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1. Introduction

Functional asymmetry of inter- and intra-hemispheric interaction exists during the normal development of human brain. For example, the left hemisphere is more specialized for high spatial frequencies; whereas the right hemisphere prefers to process low spatial frequencies [1]. The right hemisphere is also biased towards processing line bisection [2], line orientation [3], and mental rotation [4] compared to the left hemisphere. The two hemispheres interact with each other via callosal fibers [5]. Healthy individuals benefit from such inter-hemispheric interaction. Having both hemispheres involved in processing tasks is more advantageous than just one hemisphere, especially when computational complexity increases [6,7]. Further, it has been reported that asymmetry in intra-hemispheric connectivity is associated with language hemispheric dominance [8].

Even though healthy individuals benefit from normal asymmetry of inter- and intra-hemispheric interactions for efficient information processing, such asymmetry is disrupted in schizophrenia. Non-right-handed individuals are apt to suffer from schizophrenia than right-handedness [9], which may suggest a failure to develop brain asymmetry. Crow et al. [10] has reported that structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) abnormalities are highly significantly selective to the left hemisphere in schizophrenia. Our group has found reduced gray matter volume in the left middle temporal gyrus (MTG), but not
disrupted asymmetry represents an endophenotype for schizophrenia. An endophenotype is state independent and heritable, and segregates with known illness loci [16]. Endophenotype is often observed in unaffected siblings at an increased rate than in the general population [11,12]. Third, existing evidence suggests that antipsychotic drugs can alter rsFC patterns [17–20]; in addition, changes induced by antipsychotic drugs in brain network topology can predict individual treatment response [21]. However, it is still unknown whether disrupted asymmetry in the brain is associated with clinical response to antipsychotic treatment in patients with schizophrenia.

The present study sought to address these questions. Patients with drug-naïve, first-episode schizophrenia, unaffected siblings and healthy controls were recruited in the study. Resting-state functional MRI (rs-fMRI) was used to assess voxel-wise inter- and intra-hemispheric FCs. Inter- and intra-hemispheric FCs were calculated between a given voxel and other voxels from the opposite hemisphere (inter-hemispheric FCs) and the same hemisphere (intra-hemispheric FCs). Then, a quantitative parameter of asymmetry (PAS) was calculated to reflect inter- and/or intra-hemispheric asymmetry (PAS = FC_{inter} − FC_{intra}). This voxel-wise method does not depend on pre-defined regions of interest (ROIs) in two hemispheres, therefore minimizing potential confounding effects of structural asymmetry and selection bias caused by ROIs. Previously, autonomy index (AI), an asymmetry parameter, was calculated by counting the numbers of voxels with abnormal functional asymmetry [22]. AI is valuable to quantify functional asymmetry. However, AI ignores the correlation coefficient of each voxel, which is an important characteristic of functional asymmetry. Therefore, we proposed a novel method, PAS, to quantify correlation coefficients of voxels with functional asymmetry in the present study. Unaffected siblings were recruited to examine whether disrupted asymmetry represent an endophenotype for schizophrenia. Patients received olanzapine treatment for 8 weeks. Previous univariate analysis could predict clinical response at the group level [23], which was of little utility to guide treatment decision making for individual patients in the clinical setting. By contrast, pattern classification techniques including support vector machine (SVM) allow prediction at the individual level, which might be helpful in the clinical setting. Such methods have been used to differentiate patients with adolescent-onset schizophrenia and healthy controls [24], and to predict clinical response to electroconvulsive treatment in patients with major depressive disorder [25]. The purpose of the present study was to examine whether the baseline PAS scores can predict individual clinical response to olanzapine treatment at week 8 using the SVM method.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

Forty-six patients with drug-naïve, first-episode schizophrenia and 46 unaffected siblings were recruited from the Mental Health Center, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University in China between June 2013 and July 2014; 46 healthy controls were recruited from the local community during the same time period. All subjects were in the age range 18–37 years old and right handed, and had >6 years of formal education.

Patients were diagnosed with schizophrenia according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV (DSM-IV). They were never treated with antipsychotic medications or other psychotropic agents. The diagnosis of schizophrenia was further determined by two research psychiatrists (W.G. and Z.Z.) using the Structural Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID), patient version [26]. All patients had a Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) total score of >70 at baseline. Exclusion criteria included history of nicotine dependence, alcohol or other substance dependence, or history of brain injury. A routine physical examination including review of systems, and routine laboratory tests including complete blood cell count, a comprehensive
metabolic panel, thyroid function test, urinalysis, electrocardiography, and chest radiography were performed to exclude any ongoing significant medical conditions.

Unaffected siblings and healthy controls were recruited through advertisement. They went through the same physical examination and routine laboratory tests to rule out any medical conditions. In addition, the same research psychiatrists (W.G. and Z.Z.) conducted a structured clinical interview using the SCID, non-patient version [26] to rule out any psychiatric conditions. None of them had a history of any psychiatric conditions, nicotine dependence, alcohol or other substance dependence. In addition, those who had a first-degree relative diagnosed with psychiatric disorders were not eligible to be healthy controls.

Patients were treated with olanzapine (10–30 mg/d) with a mean (standard deviation) dose of 18.30 (5.17) mg/d for 8 weeks. The PANSS was repeated at week 8.

The study was approved by the ethics committees of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University. All subjects signed a written informed consent.

2.2. Image acquisition and preprocessing

Images were obtained using a 3 T MRI scanner (Siemens Verio, Erlangen, Germany). The images were analyzed using the DPABI software [27]. More details about image acquisition and pre-processing can be found in the Supplementary files.

2.3. Calculation of the PAS scores

For each subject, correlation coefficients were calculated between a given voxel and other voxels from the same hemisphere (intra-hemispheric coefficients) or other voxels from the opposite hemisphere (inter-hemispheric coefficients) by using a voxel-wise whole-brain analysis. The mean coefficient of this given voxel was termed as the intra-hemispheric FC or inter-hemispheric FC of this voxel. Because small correlation coefficients between voxels, which were not significantly greater/smaller than zero, might bring in confounding effect on asymmetry analysis, the PAS calculation was conducted based on a pre-defined correlation coefficient threshold ($r > 0.2$) in order to remove weak correlations likely due to signal noise [28,29]. To test whether the choice of correlation coefficient threshold might affect the findings, another correlation coefficient threshold ($r > 0.25$) was also used according to a previous study [22]. Given the detrimental effects of negative correlations on test–retest reliability [30] and ambiguous explanation of negative correlations [31–33], the PAS calculation was restricted to positive correlations only. The mean coefficients were z transformed as described previously [34]. The PAS values were calculated using the formula below:

$$\text{PAS} = \text{FC}_{\text{inter}} - \text{FC}_{\text{intra}}$$

$\text{FC}_{\text{inter}}$ refers to inter-hemispheric FC, and $\text{FC}_{\text{intra}}$ intra-hemispheric FC. A positive PAS score means that the asymmetry mainly originates from inter-hemispheric FC, whereas a negative PAS score means that the asymmetry primarily results from intra-hemispheric FC. Based on calculated PAS scores, the PAS maps were generated for further analysis.

2.4. Statistical analyses

Demographic and clinical data were analyzed using Chi-square test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) as appropriate.

Framewise displacement (FD) was calculated for each subject using the method described previously [35]. For the PAS maps, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), followed by post hoc t-tests, was used to compare group differences controlling for the mean FD and age. The significance level was set at $p < 0.05$ corrected by the Gaussian random field (GRF) theory (voxel significance: $p < 0.001$, cluster significance: $p < 0.05$) using the REST software [36].

Once abnormal clusters were identified by group comparisons, the mean PAS scores were extracted from every cluster in the patient group. Normality test was conducted to confirm that the data for mean PAS scores and clinical variables are in normal distribution. Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed within patients to examine the relationship between the mean PAS scores and clinical variables including illness duration and the PANSS total scores. The significance level was set at $p < 0.05$ after the Bonferroni correction.

2.5. SVM analysis

SVM was performed using the LIBSVM software (http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/). Patients were divided into two groups (good response versus poor response) based on the median value of the reduction ratio (RR) of the PANSS total scores after 8 weeks of olanzapine treatment. The median value was used to ensure equal numbers of patients between the two groups, which is required for SVM analysis. The RR was calculated as follows.

$$RR = \frac{\text{PANSStotal}_0 - \text{PANSStotal}_{8\text{w}}}{\text{PANSStotal}_0}$$

$\text{PANSStotal}_0$ refers to the PANSS total scores at baseline, whereas $\text{PANSStotal}_{8\text{w}}$ is the PANSS total scores after 8 weeks of treatment.

SVM was used to examine whether the PAS scores at baseline can predict clinical response to olanzapine treatment at week 8. A “leave-one-out” procedure was applied to perform SVM.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the subjects

The data of 8 subjects (2 patients, 4 siblings, and 2 controls) were discarded due to excessive head motion. Therefore, 44 patients, 42 unaffected siblings, and 44 healthy controls were finally enrolled. There were no significant differences in age, gender, education level, and the mean FD across groups (eTable 1). Within patients, the PANSS scores were significantly decreased after 8 weeks of treatment (eTable 2).

As shown in eTable 4, there were no significant differences between the good response group and the poor response group in important demographic and clinical characteristics including age, gender, education level, illness duration, and dosage of olanzapine ($p’s > 0.05$).

3.2. Group differences in the PAS scores

Spatial maps of the PAS scores for each group were presented in eFig. 1. Increased PAS scores were observed in the lateral prefrontal, lateral parietal, temporal gyri, whereas decreased PAS scores were found in the visual and sensorimotor regions within patients; our results are consistent with the findings from a previous study on hemispheric specialization in schizophrenia [22]. Moreover, the two hemispheres exhibited different patterns of PAS scores in healthy controls, consistent with the findings from a previous study in 1000 healthy controls [37].

As shown in eFig. 2, the three groups (patients, unaffected siblings and healthy controls) differed in the PAS scores in the frontal, temporal, parietal, and occipital cortices.

Compared with healthy controls, patients at baseline had significantly lower PAS scores in the left MTG/inferior temporal gyrus (ITG), left posterior cingulate cortex (PCC)/precuneus and left angular gyrus, and significantly higher PAS scores in the left precenral grus/postcentral gyrus (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Unaffected siblings showed significantly lower PAS scores in the left MTG/ITG and left PCC/precuneus relative to healthy controls (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Both patients and unaffected siblings showed lower PAS scores in the left MTG/ITG and left PCC/precuneus.
To test whether the choice of correlation coefficient threshold might affect the findings, another correlation coefficient threshold of $r_{N0.25}$ was used and resulted in similar findings (eFig. 3 and eTable 3). A whole-brain voxel-wise statistical comparison of the PAS scores was conducted between the good response group and the poor response group based on the median RR. Compared with the poor response group, the good response group showed decreased PAS scores in the left superior parietal lobule and increased PAS scores in the right precentral gyrus/postcentral gyrus (eTable 5 and eFig. 4). When the mean RR was used as the cut-off value, similar results were obtained using a whole-brain voxel-wise statistical comparison of the PAS scores between the good response group (25 patients) and the poor response group (19 patients) (eTable 5).

### Table 1

Baseline group comparison of the PAS scores using a correlation coefficient threshold of $r > 0.2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster location</th>
<th>Peak (MNI)</th>
<th>Number of voxels</th>
<th>T value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients vs Healthy Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left MTG/ITG</td>
<td>−60</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td>−18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left PCC/Precuneus</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>−45</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Angular Gyrus</td>
<td>−45</td>
<td>−69</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Precentral Gyrus/Postcentral Gyrus</td>
<td>−57</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaffected Siblings vs Healthy Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left MTG/ITG</td>
<td>−66</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td>−24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left PCC/Precuneus</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAS = parameter of asymmetry; MTG = middle temporal gyrus; ITG = inferior temporal gyrus; PCC = posterior cingulate cortex.

To test whether the choice of correlation coefficient threshold might affect the findings, another correlation coefficient threshold of $r > 0.25$ was used and resulted in similar findings (eFig. 3 and eTable 3).

A whole-brain voxel-wise statistical comparison of the PAS scores was conducted between the good response group and the poor response group based on the median RR. Compared with the poor response group, the good response group showed decreased PAS scores in the left superior parietal lobule and increased PAS scores in the right precentral gyrus/postcentral gyrus (eTable 5 and eFig. 4). When the mean RR was used as the cut-off value, similar results were obtained using a whole-brain voxel-wise statistical comparison of the PAS scores between the good response group (25 patients) and the poor response group (19 patients) (eTable 5).

### 3.3. Correlation analysis results

Within patients, no correlations were found between the PAS scores and the PANSS total scores or the illness duration at baseline.
3.4. SVM analysis results

Based on the median of the RR of the PANSS total scores (0.580), patients were divided into two groups (good response versus poor response, 22 patients in each group). Because univariate analysis showed that both patients and unaffected siblings had reduced PAS scores in the left MTG/ITG and left PCC/precuneus. SVM analysis was performed using the baseline PAS scores in these two clusters: the left MTG/ITG and left PCC/precuneus. The results showed that the PAS scores from single cluster were not able to predict patients with good response versus those with poor response after 8 weeks of olanzapine treatment. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 72.73%, 54.55%, and 63.64% respectively in the left MTG/ITG, and 63.64%, 77.27%, and 70.45% respectively in the left PCC/precuneus. However, a combination of the PAS scores of these two clusters was able to predict clinical response to olanzapine treatment with 77.27% sensitivity, 72.73% specificity, and 75.00% accuracy (Fig. 2).

In addition, SVM analysis showed that the PAS scores of the left superior parietal lobule, right precentral gyrus/postcentral gyrus, and the combination of the two clusters could discriminate the good response patients from the poor response patients with sensitivities of 77.27%, 90.91%, and 86.36%, specificities of 77.27%, 86.36%, and 100%, and accuracies of 77.27%, 88.64%, and 93.18% respectively.

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, the present study is the first to examine functional asymmetry in first-episode, drug-naive schizophrenia and unaffected siblings using a voxel-wise whole-brain analysis. We found that disrupted asymmetry in schizophrenia is preferentially within the left hemisphere as reflected by reduced inter-hemispheric FC in brain regions of the default-mode network (DMN, including the left MTG/ITG, left PCC/precuneus, and left angular gyrus) and elevated inter-hemispheric FC in the sensorimotor region (including the left precentral gyrus/postcentral gyrus). Patients and unaffected siblings shared reduced inter-hemispheric FC in brain regions of the DMN (including the left MTG/ITG and left PCC/precuneus), which may serve as an endophenotype for schizophrenia. Furthermore, SVM analysis suggests that, within patients, a combination of the PAS scores of these two clusters may predict clinical response to olanzapine treatment; the prediction was unlikely confounded by age, gender, education level, illness duration or daily dosage of olanzapine.

Our group has previously reported abnormal DMN homogeneity in patients with schizophrenia [38]. In addition, reduced rsFC within the DMN in patients with schizophrenia has been reported by other groups [39–41]. However, these studies did not examine whether disrupted FC in the DMN is primarily contributed by abnormal inter-hemispheric FC and/or intra-hemispheric FC. In the present study, the whole-brain FC was divided into inter-hemispheric FC and intra-hemispheric FC. Our findings suggest that abnormal FC in brain regions of the DMN might be related to reduced inter-hemispheric FC within the left hemisphere.

Interestingly, unaffected siblings also showed reduced inter-hemispheric FC in the DMN (including the left MTG/ITG and left PCC/precuneus) in our study. According to the definition of endophenotype, reduced inter-hemispheric FC in the left MTG/ITG and left PCC/precuneus may represent an endophenotype for schizophrenia. Previously, our group has reported reduced DMN homogeneity in unaffected siblings of patients with schizophrenia [42]. We also reported reduced gray matter volume in the left MTG in both patients and their unaffected siblings [11,12]. SVM analysis in the present study suggested that a combination of the PAS scores of these two clusters was able to predict clinical response to olanzapine treatment with acceptable sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy. Genes may play a critical role in the reduced inter-hemispheric FC in the DMN. For example, additive genetic effects on the degree of gray matter volume loss in the temporal gyrus over five years have been observed in patients with schizophrenia and unaffected co-twins [43]. Decreased volume in the MTG has been related to Val allele homozygosity in chronic schizophrenia [44]. Reduced FC in the DMN has been associated with psychopathology in patients with high genetic loading for schizophrenia [45]. Therefore, reduced inter-hemispheric FC in the DMN may bear genetic load and serve as an endophenotype for schizophrenia.

Decreased activity in the right precentral gyrus has been observed in schizophrenia [46]; this finding is consistent with reported functional deficits in the sensorimotor regions in patients with schizophrenia [47]. Increased FC is usually considered as compensatory reallocation or dedifferentiation to functional deficits in the sensorimotor regions [48–50]. The precentral gyrus is the primary motor cortex; whereas the primary somatosensory cortex is located in the postcentral gyrus. Therefore, increased inter-hemispheric FC in the left precentral gyrus/postcentral gyrus in the present study may be a compensatory effort to functional deficits in these regions.

The present study has several novel aspects. First, the whole brain FC was divided into inter-hemispheric FC and intra-hemispheric FC, which are helpful for us to understand whether abnormal FC originates from
inter-hemispheric FC and/or intra-hemispheric FC in schizophrenia. Second, unaffected siblings were included in the present study; this allowed us to explore potential endophenotypes for schizophrenia. Third, SVM analysis was used to explore whether asymmetry of inter- and/or intra-hemispheric FC can serve as biomarkers to predict clinical response to medication treatment at the individual level; such biomarkers may have great clinical implications as they can help clinicians to make individualized treatment decisions for patients [51]. Finally, drug-naïve, first-episode patients were recruited in the present study, eliminating possible confounding effects of prior medication exposure and the heterogeneity of illness course on brain function [52–54].

In addition to the relatively small study sample size, the study has some other limitations. First, within patients, the imaging scans were not repeated after 8 weeks of olanzapine treatment. Therefore, the medication treatment effect on functional asymmetry in schizophrenia remains unclear. Second, all patients were treated with olanzapine. Having patients on the same antipsychotic medication olanzapine was helpful to minimize the confounding effects caused by the use of different antipsychotic medications as they may cause differential effects on brain function [55,56]; however, this also comprised the generalizability of the study findings to patients on antipsychotic medications other than olanzapine. Third, a correlation coefficient threshold of $r > 0.2$ was used to calculate the PAS scores. The choice of such a threshold might affect the findings. However, another threshold of $r > 0.25$ was tested and led to similar findings. Fourth, although a symmetrical standard template was used to process the data, the influence of potential brain size asymmetry between two hemispheres may not be eliminated completely in the calculation of PAS index. Finally, although a number of strategies were applied to minimize the effect caused by head motion, such an effect may not be eliminated completely in the present study.

In summary, the present study found reduced inter-hemispheric FC in the DMN and elevated inter-hemispheric FC in the sensorimotor regions in drug naive, first episode schizophrenia, which were preferentially within the left hemisphere. Reduced inter-hemispheric FC in the DMN might serve as an endophenotype for schizophrenia and a predictor for clinical response to olanzapine treatment. Future studies to address the limitations described above and further examine the clinical utility of using disrupted asymmetry of inter- and/or intra-hemispheric FC as a biomarker to predict treatment response in patients with schizophrenia in the clinical settings are warranted.
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