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Prenatal Oral Health Education in U.S. Obstetrics and Gynecology Residencies and Dental Schools: Results of a National Survey

Megan Weeks, BS, MS-IV  Judith Savageau, MPH  Hugh Silk, MD, MPH
Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, University of Massachusetts Medical School

Background:
- Poor oral health, particularly periodontal disease, has been linked to adverse pregnancy outcomes such as preterm birth and low birth weight.
- Vertical transmission of caries-causing bacteria in the mother increases the risk of childhood caries.
- No national guidelines exist; however, 2 state consensus documents outlining prenatal oral health guidelines for health care professionals do exist (NY, 2006; and CA, 2010).
- Prenatal oral health is being under-addressed in clinical practice: only 50% of women who report a dental problem in pregnancy receive oral health care.
- Both obstetricians and dentists feel oral health is important in pregnancy, yet many are uncomfortable referring or treating during pregnancy.

Objective:
- To assess the current status of prenatal oral health training including number of hours and topics covered, barriers to training, and potential areas in which we might focus future efforts to increase prenatal oral health care delivery to patients.

Methods:
- Online and hard copy surveys were sent to 240 OB/Gyn residency program directors and 60 dental school deans.
- Eight-question survey including demographics, details of present oral health curriculum, awareness of resources, and barriers to inclusion of more prenatal oral health topics.

Results:
- 97 OB/Gyn programs (40.4%) and 31 dental schools (52.5%) responded.
- 55% of OB/Gyn responders were university-based, though all program types were represented; all dental school responders were university-affiliated; all geographic regions in the U.S. were represented.
- 94% of dental schools provide prenatal oral health education; 61% provide at least 3 hours.
- 39% of OB/Gyn residencies provide prenatal oral health education, most only 1-2 hours.
- 65% of dental schools and 45% of OB/Gyn residencies are aware of current prenatal oral health guidelines.
- Residencies that exposed trainees to these oral health guidelines were 3 times more likely to have oral health training.
- Barriers to prenatal oral health education included lack of faculty expertise for OB/Gyn residencies, too few pregnant patients for dental schools, and competing clinical priorities for the trainees’ time and effort for both specialties.
- 23% and 42% of residencies and schools, respectively, agreed accreditation standards for prenatal oral health should be adopted; however, the majority of both specialties agreed they would add more prenatal oral health education if the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) issued a formal policy.

Conclusions and Future Directions:
- Less than half of obstetrics and gynecology residencies in our survey include prenatal oral health in their curriculum; the majority of dental schools include at least 3 hours.
- Future efforts should focus on formal policy from ACOG, distribution of evidence-based guidelines to educators, and increased exposure of dental students to prenatal patients.
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