

May 22nd, 4:30 PM - 6:00 PM

## Acute Care Surgery Patterns in the Current Era: Results of a Qualitative Study

Heena Santry

*University of Massachusetts Medical School*

Patricia L. Pringle

*University of Massachusetts Medical School*

Timothy A. Emhoff

*University of Massachusetts Medical School*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/cts\\_retreat](http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/cts_retreat)



Part of the [Community Health and Preventive Medicine Commons](#), [Health Services Research Commons](#), and the [Surgery Commons](#)

---

Santry, Heena; Pringle, Patricia L.; Emhoff, Timothy A.; Velmahos, George C.; Britt, L. D.; Hargraves, Lee; and Kiefe, Catarina I., "Acute Care Surgery Patterns in the Current Era: Results of a Qualitative Study" (2012). *UMass Center for Clinical and Translational Science Research Retreat*. 56.

[http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/cts\\_retreat/2012/posters/56](http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/cts_retreat/2012/posters/56)

This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in UMass Center for Clinical and Translational Science Research Retreat by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact [Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu](mailto:Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu).

---

**Presenter Information**

Heena Santry, Patricia L. Pringle, Timothy A. Emhoff, George C. Velmahos, L. D. Britt, Lee Hargraves, and Catarina I. Kiefe

**Creative Commons License**

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

## ACUTE CARE SURGERY PATTERNS IN THE CURRENT ERA: RESULTS OF A QUALITATIVE STUDY

Heena P. Santry, MD MS<sup>1</sup>; Patricia L. Pringle, BA<sup>2</sup>; Timothy A. Emhoff<sup>1</sup>, MD; George C. Velmahos, MD PhD MEd<sup>3</sup>; L.D. Britt, MD<sup>4</sup>; J. Lee Hargraves, PhD<sup>5</sup>; Catarina I. Kiefe, PhD MD<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery, University of Massachusetts Medical School and UMassMemorial Hospital;

<sup>2</sup>University of Massachusetts Medical School; <sup>3</sup>Department of Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital;

<sup>4</sup>Department of Surgery, Eastern Virginia Medical School; <sup>5</sup>Department of Family Practice, University of Massachusetts Medical School; <sup>6</sup>Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, University of Massachusetts Medical School

Contact: [SantryH@umassmemorial.org](mailto:SantryH@umassmemorial.org)

**Introduction:** Since Acute Care Surgery (ACS) was first conceptualized as a specialty a decade ago, ACS teams have been widely adopted. Little is known about the structure and function of these teams.

**Methods:** We conducted 18 open-ended interviews with ACS leaders (1 interview/center representing geographic [New England, Northeast, Mid-Atlantic, South, West, Midwest] and practice [Public/Charity, Community, University] variations). Two independent reviewers analyzed transcribed interviews using an inductive approach to determine major themes in practice variation (NVivo qualitative analysis software).

**Results:** All respondents described ACS as a specialty treating "time sensitive surgical disease" including trauma, emergency general surgery (EGS), and surgical critical care (SCC). 11/18 combined trauma and EGS into a single clinical team; 6/18 included elective general surgery. Emergency orthopedics, neurosurgery, and triage for all surgical services were rare (1/18 each). 11/18 had blocked OR time. All had a core group of trauma and SCC surgeons; 8/18 shared EGS due to volume, manpower, or competition for EGS call. Many (12/18) had formal morning signout rounds; few (2/18) had prospective EGS data registries. Streamlined access to EGS, evidence-based EGS protocols, and improved communication were considered strengths of ACS. ACS was described as the "last great surgical service" reinvigorated to provide "timely," cost-effective EGS by experts in "resuscitation and critical care" and to attract "young, talented, eager surgeons" to trauma and SCC; however, there was concern that it might become the "waste basket for everything that happens at inconvenient times."

**Conclusion:** Despite rapid adoption of ACS, its implementation varies widely. Standardization of scope of practice, continuity of care, and registry development may improve EGS outcomes and allow the specialty to thrive.