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miR-124 Regulates the Phase of Drosophila Circadian Locomotor Behavior

Yong Zhang,1,2 Pallavi Lamba,1 Peiyi Guo,1 and Patrick Emery1

1Department of Neurobiology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts 01605, and 2Department of Biology, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89557

Animals use circadian rhythms to anticipate daily environmental changes. Circadian clocks have a profound effect on behavior. In Drosophila, for example, brain pacemaker neurons dictate that flies are mostly active at dawn and dusk. miRNAs are small, regulatory RNAs (~22 nt) that play important roles in posttranscriptional regulation. Here, we identify miR-124 as an important regulator of Drosophila circadian locomotor rhythms. Under constant darkness, flies lacking miR-124 (miR-124KO) have a dramatically advanced circadian behavior phase. However, whereas a phase defect is usually caused by a change in the period of the circadian pacemaker, this is not the case in miR-124KO flies. Moreover, the phase of the circadian pacemaker in the clock neurons that control rhythmic locomotion is not altered either. Therefore, miR-124 modulates the output of circadian clock neurons rather than controlling their molecular pacemaker. Circadian phase is also advanced under temperature cycles, but a light/dark cycle partially corrects the defects in miR-124KO flies. Indeed, miR-124KO shows a normal evening phase under the latter conditions, but morning behavioral activity is suppressed. In summary, miR-124 controls diurnal activity and determines the phase of circadian locomotor behavior without affecting circadian pacemaker function. It thus provides a potent entry point to elucidate the mechanisms by which the phase of circadian behavior is determined.

Key words: circadian behavior; circadian rhythms; Drosophila; miRNAs

Significance Statement

In animals, molecular circadian clocks control the timing of behavioral activities to optimize them with the day/night cycle. This is critical for their fitness and survival. The mechanisms by which the phase of circadian behaviors is determined downstream of the molecular pacemakers are not yet well understood. Recent studies indicate that miRNAs are important regulators of circadian outputs. We found that miR-124 shapes diurnal behavioral activity and has a striking impact on the phase of circadian locomotor behavior. Surprisingly, the period and phase of the neural circadian pacemakers driving locomotor rhythms are unaffected. Therefore, miR-124 is a critical modulator of the circadian output pathways that control circadian behavioral rhythms.
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Introduction

Most organisms use circadian clocks to anticipate daily environmental changes and thus maximize their chances of survival. Circadian clocks govern most basic bodily functions, including sleep/wake cycles, hormone secretion, and metabolic rates. In animals, the molecular mechanism underlying circadian rhythms is a highly conserved transcriptional–translational feedback loop (Weaver and Emery, 2013). In flies, the heterodimeric transcription factor CLOCK/CYCLE (CLK/CYC) binds to the promoter region of many genes through E-box sequences. Among these genes, period (per) and timeless (tim) encode for CLK/CYC repressors. PER and TIM form a heterodimer, accumulate in the cytoplasm, and enter into the nucleus to suppress their own gene transcription by inhibiting CLK/CYC. A series of kinases and phosphatases regulate the phosphorylation state, stability, and nuclear entry of PER/TIM. The oscillations of this molecular clock are maintained in constant
conditions and synchronized by environmental inputs such as light and temperature. In flies, light changes the conformation of the blue-light photoreceptor CRYPTOCHROME (CRY). CRY binds to TIM and an E3-ubiquitin ligase containing JETLAG (JET) to trigger proteasomal TIM degradation (Zhang and Emery, 2012). TIM degradation exposes PER to phosphorylation and proteasomal degradation and thus resets the circadian pacemaker. Temperature increases also trigger TIM degradation to reset circadian clocks in flies, but this molecular response is mediated by calcium and the atypical protease SOL (Tataroglu et al., 2015). Circadian clock neurons can also receive thermal and photic inputs nonautonomously (Helfrich-Förster et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2015).

miRNAs are small, noncoding RNAs that regulate many important biological processes through posttranscriptional repression of specific target genes (Bartel, 2004). Recent studies have shown that miRNAs play an important role in the control of circadian rhythms in both flies and mammals. Several miRNAs are reported to be under circadian control (Cheng et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2008; Vodala et al., 2012). In mice, two rhythmically expressed miRNAs are important for circadian clocks: miR-132 is induced by light and regulates circadian photoresponses and miR-219 modulates the circadian pacemaker (Cheng et al., 2007). Dicer proteins are critical for miRNA biogenesis (Bartel, 2004). In Dicer-deficient mice, shortened circadian rhythms have been observed mainly because of faster translation of PERIOD1 and PERIOD2, two shortened circadian rhythms have been observed mainly because of faster translation of PERIOD1 and PERIOD2, two rhythmic miRNAs that control the expression of pacemaker genes (Zhang et al., 2010a; Kadener et al., 2009). In addition, miR-279 affects the amplitude of circadian behavioral rhythms (Luo and Sehgal, 2012), whereas ban-tam and let-7 control the expression of pacemaker genes (Kadener et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2014). Here, we reveal that miR-124 specifically modulates the phase of circadian locomotor behavior without affecting the circadian pacemaker of the brain neurons that control this complex behavior. In addition, we show that miR-124 affects morning activity and acute behavioral response to light.

Materials and Methods

Fly stocks. Flies were raised on standard cornmeal/agar medium at 25°C under light/dark (LD) cycles. The following strains were used: w1118, miR-124KO/CyO (Sun et al., 2012), miR-124KO, and genomic rescue 3N16/CyO (Sun et al., 2012). The deficiency stocks BL7836 and BL7837 were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila stock center.

Behavior experiments and analysis. Adult male flies (2–5 d old) were used to test locomotor activity rhythms. Flies were entrained under LD for 3 d and released into constant darkness (DD) for at least 5 d at 25°C. For temperature cycles (TCs), flies were entrained to 12:12 h 29°C:20°C thermocycle for 3 d in the dark and released at constant 25°C for at least 5 d. Locomotor activity was recorded with Drosophila activity monitors (Tr niketics) in Percival I36-LL incubators. FaasX software was used to analyze behavioral data (Grima et al., 2002). For actograms, a signal-processing toolbox implemented in MATLAB was used (Levine et al., 2002). Three days of activity in LD were used to generate average activity bar graphs; 5 d of activity in DD were used to analyze phase.

Electroretinogram recordings. Electroretinograms (ERGs) were performed as described previously (Ni et al., 2008). Flies were immobilized with tapes. Glass recording and reference microelectrodes filled with Ringer’s solution containing the following (in mM): 130 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 CaCl2, 2 MgCl2, 36 sucrose, and 5 HEPES, pH 7.3, were inserted into small drops of electrode cream applied on the compound eye surface and the thorax, respectively. Light was provided by a 100 W halogen lamp and was delivered to the compound eye by fiber optics. Signals were amplified by a Warner IE210 intracellular electrometer, sampled, and analyzed using pCLAMP software. Five-second orange light pulses (1800 lux) were used to stimulate the eye after adapting the fly in the dark for 1 min.

Whole-mount immunohistochemistry and quantification. Whole-mount immunohistochemistry was done as described previously (Lamba et al., 2014). For PDF stainings, flies were entrained to LD for 3 d and dissected at Zeitgeber time (ZT) 1 or 13. For PER stainings, flies were entrained to LD for 3 d and then released in DD. Brains were dissected on the second day of DD at six time points. Rabbit anti-PER (1:1500) and mouse anti-PDF (1:400) antibodies were used. All samples were imaged with a Zeiss LSM5 Pascal confocal microscope with a constant laser setting for each time point. ImageJ software was used for PER quantification. The average signal of three background areas were subtracted from signal intensity in each circadian neurons. At least five brains for each time point were used for quantification.

Results

Loss of miR-124 disrupts acute response to light in the morning

Under an LD cycle, wild-type flies increase their activity before the lights-on (morning anticipation or morning peak) and before the lights-off transition (evening anticipation or evening peak) (Fig. 1A). Wild-type flies also show a sharp increase in activity at the lights-on and lights-off transition, which is called a startle response. These startle responses are direct reactions to environmental changes because they are independent of the circadian clock and happen even in otherwise arrhythmic flies (per0 for example). However, the timing of morning and evening anticipation is under circadian clock control. The morning peak is driven by the PDG-positive small ventral lateral neurons (sLNs), whereas the evening peak is driven by a subset of dorsal lateral neurons (LNs) and a PDF-negative sLNV (Grima et al., 2004; Stoleru et al., 2004). Dorsal neurons (DN1s) appear to work downstream of the sLNs (Zhang et al., 2010a; Cavanaugh et al., 2014).

Because miR-124 is a highly conserved miRNA with specific expression in the CNS (Sun et al., 2012; Weng and Cohen, 2012), we decided to test whether it could influence circadian or diurnal behavior. miR-124KO flies showed a striking behavioral defect under an LD cycle: morning anticipation and the startle response to lights-on were severely blunted (Fig. 1A, B). However, an evening peak was clearly present under LD, albeit with reduced amplitude, suggesting that the molecular pacemaker is intact at least in the evening oscillators (Fig. 1B). To verify that this evening anticipatory behavior was indeed controlled by the circadian clock and was not merely a direct response to environmental input, we combined miR-124KO with the short period per5 mutation (Konopka and Benzer, 1971). The phase of the evening peak was advanced in per5; miR-124KO double-mutant flies, as in per5 flies. This clearly demonstrates that the evening peak observed in miR-124KO flies is under circadian control (Fig. 1D).

To determine whether the phenotypes observed in LD are caused by loss of miR-124, we first crossed miR-124KO flies to a deficiency line carrying a chromosome lacking the miR-124 genomic region and tested heterozygous miR-124KO/Df flies (Fig. 1A, B). These flies showed similar behavioral profiles as miR-124KO homozygous flies. The acute response to lights-on
was absent. The amplitude of the morning peak of activity was reduced. We noted that the phase of the residual morning peak seemed delayed compared with wild-type. We then tested miR-124 KO homozygous flies rescued with a genomic construct containing miR-124 (Sun et al., 2012; Fig. 1A, B). The morning startle response was restored, but the amplitude of morning anticipation showed little improvement. This is probably because of a general lower level of activity in miR-124 KO homozygous flies carrying or not carrying the rescue transgene. miR-124 KO/Df flies did not show this lower activity, which thus does not appear to be caused by loss of miR-124. Moreover, the morning peak of activity was restored in miR-124 KO/Df flies rescued with the genomic construct (Fig. 1E). In summary, miR-124 is required for acute response to light in the morning and contributes to the timing and amplitude of morning anticipatory behavior.

**Loss of miR-124 advances circadian phase in constant darkness**

Under DD conditions, the morning and evening peaks of activity persist in wild-type flies with a phase determined by the previous LD (or temperature) cycle, but the amplitude of the morning peak tends to decrease over time. miR-124 KO flies were rhythmic in DD with a completely normal period of 24.1 h. To our surprise, however, the phase of circadian behavior was dramatically different in miR-124 KO flies compared with wild-type flies. A single bout of activity was observed with a peak near subjective midday (Fig. 1C). This peak likely corresponds to a 4.5 h advanced evening peak of activity based on its sustained large amplitude and the fact that very little morning activity was detected under LD conditions in miR-124 KO. However, we cannot exclude a small contribution from morning neurons. A similar phenotype was observed in miR-124 KO/Df flies. Both (subjective) morning and evening activity were restored with the genomic construct, with phases close to those observed in wild-type flies. Therefore, miR-124 plays an important role in the control of morning activity and determines the phase of evening activity under constant conditions.

**Loss of miR-124 advances circadian phase under and after temperature cycles**

Although the phase of evening activity was advanced in constant darkness, it was normal under the LD cycle (Fig. 1). Therefore, light input can correct this phase defect of miR-124 KO flies. We therefore wondered whether temperature, another critical input to the clock, could do the same. We recorded the circadian behavior of miR-124 KO flies under and after exposure to a 29°C/20°C TC. To our surprise, unlike LD, TC could not correct circadian phase. miR-124 KO flies showed advanced evening phase under TC and after release to constant temperature (Fig. 2). Therefore, the phase defect is corrected specifically by light.
Visual photoreception and the molecular pacemaker of circadian neurons are functional in miR-124KO flies

Because the startle response to the lights-on transition is blunted, we wondered whether visual photoreception is affected in miR-124KO flies. We therefore recorded the light response of visual photoreceptors to light with an ERG. The ERG of wild-type flies shows quick transients representing hyperpolarization and repolarization of laminar neurons postsynaptic to photoreceptors, as well as a sustained depolarization corresponding to the activation of the photoreceptor cells. No ERG defect was found in miR-124KO flies, indicating that the phototransduction cascade and synaptic transmission between photoreceptors and postsynaptic neurons in the eyes are normal (Fig. 3A). Therefore, the defect in light response in the morning is downstream of or unrelated to vision.

Next, we tested whether the advance in circadian behavioral phase could be caused by an abnormal phasing of the molecular pacemaker in the sLNvs, LNdS, or DN1s, the three groups of circadian neurons known to control locomotor behavior. However, the amplitude and phase of PER oscillations were essentially normal (Fig. 3B,C). Therefore, miR-124 regulates circadian behavior phase by modulating circadian output mechanisms.

Mild developmental abnormalities in the PDF neural network of miR-124KO flies

We also wondered whether the development of pacemaker neurons controlling circadian behavior might be defective in miR-124KO flies. miR-124 has been reported to have a mild effect on neural development, especially bouton numbers at neuromuscular junctions (Sun et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). Therefore, we looked at the anatomy of PDF-positive neurons (Fig. 4). Indeed, PDF-positive sLNvs use PDF as a crucial neuropeptide for synchronizing other circadian neurons and to control morning activity (Renn et al., 1999). In all miR-124KO brains, the expected set of LNvs with their projections were present: dorsal sLNv projection terminating in the dorsal protocerebrum and contralateral and optic lobe projections from the large LNvs (lLNvs) were observed (cf. Fig. 4A–C, D–F). However, in a small fraction (22%) of miR-124KO brains, we observed one to two additional LNv projections. These either branched out of the sLNv dorsal projection bundle to terminate more ventrally than normal sLNv projections (Fig. 4G) or appeared to be LNv projections dorsally branching out of the contralateral bundle toward the midline. miR-124 might thus have a minor impact on sLNv and LNv projection pathfinding. We also noticed that the lLNv cell bodies tended to be positioned more dorsally than in wild-type flies (Fig. 4A, D). Finally, we observed in a majority of miR-124KO brains (68%) the persistence of projections from the tritocerebral PDF (PDF-Tri)-positive neurons (Fig. 4D, G), a noncircadian group of neurons that in wild-type flies is eliminated after eclosion through programmed apoptosis (Renn et al., 1999; Gatto and Broadie, 2011). Therefore, elimination of these cells is either delayed or defective in miR-124KO flies. As discussed below, the miR-124KO anatomical defects observed in the PDF network are unlikely to account for the behavioral phenotypes.

Discussion

We demonstrate here that miR-124 is crucial for regulating the phase of circadian behavior, as well as acute behavioral response to light. Unexpectedly, although circadian phase is advanced by up to 5 h, the circadian pacemaker is unaffected. Its period is still ~24 h. Most mutants with a phase defect have altered circadian period. For example, the perS phase is considerably advanced under LD conditions, but this reflects the fast pace of the circadian pacemaker. Notable exceptions are mutants that affect per thermosensitive splicing, which helps flies adapt the phase of their evening peak to different ambient temperatures ( Majercak et al., 1999). However, because PER oscillations are not affected at all in pacemaker neurons, it is highly unlikely that miR-124 regulates PER splicing. miR-124 thus works downstream of the circadian pacemaker to determine the circadian phase of locomotor behavior. It is therefore becoming increasingly clear that miRNAs play critical functions in the control of various circadian outputs. Indeed, miRNAs have also been implicated in the modulation of behavioral output amplitude (Luo and Sehgal, 2012), PDF signaling (Zhang and Emery, 2013), timing of feeding, and the immune response (Vodala et al., 2012). Interestingly, the latter rhythmic function was phase shifted in miR-959–miR964 cluster mutants, but whether this shift was caused by alterations
of circadian pacemaker function or output pathways in relevant tissues is not yet known.

The task now is to define in which tissues miR-124 functions and which mRNAs it regulates. For the latter question, one can turn to target prediction. There are over 100 genes predicted by targetscan. In this list, a few targets are known to regulate circadian behavioral rhythms, including Mef2 and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP1). Mef2 overexpression has been shown to lengthen circadian period, cause complex rhythms, and affect PER oscillations (Blanchard et al., 2010). The fact that we did not see such phenotypes makes it unlikely that miR-124 affected circadian behavior through Mef2. MMP1 has been shown to regulate circadian rhythms by affecting PDF levels at the dorsal terminals of sLNv neurons (Depetris-Chauvin et al., 2014). Acute overexpression of MMP1 during adulthood decreased PDF levels and the rhythmicity of flies in constant darkness. Again, these phenotypes do not fit those observed with miR-124KO. Several positive components of BMP signaling pathway are targeted by miR-124 (Sun et al., 2012). However, constitutive activation of BMP pathway in circadian neurons caused a significant long period (Beckwith et
al., 2013), whereas loss of miR-124 had no effect on period. Identifying relevant miR-124 targets is thus a priority and will require either genetic screening or gene expression profiling in relevant neurons.

This brings us to the important question of the site of miR-124 action. First, could the mild anatomical defects that we observed in PDF neurons account for the behavioral phenotypes? We cannot exclude this possibility, but believe it to be unlikely. The lLNv defects were very subtle, with mostly a tendency to have more dorsally located cell bodies. In addition, the lLNv do not control circadian behavior in DD nor are they required for morning activity and lights-on startle responses (Renn et al., 1999; Grima et al., 2004; Stoleru et al., 2004; Stoleru et al., 2005; Cusumano et al., 2009). Abnormalities in sLNv or ILNv projections were too rare to account for the behavioral phenotypes that we observed. Finally, PDF-Tri cells, when preserved in adults through inhibition of apoptosis, have no impact on circadian behavior in LD or DD (Renn et al., 1999). Therefore, the persistence of these cells in miR-124KO flies again cannot explain the behavioral phenotypes. Finally, because light can correct the phase of miR-124KO flies again cannot explain the behavioral phenotypes. Therefore, the presence of these cells in miR-124KO flies again cannot explain the behavioral phenotypes. Finally, because light can correct the phase of miR-124KO flies in DD, a developmental defect appears unlikely to cause this phenotype. Interestingly, both the additional sLNv projections and the persistence of PDF-Tri cells are phenotypes observed in fmrl mutant flies (Gatto and Broadie, 2011). Moreover, FMR1 regulates miR-124 levels (Xu et al., 2008). Combined with these previous studies, our current results thus suggest that the anatomical phenotypes observed in fmrl mutants are at least in part caused by reduction in miR-124 expression.

To map the anatomical requirement of miR-124 for circadian behavior, we attempted to use a rescue approach with the GAL4/UAS system, but our efforts were unsuccessful, in part because overexpression caused unwanted phenotypes (including lethality). However, output from DNAIs or neurons downstream of these circadian neurons are potential candidate sites for miR-124 action. Indeed, the DNAI (ornet downstream of the PDF-positive sLNv and are critical for circadian rhythms in DD, as well as for morning activity and the lights-on startle response under LD (Zhang et al., 2010a; Cavanaugh et al., 2014). Interestingly, their output is modulated by light (Zhang et al., 2010b) and light was able to correct the phase defect of miR-124KO flies. TCS, however were, not able to do so, showing that a specific light input pathway reaches the neural circuit affected by miR-124 action. Future work using more refined approaches to disrupt miR-124 function or to restore it in a mutant background should identify this circuit and thus ultimately help to resolve the critical question of how circadian pacemaker and neural output are coupled.
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