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- Physiological insulin regulates a broad transcriptional network in muscle and liver
- In addition to mRNA of coding genes, insulin regulates mRNA splicing and lncRNAs
- Insulin-regulated gene expression involves multiple transcriptional regulators
- The insulin-suppressed lncRNA *Gm15441* regulates fatty acid oxidation in hepatocytes
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Batista et al. demonstrate potent transcriptional remodeling by physiological insulin action in skeletal muscle and liver, involving interrelated networks of protein-coding genes, transcription factors, and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs). From an array of metabolically sensitive lncRNAs, *Gm15441* is identified as a regulator of fatty acid oxidation in hepatocytes.
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SUMMARY
Regulation of gene expression is an important aspect of insulin action but in vivo is intertwined with changing levels of glucose and counter-regulatory hormones. Here we demonstrate that under euglycemic clamp conditions, physiological levels of insulin regulate interrelated networks of more than 1,000 transcripts in muscle and liver. These include expected pathways related to glucose and lipid utilization, mitochondrial function, and autophagy, as well as unexpected pathways, such as chromatin remodeling, mRNA splicing, and Notch signaling. These acutely regulated pathways extend beyond those dysregulated in mice with chronic insulin deficiency or insulin resistance and involve a broad network of transcription factors. More than 150 non-coding RNAs were regulated by insulin, many of which also responded to fasting and refeeding. Pathway analysis and RNAi knockdown revealed a role for lncRNA Gm15441 in regulating fatty acid oxidation in hepatocytes. Altogether, these changes in coding and non-coding RNAs provide an integrated transcriptional network underlying the complexity of insulin action.

INTRODUCTION
Insulin is the primary hormone controlling the balance between an anabolic and catabolic state. This occurs through regulation of a range of physiological processes involved in metabolism, growth, differentiation, and cell survival (Boucher et al., 2014; Toczar et al., 2018). At the cellular level, insulin activates the insulin receptor (IR) tyrosine kinase, which triggers two major downstream pathways: the IRS-1/phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT pathway, which regulates most of insulin’s metabolic actions, and the SHC/RAS/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway, which regulates growth and differentiation (Haeusler et al., 2018; Taniguchi et al., 2006). In both its metabolic and growth-promoting actions, insulin regulates the expression of many genes, both through direct effects on gene transcription and through indirect effects secondary to changes in levels of blood glucose and other hormones.

During fasting, for example, when insulin levels are low and glucagon and glucocorticoid levels are high, the promoter of the phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 1 (Pck1) gene, a key mediator of hepatic gluconeogenesis, is occupied by multiple transcriptional activators, including FOXO1 and FOXO3, FOXA2, SRC-1, CBP/p300, HNF4a, glucocorticoid receptor, and the co-activator PGC1α (Granner, 2015). Feeding, which increases insulin and decreases glucagon levels, induces rapid, phosphorylation-dependent removal of most of these factors from Pck1 promoter, leading to suppression of gluconeogenesis, but to what extent this is the result of increased insulin action versus decreased glucagon action is unclear.

Global mRNA profiling approaches have been useful in identifying insulin-regulated genes in both cell lines and tissues taken from animals before and after insulin administration, during fasting and refeeding, or in the context of insulin resistance (Boucher et al., 2010; Fazakerley et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2016). However, these studies have limitations in the interpretation, because all of these manipulations result in changes of glucose levels, as well as levels of other hormones and metabolites. The gold standard method for assessing insulin action isolated from these other effects is the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp (Kim, 2009). In this regard, some microarray-based profiling of mRNAs has been performed in skeletal muscle biopsies from healthy and insulin-resistant human subjects undergoing hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps (Coletta et al., 2008; Rome et al., 2003; Sears et al., 2009); however, little has been done using more comprehensive approaches like RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), which allows measurement of all transcripts, including multiple isoforms due to alternative splicing and the expression of non-coding RNA (ncRNA) species. Likewise, in the human studies, there was no assessment of insulin regulation of gene expression in the liver due to sampling limitations.
Figure 1. Euglycemic Clamp, Insulin Signaling, and Gene Expression in Muscle and Liver

(A) Plasma insulin levels before and after hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp with 4 or 12 mU/kg/min insulin infusion for 20 min or 3 h (n = 2–4). Data are mean ± SEM (n = 6), *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001, two-way ANOVA.

(B) Glucose infusion rates indicated as average of t = 10–15 min for the 20 min clamp and t = 120–150 min for the 3 h clamp. ***p < 0.001, Student’s t test. Data are mean ± SEM.

(legend continued on next page)
To address gene expression changes induced by insulin under constant blood glucose levels, where the effects of counter-regulatory hormones are minimized, we have performed transcriptomic analysis in muscle and liver isolated from mice during a euglycemic clamp. We find that insulin regulates >1,000 transcripts in muscle and liver. These changes involve many well-known pathways related to energy metabolism, development, and autophagy, as well as previously unrecognized pathways, including chromatin remodeling and multiple ncRNAs, many of these with unknown function. Knockdown of selected long ncRNAs (lncRNAs) identified by lncRNA-mRNA correlation analysis in hepatocytes reveals a role for Gm15441 in regulation of fatty acid oxidation (FAO) and lipid accumulation. Thus, the response to physiological insulin levels in vivo is associated with a multi-level network of tissue-specific regulation of coding and ncRNAs producing the broad, pleiotropic actions of the hormone.

RESULTS

In Vivo Insulin Action and Gene Expression in Skeletal Muscle and Liver

To assess the regulation of gene expression in skeletal muscle and liver in response to physiological insulin levels, without interference of changing glucose levels or counter-regulatory hormones, we performed hyperinsulimemic-euglycemic clamps on conscious mice at low and high physiological (4 or 12 mU/kg/min) insulin infusion rates and collected samples at 20 min and 3 h (Kim, 2009). These doses are within ranges that effectively suppress endogenous glucose production (Ayala et al., 2006; Berglund et al., 2008) while producing half-maximal to maximal effects on glucose uptake (Shen et al., 1999). After some transient, mild increases in glucose in control mice at early time points, blood glucose of all mice remained between 110 and 150 mg/dL throughout the experiment (Figure S1A). At both time points, low and high insulin infusions raised plasma insulin levels to 1.7 ± 0.1 or 5.9 ± 0.5 ng/mL, representing levels seen during refeeding of fasted mice (O’Neill et al., 2015) or after an intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (ipGTT) (Andrikopoulos et al., 2008), respectively (Figure 1A). In the 20 min clamp and at early time points in the 3 h clamp, the glucose infusion rate (GIR) increased in a dose-dependent manner, but by 3 h, GIR had plateaued and was similar between insulin doses (Figures 1B and S1B).

Insulin signaling dynamics during the clamp are shown in Figures 1C, 1D, S1C, and S1E. Infusion with high doses of insulin led to IR and/or IGF1 receptor (IGF1R) tyrosine phosphorylation in both muscle and liver by 20 min, which was further increased at 3 h. Total IR protein levels were significantly downregulated at 3 h in muscle but remained stable in liver, indicating differential effects of insulin to downregulate the IR in these two tissues. By contrast, IGF1R remained unaltered in muscle but increased with time in liver. Downstream, tyrosine phosphorylation of IRS-1 in muscle peaked at 20 min and then gradually declined, while in liver, IRS-1 phosphorylation continued to increase to the 3 h point. In both tissues, p-AKT levels were maximal by 20 min, while phosphorylation of its downstream target FOXO1 increased more slowly. Phosphorylation of ERK1/2 was more variable between animals but transiently increased at 20 min and returned to near-basal levels at 3 h.

Despite the rapid signaling events, transcriptomic profiling by RNA-seq 20 min into the clamp revealed no significantly regulated genes in muscle and only one significantly regulated gene in liver, heat shock protein family A member 1B (Hspa1b), which was downregulated by both low and high insulin. By 3 h, however, insulin stimulation produced robust and dose-dependent gene regulation in both tissues. In skeletal muscle, of 13,142 genes detected, using a false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff of 0.1, there were 141 and 1,497 regulated genes with low and high insulin, respectively (Figure 1E, left). In liver, of 13,482 genes detected, we found 388 and 1,013 genes regulated by low and high insulin (Figure 1E, right). For both tissues, significantly changed genes were similarly distributed between upregulated and downregulated. About 9% of the significantly regulated genes in muscle and liver were non-protein coding (Figure 1F). Not surprisingly, the effects of insulin were highly tissue specific, with only about 10% of genes commonly regulated in both tissues (Figure 1F). This interesting subset included genes related to lipid and sterol metabolism and transcriptional regulation (Table S1).

Insulin-Regulated Transcriptional Networks in Skeletal Muscle and Liver

Genes regulated by high-dose insulin in skeletal muscle are shown in a volcano plot in Figure 2A and in heatmap form in Figure 2B. Among the most downregulated genes were two catabolic genes involved in protein ubiquitination (Fbxo32) and autophagy (Depp) and two members of the alpha-arrestin family, thioredoxin-interacting protein (Txnip) and arrestin domain-containing 3 (Arrdc3). Among the most upregulated genes were mediators of myofiber contraction, myosin light chain 2 (Myl2) and myomesin 3 (Myom3), FAO, acyl coenzyme A (CoA) synthetase 3 (Acsm3), and 3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase 1 (Bdh1). Changes in expression of these top-ranking genes were confirmed by qPCR (Figure S2A).

To better visualize the effects of insulin on skeletal muscle at a system level, we constructed protein-protein interaction networks (Szklarczyk et al., 2017) from gene expression data (Figure 2C; Table S2). This approach identified 11 sets of genes that were highly coordinated in their response to insulin stimulation, with some including as many as 22 genes in a single pathway. Insulin positively regulated multiple members of gene sets involved in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, electron transport chain, muscle function, and glucose and lipid metabolism. In addition to these nuclear-encoded genes, insulin increased...
mRNA levels of 19 genes encoded by mtDNA by \( \geq 1.5 \)-fold, including components of the electron transport chain (mt-Nd1 and mt-Co1) (Figure 2C) and tRNAs (mt-T11 and mt-Tp) (Table S3), consistent with a coordinated increase in mitochondrial biogenesis. Conversely, insulin downregulated gene clusters involved in insulin action, components of mTOR signaling and autophagy pathways, and protein ubiquitination. Pathway analysis confirmed enrichment for several of these gene clusters (Figures S3A and S3B). In addition to these classical pathways, insulin downregulated unanticipated gene sets associated with chromatin remodeling and mRNA splicing. The former allows refinement of the transcriptional program by altering chromatin structure, which determines accessibility of transcription factors to gene promoters (Hota and Bruneau, 2016), while the latter allows alternative assembly of the transcribed mRNAs (Chen and Manley, 2009).

In general, the total number of mRNAs regulated in the liver was less than that in muscle, but the magnitude of regulation was greater, with some mRNAs showing up to 37-fold changes during the clamp. In liver, the top-ranking downregulated genes included the catalytic subunit of glucose–6-phosphatase (G6pc), a rate-limiting enzyme controlling glucose production, and mitochondrial carriers for glutamate (mt-Nd1 and mt-Co1) and tRNAs for mitochondrial biogenesis. Conversely, insulin downregulated unanticipated gene sets associated with chromatin remodeling and mRNA splicing. The former allows refinement of the transcriptional program by altering chromatin structure, which determines accessibility of transcription factors to gene promoters (Hota and Bruneau, 2016), while the latter allows alternative assembly of the transcribed mRNAs (Chen and Manley, 2009).

Identification of Candidate Upstream Regulators by Motif Enrichment Analysis

To identify the potential mediators of these changes in gene expression, we analyzed sequences 2 kb upstream or downstream of the transcription start site (TSS) in all regulated genes for transcription factor binding motifs. In muscle, promoter regions of upregulated genes were highly enriched for sites for C/EBP, EVI1 (also known as PRDM3), factors involved in muscle development (Myogenin/NF-1 and MEF2A), factors previously identified as being involved in insulin action (ET52), and nuclear receptors, including steroiogenic factor 1 (SF1), estrogen receptor (ER), and estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERRα) (Figure 4A). Of 155 genes upregulated by insulin that showed enrichment for ERRα binding motifs, 51 overlapped with ERRα targets previously identified by chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) in PGC1α-overexpressing C2C12 myotubes (Salatino et al., 2016) (Figure S4), including genes involved in the TCA cycle (Mdh1 and Idh3a) and oxidative metabolism (Cyc1 and Atp5c1) (Figure 4B, top).

Among downregulated genes, the most enriched transcription factor motif was insulin (USF and SREBP1) (Mounier and Posner, 2006), mTOR signaling (YY1) (Cunningham et al., 2007), and signaling by vitamin D receptor (VDR) (Wang et al., 2012) (Figure 4A). Consistent with the model of nuclear exclusion of FoxO proteins following insulin-induced phosphorylation by AKT (Nakae et al., 2000; O’Neill et al., 2016), a large fraction of the downregulated genes had binding sites for FOXO1, FOXO3, and FOXO4 (Figure 4B, bottom).

In the liver, most insulin-upregulated genes contained transcription factor binding sites for factors related to developmental pathways involving hepatocyte nuclear factor (HNF) 1 and HNF4 (Sheaffer and Kaestner, 2012), homeodomain proteins (NCX, MEIS1/HOXA9, and CDP) (Azzoitia et al., 2005; Borghini et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2010), and the bile acid receptor FXR, as well as for factors related to cell-cycle regulation (NF-Y and E2F) (Di Agostino et al., 2006; Tu et al., 2006) (Figure 4C). Of the 29 upregulated genes that showed HNF1 binding sites, 23 have been identified by ChIP-seq analysis as HNF1α targets in HepG2 hepatocytes (Davis et al., 2018) (Figure 4D, top, and Figure S4). However, genes containing promoter motifs related to lipid metabolism (PPARγ, PPARα, and SREBP1) and cyclic AMP (cAMP) action (β-ATF, ATF1/3, CREB, and CREBP1) were enriched in downregulated genes (Figure 4C). Consistent with the pivotal role of insulin in the transition to the post-absorptive state through suppression of cAMP signaling, several fasting-related genes possessing binding sites for activating transcription factor (ATF) proteins were found to be downregulated (Figure 4D, bottom).

SREBP1 is translated into a 125 kDa precursor protein that, following sterol depletion or insulin action, gets cleaved into an active 68 kDa isoform that translocates to the nucleus and stimulates transcription of several genes involved in lipogenesis (Horton et al., 2002). In the 3 h clamp, SREBP1 activation was confirmed by a 2-fold increase of the cleaved isoform in both muscle and liver (Figures S4C and S4D). Fatty acid synthase (FAS), a well-known target of SREBP1, followed the same increasing trend. In both muscle and liver, we also observed enrichment of SREBP1 motifs, especially in downregulated genes, including those related to transcription, notch, and wnt signaling (Figure S4E), suggesting additional functions of SREBP1 that go beyond regulation of lipogenesis. Thus, multiple general and tissue-specific transcription factors underlie the

Figure 2. Insulin Regulation of Gene Expression in Skeletal Muscle

(A) Volcano plot showing distribution of differentially expressed genes (in red) by high insulin at 3 h compared to basal on the log2 scale.
(B) Heatmap showing the top 50 insulin-regulated genes. See also Figure S2.
(C) Network of predicted protein–protein interactions from STRING analysis (Szklarczyk et al., 2017) using insulin-regulated genes in muscle as input. 1,406 nodes and 6,369 interactions were detected (p < 1 x 10^-15). Colors of nodes represent log2 fold change values of insulin regulation. See also Figure S3 and Tables S2 and S3.
diverse effects of insulin on gene expression in skeletal muscle and liver.

Identification of Insulin-Regulated Pathways in Diabetic States

Diabetes is a state of impaired insulin signaling due to either lack of insulin (type 1 diabetes) or insulin resistance (type 2 diabetes). Both of these can lead to altered gene expression due to the loss of insulin signaling, as well as to secondary factors such as hyperglycemia, changes in other hormones, inflammation, and generation of reactive oxygen species (Lan et al., 2003; Ye-choor et al., 2004; Yip and Fathman, 2014). To identify pathways directly regulated by insulin action within those dysregulated in diabetes, we intersected our data with gene expression datasets in muscle and livers from insulin-deficient streptozotocin (STZ)-treated mice (Franko et al., 2014; Kivelä et al., 2006) and insulin resistance due to high-fat diet (HFD) feeding (Almind and Kahn, 2004), looking for inversely correlated genes (Figure 5A). Of the 741 mRNAs measured in all studies whose expression was regulated by insulin during the clamp, 58 were inversely regulated in STZ diabetes and 196 were inversely regulated in HFD-induced insulin resistance. Of these reciprocally regulated genes, 22 were common to both pathophysiological conditions (Table S4). These related to mitochondrial function, electron transport chain, and TCA cycle activity (Figure 5B). Of the 483 mRNAs regulated by insulin in liver measured in all studies, 86 were inversely regulated in STZ, 82 were inversely regulated in HFD-induced diabetes, and 20 were common between these diabetic conditions (Figure 5C; Table S4). These were mainly associated with transcriptional regulation and transport of organic anions (Slc22a23 and slc22a30) and cationic amino acids (Slc7a2). In both tissues, almost 70% of the genes regulated by insulin during the clamp were not significantly altered by either disease state, suggesting that in these chronic conditions, there are compensatory mechanisms that protect against some of the dysregulated gene expression that would result from a loss of insulin action.

Insulin Regulation of ncRNA Species

In addition to coding genes, more than 150 ncRNAs were dose-dependently regulated by insulin in muscle and liver (Figure 1E). This is likely an underestimate of the true effect, because library preparation for this study was not designed to capture miRNAs and other very small and non-polyadenylated RNAs. As with coding genes, insulin regulation of ncRNAs at 3 h in response to the higher insulin dose was tissue specific, with only 4 ncRNAs...
being commonly regulated in muscle and liver, and all of these were downregulated (Figure 6A). More than 60% of the remaining 163 uniquely regulated ncRNAs were IncRNAs, including long intergenic ncRNAs (lincRNAs), antisense RNAs, and pseudogene RNAs (Figure 6B). Top IncRNAs regulated by a high insulin dose in muscle and liver are shown in Figures 6C and 6D, and these were confirmed by qPCR (Figure S5).

Binding motif analysis revealed various transcription factors in mediating the effects of insulin on regulation of ncRNAs. In muscle, many upregulated ncRNAs showed enrichment of binding sites for transcription factors involved in development such as AT-rich interaction domain (ARID3A) and homeobox (HOXC8, HOXD3, and HOXA3) proteins (Figure 6E). We also detected binding sites for transcriptional regulators found enriched among coding genes (MYOD1, ERRα, FOXO3, and SREBP1); however, in the case of ncRNAs, the direction of regulation was similar between upregulated and downregulated transcripts. Binding sites for developmental transcription factors (DMBX1 and NR2F2) and transcription factors related to stress response and metabolic adaptation (FOXK1, XBP1, CREB1, FOXO3, and ATF3) were also present among insulin-regulated ncRNAs in liver (Figure 6F).

To determine whether the IncRNAs regulated during the clamp are responsive to physiological fluctuations of insulin levels, we subjected mice to a fasting and refeeding paradigm in which samples were collected from three groups of mice: ad libitum fed, overnight fasted, and overnight fasted followed by 8 h of refeeding. As expected, the expression of G6pc and Gck, which are known to respond to feeding cycles (Haeusler et al., 2014) and are major transcriptional targets of insulin in the liver, showed the expected changes, with G6pc expression significantly increased by overnight fasting and returning to ad libitum levels upon refeeding, while Gck was reciprocally regulated with decreased levels after fasting, which returned above ad libitum levels upon refeeding (Figures 7A and 7B, insets). Likewise, many IncRNAs downregulated during the clamp were upregulated by fasting, generally by 2- to 4-fold, although some changed by more than 100-fold, such as Gm15441. Upon

![Figure 4. Transcription Factor Motifs Enriched in Insulin-Regulated Genes in Muscle and Liver](image-url)

(A and C) Overrepresented transcription factor motifs within 2 kb of TSS in (A) muscle and (C) liver. Plots are percentages of predicted target genes that are significantly up- or downregulated by insulin (FDR < 0.1). Enrichment analysis was performed using data from low and high insulin samples combined (n = 12).

(B) Examples of genes in muscle showing enrichment for ERRα (top) and FOXO1 (bottom) binding motifs.

(D) Examples of genes in liver showing enrichment for HNF1 (top) and ATF protein (BATF, ATF3, and CREB) (bottom) binding motifs. See also Figure S4.
refeeding, most of these returned to ad libitum levels or lower, consistent with the pattern of regulation seen during the clamp (Figure 7A). In the case of lncRNAs upregulated by insulin, although there was less robust regulation by fasting and refeeding, lncRNA Gm11967 was significantly downregulated by fasting and increased upon refeeding, and others such as C730036E19Rik, Gm15622, and Gm16559 showed a similar trend (Figure 7B). Thus, the transcriptional remodeling promoted by insulin during the clamp is associated with regulation of multiple lncRNAs. These lncRNAs are also sensitive to fasting and refeeding, indicating a role in metabolic adaptation to nutritional status.

Identification of Gm15441 as a Regulator of Lipid Metabolism

The regulatory roles exerted by lncRNAs extend to virtually every step of the transmission of genetic information, including transcription, mRNA processing, translation, and degradation (Villegas and Zaphiropoulos, 2015). Because most lncRNAs are not annotated on pathway databases, we performed correlation analysis of IncRNA-mRNA expression changes in muscle and liver (Table S5) and, from these sets of coding genes, analyzed the associated biological processes. This approach has been previously employed to identify metabolic roles of lncRNAs in liver (Li et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2016).

Based on gene expression changes in liver seen in the clamp and fasting and refeeding experiments, we selected the downregulated lncRNAs Gm15663 and Gm15441 and upregulated lncRNA Gm11967 for follow-up studies. These were also of interest, because Gm11967 and Gm15441 are close to two other insulin-regulated genes, Gck and Txnip genes (Figure S6A), which are well-established regulators of glucose homeostasis in mice and humans (Chutkow et al., 2010; Parikh et al., 2007; Postic et al., 1999; Steele et al., 2014). Gene ontology (GO) analysis of the correlated mRNAs indicated an association of Gm11967 expression with pathways related to differentiation and development, while Gm15663 showed enrichment with mineral transport (iron, copper, and sulfur), the mitochondrial genome, and stem cell maintenance, as well as fatty acid transport and oxidation (Figure S6B; Table S6). For Gm15441, however, eight of the top ten correlated pathways were related to lipid metabolism.

Using RNAi, we knocked down Gm11967, Gm15663, and Gm15441 in mouse primary hepatocytes with an efficiency of 55% to 89%. This occurred without changes in expression of neighboring genes (Figure S6C), confirming the specificity of the knockdown and suggesting that these lncRNAs are more likely to function in trans on more distant genomic regions. Consistent with our initial prediction, knockdown of both Gm15663 and Gm15441 resulted in downregulation of genes involved in lipid transport (Cd36) and β-oxidation (Cpt1a and Hadha), while knockdown of Gm11967 had no effects on genes involved in lipid metabolism (Figure 7C). Changes in CPT1A and HADHA following Gm15441 knockdown were confirmed at the
A. A Venn diagram showing the number of upregulated and downregulated genes in muscle and liver.

B. A bar graph showing the number of genes for LincRNA and antisense in muscle and liver under basal and insulin conditions.

C. Heatmaps showing the expression of LincRNA and antisense genes in muscle and liver under basal and insulin conditions.

D. Heatmaps showing the expression of LincRNA and antisense genes in liver under basal and insulin conditions.

E. Heatmaps showing the expression of HOX genes in muscle under basal and insulin conditions.

F. Heatmaps showing the expression of FOX genes in liver under basal and insulin conditions.

(legend on next page)
protein level (Figure 7D). These effects were specific to fatty acid metabolism, because genes involved in gluconeogenesis and cholesterol and steroid synthesis remained largely unaffected by knockdown of either of these lncRNAs (Figure S7A). Knockdown of Gm15663 and Gm15441 also downregulated the major transcriptional regulator of lipid metabolism Ppar gamma by 50–60%, while Ppar alpha expression was reduced by Gm11967 knockdown and Ppargc1a (PGC1-a), which co-activates both of these nuclear receptors, was specifically downregulated by Gm15441 knockdown (Figure S7B).

Altogether, the pathway analysis and gene expression studies indicated that Gm15441 was the lncRNA most closely related to metabolic adaptation and specifically to lipid metabolism. To further explore this link at a functional level, we knocked down Gm15441 in the mouse hepatocyte cell line AML-12. This occurred with an efficiency of 70% (Figure S7C), similar to that in primary hepatocytes, and was accompanied by downregulation of Cpt1a plus a reduction of other β-oxidation genes (Acadm and Acdal) (Figure S7D) and the transcriptional regulators of lipid metabolism, Ppar gamma and Ppargc1a (Figure S7E). In a lipid accumulation assay using palmitic acid as substrate, control cells showed a 3-fold increase in intracellular triglyceride levels, and this was enhanced by Gm15441 knockdown (Figure 7F). The latter was associated with a 25% reduction in FAO rates and a nearly significant decrease of β-hydroxybutyrate, a ketone byproduct of fatty acid catabolism, in culture supernatants (Figure 7F). These changes were not attributable to differences in fatty acid uptake (Figure 7G). Collectively, these data indicate that Gm15441 is an insulin-sensitive lncRNA that contributes to regulation of the FAO program.

**DISCUSSION**

Loss of transcriptional integrity in response to insulin deficiency is linked to many features of uncontrolled diabetes (Patti, 2004; Sears et al., 2009). While much is known from in vitro studies about insulin regulation of gene expression, establishing a comprehensive view of insulin-regulated transcriptional networks in vivo in a tissue-specific manner is more difficult, because glucose homeostasis involves a complex metabolic response of insulin, multiple counter-regulatory hormones, and other metabolites. In the present study, we have assessed the effects of insulin on gene expression at constant blood glucose levels by performing euglycemic clamps at low and high physiological levels of insulin comparable to those observed after feeding or during an oral glucose challenge. We find that in both muscle and liver, insulin acutely regulates a broad and multi-dimensional network of gene expression. Although the euglycemic clamp is the best available method to assess insulin action at constant blood glucose levels and with minimal counter-regulation (Kim, 2009), there are methodological considerations. First, constant insulin infusion does not recapitulate the dynamic nature of insulin secretion, which may be more efficient in regulation of insulin action (Matveyenko et al., 2012). Second, by its nature, a clamp is based on peripheral insulin delivery, whereas physiologically insulin is secreted into the portal vein, thus changing the balance of effects in muscle compared to liver (Farmer et al., 2015). Third, repeated tail tip blood sampling may have an impact on catecholamine levels, although this would also affect the control mice receiving saline (Ayala et al., 2006).

In muscle, mitochondria are a major target of insulin’s transcriptional actions, with coordinate regulation of both nuclear and mtDNA transcripts linked to glucose utilization through the TCA cycle and oxidative phosphorylation, as well as recruitment of genes involved in glycosgenesis and triglyceride synthesis for glucose storage. This transcriptional response is consistent with the role of insulin in muscle as the major site of glucose disposal during the clamp (Thiebaud et al., 1982). The nuclear receptor ERRz is a likely a major upstream regulator of the transcriptional response to insulin associated with mitochondrial metabolism, as indicated by enrichment of ERRz binding sites within promoters of many insulin-regulated genes involved with oxidative metabolism and by increased mRNA levels of the transcriptional co-activator Ppargc1b (PGC1b), which is essential for ERRz activity (Mootha et al., 2004), as well as increased levels of the ERRz downstream target Pem1 (Cho et al., 2013) in the insulin-stimulated state. In addition to control of glucose utilization, a major function of insulin in muscle is prevention of protein breakdown (Abdulla et al., 2016). Consistent with this anticausal function, during the clamp there is suppression of autophagy genes and E3-ubiquitin ligases. These major pathways regulating muscle proteostasis have been shown to be controlled by FOXO transcription factors (O’Neill et al., 2019; Sandri et al., 2004). Several insulin-regulated autophagy genes (including Ulk1 and Tbc1d17) showed enrichment for FOXO1, FOXO3, and FOXO4 binding sites on promoter regions, consistent with highest FOXO1/3 phosphorylation at 3 h.

Impaired expression of genes involved in oxidative metabolism is observed in muscle from subjects with type 1 diabetes (Karakeelides et al., 2007) and type 2 diabetes (T2D) (Mootha et al., 2003; Patti et al., 2003). Intersection of genes regulated by insulin in muscle during the clamp with data from STZ and HFD models reveals that downregulation of mitochondrial function genes related to TCA cycle and oxidative phosphorylation in diabetes is linked to impaired insulin action. The relationship between insulin resistance and diabetic state on gene expression is illustrated by comparing mice with IR knockout in muscle (MIRKO) and mice made diabetic by STZ treatment (Ychool et al., 2004). In this comparison, genes of oxidative phosphorylation are downregulated by STZ diabetes but remain unchanged upon muscle-specific deletion of the IR, indicating
Figure 7. Physiological Regulation and Effect of lncRNA Gm15441 Knockdown on Fatty Acid Oxidation

(A and B) Expression of (A) downregulated and (B) upregulated lncRNAs by insulin in the clamp in livers of 14- to 16-week-old ad libitum fed, overnight fasted, and 8 h refed mice. Gene expression was normalized to TBP. Data are means ± SEM, n = 4–5, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA.

(C) Expression fold change of selected genes involved in fatty acid transport and β-oxidation pathways. Gene expression was normalized to TBP. Data are means ± SEM, n = 4–5, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA.

(D) Western blot analysis of CPT1A and HADHA expression in liver lysates from siScr and siGm15441-treated mice. Protein levels were normalized to β-actin. Data are means ± SEM, n = 4–5, *p < 0.05.

(E) Effects of Gm15441 knockdown on triglycerides and palmitate oxidation in perirenal fat pads. Data are means ± SEM, n = 4, *p < 0.05, ****p < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA.

(F) Effects of Gm15441 knockdown on β-hydroxybutyrate production in perirenal fat pads. Data are means ± SEM, n = 4, p = 0.079.

(G) Effects of Gm15441 knockdown on palmitate uptake in perirenal fat pads. Data are means ± SEM, n = 4.
that metabolic factors other than insulin resistance are involved in the downregulation of mitochondrial genes. Insulin replacement restores gene expression in Iox-STZ mice, but not in MIRKO-STZ mice, indicating that insulin action provides an initiating force in this gene regulation.

In the liver, insulin upregulates genes involved in steroid and cholesterol biosynthesis and downregulates pathways related to glucose production and fatty acid catabolism. In terms of suppression of endogenous production in liver, insulin action to phosphorylate FOXO1 plays a central role in increasing Gck transcription while suppressing G6pc levels (Haessler et al., 2014). Insulin resistance in liver due to deletion of the IR or its downstream partners, IRS proteins or AKT1/AKT2, is characterized by unrestrained gluconeogenesis, and this can be reversed by liver-specific deletion of FOXO1 (Lu et al., 2012; Matsumoto et al., 2007; O-Sullivan et al., 2015). In our study, the involvement of FOXOs in the transcriptional response to insulin in the liver is indicated by increase of FOXO1 and FOXO3 phosphorylation in association with coordinate upregulation of Gck and downregulation of G6pc and other canonical FOXO targets in the liver, such as Pck1, Iftp1b, and Ppargc1a.

HNFs also appear to mediate part of the transcriptional effects of insulin in liver metabolism. During the 3 h clamp, insulin increases the expression of Foxa2 (HNF3β), Foxa3 (HNF3γ), One-cut1 (HNF6x), and Onecut2 (HNF6β) in the liver, and characterizes of several regulated genes are enriched for HNF1 and HNF4 binding motifs. Insulin has been shown to also regulate liver transcription factors at the post-translational level, such as by promoting the inhibitory phosphorylation of FOXA2 at Thr156 by AKT, resulting in nuclear exclusion and suppression of gluconeogenesis genes (Wolfrum et al., 2003), and through FOXO1 phosphorylation, which disrupts an inhibitory interaction with HNF4α, thus promoting its transcriptional activity (Hirotta et al., 2009). Thus, HNFs, in combination with FOXOs, play important roles in determining the transcriptional response to insulin in the liver.

Control of lipid metabolism is a central metabolic function of insulin and occurs with different transcriptional profiles in muscle and liver. In liver, 3 h insulin stimulation downregulates the rate-limiting FAO enzymes Cpt1a and Cpt2 and increases genes involved in steroid and cholesterol biosynthesis (Hmgcs1 and Sqle), while mRNA levels of the major lipogenic genes remain unchanged at this time point. In muscle, insulin increases expression of enzymes of triglyceride synthesis (Gk and Dgat2), as well as genes involved in de novo lipogenesis (Fasn and Acly). Most lipogenic actions of insulin are controlled by the sterol response element binding proteins (SREBPs), with SREBP1c being more selective toward fatty acid and triglyceride synthesis and SREBP2 stimulation of cholesterol synthesis (Horton et al., 2002). Although the active SREBP1 isoform is induced by insulin in both tissues, the transcriptional profile from liver is most consistent with SREBP2 activation, whereas in muscle, SREBP1c is likely the dominant isoform. This agrees with previous observations of impaired SREBP2-mediated cholesterol gene expression in livers lacking IR expression specifically in hepatocytes (Miao et al., 2014). Motif analysis also indicate potential SREBP1 target genes involved in functions other than lipid metabolism, including transcription, chromatin modification, and notch and wnt signaling that are suppressed during the clamp. This is in line with previous reports of downregulation of genes involved in gluconeogenesis, cytochrome P450, and IRS-2-mediated signaling by SREBPs (Ide et al., 2004; Jang et al., 2016; Yamamoto et al., 2004).

Another aspect of metabolic regulation revealed by this study is the important role of insulin in regulation of ncRNAs. ncRNAs have gained increased attention in genetic research, because more than 85% of SNPs associated with T2D occur in non-coding intronic or intergenic regions of the genome (Jenkinson et al., 2015). In addition, dysregulated expression of IncRNAs has been demonstrated under diabetic conditions (He et al., 2017). However, a comprehensive understanding of IncRNA regulation by metabolic signals and downstream functions is lacking. We find that IncRNA regulation accounts for a significant part of the transcriptional response to insulin in muscle and liver. This is not limited to the clamp but is also observed by physiological insulin oscillations induced by fasting and refeeding. One such example is Gm15441, which is upregulated >100-fold by fasting and promptly returns to basal levels after refeeding, consistent with its downregulation during the clamp. This is in line with an upregulation of this IncRNA in livers of mice on a ketogenic diet, which lowers insulin levels (Newman et al., 2017).

Correlation between levels of Gm15441 with expression of FAO genes suggested a role for this IncRNA in regulating lipid metabolism. Knockdown of Gm15441 lowered palmitic acid-derived CO₂ and ketone body formation. Further studies are needed to determine precisely how Gm15441 regulates lipid metabolism, but our study provides two important facets of this mechanism. First, PPAR nuclear receptors are likely to be involved, because the expression of Ppar γ and its co-activator PGC1α are downregulated by more than 50% upon knockdown of Gm15441. Although FAO is linked to Ppar alpha, whose expression was unchanged in our knockout experiments, previous studies have shown that lower levels of PGC1 in the liver can also affect FAO (Chambers et al., 2012; Estall et al., 2009). Second, the lack of regulation of neighboring genes upon Gm15441 and Gm15663 knockdown and the different chromosomal location of potential targets PPARγ and PGC1α from these IncRNAs suggest a long-range trans acting mechanism.

(C and D) qPCR analysis of genes involved in lipid transport and oxidation normalized to 18S (C) and CPT1A and HADHA protein levels normalized to vinculin in mouse primary hepatocytes 24 h after transfection with siRNAs against Gm11967, Gm15663, and Gm15441 (D). Data are means ± SEM. Hepatocyte cultures from 4–5 mice were used. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, Student’s t test. See also Tables S5 and S6.

(E) Intracellular triglyceride accumulation in AML-12 hepatocytes treated with 500 μM palmitic acid or vehicle for 8 h. (F and G) [13C] palmitic acid oxidation and β-hydroxybutyrate levels in culture supernatants (F) and [13C] palmitic acid uptake in AML-12 hepatocytes (G). Lipid metabolism was assessed 24–36 h after transfection with siRNAs against Gm15441 or scramble controls. Data are means ± SEM, n = 5–6 biological replicates, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, Student’s t test. See also Figures S6 and S7.
In conclusion, insulin promotes rapid and tissue-specific re-modeling of gene transcription in vivo involving multiple layers of regulation. These changes extend include aspects not previously linked to diabetes, such as transcriptional regulation of lipid metabolism by ncRNAs. This network of gene regulation provides potential targets for therapeutic approaches involving insulin action in muscle and liver.
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KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-IGF-I Receptor β (Tyr1135/1136)/Insulin Receptor β (Tyr1150/1151) (19H7)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 3024; RRID:AB_331253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-I Receptor β</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 3027; RRID:AB_2122378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-AKT (Ser473)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 9271; RRID:AB_329825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akt (pan) (11E7)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 4685; RRID:AB_2225340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-FOXO1 (Thr24)/FOXO3A (Thr32)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 9464; RRID:AB_329842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXO1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 9454; RRID:AB_823503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p44/42 MAP kinase (phosphorylated Erk1/2)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 9101; RRID:AB_331646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 9102; RRID:AB_330744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin Receptor beta (C-19)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Cat# sc-711; RRID:AB_631835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospho-IRS1 (Tyr608) mouse/ (Tyr612) human</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# 09-432; RRID:AB_1163457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS-1</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
<td>Cat# 611394; RRID:AB_398916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinculin, clone VIIF9 (7F9)</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# MAB3574; RRID:AB_2304338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT1A [BF6AE9]</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab128568; RRID:AB_11141632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADHA</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab54777; RRID:AB_2263836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SREBP1</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Cat# sc-8984; RRID:AB_2194223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty Acid Synthase</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab22759; RRID:AB_732316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIPA Lysis Buffer, 10X for Immunoprecipitation &amp; Western Blotting</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# 700740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagenase, Type I from Clostridium histolyticum</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
<td>Cat# 17100017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen from calf skin</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# C8919; CAS: 9007-34-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# 13778030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PALMITIC ACID, [1-14C], 50 μCi</td>
<td>Perkin Elmer</td>
<td>Cat# NEC075H050UC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Commercial Assays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Hydroxybutyrate (Ketone Body) Fluorometric Assay Kit</td>
<td>Cayman Chemical</td>
<td>Cat# 700740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride Enzymatic Assay</td>
<td>Pointe Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# 177532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposited Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw sequence data (muscle and liver)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>GEO: GSE117741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression profiling by array</td>
<td>Almind and Kahn, 2004</td>
<td>GEO: GSE123394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: AML12 hepatocytes</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat# CRL-2254; RRID:CVCL_0140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: C57BL/6J</td>
<td>The Jackson Laboratory</td>
<td>Cat# 000664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligonucleotides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA against Gm11967 (sense): 5’ CAGAAGAUAUGAUCGGAUUU 3’</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA against Gm11967 (antisense): 5’ AUCCGAUCAUCAUCUUCUUU 3’</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA against Gm15663 (sense): 5’ GAAUACGUGUCAGAGAAUU 3’</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA against Gm15663 (antisense): 5’ UUCUUCGGACUCCGAUUCUU 3’</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA against Gm15441 (sense): 5’ ACAUAAGACUUCAGGGAAUU 3’</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA against Gm15441 (antisense): 5’ UUCUCCUGAAGUCAUAGUUU 3’</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software and Algorithms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAR</td>
<td>Dobin et al., 2013</td>
<td><a href="http://code.google.com/p/rna-star/">http://code.google.com/p/rna-star/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The R Project for Statistical Computing</td>
<td>Freely available online</td>
<td><a href="https://www.r-project.org/">https://www.r-project.org/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for reagents and resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, C. Ronald Kahn (c.ronald.kahn@joslin.harvard.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals
Experiments were performed on three month-old male C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Laboratories). Mice were housed in standard conditions under 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle and fed a 22% fat chow diet (Mouse Diet 9F, LabDiet). All procedures described were approved by the IACUC of the Joslin Diabetes Center, Boston, MA 02215 and University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01655, and were in accordance with NIH guidelines.

In vitro cell models
For primary hepatocyte isolation, mouse livers were perfused through the inferior cava vein with PBS-EDTA, followed by perfusion with a pre-warmed type I collagenase solution (GIBCO, catalog 17100017). Hepatocytes were released from excised livers in a 10 cm Petri dish, following two cycles of filtering through a 100 μm strainer and centrifugation at 50 g for 90 s at 4°C. Hepatocytes from preparations with 85% viability or higher, determined by trypan blue staining, were plated in 6-well plates pre-coated with collagen I (Sigma, catalog C8919). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 units of penicillin-streptomycin.

Mouse TGFα-immortalized AML-12 hepatocytes were maintained in DMEM/F12 medium containing 10% FBS, 10 μg/mL insulin, 5.5 mg/mL transferrin, 5 ng/mL dexamethasone, 11 mg/mL sodium pyruvate and 100 units of penicillin-streptomycin. All cells were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

METHOD DETAILS

Non-labeled Hyperinsulinemic-Euglycemic Clamp
Mice (n = 6 per condition) were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine/xylazine and had an indwelling catheter placed in the right internal jugular vein. After recovery (4-5 days) mice were fasted overnight (~16 hr) and placed on rat-sized restrainers. After acclimation period, mice received an insulin bolus of 16 or 48 mU/kg and were continuously perfused with either 4 or 12 mU/kg/min insulin or saline as control. Blood samples were collected from the tail tip at 5 min intervals (for the 20 min clamp) or 10-30 min intervals (for the 3 h clamp), and a 20% glucose solution was infused to maintain blood glucose levels at euglycemia (110 to 150 mg/dL). At 20 min or 3 h of clamp, mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, and tissues were harvested, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at −80°C until analysis. These in vivo experiments were conducted at the National Mouse Metabolic Phenotyping Center (MMPC) at UMass Medical School.

mRNA isolation, RNA-sequencing and qPCR
Total RNA was isolated from quadriceps muscle and liver fragments homogenized in QiAzhel reagent (QIAGEN), followed by chlorophorm/isopropanol/ethanol extraction. RNA quality and quantification was verified at the Joslin Genomics Core using a 2100 Agilent Bioanalyzer instrument. Total RNA samples (2 μg) that passed quality test (RNA integrity score > 7), were submitted to the Biopolymers Facility at Harvard Medical School. Stranded cDNA libraries with unique index tags for each sample (48 multiplexed) were made using a directional RNA-seq kit (Wafergen). Sequencing was performed on a Illumina HiSeq 2500 run on rapid mode (2x50). qPCR reactions were prepared using iQ SybrGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad, catalog 1708884) and run on a C1000 Thermal Cycler (BioRad, catalog CFX384) using TATA box binding protein (Tbp) or 18S ribosomal RNA as internal controls as indicated. Primer sequences used are listed in Table S7.

Bioinformatics analysis
Reads were aligned to the mouse genome from Gencode (GRCm38.p4) using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013) and counted with feature-Counts (Liao et al., 2014). Read counts were transformed to log2-counts per million (LogCPM), their mean-variance relationship was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STRING</td>
<td>Szklarczyk et al., 2017</td>
<td><a href="https://string-db.org/">https://string-db.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoscape</td>
<td>Shannon et al., 2003</td>
<td><a href="https://cytoscape.org">https://cytoscape.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClusterOne</td>
<td>Nepusz et al., 2012</td>
<td><a href="http://www.paccanarolab.org/cluster-one/">http://www.paccanarolab.org/cluster-one/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID</td>
<td>Huang et al., 2009</td>
<td><a href="https://david.ncifcrf.gov/">https://david.ncifcrf.gov/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
estimated, their weights were computed with voom (Law et al., 2014), and their differential expression was assessed using linear modeling with the R package limma (Ritchie et al., 2015). P values were corrected using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR), and FDR < 0.1 was considered statistically significant. Gene sets based on transcription factor targets, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and Gene Ontology Biological Process (BP) databases were tested using the limma Roast method (Wu et al., 2013).

Gene expression networks were designed by uploading differentially expressed genes to STRING database (Szklarczyk et al., 2017) and were further modeled in Cytoscape (Shannon et al., 2003). Gene clusters were identified using KEGG gene sets and ClusterOne function (Nepusz et al., 2012). Functional annotation analysis was performed using the DAVID platform V6.8 (Huang et al., 2009).

### Protein extraction and immunoblotting

Tissue fragments and cells were homogenized in RIPA buffer (EMD Millipore) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Biotool). Lysates were separated from insoluble material by centrifugation (12,000 RPM, 15 min, 4°C) and total protein content was determined by BCA assay (ThermoFisher). Equal protein amounts (~10 μg) were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (EMD Millipore). Membranes were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies: p-I/FR (I9271, Cell Signaling), IRβ (sc-711, Santa Cruz), IGF1Rβ (sc-3027, Cell Signaling), p-IRS1 (9243, Millipore), IRS1 (611394, BD), p-Akt (9271, Cell Signaling), Akt pan (sc-685, Cell Signaling), p-FOXO1 (9464, Cell Signaling), FoxO1 (9454, Cell Signaling), p-ERK1/2 (9101, Cell Signaling), ERK1/2 (9102, Cell Signaling), CPT1A (ab128568, Abcam), HADHA (ab54477, Abcam), SREBP1 (sc-8984, Santa Cruz), Fatty Acid Synthase (ab22759, Abcam), Vinculin (sc-3574, Chemicon).

### LncRNA knockdown

Twenty four hours after isolation, primary hepatocytes were transfected with 75 pmoles of custom-made siRNAs (Dharmacon/GE Lifesciences) against Gm11967, Gm15663, Gm15441, or non-targeting controls using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen). Twenty four hours post transfection, cells were washed with PBS and collected with 1 mL TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) followed by RNA extraction according to manufacturer’s instructions. Protein extracts were prepared as described above. Mouse AML-12 hepatocytes were transfected with either 60 pmoles (12-well) or 30 pmoles (24-well) at 70%–80% confluency. All assays were performed within 24-36 h post-transfection. siRNA sequences are as follows: Gm11967 sense 5’ CAGGAAAGUGUAGCGAGA 3’, antisense 5’ AUCCGAUCACAUCCUCUGU 3’; Gm15663 sense: 5’ GAAUACCGUGCAGAAGAUAU 3’, antisense: 5’ UUCUUCUGCACCGU AUUUCUU 3’, Gm15441 sense: 5’ ACAUAAGACUUCGAGAAGA 3’, antisense: 5’ UUCUCCUGAAGUCUUAUGU 3’.

### Fatty acid accumulation, oxidation and uptake

For lipid accumulation, cells were pre-incubated overnight (~16 h) in DMEM/F12 + 2.5% FBS (no supplements) followed by incubation with 500 μM palmitic acid (Sigma, catalog P5585) pre-complexed with fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin (FAF-BSA) or BSA-only as controls for 8 h. Total intracellular triglycerides were measured by an enzymatic plate-based assay (Pointe Scientific) and normalized to protein content. For FAO, cells were serum-starved in the presence of 0.1% FAF-BSA for 3 h, followed by 1 h with fatty acid incubation media (FAIM) containing 20 μM palmitic acid conjugated with FAF-BSA plus 1 h in the presence of 0.1 μCi 14C palmitic acid (Perkin Elmer). FAO was determined as the fraction of 14C palmitic acid-derived CO₂ trapped in filter papers normalized to protein content as previously described (Akie and Cooper, 2015). Before addition of 14C palmitic acid, an aliquot of FAIM was collected and assayed for β-hydroxybutyrate levels using a fluorimetric method (Cayman Chemical) according to manufacturer’s instructions. For fatty acid uptake, cells were serum-starved for 3 h and incubated with FAIM containing 200 μM palmitic acid conjugated with FAF-BSA for 30 min plus another 30 min in the presence of 0.1 μCi 14C palmitic acid. Fatty acid uptake was interpreted as protein-normalized counts from cell lysates.

### QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data are presented as means ± SEM. Comparisons between two groups was performed using Student’s t test. Comparisons between more than two groups was performed using One-way ANOVA followed by post hoc tests. Comparisons between two groups and two nominal variables (e.g., basal versus clamp) was performed using Two-way ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak’s post hoc test. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (Version 7.02). Significance level was set at p < 0.05.

### DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

RNA-seq data described here is deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GEO: GSE117741. Expression data from muscle and liver microarrays of HFD C57BL/6 mice are under accession number GEO: GSE123394. The metabolic phenotype of these mice has been described (Almind and Kahn, 2004). Data-sets from STZ-treatment in mouse muscle GEO: GSE1659 (Kivelä et al., 2006) and liver GEO: GSE39752 (Franko et al., 2014) were downloaded from GEO.