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To evade host immune mechanisms, many bacteria secrete immunomodulatory enzymes. *Streptococcus pyogenes*, one of the most common human pathogens, secretes a large endoglycosidase, EndoS, which removes carbohydrates in a highly specific manner from IgG antibodies. This modification renders antibodies incapable of eliciting host effector functions through either complement or Fcγ receptors, providing the bacteria with a survival advantage. On account of this antibody-specific modifying activity, EndoS is being developed as a promising injectable therapeutic for autoimmune diseases that rely on autoantibodies. Additionally, EndoS is a key enzyme used in the chemoenzymatic synthesis of homogenously glycosylated antibodies with tailored Fcγ receptor-mediated effector functions. Despite the tremendous utility of this enzyme, the molecular basis of EndoS specificity for, and processing of, IgG antibodies has remained poorly understood. Here, we report the X-ray crystal structure of EndoS and provide a model of its encounter complex with its substrate, the IgG1 Fc domain. We show that EndoS is composed of five distinct protein domains, including glycosidase, leucine-rich repeat, hybrid Ig, carbohydrate binding module, and three-helix bundle domains, arranged in a distinctive V-shaped conformation. Our data suggest that the substrate engages antibodies with high specificity. Our data provide a framework from which novel endoglycosidases could be engineered for additional clinical and biotechnological applications.

*S. pyogenes* secretes a 108-kDa endoglycosidase, EndoS, that specifically hydrolyzes core glycans on human IgG antibodies (8). EndoS has enzymatic activity on natively folded IgG, but not on denatured IgG (9). This activity contributes to increased survival of *S. pyogenes* in human blood ex vivo, on account of reduced IgG binding to Fcγ receptors and impaired complement pathway activation (10). Injection of EndoS into mice results in the efficient removal of IgG-associated carbohydrate, with a murine IgG subclass specificity of IgG1 = IgG2b > IgG2a (11). EndoS releases the glycan linked to residue Asn297 of the human Fc region CH2 domain, which affects the local structure of IgG (12, 13) and its ability to bind complement factor C1q (14) and Fcγ receptors (15). These binding events regulate two key effector functions induced by IgG antibodies.

The same properties of EndoS that benefit the bacteria can be leveraged for the treatment of autoimmune diseases. When used as an in vivo modulator of IgG glycosylation and effector function activity, EndoS has successfully treated numerous autoimmune conditions in animal models (11, 16–22). Because EndoS is specific for IgG bearing complex-type versus high-mannose carbohydrates, it can also potentially be used to enhance the in vivo efficacy of IgG monoclonal antibodies, when produced by human IgG4-secreting hybridomas.

Significance

Because bacteria colonize hostile environments they have evolved immune evasion mechanisms, including the expression of enzymes that specifically modify host immune system proteins. *Streptococcus pyogenes* secretes an enzyme called EndoS that removes carbohydrates specifically from human antibodies, impairing their ability to activate immune defenses. Because of its high substrate specificity, EndoS is also being developed as a treatment for autoimmune diseases and is a key enzyme used in the production of antibodies bearing customized carbohydrates. We have determined the three-dimensional structure of EndoS and present a molecular model depicting how EndoS engages antibodies with high specificity. Our data provide a roadmap for engineering EndoS variants with unique activities for clinical and biotechnological applications.
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recombinantly with high-mannose glycans, by reducing competition for Fe γ receptor binding from serum antibodies (23).

Endoglycosidases, including EndoS, have also been used extensively for in vitro glycan remodeling to modulate the properties of glycoproteins (24). Natural glycoproteins exist as mixtures of glycoforms, of which only one or a few typically exhibit maximal activity. However, individual glycoforms are difficult to purify (25) and recombinant expression of glycoproteins yields heterogeneous glycoforms, even when glycosferase mutant cell lines are used (26, 27). To circumvent these limitations on glycoprotein homogeneity, recombinant protein expression combined with chemoenzymatic glycan remodeling (28) has been developed. IgG monoclonal antibodies are used extensively as therapeutics and their activities, as mediated by effector functions, depend on the chemistry of their core glycans. EndoS deglycosylates antibody glycoforms that are refractory to processing by other endoglycosidases (29) and glycosynthase mutants of EndoS efficiently transfer predefined N-glycans to intact IgG (30). Together, these catalytic properties of EndoS enzymes allow for customization of IgG glycoforms that can enhance the therapeutic capacities of monoclonal antibodies.

Here, we report the X-ray crystal structure of EndoS and a model of its encounter complex with IgG1 Fe. These findings reveal the structural determinants of EndoS specificity for IgG and its role in providing IgG carbohydrates its enzymatic activity, and suggest ways in which novel endoglycosidases could be engineered for clinical and biotechnological applications.

Results

Oligomerization and Hydrolytic Activity of EndoS. Using analytical size exclusion chromatography, we determined the oligomerization state of EndoS<sub>WT</sub>(37–995), missing the N-terminal signal peptide (residues 1–36) but containing a putative coiled coil (residues 37–97), as ∼20:80 dimer:monomer, whereas EndoS<sub>WT</sub>(98–995) is entirely monomeric in solution (SI Appendix; Fig. S1A). We tested the Fe<sub>γ</sub> subunit hydrolytic activity of these EndoS<sub>WT</sub> proteins by SDS/PAGE analysis using the IgG1 monoclonal antibody Rituximab as a substrate. For EndoS<sub>WT</sub>(37–995), both dimer and monomer fractions exhibited approximately the same hydrolytic activity, whereas the relative activity of EndoS<sub>WT</sub>(98–995) was reduced at least 50-fold (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). Thus, whereas the truncated enzyme can process glycosylated IgG1 completely, the putative coiled coil increases the rate of hydrolysis.

Overall Structure of EndoS. We crystallized SeMet-EndoS<sub>WT</sub>(98–995), a glycosynthetic mutant, and determined its structure at 3.2-Å resolution by multiwavelength anomalous dispersion (MAD). We also crystallized native EndoS<sub>WT</sub>(98–995) and EndoS<sub>WT</sub>(995–995) and solved their structures by molecular replacement at 1.9- and 2.6-Å resolution, respectively, using the partially refined MAD-phased EndoS<sub>WT</sub>(98–995) structure as a search model (SI Appendix, Table S1). With no significant differences in the two structures, we refer to the higher resolution structure throughout the manuscript. The overall morphology of EndoS is that of a letter “V” with a small extension from one end (Fig. 1A). The V shape of the protein measures ∼130 Å across and ∼83 Å high, with a tapered cleft measuring ∼42 Å across its opening. EndoS is composed of five distinct protein domains including, from N to C terminus: (i) an endoglycosidase enzymatic domain, residues 98–445; (ii) a leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain, residues 446–631; (iii) a hybrid Ig domain, residues 632–764; (iv) a carbohydrate-binding module (CBM), residues 765–923; and (v) a three-helix bundle (3H) domain, residues 924–995 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). The EndoS structure is not fully globular but, instead, has individual domains arranged akin to beads on a string. Using small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), we confirmed that the observed V shape of the crystallized EndoS was maintained in solution (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). The SAXS radial distribution, or p(r), function was bimodal, consistent with the overall V shape of the crystal structure. The maximal diameter is 149 ± 7 Å with two peaks centered ∼40 Å apart, values highly similar to the dimensions of crystallized EndoS. Using CRYSO, we observed a nearly perfect fit of the SAXS data to the solution scattering profile calculated from the crystal structure. We also generated ab initio models of the protein envelope, all of which exhibited an open shape, and we fit the EndoS crystal structure into the top-ranked model with a correlation coefficient of 0.87.

The Glycosidase Domain Exhibits a Common Fold with Unique Structural Features. The EndoS glycosidase domain adopts the (β/α)₈ barrel conformation typical of bacterial endoglycosidases. Structurally, it is most similar (32) to the analogous domain of EndoF<sub>S</sub> (PDB ID code 1E0M; Dali Server Z score = 21.9; Fig. 1B). EndoS cleaves specifically biantennary complex oligosaccharides (29), whereas EndoF<sub>S</sub> is specific for both biantennary and triantennary complex oligosaccharides (6); neither have activity on high mannosse oligosaccharides. The basic structure of the (β/α)₈ barrel fold and the positions of the active site residues are nearly identical in these two enzymes (SI Appendix, Fig. S4A). The loops surrounding the active site barrel opening, however, differ substantially between EndoS and EndoF<sub>S</sub>, resulting in distinct molecular surfaces that could accommodate divergent glycoprotein substrates (Fig. 2A). In EndoS, tryptophan residues are important for both substrate specificity and enzymatic activity (33), several of which are exposed on the surface surrounding the active site barrel opening (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B), including: Trp314 and Trp358, both of which line the groove that likely accommodates the protein portion of the substrate, and Trp121, Trp153, and Trp161, which line the two grooves in which the oligosaccharide chains in the biantennary complex carbohydrate are likely positioned during hydrolysis.

The LRR Domain Extends Additional Domains Away from the Catalytic Site. The LRR domain of EndoS extends from the bottom of the glycosidase domain, opposite the (β/α)₈ barrel opening. This domain forms the base of the V-shape structure, keeping the remaining C-terminal domains from folding back onto the glycosidase domain. The LRR domain contains three typical LRR motifs followed by two modified LRR motifs, all of which are capped by several short α-helices. It is structurally most similar to interlins, such as InternalinJ (PDB ID code 3B2S;
of surface area between these C-terminal inactive versions of the enzyme, of buried surface area with each domain, respectively. Van der Waals interactions, the two terminal oxygen atoms of Asp600 make hydrogen bonds to side-chain oxygen atoms from Thr670, Thr674, and Tyr685 (Fig. 2C).

**EndoS Contains a Putative Carbohydrate-Binding Module.** C-terminal to the hybrid Ig domain is a domain that exhibits a high degree of structural homology to noncatalytic CBMs from *Clostridium thermocellum*, including C/CCBM62 (PDB ID code 2YFU; Z score = 7.4; Fig. 1E), which binds galactose-containing polysaccharides. Complex carbohydrates, such as those attached to IgG1 Fc and processed by EndoS, typically contain galactose molecules (12). Like CCBM62, the EndoS CBM coordinates a single Ca\(^{2+}\) ion by using main-chain oxygen atoms of Lys786, Gly790, Gln791, and Pro915, and a side-chain oxygen atom from Glu916. Neither the oligomerization state nor the hydrolytic activity of EndoS changed in the presence of EDTA. The sole substrate for EndoS is the glycosylated Fe region of IgG (8), an obligate homodimer with Asn-linked glycans attached to each of the two monomer subunits. In the EndoS structure, the two domains, glycosidase and CBM, that most likely bind IgG glycans are located at opposite ends of the V-shaped molecule (Fig. L1).

**The C-Terminal Domain Is a Three-Helix Bundle Pointing Away from All Other Domains.** The final EndoS protein domain, 3H, is a three-helix bundle motif most structurally similar to the substrate binding domain of the Hsp70 chaperone DnaK (PDB ID code 4JNE; Z score = 8.6; Fig. 1E). The 3H domain extends away from the opening of the V-shaped structure (Fig. L1). However, this domain is not packed extensively against its neighboring EndoS domains, the CBM and hybrid Ig domains, exhibiting 841 and 363 Å\(^2\) of buried surface area with each domain, respectively, suggesting that it could potentially rotate and translate in a rigid-body fashion when substrate is bound. EndoS Requires Multiple Domains for Substrate Specificity. We expressed catalytically inactive versions of the enzyme, EndoS\(_{E235Q}(98–995)\), which includes all five domains, EndoS\(_{446–995}\), which contains only domains C-terminal to the glycosidase domain, and EndoS\(_{E235Q}(98–764)\), which excludes the CBM and 3H domains. We measured their binding affinities to immobilized IgG1 Fc by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). EndoS\(_{E235Q}(98–995)\) bound Fc with an affinity (K\(_D\)) of 22 μM, ~50-fold lower than the oligomerization-dependent avidity of EndoS\(_{P223SQ}(37–995)\) (34), essentially equivalent to its relative reduction in hydrolytic activity (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). Neither EndoS\(_{446–995}\) nor EndoS\(_{E235Q}(98–764)\) exhibited detectable binding to IgG1 Fc. Thus, there exist at least two distinct domains important for the EndoS specificity for IgG1 Fc: (i) between residues 98 and 446, corresponding to the glycosidase domain alone; and (ii) and between residues 766 and 995, inclusive of the CBM and 3H domains.

**A Model of the EndoS/IgG1 Fc Encounter Complex.** We modeled the EndoS/IgG1 Fc encounter complex by docking an IgG1 Fc homodimer structure (PDB ID code 4BYH) onto the EndoS\(_{E235Q}(98–995)\) crystal structure, modified by adding any missing loop residues using ZDOCK 3.0.2 (35). Postprocessing of the docking output was performed in two stages. First, we analyzed the top 2,000 ZDOCK predictions for proximity of the two Asn297\(_{IgG1}\) Fc residues to EndoS glycosidase and CBM domain residues likely involved in glycan binding based on homology to other glycosidase and CBM structures (e.g., residues Leu213\(_{EndoF}\), Gln235\(_{EndoS}\), Glu235\(_{EndoL}\), and Asp827\(_{EndoS}\), Arg830\(_{EndoF}\), Asn836\(_{EndoF}\), respectively). One of the top-ranked ZDOCK models (ZD3, ranked number 3; SI Appendix, Fig. S6A) featured the LRR domain and the Ig subdomain, the interface which consists primarily of a loop from the former (residues 598–603) positioned into a deep cleft on the surface of the latter (Fig. 2C), burying 685 Å\(^2\) of the 1,562 Å\(^2\) of surface area between these two domains. Although most of this interface is comprised of van der Waals interactions, the LRR domain loop effectively extends this groove from the active site and, thus, could potentially play a role in glycoprotein engagement of residues from the leucine-rich repeat (green) and the inserted leucine-rich repeat domain (orange). Van der Waals interactions are shown as black dashed lines; hydrogen bond as a dashed red line. (C) Intramolecular engagement of residues from the leucine-rich repeat (green) and the inserted hybrid Ig subdomain (magenta). (C, Left) Interaction showing the entire hybrid Ig subdomain. (C, Right) Close-up view of contacts made by leucine-rich repeat residue Asp600 (green) with hybrid Ig subdomain residues. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed red lines.

Z score = 9.5; Fig. 1C). Despite its limited number of repeat motifs, the EndoS LRR adopts the typical curvature of longer LRR domains.

**A Unique LRR Domain Loop Packs Against the Glycosidase Domain.** Within the third LRR motif, a long loop (residues 528–554) extends away from the LRR domain and packs against the side of the glycosidase domain. Residues 534–541 bury 1,112 Å\(^2\) of surface area between this loop and the glycosidase domain, approximately one-half of the total 2,173 Å\(^2\) of buried surface area between the glycosidase and LRR domains. Known LRR motifs do not typically include such loop insertions. Tyr541, at the tip of the LRR loop, forms a hydrogen bond with Gln308 and several van der Waals interactions with Trp314 of the glycosidase domain (Fig. 2B). This latter residue, as described above, forms the edge of the surface groove on the top of the glycosidase domain that leads to the (β\(_9\)α\(_8\)) barrel opening and the active site. The LRR domain loop effectively extends this groove from the active site and, thus, could potentially play a role in glycoprotein substrate specificity and/or the stability of the protein.

**EndoS Exhibits a Topologically Unique Hybrid Ig Domain.** Extending from the LRR domain at the base of the V-shaped EndoS structure is a hybrid Ig domain. This domain is composed of two subdomains that are topologically entwined—the smaller of the two subdomains is inserted within the loop that connects the second and third β-strands of the larger subdomain, which is a typical Ig domain structurally similar to the interleukin-4 receptor (PDB ID code 1IAR; Z score = 5.2; Fig. 1D) and other Ig proteins, including antibodies. The smaller subdomain, conversely, is structurally unique compared with all previously determined protein structures. It acts as a molecular spacer between
the two Asn297 IgG1 Fc residues with relatively small distances to both of these sets of EndoS CBM residues (<10 Å) and glycosidase domain residues (<20 Å). Overall, the vast majority of the initial-stage docking predictions featured Asn297 IgG1 Fc residues positioned near the EndoS CBM (Fig. 3A). Second, we performed structural refinement of this model, generating an additional 1,000 refined models. Comparing the refined models with the original model from ZDOCK, we observed an energy funnel-like landscape (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B). In this final model of the EndoS/IgG1 Fc encounter complex (Fig. 3B), the IgG1 Fc is bound predominantly through residues from its CH2 domains and primarily to the EndoS CBM in an orientation in which the plane of the V shape of EndoS is nearly perpendicular to the plane that runs through the two monomer subunits of the Fc homodimer. The EndoS glycosidase domain loop comprised of residues 312–323, unique among all known endoglycosidase structures, bisects the two monomer subunits of the Fc homodimer (Fig. 3C). Positioned as such, there is direct access to the Asn297-linked glycans for the EndoS active site and adequate space between the Fc monomer N-termini and the LRR domain for the remainder of the IgG1 antibody, the Fab domains, to exit. Computational alanine scanning mutagenesis identified CBM domain residues Trp603EndoS, Arg908EndoS, and Glu833EndoS as hot spots for binding.

Valuating the Encounter Complex Model. Our model of the EndoS/IgG1 Fc encounter complex indicated several EndoS loops that likely interact with the glycoprotein substrate, including the aforementioned glycosidase domain loop (residues 312–323) and loops from the hybrid Ig (residues 742–750) and CBM (residues 793–797) domains (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C). To determine the energetic contributions to binding for each of these loops, and the LRR domain loop (residues 528–554), we produced versions of EndoSΔE235Q with each loop removed and measured their binding affinities to IgG1 Fc by SPR, all of which exhibited reduced affinities for Fc relative to EndoSΔE235Q (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). We observed the largest reduction in binding affinity, $K_D = 489 \mu M$ (~20-fold), for EndoSΔE235QΔ314–323, EndoSΔE235QΔ528–550 bound Fc with a $K_D$ of 156 $\mu M$ (~10-fold reduction). EndoSΔE235QΔ528–554 and EndoSΔE235QΔ793–797 bound Fc with $K_D$ values of 24 and 30 $\mu M$, respectively (approximately equivalent to EndoSΔE235Q). For EndoSΔE235QΔ528–554, we obtained markedly lower protein expression and observed significantly reduced $R_{\text{max}}$ values for SPR binding isotherms, suggesting that the protein is unstable without this loop. Taken together, these data indicate that loops from the glycosidase and hybrid Ig domains are major determinants of Fc specificity, whereas loops from the LRR domain, which makes no contacts but may be important for the stability of the protein, and the CBM, which makes predominantly glycan interactions, in the encounter complex model are nearly dispensable for Fc binding. We also tested mutants identified by computational alanine scanning mutagenesis as hot spots for binding, including EndoSΔE235QΔW803A, EndoSΔE235QΔR808A, and EndoSΔE235QΔE833A (SI Appendix, Fig. S5), all located in the CBM. EndoSΔE235QΔW803A and EndoSΔE235QΔR808A each exhibited no detectable binding to IgG1 Fc at this concentration. EndoSΔE235QΔR808A exhibited threefold weaker binding than did EndoSΔE235Q. The binding capacities of these EndoS mutants largely correlated with their hydrolytic activities (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). Each mutant that exhibited substantially reduced or no detectable binding also exhibited reduced or no hydrolytic activity, except EndoSΔE235QΔE833A. In particular, the glycosidase domain loop (residues 314–323) and a point mutation in the CBM domain, EndoSΔE235QΔE803A, were absolutely required for hydrolytic activity.

Conformation Changes Required for Enzymatic Activity. According to our modeled EndoS/IgG1 Fc encounter complex, which is transient by definition, there is a clear requirement for conformational changes to carry out the enzymatic reaction. In the encounter complex model, the active site residues are located...
some 15 Å from the bond between the first and second GlcNAc moieties at which enzymatic cleavage occurs. Thus, rotation and translation of the glycosidase domain relative to the likely more fixed position of the IgG1 Fc bond to the CBM must occur for catalysis to take place. Using HingeProt (36), we found several EndoS regions predicted to act as hinges, including: (i) the residues linking the glycosidase and LRR domains; (ii) the N-terminal portion of the LRR domain; and (iii) the juncture between the two subdomains of the hybrid Ig domain. Concerted movements of EndoS domains around these hinge points would result in a narrowing of its V-shape opening, moving the glycosidase domain closer in space to the CBM (SI Appendix, Fig. S7A). Regardless of the structure of the encounter complex and ensuing conformational changes in EndoS, further conformational changes must take place in the IgG substrate structure to allow the glycan to be properly positioned in the active site for cleavage.

Superpositions of IgG1 Fc with the EndoF3–glycan complex by aligning their respective glycans, and of EndoS with the EndoF3–glycan complex by aligning their respective glycosidase domains, indicates that substantial steric clashes would prohibit such a complex (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B). Barring remarkable structural deformation of the EndoS glycosidase domain, protein and/or glycan conformational changes in the antibody would be required for enzymatic activity.

**Human IgG Subclass Specificity.** Because EndoS is known to have distinct specificities for individual murine IgG subclasses (11), we determined whether there existed any comparable human IgG subclass specificity. We found that both EndoS(37–995) and EndoS(98–995) exhibited slightly decreased binding to IgG2, IgG3, and IgG4, and reduced hydrolytic activity with these substrates (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C), compared with IgG1. We also tested the hydrolytic activity of our entire panel of EndoS (98–995) mutants and found that, nearly universally, mutants capable of hydrolyzing IgG1 were also capable of hydrolyzing IgG2, IgG3, and IgG4 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C), and those that could not hydrolyze IgG1 could also not hydrolyze any other IgG subclass. However, each of the hydrolytic EndoS mutants exhibited higher activity on IgG1 relative to IgG2, IgG3, and IgG4. These data indicate that EndoS has a human IgG subclass specificity of $\text{IgG1} > \text{IgG2} > \text{IgG3} = \text{IgG4}$.

**Discussion**

EndoS is an enzyme secreted by *S. pyogenes* that removes carbohydrates highly specifically from human IgG antibodies. Because it is an unliganded enzyme, it can potentially impact human immune responses and bridge the innate and adaptive arms of immunity, the analysis and manipulation of the enzymatic activity of EndoS impacts diverse fields in biomedicine. Clinically, EndoS contributes to the abilities of *S. pyogenes* to evade the human immune response (8, 10, 34); the development of specific inhibitors of EndoS activity could improve clinical outcomes of patients suffering a range of inflammatory conditions. Therapeutically, EndoS is already showing great promise in animal models as a treatment for diverse autoimmune diseases that rely on autoantibodies (11, 16–22); fine-tuning the specificity and activity of EndoS will be an important aspect of its further development as a protein therapeutic for use in humans. Biotechnologically, EndoS is a unique glycoprotein-modifying enzyme with the capacity to both remove glycans from and, as a glycosynthase variant, attach glycans to antibodies (29, 30); expanding the repertoire of homogeneous glycosylated antibodies that can be produced with newly designed EndoS variants will be critical for realizing the full potential of engineered antibodies. Our X-ray crystal structure of EndoS provides a platform for future clinical, therapeutic, and biotechnological progress.

EndoS is comprised of five distinct protein domains that adopt a striking V-shaped structure in which the four domains C-terminal to the glycosidase domain extend from the face opposite that used for glycan binding and catalytic activity. Instead of forming a typical globular protein, the additional domains extend away from the glycosidase domain, resulting in the CBM forming one point of the V shape opposite the point formed by the glycosidase domain. The relative positions of these two domains in EndoS known to engage carbohydrate in other proteins suggests that glycosylated antibodies enter the V shape and are held within the concave surface of the enzyme during processing.

Indeed, our molecular modeling predicts that an encounter complex is formed between EndoS and human IgG1 Fc in which the substrate enters the V and is trapped by the CBM, with EndoS making contacts mostly to residues from the IgG1 CH2 domain, in which the N-linked glycan resides. A notable feature of this complex is that the tip of the glycosidase domain loop (residues 312–323) that extends away from the active site barrel opening bisects the two monomer subunits of the IgG1 Fc homodimer. When we measured the binding affinity of the glycosynthase mutant with this loop removed, we observed an ∼20-fold weaker affinity relative to the same protein with this loop intact and complete abolition of hydrolytic activity. Additional components of the EndoS structure implicated by the model in substrate binding and validated by mutagenesis include a loop from the hybrid Ig domain (residues 742–750) and several hot spot residues from the CBM.

Evident from both our structure of the enzyme in the absence of substrate and our model of the EndoS/IgG1 Fc encounter complex, enzymatic activity would require a number of conformational changes, likely in both the enzyme and the substrate. Accordingly, we found, by computational analysis, several hinge points between EndoS domains that predict concerted movements that effectively squeeze the ends of the V shape together, bringing the glycosidase and CBM domains in closer proximity to one another. In the encounter complex model, in which IgG1 Fc is bound predominantly by the CBM, this movement would result in the substrate approaching the active site. Additional conformational changes likely to accompany transition from the encounter complex to a processing competent complex include movement of the glycosidase loop (residues 312–323) that bisects the two IgG monomers and rotation and further translation of the glycosidase domain toward the bound substrate. Regardless of these movements, conformational changes in the substrate are certainly also required for enzymatic activity. Assuming that the N-linked glycan of IgG must eventually occupy a position similar to that observed for the EndoF3–glycan complex (6), the IgG1 Fc homodimer would have to separate and/or the glycans would have to move outside of the space between the CH2 domains that they normally occupy. Whether such concerted enzyme and substrate structural changes would allow the processing of both glycans subsequent to the formation of a single encounter complex or necessitate a unique encounter complex event for the processing of each of the two glycans remains unclear at this point.

EndoS exhibits high specificity for glycosylated IgG antibodies and has no, or only marginal, hydrolytic activity toward N-glycans in the context of other glycoproteins or glycopeptides. Our studies indicate that several structural features scattered throughout the EndoS sequence are important for this high substrate specificity. First, the glycosidase loop (residues 312–323) extending from the barrel opening that engages IgG1 in our encounter complex model has a significant effect on substrate binding and activity. The nature of this loop suggests that simply swapping out glycosidase domains from other endoglycosidases to engineer EndoS variants that maintain IgG specificity but exhibit diverse glycan specificities may not be achievable without incorporating a similar loop in the engineered enzyme. Second, EndoS domains beyond the glycosidase domain are important for substrate specificity. The importance of these domains has previously been implicated by the deactivation of EndoS by SepH, which releases the glycosidase domain from the remainder of the enzyme (33). That these non-catalytic domains are important for substrate specificity suggests that modifying them might produce EndoS variants with unique substrate specificities, such as those that exhibit distinct human IgG subclass specificity or altered antibody isotype binding.
In summary, we present the X-ray crystal structure of EndoS and a model of the encounter complex formed with IgG1 Fc. Our studies provide a molecular basis for substrate specificity and enzymatic activity and suggest ways in which EndoS variants could be engineered for novel therapeutic and biotechnological purposes.

Materials and Methods
For details, see SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods.

Protein Production, Oligomerization State, and Hydrolytic Activity Analysis. All EndoS proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli and purified as described (30, 37). IgG1 Fc was obtained from papain digestion and chemozyme glycoengineering of Rituximab as described (30). IgG2, IgG3, and IgG4 were purchased (Sigma-Aldrich). Oligomerization state was assessed by size exclusion chromatography in comparison with molecular weight standards. Hydrolytic activity was calculated by measuring densitometric changes in high molecular weight glycosylated IgG antibodies over time by using SDS/PAGE.

Protein Structure Analysis. Crystallization of native EndoS by liquid-liquid diffusion using Crystal Formers (Microlytic) has been described in detail (37). SeMet-EndoS D233Q (98–995) crystals were obtained by microseeding with native crystals in the previously determined crystallization condition. All crystals were flash cooled at 100 K in mother liquor containing 20% ethylene glycol before synchrotron X-ray diffraction data collection. The structure of SeMet-EndoS D233Q (98–995) was solved by MAD at 3.2 Å, whereas those of EndoS D233Q (98–995) and EndoST (98–995) were solved at 1.9 Å and 2.6-Å resolution, respectively, by molecular replacement using the SeMet-EndoS D233Q (98–995) structure as a model. SAXS data were measured for EndoS (98–995) at concentrations of 1, 2, 5, and 10 mg/mL.

Binding Analysis. All SPR experiments were performed by using a Biacore T100 instrument (GE Healthcare) with IgG1 Fc or whole IgG2, IgG3, or IgG4 antibodies immobilized to two flow cell surfaces with one (i.e., negative control) deglycosylated by EndoS pretreatment. Concentration series of all EndoS proteins were injected and affinity constants were calculated by using a general steady-state equilibrium model.

Molecular Modeling. We used ZDOCK 3.0.2 (35) to dock the glycosylated IgG1 Fc (PDB ID code 48YH) to the EndoS D233Q (98–995) structure. We performed computational interface alanine scanning of the refined model to determine putative energetic hot spots by using the “interface” protocol of Rosetta 2.0.2 (38).
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