Letters

gMS-Classifer1 does not predict disability progression in multiple sclerosis

Date received: 24 July 2018;
accepted: 9 August 2018

Several clinical, immunological and radiological biomarkers have been shown to predict the disease course of multiple sclerosis (MS).^{1–5} One potential serum marker is the gMS-Classifer1, which is composed of IgM anti-Glc antibodies, namely anti-GAGA 2,3,4 and 6. Previous work demonstrated that the gMS-Classifer1 could not predict early conversion to clinically definite MS in a cohort of clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) patients, but predicted Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) progression. Significance, however, was dependent on covariates, and confirmation in an independent study was required.³

The aim of this study was to test if the gMS-Classifer1 could predict early disability progression in a large multicenter cohort of patients with CIS or relapse-onset MS.

Blood samples and clinical data were prospectively collected in four MS centers between 1993 and 2007: The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, Canada; Amsterdam University Medical Centers, the Netherlands; UMass Memorial Medical Center, Worcester, USA; and Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain. Patients had a diagnosis of CIS ($n=118$) or relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS; $n=240$) at study onset. Age at blood sampling was between 18 and 50 years. Serum samples were stored frozen $<-70^{\circ}\text{C}$ until assayed.

Baseline (EDSS) was performed within 6 months of blood sampling and was repeated during patient routine visits. Disability progression was defined as a sustained (≥ 6 months) progression of at least 1.0 EDSS point over the baseline EDSS and progression to an EDSS score of 3.0 or higher.

Mean follow-up was 94 months.

In 2012, frozen serum samples were shipped to Glycominds Inc Lab (Simi Valley, CA, USA) for testing for anti-glycan antibodies as described before.³ If

one of the antibodies was above the predefined cut-off (anti-GAGA2 >148.8 EIA units, anti-GAGA3 >164.6 EIA units, anti-GAGA4 >133.6 EIA units, and anti-GAGA6 >168.1 EIA units), patients were considered positive for the gMS-Classifer1. There were no significant differences for the key variables gMS-Classifer1 and EDSS progression between the four centers.

Of the 358 patients, 44 (12.3%) were gMS-Classifer1 status positive. EDSS progression was available for 355 patients, of whom 158 (44.5%) had confirmed progression at the end of follow-up. The percentage of patients showing EDSS progression did not differ between the groups, using the 1 point EDSS progression definition ($p=0.587$) or for EDSS progression above 3.0 ($p=0.771$). There was no association between EDSS progression and the gMS-Classifer1 ($p=0.778$) or positive titres for any of the separate antibodies (anti-GAGA2: $p=0.934$, anti-GAGA3: $p=0.663$, anti-GAGA4: $p=0.712$, and anti-GAGA6: $p=0.440$).

No statistical differences between the gMS-Classifer1 positive and negative group were observed for age ($p=0.631$), disease duration ($p=0.147$), gender ($p=0.154$), baseline EDSS ($p=1.000$), number of CIS patients at blood sampling ($p=0.865$), follow-up time ($p=0.587$), relapse at blood sampling ($p=0.771$), and steroids at blood sampling ($p=1.000$).

Here, we present the results of a large cohort of patients from different centers from two continents, showing no statistical differences between gMS-Classifer1 positive and negative patients, convincingly indicating that the gMS-Classifer1 does not predict disability progression in MS.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Johannis A. van Rossum reports no conflicts of interest. Luisa M. Villar received payment for lecturing, travel expenses, research grants or consultancy from Merck-Serono, Biogen, Sanofi-Genzyme, Roche, and Novartis. Peter N. Riskind is a site principal investigator for clinical trials with Hoffman-Laroche/Genentech, Biogen, and the National Multiple Sclerosis Society. Mark S. Freedman received educational grants from Genzyme

Multiple Sclerosis Journal

2019, Vol. 25(7) 1010–1011

DOI: 10.1177/

1352458518798048

© The Author(s), 2018.

Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-
permissions

Canada, honoraria or consultation fees from Actelion, BayerHealthcare, BiogenIdec, Chugai, Clene Nanomedicine, EMD Canada, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, Hoffman La-Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Teva Canada Innovation, is a member of a company advisory board, board of directors or other similar group for Actelion, BayerHealthcare, BiogenIdec, Clene Nanomedicine, Hoffman La-Roche, Merck Serono, MedDay, Novartis, Sanofi-Aventis and participates in a company sponsored speaker's bureau of Sanofi-Genzyme. Charlotte Teunissen served on the advisory board of Fujirebio and Roche, received research consumables from Euroimmun, IBL, Fujirebio, Invitrogen, and Meso Scale Discovery, and performed contract research for IBL, Shire, Boehringer, Roche, and Probiobdrug. Joep Killestein has accepted speaker and consultancy fees from Merck, Biogen, Teva, Genzyme, Roche and Novartis.

Funding

The author(s) declared receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Biomarker analysis for measurement of anti-glycan antibodies was funded and performed by Glycominds Incorporation.

ORCID iD

Johannis A van Rossum <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0096-012X>

References

1. Teunissen CE, Malekzadeh A, Leurs C, et al. Body fluid biomarkers for multiple sclerosis—The long road to clinical application. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2015; 11(10): 585–596.
2. Housley WJ, Pitt D and Hafler DA. Biomarkers in multiple sclerosis. *Clin Immunol* 2015; 161(1): 51–58.
3. Freedman MS, Metz C, Kappos L, et al. Predictive nature of IgM anti-alpha-glucose serum biomarker for relapse activity and EDSS progression in CIS patients: A BENEFIT study analysis. *Mult Scler* 2012; 18(7): 966–973.
4. Martinelli V, Dalla Costa G, Messina MJ, et al. Multiple biomarkers improve the prediction of multiple sclerosis in clinically isolated syndromes. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2017; 136: 454–461.
5. Freedman MS, Laks J, Dotan N, et al. Anti-alpha-glucose-based glycan IgM antibodies predict relapse activity in multiple sclerosis after the first neurological event. *Mult Scler* 2009; 15(4): 422–430.

Johannis A van Rossum¹ , Joep Killestein¹, Luisa M Villar², Peter N Riskind³, Mark S Freedman⁴, and Charlotte Teunissen⁵

¹Department of Neurology, Amsterdam Neuroscience, MS Center Amsterdam, VU University Medical Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²Department of Neurology, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

³Memorial Multiple Sclerosis Center, Department of Neurology, UMass Memorial Medical Center, Worcester, MA, USA

⁴Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, University of Ottawa, The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada

⁵Department of Clinical Chemistry, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, The Netherlands

Correspondence to:

JA van Rossum

Department of Neurology, Amsterdam Neuroscience, MS Center Amsterdam, VU University Medical Center Amsterdam, PO Box 7057, 1007 MB Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

j.vanroossum@vumc.nl

Visit SAGE journals online
journals.sagepub.com/home/msj

SAGE journals

Analysis of Canadian multiple sclerosis patients does not support a role for FKBP6 in disease

Date received: 21 June 2018; accepted: 30 August 2018

We read with interest a recent article by Mescheriakova et al.¹ entitled *Linkage analysis and whole exome sequencing identify a novel candidate gene in a Dutch multiple sclerosis family*. In this study, the

authors described a missense variant in *FKBP6* (p.R183C, rs147213094) co-segregating with multiple sclerosis (MS) in eight individuals from a large Dutch multi-incident family. Four healthy family members were also found to harbour this mutation, and it was not observed in one family member diagnosed with MS. Reduced penetrance and the presence of phenocopies does not detract from the authors' claim as familial forms of complex diseases, including MS, frequently are genetically heterogeneous.^{2–5} In addition, albeit not statistically significant,

Multiple Sclerosis Journal
2019, Vol. 25(7) 1011–1013
DOI: 10.1177/
1352458518803789

© The Author(s), 2018.
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions