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Abstract
This study aimed to demonstrate pharmacokinetic (PK) equivalence of a single dose of the proposed adalimumab biosimilar CT-P17 to United States-licensed adalimumab (US-adalimumab) and European Union-approved adalimumab (EU-adalimumab). This double-blind, parallel-group, phase I trial (clinicaltrials.gov NCT03970824) was conducted at 10 hospitals (Republic of Korea), in which healthy subjects (1:1:1) were randomized to receive a single 40 mg (100 mg/ml) subcutaneous injection of CT-P17,
INTRODUCTION

Adalimumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody targeting tumor necrosis factor (TNF) that was first licensed in the United States in 2002 and in Europe in 2003 for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA).\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) In the United States and Europe, reference adalimumab is also approved for the treatment of juvenile idiopathic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis (in adults), psoriatic arthritis (in adults), psoriasis (for the United States, only adults), hidradenitis suppurativa, Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis (in adults), uveitis, and nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis (in adults; Europe only).\(^1\)^\(^,\)\(^2\) Although high costs of TNF inhibitors, such as adalimumab, may limit access to these medications for patients with these immune-mediated inflammatory diseases,\(^4\)^\(^,\)\(^5\) lower-cost biosimilars have improved patient...
access to biologic therapy and resulted in price reductions for reference biologics.

The determination of biosimilarity by regulators, including the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), is based on the “totality of evidence” from a stepwise comparative similarity exercise (including analytical analyses, nonclinical studies, and clinical trials) between the proposed biosimilar and its reference product. The goals of a biosimilar clinical development program are to demonstrate equivalent pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters and efficacy, in comparison to the reference product, and that pharmacodynamic, immunogenicity, and safety profiles of the two drugs are comparable.

CT-P17 is a recombinant human monoclonal antibody that is under development as a proposed adalimumab biosimilar. CT-P17 is being developed as a high-concentration formulation, to be administered by prefilled syringe or autoinjector, which is consistent with the new formulation of reference adalimumab. Here, we report data from a phase 1, randomized, double-blind study that aimed to demonstrate the PK equivalence of a single dose of CT-P17 to EU-approved adalimumab (EU-adalimumab) and US-licensed adalimumab (US-adalimumab) in healthy adults. The study also investigated additional PK end points, safety, and immunogenicity.

METHODS

Study design and procedures

This was a randomized, double-blind, three-arm, parallel-group, single-dose phase I trial (clinicaltrials.gov NCT03970824) conducted at 10 hospitals in the Republic of Korea (Table S1). Screening occurred between day –28 and day –2. Eligible subjects were enrolled and randomized by study-center personnel using an interactive web response system in the ratio of 1:1:1 to receive CT-P17, EU-adalimumab (Humira; AbbVie Deutschland GmbH Co. KG, Ludwigshafen, Germany), or US-adalimumab (Humira, AbbVie Inc., North Chicago, IL). Randomization was stratified by body weight (<80 kg vs. ≥80 kg), sex, and study center. Randomization codes, generated by the contract research organization statistical team, were assigned on day –1 and were concealed from subjects, investigators, and study-center personnel. Subjects and investigators were blinded to the identity of the study drug administered.

On day 1, subjects received a single 40 mg dose (100 mg/ml) administered as a subcutaneous injection by prefilled syringe. Injections were administered to the lower abdomen, avoiding a 5 cm area around the navel. The appearance of the prefilled syringe and the consistency of the injected products were identical for each treatment group.

The study was conducted in accordance with the International Council for Harmonisation Tripartite Guideline for Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki. All national, state, and local laws or regulations were followed. Before study initiation, the study protocol and informed consent form received approval from institutional review boards at each study center (Table S1). All subjects provided informed written consent before study enrollment.

Subjects

Full eligibility criteria are provided in the Supplementary Methods. Briefly, all subjects were healthy (defined as no clinically relevant abnormalities identified by detailed medical history, full physical examination, and clinical laboratory tests prior to study drug administration) adults aged 19–55 years with a body mass index (BMI) of 18.0–29.9 kg/m² (inclusive). Key exclusion criteria included: history or current infection of hepatitis B virus (except for past resolved infection), hepatitis C virus, HIV, or syphilis; active or latent tuberculosis; history of malignancy; previous monoclonal antibody or fusion protein treatment; or current use of any biologic.

Study end points

Primary end points were equivalence of PK between CT-P17, EU-adalimumab, and US-adalimumab in terms of: area under the concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity (AUC0–inf); AUC from time zero to the last quantifiable concentration (AUC0–last); and maximum serum concentration (Cmax). Secondary PK end points included: time to maximum serum concentration (Tmax); terminal elimination half-life (t1/2); terminal elimination rate constant (λz); percentage of the area extrapolated for calculation of area under the serum concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity (%AUCextrap); apparent total body clearance (CL/F); and apparent volume of distribution during the terminal phase after non-intravenous administration (V/F). Safety and immunogenicity were also evaluated throughout the study.

Study assessments

Subjects were admitted to the study center on day –1 and remained there until the completion of 48-h postdose assessments; admission could have been extended based on availability at the study center up to day 7. Following the administration of the single dose of study drug, patients were followed for 10 weeks on an outpatient basis, during which PK, safety, and immunogenicity assessments were conducted. The end-of-study (EOS) visit was completed on day
71, during which subjects returned to the study center and completed PK and safety assessments.

Blood samples for PK assessments of CT-P17 and adalimumab were taken predose on day 1 and at all subsequent study visits (Table S2). PK samples were analyzed at PPD Bioanalytical Laboratory (Richmond, VA) using an electrochemiluminescent method (Meso Scale Discovery, Rockville, MD) for the quantitation of CT-P17 and adalimumab. Streptavidin-coated plates were coated with biotinylated TNF-α and the chemiluminescent signal was measured in relative light units using a SECTOR plate reader (Meso Scale Discovery). The lower limit of quantification was 100 ng/ml. Concentrations below the lower limit of quantification (BLOQ) were set to zero for prior to study drug administration and missing for postdose; measurable concentrations after consecutive BLOQ values were set to missing. Serum PK parameters were calculated by a noncompartmental method using Phoenix WinNonlin version 8.0 (Certara, Princeton, NJ).

Safety parameters assessed throughout the study included monitoring of adverse events (AEs), and prior/concomitant medications. AEs of special interest were injection-site reactions (ISRs; assessed 30 ± 10 min after study drug administration), hypersensitivity/allergic reactions (assessed by additional electrocardiogram and vital sign monitoring on days 1 and 2), infections, and malignancies. Clinical laboratory tests (hematology, serum chemistry, and urinalysis) were conducted at screening and days −1, 3, 8, 15, 29, 43, 57, and 71. Vital signs, body weight, electrocardiogram, physical examination, and pregnancy assessments were conducted during screening, at EOS, and at selected study visits. Local site pain was assessed by 100 mm Visual Analog Scale (VAS) within 15 min after study drug administration. At screening, an interferon-γ release assay (IGRA) and chest x-ray image (both posterior-anterior and lateral views) were performed, and subjects were monitored for the clinical signs and symptoms of tuberculosis throughout the study. IGRA was also performed at the EOS visit. Hepatitis B, hepatitis C, HIV status, and syphilis testing were also used to determine eligibility. AEs were coded according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities version 22.0 and graded according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 5.0. Prior/concomitant medications were coded using the World Health Organization Drug Dictionary (March 2019 version).

Immunogenicity was assessed for serum samples collected predose on day 1 and on days 15, 29, 57, and 71. Antidrug antibodies (ADAs) were detected using a validated electrochemiluminescent bridging assay with acid dissociation. ADA-positive samples were further investigated to confirm the specificity of binding and to evaluate ADA titer by titration. The assay sensitivity was 0.588 ng/ml. In samples confirmed positive for specific ADAs, the neutralizing activity of these antibodies was further investigated. Neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) were detected using a validated electrochemiluminescent assay with affinity capture elution; assay sensitivity was 113.4 ng/ml.

### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were presented as number (%) of subjects; continuous variables were summarized using descriptive statistics. Calculated primary PK end points (AUC₀−inf, AUC₀−last, and C_max) were log-transformed and analyzed using an analysis of covariance model with treatment as a fixed effect. Sex (male or female), day −1 body weight, and study center were covariates. For each primary end point, PK equivalence between CT-P17 and US-adalimumab, CT-P17 and EU-adalimumab, or US-adalimumab and EU-adalimumab was to be concluded if the 90% confidence intervals (CIs) for percent ratios of geometric least squares mean (GLSM) of each comparison were entirely contained within the 80–125% equivalence margin. A sample size of 91 subjects in each group was required to achieve 90% power to demonstrate equivalence in PK, based on the equivalence margin, 2 one-sided alpha level of 0.05, expected geometric mean ratio of 1.0, and percent coefficient of variation of 48% (determined based on historical PK data in healthy individuals). Assuming a 10% dropout rate, a sample size of 306 subjects (102 per group) was planned.

Analysis populations are described in the Supplementary Methods; PK parameters were analyzed in the PK population. As prespecified in the study protocol, PK parameters were also summarized by ADA status (ADA-positive: at least one positive post-treatment ADA result; ADA-negative: all post-treatment ADA results were negative). A post hoc analysis to investigate the relationship between ADA titers and primary PK end points was assessed by Pearson correlation coefficients (using log₂-transformed ADA titers) in each treatment group. The p values for correlation coefficients were calculated using Fisher’s z transformation. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

### RESULTS

#### Subject disposition

Subjects were enrolled at nine sites; one site (Inha University Hospital) did not enroll any subjects. The first subject was randomized on June 13, 2019, and the last subject was randomized on September 3, 2019; the last visit of the last subject was on November 13, 2019. Overall, 312 subjects were randomized (intention-to-treat [ITT] population): CT-P17, n = 103; US-adalimumab, n = 103; and EU-adalimumab,
A total of 308 (98.7%) subjects received study drug; all (100.0%) of them received the full dose of study drug. One and two subjects randomized to CT-P17 and EU-adalimumab, respectively, withdrew consent before study drug administration, whereas one subject in the US-adalimumab group was lost to follow-up before study drug administration. Overall, 303 subjects completed the study. Five (1.6%) subjects discontinued after study drug administration due to withdrawal of consent ($n = 2$; US-adalimumab and EU-adalimumab groups), loss to follow-up ($n = 2$; US-adalimumab and EU-adalimumab groups), and AEs ($n = 1$; CT-P17 group). No major protocol deviations were reported during the study. Four subjects in the ITT population did not meet the definition of the safety population. In addition, 18 subjects from the safety population were excluded from the PK population owing to their terminal elimination rate constant not being estimated due to not having at least three time points following $C_{\text{max}}$.

Baseline demographics and characteristics were similar between treatment groups in the safety population (Table 1). All (100.0%) subjects were Asian, and most were men (85.7% overall). Median BMI and the distribution of subjects between weight categories was similar between treatment groups.

**PK results**

Mean peak and total systemic exposure (assessed by $\text{AUC}_{0-\text{inf}}$, $\text{AUC}_{0-\text{last}}$, and $C_{\text{max}}$) were equivalent among CT-P17,
PK EQUIVALENCE OF CT-P17 AND ADALIMUMAB

US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab following a single subcutaneous administration of study drug (Table 2); the 90% CIs for the ratios of GLSMs were within the predefined 80–125% equivalence margin for each pairwise treatment comparison. Primary PK parameters (AUC₀–inf, AUC₀–last, and Cₘₐₓ) and secondary PK parameters are summarized by treatment group in Table 3. Overall, secondary PK end points, including Tₘₐₓ, CL/F, and t₁/₂, were similar among the three treatment groups. Serum adalimumab concentrations were comparable among the three treatment groups until day 71 (Figure 2).

**Immunogenicity**

At baseline (day 1) before study drug administration, four (1.3%) subjects were ADA-positive (CT-P17: one [1.0%]; US-adalimumab: one [1.0%]; EU-adalimumab: two [1.9%]), but no subjects were NAb-positive (Table S3). Similar proportions of subjects in each of the three treatment groups were ADA- or NAb-positive, with a trend toward increasing proportions throughout the study (Table S3). Overall, 99 (97.1%), 96 (94.1%), and 99 (95.2%) subjects in the CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab groups, respectively, had at least one post-treatment positive ADA result. Overall, 79 (77.5%), 85 (83.3%), and 84 (80.8%) subjects in the CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab groups, respectively, had at least one post-treatment positive NAb result.

Subject distribution by maximum ADA titer is shown in Figure S1 (PK population). At EOS, mean (SD) ADA titer was 171.1 (317.21), 187.9 (328.13), and 340.2 (1,727.75) in the CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab groups, respectively (safety population).

---

**Table 1** Subject demographics and baseline characteristics (safety population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CT-P17 (n = 102)</th>
<th>US-adalimumab (n = 102)</th>
<th>EU-adalimumab (n = 104)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, median (range)</td>
<td>25.0 (19–51)</td>
<td>26.0 (19–53)</td>
<td>26.0 (19–54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>87 (85.3)</td>
<td>88 (86.3)</td>
<td>89 (85.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity not Hispanic or Latino, n (%)</td>
<td>102 (100.0)</td>
<td>102 (100.0)</td>
<td>104 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian race, n (%)</td>
<td>102 (100.0)</td>
<td>102 (100.0)</td>
<td>104 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening height, cm, median (range)</td>
<td>173.05 (153.7–185.1)</td>
<td>172.85 (156.2–185.9)</td>
<td>173.05 (157.3–186.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening weight, kg, median (range)</td>
<td>71.15 (45.7–97.7)</td>
<td>70.35 (46.7–96.1)</td>
<td>70.30 (45.0–96.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening BMI, kg/m², median (range)</td>
<td>23.45 (18.1–29.4)</td>
<td>23.40 (18.4–29.5)</td>
<td>23.25 (18.1–29.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day −1 weight category, n (%)</td>
<td>&lt;80 kg 83 (81.4)</td>
<td>80 (78.4)</td>
<td>85 (81.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥80 kg 19 (18.6)</td>
<td>22 (21.6)</td>
<td>19 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; EU-adalimumab, European Union-approved adalimumab; US-adalimumab, United States-licensed adalimumab.

Percentages are based on the number of subjects in the safety population per treatment group.

**Table 2** Statistical analysis of the primary PK endpoints (PK population*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment or comparison</th>
<th>Cₘₐₓ (µg/ml)</th>
<th>AUC₀–inf (h·µg/ml)</th>
<th>AUC₀–last (h·µg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GLSM (n)</td>
<td>GLSM (n)</td>
<td>GLSM (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-P17</td>
<td>3.008 (96)</td>
<td>2165.0 (80)</td>
<td>1949.2 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-adalimumab</td>
<td>2.952 (93)</td>
<td>2046.5 (86)</td>
<td>1816.6 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-adalimumab</td>
<td>3.006 (98)</td>
<td>2209.3 (89)</td>
<td>1933.9 (98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of GLSMs (90% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-P17 vs. US-adalimumab</td>
<td>101.89 (95.33–108.89)</td>
<td>105.79 (97.19–115.16)</td>
<td>107.30 (98.29–117.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT-P17 vs. EU-adalimumab</td>
<td>100.05 (93.69–106.85)</td>
<td>98.00 (90.06–106.63)</td>
<td>100.79 (92.42–109.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-adalimumab vs. EU-adalimumab</td>
<td>98.20 (91.91–104.92)</td>
<td>92.63 (85.29–100.61)</td>
<td>93.93 (86.08–102.50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: %AUC₀–inf, percentage of the area extrapolated for calculation of area under the concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity; AUC₀–inf, area under the concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity; AUC₀–last, area under the concentration–time curve from time zero to the last quantifiable concentration; CI, confidence interval; Cₘₐₓ, maximum serum concentration; EU-adalimumab, European Union-approved adalimumab; GLSM, geometric least squares mean; PK, pharmacokinetic; US-adalimumab, United States-licensed adalimumab.

*PK population: n = 97 (CT-P17), n = 93 (US-adalimumab), and n = 100 (EU-adalimumab). AUC₀–inf values were excluded from the statistical analysis after not meeting one or more of the following criteria: an adjusted correlation coefficient r² of ≥0.85 and %AUC₀–inf ≤20%.
Mean serum adalimumab concentrations were generally higher in ADA-negative than in ADA-positive subjects across treatment groups after day 15. For example, at day 71, mean (SD) serum concentrations (μg/ml) were 722.0 (301.78), 573.6 (159.29), and 702.8 (305.45) for ADA-negative subjects in the CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab groups, respectively, whereas corresponding concentrations in ADA-positive subjects were 516.1 (260.84), 425.4 (225.15), and 419.4 (232.79). Mean total exposure parameters (AUC<sub>0-inf</sub> and AUC<sub>0-last</sub>) were generally higher and mean peak exposure (C<sub>max</sub>) was generally lower in ADA-negative subjects compared with ADA-positive subjects across treatment groups. In ADA-positive subjects, AUC<sub>0-inf</sub>, AUC<sub>0-last</sub>, and C<sub>max</sub> were equivalent among treatment groups (Table S4). Due to the low number of subjects (CT-P17: n = 3; US-adalimumab: n = 5; and EU-adalimumab: n = 5), this analysis could not be conducted for those with negative ADA status.

### PK parameters by immunogenicity status

Mean serum adalimumab concentrations were generally higher in ADA-negative than in ADA-positive subjects across treatment groups after day 15. For example, at day 71, mean (SD) serum concentrations (μg/ml) were 722.0 (301.78), 573.6 (159.29), and 702.8 (305.45) for ADA-negative subjects in the CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab groups, respectively, whereas corresponding concentrations in ADA-positive subjects were 516.1 (260.84), 425.4 (225.15), and 419.4 (232.79). Mean total exposure parameters (AUC<sub>0-inf</sub> and AUC<sub>0-last</sub>) were generally higher and mean peak exposure (C<sub>max</sub>) was generally lower in ADA-negative subjects compared with ADA-positive subjects across treatment groups. In ADA-positive subjects, AUC<sub>0-inf</sub>, AUC<sub>0-last</sub>, and C<sub>max</sub> were equivalent among treatment groups (Table S4). Due to the low number of subjects (CT-P17: n = 3; US-adalimumab: n = 5; and EU-adalimumab: n = 5), this analysis could not be conducted for those with negative ADA status.

### Table 3: Summary of PK endpoints (PK population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CT-P17 (n = 97)</th>
<th>US-adalimumab (n = 93)</th>
<th>EU-adalimumab (n = 100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;, μg/ml</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.62 (1.35)</td>
<td>3.56 (1.20)</td>
<td>3.66 (1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-inf&lt;/sub&gt;, h·μg/ml</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>2656.5 (1150.16)</td>
<td>2469.7 (917.47)</td>
<td>2690.6 (943.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-last&lt;/sub&gt;, h·μg/ml</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>2372.7 (954.82)</td>
<td>2185.0 (795.91)</td>
<td>2394.7 (866.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;, h</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>167 (48.00–504.08)</td>
<td>167 (48.00–433.22)</td>
<td>144 (48.00–671.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt;, h</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>340 (164)</td>
<td>331 (165)</td>
<td>340 (151)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ&lt;sub&gt;z&lt;/sub&gt;, /h</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.00276 (0.00185)</td>
<td>0.00271 (0.00148)</td>
<td>0.00253 (0.00126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/F, L/h</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.0187 (0.00968)</td>
<td>0.0186 (0.00723)</td>
<td>0.0169 (0.00664)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&lt;sub&gt;F/z&lt;/sub&gt;, L</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>7.66 (2.88)</td>
<td>7.88 (3.18)</td>
<td>7.48 (2.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%AUC&lt;sub&gt;extrap&lt;/sub&gt;, %</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>8.07 (5.01)</td>
<td>7.51 (5.06)</td>
<td>7.35 (4.78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The data are shown to three significant figures for parameters other than AUC<sub>0-inf</sub> and AUC<sub>0-last</sub>; data for T<sub>max</sub> range are shown to two decimal places.

**Abbreviations:** %AUC<sub>extrap</sub>, percentage of the area extrapolated for calculation of area under the serum concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity; AUC<sub>0-inf</sub>, area under the concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity; AUC<sub>0-last</sub>, area under the concentration–time curve from time zero to the last quantifiable concentration; CL/F, apparent total body clearance; C<sub>max</sub>, maximum serum concentration; EU-adalimumab, European Union-approved adalimumab; t<sub>1/2</sub>, terminal half-life; λ<sub>z</sub>, terminal elimination rate constant; PK, pharmacokinetic; T<sub>max</sub>, time to maximum serum concentration; US-adalimumab, United States-licensed adalimumab; V<sub>F/z</sub>, apparent volume of distribution during the terminal phase after non-intravenous administration.

*AUC<sub>0-inf</sub> values were excluded from the statistical analysis after not meeting at least one of the following criteria: adjusted correlation coefficient r<sup>2</sup> of ≥0.85 and %AUC<sub>extrap</sub> ≤20%.
each treatment group (Figure S2). Depending on treatment group, there was insufficient evidence for a correlation between C\textsubscript{max} and ADA titer. In all cases, there was no evidence for a difference between correlation coefficients for each treatment group (Table S5).

### Safety

Overall, 56 (54.9%), 65 (63.7%), and 60 (57.7%) subjects in the CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab treatment groups, respectively, experienced at least one...
treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE) during the study (Table 4). Correspondingly, study drug-related TEAEs were reported by 45 (44.1%), 49 (48.0%), and 49 (47.1%) subjects. Most TEAEs were grade 1 or 2 in intensity.

TEAEs by System Organ Class for each treatment group are shown in Table S6. The most frequently reported TEAE by Preferred Term was ISR, experienced by 55 (17.9%) subjects overall, followed by headache (Table S7). Injection-site erythema was the most frequently reported sign of ISR (CT-P17: 19 [18.6%] subjects; US-adalimumab: 16 [15.7%] subjects; and EU-adalimumab: 16 [15.4%] subjects). Mean (SD) local site pain scores on the 100 mm VAS were 6.1 (9.45) mm, 3.6 (5.38) mm, and 5.8 (8.90) mm for subjects in the CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab treatment groups, respectively.

There were three study drug-unrelated treatment-emergent serious adverse events during the study (two road traffic accidents and tympanic membrane perforation caused by an accidental injury). One subject (CT-P17 group) discontinued study participation after study drug administration due to a grade 2 TEAE of alopecia areata, which was considered related to study drug. There were no deaths in the study, and there were no TEAEs classified as malignancy.

TEAEs of hypersensitivity/allergic reaction were reported by one (1.0%) subject each in the CT-P17 and EU-adalimumab treatment groups. The subjects experienced urticaria and erythema, respectively. Forty-two (13.6%) subjects overall reported TEAEs classified as infections, with study drug-related infections reported by nine (8.8%), 16 (15.7%), and 12 (11.5%) subjects in the CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab groups, respectively (Table 4; Table S8). No subject had any signs or symptoms during the study that were indicative of tuberculosis. Overall, four (1.3%) subjects were positive for the IGRA assay at the EOS visit; active tuberculosis was ruled out in all cases, and latent tuberculosis was reported as a TEAE (CT-P17: one [1.0%]; US-adalimumab: two [2.0%]; and EU-adalimumab: one [1.0%]).

The most frequent grade ≥3 laboratory parameter was creatine phosphokinase increased, reported in 22 (7.1%) subjects overall (CT-P17: 10 [9.8%]; US-adalimumab: six [5.9%]; and EU-adalimumab: six [5.8%]). Most of the creatine phosphokinase elevations were due to physical activity. There was no correlation with weakness, other clinical muscle-related symptoms or ISRs. CTCAE grade 3 neutrophil count decreased (<1.0–0.5 × 10⁹/L) was reported for two (2.0%) subjects in the US-adalimumab group and for none in the CT-P17 and EU-adalimumab groups. The abnormal values had returned to above that level at their next routine visits. Infections were not reported in either of these subjects. No CTCAE grade 4 or 5 neutrophil count decreased was reported.

Overall, no clinically notable differences in clinical laboratory results, vital signs, electrocardiogram, and physical examination were observed among treatment groups.

**DISCUSSION**

In this phase I clinical trial, healthy subjects were randomly assigned to receive a single dose of CT-P17, a proposed
biosimilar of adalimumab, or of the reference product sourced from the European Union (EU-adalimumab) or from the United States (US-adalimumab). The primary end point of the study was met: following the administration of a single dose of study drug in healthy adults, PK equivalence of CT-P17 to both EU-adalimumab and US-adalimumab was demonstrated. All other measured PK end points, including CL/F, were similar among the three treatment groups. In addition, safety and immunogenicity profiles were comparable for the three drugs.

The equivalence of mean and peak total exposure and the similarity of secondary PK end points among CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab is consistent with reports of PK studies of lower concentration (50 mg/ml) adalimumab biosimilars in healthy volunteers.\textsuperscript{15–19} The comparability of PK parameters within subsets of ADA-positive (n = 277) and ADA-negative (n = 13) subjects among CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab is also in line with previous reports for adalimumab biosimilars that were evaluated in healthy subjects.\textsuperscript{15,17–19} The negative correlation between ADA titer and AUC\textsubscript{0–inf} or AUC\textsubscript{0–last} is also in accordance with previous reports of PK studies in which adalimumab biosimilars were administered to healthy subjects.\textsuperscript{15–17} As previously proposed,\textsuperscript{15,16} increased adalimumab clearance occurring with increasing ADA titers might account for this relationship.

Consistent with published studies of three-way comparisons of other adalimumab biosimilars with US-adalimumab and EU-adalimumab in healthy individuals, overall safety was comparable among CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab.\textsuperscript{15–19} The proportions of subjects experiencing AEs of special interest did not differ among treatment groups. In addition, the incidence of ISRs of 17.9\% in this study is similar to that of 20\% reported in the prescribing information for US-adalimumab.\textsuperscript{1}

Throughout this study, immunogenicity was comparable among the three study drugs for both ADA and NAb positivity. Over 90\% of subjects in the safety population had at least one positive ADA result during the study across treatment groups. This high rate of ADA positivity may reflect the high sensitivity of the electrochemiluminescent bridging assay that was used to detect ADAs, and is consistent with recent reports for other adalimumab biosimilars evaluated in healthy subjects.\textsuperscript{15,17} Similarly, the high rate of NAb positivity (81\% overall in the safety population) is comparable to that identified in a recent study of another adalimumab biosimilar.\textsuperscript{15} Furthermore, the comparable immunogenicity of CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab is consistent with that in published reports of PK clinical trials of adalimumab biosimilars\textsuperscript{15–19} and other proposed adalimumab biosimilars.\textsuperscript{20–22}

Limitations of this study include the ethnic homogeneity of the study subjects, who were all healthy Asian volunteers; however, a previous study of patients with psoriasis who were treated with adalimumab did not suggest differences in adalimumab efficacy by ethnicity.\textsuperscript{21} Another limitation is the low number of ADA-negative subjects, which limits the ability to interpret PK findings in relation to ADA status; however, correlations between ADA titer and PK parameters (AUC\textsubscript{0–inf} and AUC\textsubscript{0–last}) were confirmed by the analyses conducted.

Strengths of this study include its randomized design, the large number of patients enrolled, and the duration of the follow-up period. The three-arm study design allowed for demonstration of PK equivalence of CT-P17 to both EU- and US-sourced reference adalimumab, because the EMA and FDA each require studies to compare proposed biosimilars with reference products authorized in the corresponding region. Additionally, this study provided bridging data demonstrating PK equivalence of US-sourced reference adalimumab to EU-sourced reference adalimumab to support the application of conclusions drawn from comparison of CT-P17 with reference adalimumab sourced in one region for regulatory approval in the other region.\textsuperscript{9,24}

As of October 2020, 11 adalimumab biosimilars had been approved by the EMA, of which three have been withdrawn voluntarily.\textsuperscript{25} Whereas in the United States, despite approval of six adalimumab biosimilars by the FDA to date,\textsuperscript{26} none are expected to be marketed until 2023.\textsuperscript{27} All of the currently licensed adalimumab biosimilars are formulated at a concentration of 50 mg/ml,\textsuperscript{13,28,29} because they were developed in line with the original low-concentration formulation of reference adalimumab.\textsuperscript{1,2} Subsequently, a high-concentration (100 mg/ml), citrate-free reference adalimumab formulation obtained regulatory approval\textsuperscript{1,2}; CT-P17 is the first adalimumab biosimilar to be developed based on this formulation. The high-concentration formulation of CT-P17 may facilitate effective adalimumab treatment with fewer injections than with the former, low-concentration formulation for patients requiring treatment with doses exceeding 40 mg (as described in the reference adalimumab product information\textsuperscript{1,2}). Furthermore, the citrate-free formulation of CT-P17 may also offer benefits of reduced discomfort during injection, compared with the older, citrate-containing reference adalimumab formulation or adalimumab biosimilars formulated with citrate-containing buffers.\textsuperscript{11}

This phase I study demonstrated that PK parameters were equivalent, and safety and immunogenicity profiles were comparable, for a single dose of CT-P17, US-adalimumab, and EU-adalimumab in healthy adults. Data from this phase I study support the growing evidence for the biosimilarity of CT-P17 and reference adalimumab obtained from clinical studies of CT-P17, both in healthy adults (NCT04295356)\textsuperscript{14} and in patients with active, moderate-to-severe RA (NCT03789292\textsuperscript{12} and NCT04171414\textsuperscript{42}).
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