed to localize bleeding, CTE may have a utility for the subsequent work up of overt, but not occult or OGIB. The authors found the diagnostic yield to be 50% in overt GI bleed, but 0% in OGIB. MRE may be considered as an alternative for the initial examination in patients with clinical suspicion of small-bowel stenosis\textsuperscript{[23]} and is also used as a complementary modality for evaluation of patients with small bowel tumors and Crohn’s disease. Angiography: Limited data exists on provocative angiography. In a single center series of patients with obscure and recurrent lower GI bleed, Kim et al\textsuperscript{[24]} reported successful definitive treatment of recurrent hemorrhage in 11 of 36 studies (31%) that were performed on 34 patients, with only one complication of ischemic bowel perforation that necessitated bowel resection. There were otherwise no bleeding complications. Leung et al\textsuperscript{[25]} compared VCE and angiography for acute overt OGIB and found that the diagnostic yield of VCE was significantly higher than that of angiography (53.3% vs 20.0%).

**LIMITATIONS OF CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY**

**Why do we miss lesions?**

It has been reported that VCE missed about 11% of all abnormalities in the small bowel. With single mass lesions, the miss rate can be up to 18.9%\textsuperscript{[26]}. Multiple factors account for missed lesions in VCE, including rapid transit through the duodenum and proximal jejunum, unidirectional field of view (about 150°), coupled with a non-axial transit which does not permit the camera to capture the entirety of the mucosal surface. This latter issue explains the discordance of repeat capsule studies in the same patient. Furthermore, inadequate luminal distension and the presence of luminal contents and bubbles further impair complete visualization of the mucosa. Despite the ability of deep enteroscopes to distend the intestine and wash the mucosa, the diagnostic yield is comparable to that of VCE in most patients when the first deep enteroscopy is attempted from only one direction\textsuperscript{[27]}; achievement of pan enteroscopy is uncommon. The field of view for deep enteroscopes is comparable to VCE, thus it may be difficult to see lesions on the distal aspect of a fold. Anesthesia may also alter hemodynamics of intestinal blood flow in a manner quite different to that of video capsule, and active bleeding originating from a submucosal source is not commonly seen.

**Preparation for capsule endoscopy**

Capsule image quality plays an important role in the accuracy of capsule interpretation. Presence of food residue, bile, and air bubbles can obscure images. There are currently no guidelines regarding bowel preparation before VCE. Different centers and studies have used various regimens including overnight fasting only for 12 h, clear liquid diet of varying duration, and use of polyethylene glycol (PEG) and/or simethicone. Studies evaluating the role of purgatives/prokinetics as bowel preparation for VCE have been heterogeneous. In a meta-analysis by Song et al\textsuperscript{[28]} and the Korean Gut Image Study Group, small bowel visualization quality was found to be enhanced fourfold with use of bowel prep with PEG solution. Two liter (2 L) of PEG solution was similarly effective as 4 L. Diagnostic yield was also slightly improved using PEG solution as compared with overnight fast or clear liquid diet. In another meta-analysis of eight randomized controlled trials comparing use of laxative bowel preparation with fasting alone, PEG based regimens were found to offer better visibility than fasting alone\textsuperscript{[29]}. This is similar to the European Society of gastrointestinal Endoscopy guideline in 2009 recommending purgative bowel preparations that would enhance diagnostic yield of VCE\textsuperscript{[28]}. Use of simethicone with or without bowel prep may also enhance image quality. Capsule completion rate, however, was not affected with the use of bowel prep, simethicone, or prokinetics. Currently overnight fasting is the standard preparation for VCE in many centers. Alternatively the ASGE recommends 2 L of PEG the evening before the procedure.
Surrounding the controversy regarding use of bowel prep is also the subjective nature of assessing the cleanliness grading system. Current grading systems such as the 10-point quantitative index, or overall assessment of adequacy (adequate or inadequate) are either too cumbersome to calculate or too simplified to be of much utility. With the goal of having an objective assessment, Van Weyenberg et al. designed a scoring system to assess the quality of bowel preparation with a computed quantitative scale using the color intensities of the tissue color bar. This scoring system, known by the authors as Computed Assessment of Cleansing score is an objective measure, eliminating subjective interpretation by individual readers, and is potentially more reproducible and objective.

**Reader error**

Recently, a portrayal of physician performance and error in capsule reading was shown in a study by Zheng et al. to play a big role in missed lesions. In this study, 24 prepared clips of capsule images were read at different modes (single view, duo view, or quad view) and frame speed (15, 20, or 25) by 17 endoscopists, ranging from novice to experienced readers. The detection rates in this study were disappointingly low, ranging from 16% for the detection of blood to 69% for the detection of angioectasias. As expected, ulcers or erosions were more readily detected if they were larger, and masses or polyps were more distinguishable if their color and texture differ from the surrounding mucosa. Abnormal findings appearing in more frames were more likely to be picked up than those appearing in only 1–2 frames. The overall detection rate was also significantly higher when reading in the single view-15 and quad view-20 modes (45% and 47% respectively) compared with reading in single view-25 (26%). This may be explained by the longer dwell time on the screen for each image in quad compared with single view. In another study looking at inter-observer agreement in describing VCE findings, the best agreement was in describing VCE findings, the worst agreement was in describing size of lesion. Diagnostic concordance was better with angioectasias than for polyps or ulcers/erosions.

**Missed lesions in the proximal small intestine**

Several case reports have noted missed lesions on VCE that were subsequently detected on other imaging modalities such as DBE, CTE or MRE. These lesions were mostly in the proximal small bowel which can be poorly visualized on VCE. This has been evidenced by an earlier study demonstrating that the ampulla of Vater being missed in > 50% of capsule examinations. In a study by Baichi et al., VCE was performed on 300 consecutive patients presenting with OGIB. Among those patients, 10 small bowel masses were identified, and of those lesions noted, three duodenal masses were missed on previous EGD, with one missed on VCE as well. Further evidence of VCE missing lesions in the proximal small intestine came from a study by Postgate et al. who reported five tumors missed on VCE for evaluation of OGIB. Three of these tumors were in the distal duodenum, one in the proximal jejunum, and the fifth was a large Peutz-Jegher’s polyp in the proximal ileum.

In a study by Selby et al., capsule endoscopes with varying field of view were evaluated for the ability to identify the ampulla of Vater. The ampulla of Vater was seen in 18% of PillCam SB3 that has a wider field of view (156°) compared to 9% of PillCam (140°). The PillCam SB3 has a variable frame rate of 6 fps when moving fast. It remains to be seen how effective this enhancement will be in visualizing the ampulla of Vater and allowing for better identification of proximal small bowel lesions in the future.

**Timing of VCE**

The diagnostic yield of VCE for the evaluation of OGIB has been demonstrated to be higher if VCE is performed soon after the onset of bleeding. Of one hundred consecutive patients evaluated for obscure GI bleeding, Pennazio et al. reported 92.3% positive yield in patients with ongoing overt bleeding (n = 26), 12.9% yield in patients with previous overt bleeding (n = 31) and 44.2% in patients with guaiac positive stools and iron deficiency anemia (n = 43). Similarly, Bresci et al. reported a positive yield of 91% in patients who underwent VCE within 15 d of obscure GI bleed event versus a yield of 34% in patients who underwent VCE placement after 15 d. Goenka et al. reported that out of 385 patients investigated for obscure GI bleed, patients with VCE placement within 48 h of overt GI bleed had the highest diagnostic yield (87%). This was significantly greater (P < 0.05) compared to patients who had VCE placed after 48 h (68%) of overt GI bleed, as well as those with occult obscure GI bleed (59%).

Recently our group reported that early use of VCE (i.e., within 3 d of hospital admission) led to improved diagnostic yield, higher rate of therapeutic interventions, along with decreased hospital length of stay. In the early deployment group, VCE findings of active bleeding or vascular angioectasia were significantly higher than in the group where VCE was deployed late (after more than 3 d of admission), or in the group where VCE was performed as an outpatient (44.4% vs 27.8% vs 25.8%). Therapeutic intervention was also carried out more often in the early deployment group compared to the other groups (18.9% vs 7.4% for the late group vs 10.3% for the outpatient group). Hospital length of stay was also shorter at 6.1 d in the early deployment group, compared with 10.3 d in the late deployment group.

**Second look video capsule endoscopy**

Several studies have shown that VCE can detect a bleeding site in 45%-66% patients with OGIB, and it is often felt that patients with OGIB and a negative VCE had a low rate of re-bleeding. However, in a study looking at the outcome of 35 patients who had negative VCE for...
the evaluation of OGIB, the overall re-bleeding rate was 23% (8 patients) at a median of 15.9 mo of follow up. Four of these patients underwent repeat endoscopy after negative VCE and found previously missed lesions with potential as a bleeding source in the stomach. Overall 13 patients (37%) with or without re-bleeding underwent repeat endoscopy after a negative VCE, which lead to a definitive diagnosis in nine patients (69% who underwent repeat endoscopy). Lesions were located in the stomach and colon in eight of these nine patients[44]. In another study, Vlachogiannakos et al[41] noted that in 317 VCE performed for the evaluation of OGIB with negative prior upper endoscopy and colonoscopy, a bleeding source was found on VCE to be outside the small bowel in 3.5% cases, typically duodenum or cecum that was missed by conventional upper endoscopy or colonoscopy. Min et al[45] found a higher diagnostic yield for back-to-back VCE and showed that for a single VCE, yield was 37.5%, which increased to 43.8% with a second VCE, and up to 62.5% with back-to-back VCE. Therefore repeat VCE and/or endoscopic evaluations are recommended in cases of severe anemia, or persistent obscure/overt GIB. Timing of VCE is also important as discussed above.

TECHNOLOGICAL ENHANCEMENTS IN CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY

Technological enhancements

Abnormal findings may only be present in a few image frames, and the usefulness of VCE relies on accurate detection of these fleeting images. Several features have been built-in to capsule endoscopy software with the goal of improving detection, such as Suspected Blood Indicator (SBI) and flexible spectral imaging color enhancement (FICE). Different software programs are also equipped with special viewing modes to decrease reading time, such as with QuickView (Given Imaging) and “auto-speed-adjusted” and “express-selected” playback modes (Olympus).

Suspected blood indicator: SBI automatically highlights frames containing several red pixels in an attempt to help capsule reader localize bleeding source. However, use of the SBI is identifying clinically significant lesions is limited by its low sensitivity 56.4% and specificity 33.5%. SBI only has a 24.0% positive predictive value and 67.3% negative predictive value[46]. Intra-luminal bubbles created many of the false positive results. Anecdotally, SBI does have value in overt bleeding where it becomes a solid red bar, the proximal end of which nearly always marks the site of bleeding.

Flexible spectral imaging color enhancement: FICE is an image enhancement system that can obtain bright and high-contrast images. In a study looking at the ability of FICE to detect angioectasia as compared with conventional images, the sensitivity and specificity of detecting angioectasia with FICE images were 91% and 86%, compared with 80% and 100% with conventional mode[44]. FICE reading resulted in more false positive lesions, which can be correctly identified by converting the images to conventional mode.

Quickview system: The QuickView system scans each frame and analyzes patterns/colors to select significant images to create a short video that can then be a quick preview of the entire capsule. Even though QuickView mode may reduce reading time, prospective trials showed a high 8%-12% miss rate[45-47], and it is not recommended as a substitute to reading the entire capsule study.

Auto-speed-adjusted and express-selected playback modes: Olympus capsule endoscopy software systems have equipped an “auto-speed-adjusted” and “express-selected” playback modes. There is also an overview feature which is a one page summary of selected still images which provides the reader with a quick glance of characteristic frames from the capsule study. In the “auto-speed-adjusted” mode, the software speeds up the fps of the video to a maximum of 25 fps when the software detects repeated images similar to the previous frames, thereby potentially reducing the reading time. In the “express-selected” viewing mode, the software skips similar images, and produces a running video stream of only dissimilar images for viewing by the reader. Those skipped images are then gathered into the “expressed-skipped” mode for subsequent viewing if necessary. In a retrospective study of 70 patients to evaluate the clinical efficacy of these functions, Subramanian et al[48] noted that the capsule reading time using “express-selected” mode with the overview feature was much lower (19 ± 5 min) than using “auto-speed-adjusted” mode with the overview feature (34 ± 10 min). The missed rate was 8% when the overview function was used alone, but decreased to 0.03% when the overview function was combined with either “express-selected” or “auto-speed-adjusted” playback functions. Though this appears to be promising, further prospective evaluation in a large multicenter trial is needed before this could be recommended for widespread use in clinical practice.

Localization

The clinical problem: While video capsule endoscopy (VCE) is the gold standard for diagnosis of small bowel bleeding, endoscopists are still faced with the clinical challenge of localizing bleeding sources identified by VCE[49,50]. There are many issues at play here. First, the small intestine is a featureless tube which offers only a few landmarks for endoscopists - the pylorus and the cecum. Second, the small bowel is stacked upon itself in the peritoneal cavity, meaning that the capsule will traverse through multiple planes as it relates to a single point on the abdominal wall.

Because capsule transit time from the pylorus to the cecum is consistently about four hours, current clinical
practice involves identifying time points associated with the pylorus and cecum and then noting the time point associated with visualization of the bleeding source. Endoscopists can then approximate the distance of the lesion between these two landmarks. One issue with this technique is that if the capsule is not able to visualize the cecum (e.g., because of slow transit time), localization solely based on knowing the time point associated with the pylorus becomes very inaccurate[51,52].

Since the advent of the video capsule, multiple studies have been undertaken to provide a more definitive system of localization. The two major techniques being studied are magnetic field and radiofrequency (RF) localization.

**Magnetic field localization:** Unlike radiofrequencies, magnetic fields are unaffected by human tissue, allowing for more accurate localization. Magnetic field-based systems also allow the opportunity to control the movement of the capsule while it travels the small bowel using one system[53,54]. Using one system for both capsule control and localization, however, will produce interference that can obstruct both of these functions. Given the accuracy required to control the movements of a capsule through the bowel, this system may not be appropriate. A second issue with magnet-based localization is its application in the clinical setting. Specifically, an examination performed using this system would require a space containing no ferromagnetic materials. Also, magnet-based systems are very complex and would be difficult to utilize in clinics[55].

**Radiofrequency localization:** An issue surrounding RF-based systems is that radiofrequencies do not easily travel through human tissue. In an effort to better understand the behavior of radiofrequency signals within the human body, a series of multidisciplinary conferences have been convened to address the problems associated with what is called body area networking[56,57]. What is clear is that RF within the body is influenced by multiple factors including tissue densities, juxtaposition of different organs, and other anatomic considerations. Despite this, one advantage of RF-based systems is the ease of utilization in the clinical setting. The first commercially available localization system was the RF-based system attached to the M2A capsule developed by Given Imaging[58]. This localization system has been discontinued due to its inaccuracy. In addition, this system only produces localization data in two dimensions and, therefore, its clinical utility is decreased since capsules travel in multiple planes. Marya et al[59] recently reported on a new RF-based localization system developed by Olympus Medical Systems for the new EC-10 capsule. This system has similar accuracy to the Given system while providing three-dimensional localization instead of only two dimensions. The clinical utility of this system cannot be properly assessed until a prospective trial is performed.

**Future considerations:** Although progress is being made in the development of new localization systems, there are still issues to be addressed. Research needs to be focused on developing a localization system that provides information related to the distance the capsule travels from the pylorus to the suspected bleeding lesion. It is this distance, not simply the three-dimensional location of a capsule within the abdominal cavity, which has the greatest clinical utility to an endoscopist or surgeon in the management of obscure GI bleeding.

**MANAGEMENT OF OGIB**

**Therapeutic options**

While progress is being made in the diagnosis of lesions contributing to obscure GI bleeding, the clinical challenge of treating the suspected lesions persists. Traditionally, analysis of particular therapeutic options has been limited to case series or small clinical trials. The decision to choose a particular option is based on several factors. Specifically, clinicians consider where the suspected lesions are within the GI tract, the number of suspected lesions at risk of further bleeding, the degree of bleeding and anemia, co-morbidities and the severity of symptoms experienced by the patient before deciding on a particular therapeutic intervention.

**Endoscopic therapy**

Once an obscure lesion is localized through endoscopy, the endoscopist has several options available for treatment. Treatments include APC and endoscopic band ligation (EBL).

**Argon plasma coagulation:** APC therapy is the gold standard therapy for gastric antral vascular ectasias (GAVE) and is widely used to treat angioectasia throughout the GI tract[60-62]. A prospective study by Kwan et al[63] provided definitive evidence of the usefulness of APC therapy in 100 patients with both angioectasia and GAVE. In their study the authors found that in a previously transfusion-dependent subset of subjects, over half did not require further transfusions post-APC. In a smaller study population, Herrera et al[64] demonstrated a 90% success rate for APC therapy in patients with focal vascular ectasias. In that study, APC was not associated with any adverse effects. Other studies have reported a 2.5% rate of adverse events[65].

**Endoscopic band ligation:** Historically, EBL has been a treatment for esophageal varices, but its usefulness as a treatment for GAVE and other angioectasia throughout the GI tract is now being realized[66]. In a study of 22 patients, Wells et al[67] demonstrated the benefits of EBL, as a subgroup receiving the therapy required fewer treatment sessions and had better-controlled bleeding than those receiving thermal therapy. Earlier studies have shown an equal efficacy and safety profile for EBL in the treatment of Dieulafoy’s lesions compared to hemostatic clips or injection therapy[68].
Future directions: Despite important advances in treatments, a large prospective study comparing endoscopic therapies is lacking. As diagnostic measures improve, such a study could prove vital in allowing endoscopists more opportunities to treat obscure GI bleeds.

Pharmacologic therapy
If multiple lesions are suspected to be throughout the GI tract, or if a patient is found to have persistent bleeding despite repeated endoscopic or surgical interventions, pharmacologic therapy should be considered. Pharmacologic therapy may also be pursued for patients with multiple medical co-morbidities that may make them poor candidates for repeated endoscopy or surgical interventions.

Hormonal therapy: The utilization of hormonal therapy (i.e., estrogen plus progesterone) for the treatment of suspected vascular malformations in the GI tract originated from the treatment of hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT, also known as Rendu-Osler-Weber disease). Much of the support for this therapy, however, came only from case reports or from studies with very small sample sizes.

van Cutsen et al\[9\] demonstrated a significant benefit of hormonal therapy compared to placebo (failure rate of therapy 29% compared to 100% for placebo). Despite impressive results, there are many significant issues with this study. Specifically, the sample size of patients was small and the study population included several individuals with HHT who represent a small sub-population of patients with OGIB\[8\]. These results have been countered by other studies including a larger more recent study from Junquera et al\[8\], which demonstrated no definitive benefit from hormonal therapy compared to the placebo. As other studies suggest, the pathogenesis of vascular malformations in the GI tract is quite different from the process associated with HHT\[7,12\]. Currently, there is no definitive evidence for the efficacy of hormonal therapy in GI bleeding that is unrelated to HHT.

Anti-angiogenics: The pathogenesis of vascular malformations related to GI bleeding provides multiple options for therapy. In particular, much focus has been placed on the role of vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) in the development of these lesions. Junquera et al\[3\] demonstrated that patients with recurrent bleeding secondary to intestinal angiodysplasias (AD) have accumulations of VEGF along the endothelial lining of colonic resection specimens. Research suggests that VEGF becomes overexpressed in oxygen-depleted mucosa, contributing to the formation of AD in older tissues\[7,3,16\]. This role of VEGF in the development of AD has created a niche for anti-angiogenic therapy in AD-associated bleeding.

Thalidomide (which has been used in Crohn’s disease patients due to its anti-TNF effects) is now being studied in GI bleeding due to its anti-TNF effects) is now being studied anti-angiogenic therapy in AD-associated bleeding.

The role of vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) in the formation of AD in older tissues expressed in oxygen-depleted mucosa, contributing to intestinal angiodysplasias (AD) have accumulations of VEGF along the endothelial lining of colonic resection specimens. Research suggests that VEGF becomes overexpressed in oxygen-depleted mucosa, contributing to the formation of AD in older tissues\[3,7,16\]. This role of VEGF in the development of AD has created a niche for anti-angiogenic therapy in AD-associated bleeding.

Thalidomide (which has been used in Crohn’s disease patients due to its anti-TNF effects) is now being studied in patients with AD-related bleeding. Recently, Ge et al\[9\] performed a randomized controlled trial demonstrating the efficacy of thalidomide in treating AD, 71.4% of patients responded, compared to 3.7% in the control group. Although these results are promising there are significant adverse events associated with thalidomide therapy including leukopenia, deep vein thrombosis, and peripheral neuropathy\[79\]. Bevacizumab is another anti-angiogenic medication recently studied as a VEGF-inhibitor. Studies have demonstrated its usefulness as an antiangiogenic medication for colon and renal cancer, but there have been no formal studies performed in patients with recurrent GI bleeding from suspected vascular malformations\[90\].

Somatostatin analogues: The most well-studied somatostatin analogue for the treatment of OGIB is octreotide. The suspected mechanism of action is the ability to inhibit the production of intestinal enzymes (e.g., cholecystokinin, gastrin, and vasoactive intestinal peptide), decrease splanchnic blood flow, decrease platelet aggregation, and decrease angiogenesis. One case series by Nardone et al\[91\] demonstrated that octreotide treatment stopped bleeding in 10 of 17 patients. The authors noted that patients receiving octreotide therapy experienced few side effects. The most common side effects of octreotide therapy are abdominal discomfort and diarrhea, considered to be relatively mild compared to side effects of some of the other therapies listed here\[84\].

Future directions: While the pathogenesis of AD-related bleeding offers multiple promising opportunities for intervention, a focus on developing randomized-controlled trials to better assess these therapies is needed to define their clinical utility and potential side effects.

Surgical therapy
With advances in endoscopic techniques that allow for visualization and treatment of lesions responsible for OGIB, surgery has become less of a necessity. Now, surgery may be pursued in patients who have failed medical and endoscopic therapy, as well as patients who present with an acute hemorrhage. But in all cases a target lesion needs to be defined preoperatively to avoid the high likelihood of a negative exploration. Research in this field has focused on the localization of lesions to allow surgeons the opportunity to make a curative resection. Studies have demonstrated the benefits of injecting methylene blue dye as an intraoperative technique to allow surgeons to identify the areas of the bowel affected by AD\[81,82\]. Although this technique has been used since 1978, recent studies have suggested adaptations to make the process easier. For example, D’Mello et al\[83\] presented a case report using digital subtraction angiography to reveal a vascular malformation which they then accessed easily using a microcatheter. Further investigation into capsule endoscopy localization and intraoperative localization of lesions will allow surgeons to make more definitive resections while decreasing the length of bowel needed to be removed.
RECURRENT OGIB

One of the biggest challenges associated with OGIB is that of recurrence. The rate of re-bleeding varies in literature depending on center, duration of follow up and cause of bleeding. Studies have demonstrated the re-bleeding rate to be in the range of 40%-60% when associated with a finding of angiodysplasia on VCE[84,85]. Endo et al[86] studied the rate of re-bleeding after intervention for lesions detected on VCE, and found 50% re-bleeding rate in patients with angiodysplasia despite endoscopic intervention. The re-bleeding rate was also higher for patients with clinically insignificant lesions, regardless of whether endoscopic intervention was performed[86]. Patients requiring multiple transfusions for recurrent bleeding typically have multiple co-morbidities, such as chronic renal failure or use of anticoagulation, that are also independent risks for re-bleeding. Patients with recurrent bleeding require multiple endoscopic procedures and are thus at increased risk of complications from these procedures.

Another challenge associated with recurrent OGIB is negative endoscopic findings on VCE or deep enteroscopy. Evidence is conflicting in this area with some studies showing a higher rate of bleeding with normal mucosa or insignificant lesions on endoscopy[84], whereas others show higher rate of recurrent bleeding associated with positive findings on VCE[88]. Studies have reported re-bleeding rate as low as 5.6% and 11% in patients with negative VCE. Koh et al[88] investigated long-term outcome in OGIB after negative VCE, and found that the overall re-bleeding rate was 28.4%. The re-bleeding rate was higher in patients with positive VCE (36.8%) than in those with negative findings (22.8%)[88]. It is also reported that in VCE-directed interventions, 50%-66% of patients remain transfusion-free without recurrent bleeding[89,90].

FUTURE IN THE DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF OGIB

Advances in capsule endoscopy have included longer battery life, higher image capture frame rate, wider angle of view, improved image resolution, along with enhanced software features to assist in reading. Studies suggest that there is room for further education in reading VCE videos. The more widespread use of early capsule deployment in overt OGIB should enhance diagnostic yields and increase therapeutic intervention rates. The ability to define distance travelled by the capsule would be very helpful in lesion localization. The new 3-D localization software is a step in the right direction. Difficult to detect sources of bleeding may be better controlled by medical means and should stimulate drug development and clinical trials of such agents. The tools for deep enteroscopy are likely to evolve shortly. However, cost constraints for these procedures would preclude their primary deployment in most parts of the world. Thus VCE and DE are likely to remain complimentary procedures for the foreseeable future.
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