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A Long Cytoplasmic Loop Governs the Sensitivity of the Anti-viral Host Protein SERINC5 to HIV-1 Nef
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- Anti-HIV-1 activity is a conserved property of widely divergent SERINC5 proteins
- Sensitivity to HIV-1 Nef is not conserved
- Nef sensitivity can be transferred by exchanging an intracellular loop region
- Human SERINC5 can be modified to restrict HIV-1 even in the presence of Nef

In Brief

Human SERINC5 potently inhibits HIV-1 infectivity but is counteracted by HIV-1 Nef. Dai et al. show that an intracellular loop region of SERINC5 plays an important role in its sensitivity to Nef and that human SERINC5 can be modified to inhibit HIV-1 even in the presence of Nef.
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A Long Cytoplasmic Loop Governs the Sensitivity of the Anti-viral Host Protein SERINC5 to HIV-1 Nef

We recently identified the multipass transmembrane protein SERINC5 as an antiviral protein that can potently inhibit HIV-1 infectivity and is counteracted by HIV-1 Nef. We now report that the anti-HIV-1 activity, but not the sensitivity to Nef, is conserved among vertebrate SERINC5 proteins. However, a Nef-resistant SERINC5 became Nef sensitive when its intracellular loop 4 (ICL4) was replaced by that of Nef-sensitive human SERINC5. Conversely, human SERINC5 became resistant to Nef when its ICL4 was replaced by that of a Nef-resistant SERINC5. In general, ICL4 regions from SERINCs that exhibited resistance to a given Nef conferred resistance to the same Nef when transferred to a sensitive SERINC, and vice versa. Our results establish that human SERINC5 can be modified to restrict HIV-1 infectivity even in the presence of Nef.

INTRODUCTION

Nef is an accessory protein of HIV-1 and other primate immunodeficiency viruses that is crucial for efficient virus replication in infected individuals and for virus pathogenicity (Deacon et al., 1995; Kestler et al., 1991). Although Nef is not an essential viral gene, it robustly enhances virus spreading in cultures of quiescent primary CD4+ T lymphocytes (Miller et al., 1994; Spina et al., 1994). Nef hijacks the clathrin-associated protein complex 2 (AP-2) adaptor to induce the internalization of the viral receptor CD4 (Aiken et al., 1994; Chaudhuri et al., 2007; Garcia and Miller, 1991). In addition, Nef downregulates numerous other cell surface proteins (Haller et al., 2014), including certain major histocompatibility class I molecules, which is thought to protect infected cells from cytotoxic T cells (Collins et al., 1998; Schwartz et al., 1996).

Nef also enhances the specific infectivity of progeny virions (Aiken and Trono, 1995; Chowers et al., 1995; Miller et al., 1995; Forshey and Aiken, 2003; Schwartz et al., 1995). Nevertheless, Nef increases the efficiency of reverse transcription in target cells (Aiken and Trono, 1995; Chowers et al., 1995; Schwartz et al., 1995). The effects of Nef on HIV-1 infectivity are determined by variable HIV-1 Env regions (Usami and Göttlinger, 2013) and are dependent on clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Pizzato et al., 2007; Usami et al., 2014).

We and others have identified the multipass transmembrane proteins SERINC3 and SERINC5 as antiviral proteins that are incorporated into Nef-deficient HIV-1 virions and counteracted by Nef (Matheson et al., 2015; Rosa et al., 2015; Usami et al., 2015). SERINC5 in particular can dramatically inhibit the infectivity of Nef-deficient HIV-1 (Matheson et al., 2015; Rosa et al., 2015; Usami et al., 2015). Although primary HIV-1 Env proteins often confer significant resistance against SERINC5, virion-associated SERINC5 sensitizes even resistant Env to some neutralizing antibodies (Beitari et al., 2017).

HIV-1 Nef removes SERINC3 and SERINC5 from the cell surface (Matheson et al., 2015; Rosa et al., 2015; Usami et al., 2015) and prevents their incorporation into progeny virions (Rosa et al., 2015; Usami et al., 2015). In contrast, Nef increased the cell surface expression of SERINC1 (Matheson et al., 2015), indicating that SERINC3 and SERINC5 are selectively downregulated. Furthermore, the effects of HIV-1 Nef proteins on SERINC3 and SERINC5 are strain dependent. For instance, the Nef proteins of the primary HIV-1 isolates 97ZA012 and 93BR020 strongly inhibit the incorporation of SERINC3 and SERINC5 into virions; in contrast, the Nef protein of the T cell line-adapted strain SF2 is only active against SERINC5 (Usami et al., 2015). Thus, Nef proteins can discriminate among SERINC family members. However, the determinants that govern sensitivity to Nef remain unknown.

We show that an intracellular loop of human SERINC5 confers sensitivity to widely divergent Nefs in the context of a Nef-resistant vertebrate SERINC5 and confers sensitivity to NefSF2 in the context of human SERINC3, which is normally resistant to this particular Nef. Human SERINC5 acquired resistance against Nefs from different HIV-1 clades when this intracellular loop was replaced by the corresponding region from a Nef-resistant SERINC5. Altogether, our results identify a major determinant of Nef responsiveness and establish that human SERINC5 can be made resistant to Nef-induced downregulation.
RESULTS

Anti-HIV-1 Activity, but Not Sensitivity to Nef, Is Conserved among Vertebrate SERINC5 Orthologs

As little as 100 ng of a relatively weak (pBJ5-based) SERINC5 expression plasmid is sufficient to potently inhibit the single-cycle infectivity of Nef<sup>-</sup> HIV-1 virions (Usami et al., 2015). Under the same conditions, mouse SERINC5 reduced the specific infectivity of Nef<sup>-</sup> HIV-1 virions produced in 293T cells to a similar extent (40- to 50-fold) as human SERINC5 (Figure 1A). Zebrafish (Danio rerio) SERINC5, which is only 61% identical to human SERINC5, reduced progeny virus infectivity 13- or 21-fold when 100 or 500 ng of expression vector were used, respectively (Figure 1A).

Next, we examined the sensitivities of mouse and zebrafish SERINC5 to NefSF2, which selectively inhibits the incorporation of human SERINC5 into HIV-1 virions (Usami et al., 2015). Although the inhibitory effect of mouse SERINC5 on Nef<sup>-</sup> HIV-1 produced in 293T cells was clearly counteracted by NefSF2 expressed in trans, the effect of zebrafish SERINC5 was unaffected by NefSF2 (Figure 1B). We infer that widely divergent SERINC5 proteins share the ability of human SERINC5 to inhibit HIV-1 infectivity, but not necessarily its sensitivity to Nef.

Transfer of Nef Sensitivity to a Nef-Resistant SERINC5

Because zebrafish SERINC5 was somewhat less active against Nef<sup>-</sup> HIV-1 than human SERINC5, we also tested the SERINC5 protein of the Western clawed frog (Xenopus tropicalis). Frog SERINC5 expressed from pBJ5 inhibited the single-cycle infectivity of Nef<sup>-</sup> HIV-1 progeny virions with a potency comparable to that of human SERINC5 (Figure 2A). However, whereas human SERINC5 is efficiently counteracted by NefSF2 (Usami et al., 2015), the inhibitory effect of frog SERINC5 expressed from pBJ5 on Nef<sup>-</sup> HIV-1 was unaffected by NefSF2 (Figure 2C). Even when expressed from pBJ6, which lacks an SV40 origin required for episomal amplification, as well as SV40 enhancer sequences (Rosa et al., 2015), frog SERINC5 reduced the specific infectivity of Nef<sup>-</sup> HIV-1 more than 40-fold, and this inhibitory effect largely persisted in the presence of NefSF2 expressed in trans (Figure 2C). In the absence of exogenous SERINC5, the effect of NefSF2 on the infectivity of Nef<sup>-</sup> HIV-1 virions was quite modest in these experiments (Figure 2C), perhaps because 293T cells express only low levels of endogenous SERINC5 (Usami et al., 2015).

We subsequently used frog SERINC5 as a recipient of human SERINC5 sequences to identify determinants that confer sensitivity to NefSF2. The transmembrane hidden Markov model (TMHMM) membrane protein topology prediction method (Krogh et al., 2001) predicts that SERINC5 has 10 transmembrane helices, and our previous findings indicate that the loop connecting helices 7 and 8 is surface exposed (Usami et al., 2015). This in turn suggested that the loop connecting helices 8 and 9 represents the fourth and longest intracellular loop (Figure 2B). To examine the role of this region, we replaced intracellular loop 4 (ICL4) of frog SERINC5 (fS5) with that of human SERINC5 (hS5) (Figure 2B). The resulting fS5/hS5-ICL4 chimera largely retained the ability of frog SERINC5 to inhibit the specific infectivity of Nef<sup>-</sup> HIV-1 progeny virions but lost much of its resistance to NefSF2 expressed in trans (Figure 2C). Thus, the transfer of the ICL4 of human SERINC5 was sufficient to confer substantial sensitivity to NefSF2.

As previously reported (Usami et al., 2015), the incorporation of hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged human SERINC5 into progeny virions was strongly inhibited by NefSF2 (Figure 2D, lanes 1 and 2). In contrast, the incorporation of frog SERINC5 was only slightly affected by NefSF2 (Figure 2D, lanes 3 and 4). Finally, the effect of NefSF2 on the incorporation of the fS5/hS5-ICL4 chimera resembled its effect on human SERINC5 (Figure 2D, lanes 5 and 6). We conclude that the transfer of the ICL4 was sufficient to allow NefSF2 to significantly inhibit both the anti-HIV-1 effect and the virion incorporation of frog SERINC5.

The ICL4 of Human SERINC5 Confers Sensitivity to Widely Divergent Nefs

Unlike NefSF2 (clade B), which selectively inhibits the incorporation of human SERINC5 into HIV-1 virions, Nef<sub>97ZA012</sub> (clade C) also inhibits the incorporation of human SERINC3 (Usami et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the inhibitory effect of frog SERINC5 on HIV-1 infectivity was essentially unaffected by Nef<sub>97ZA012</sub> (Figure S1A), as was the incorporation of frog SERINC5 into HIV-1 virions (Figure S1B). In contrast, Nef<sub>97ZA012</sub> enhanced the specific infectivity of Nef<sup>-</sup> HIV-1 produced in the presence of the fS5/hS5-ICL4 chimera about 13-fold (Figure S1A) and strongly inhibited the incorporation of the chimeric protein (Figure S1B). Thus, the ICL4 of human SERINC5 conferred sensitivity to HIV-1 Nefs from different subtypes.
We also observed that the LL164,165AA AP-2 binding site mutant of NefLAI, which does not counteract SERINC5 (Rosa et al., 2015), was more abundant in HIV-1 virions produced in the presence of the fS5/hS5-ICL4 chimera than of frog SERINC5 (Figure S1C). Because frog SERINC5 and the fS5/hS5-ICL4 chimera were incorporated about equally in the absence of a functional Nef (Figure S1B), this finding provides indirect evidence for a physical interaction between Nef and the ICL4 of human SERINC5.

Because the ability to counteract SERINC5 is conserved among all primate lentiviral lineages (Heigele et al., 2016), we additionally examined the effects of simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) Nefs. SIVmac239 Nef increased the specific infectivity of Nef- HIV-1 progeny virions produced in the presence of the fS5/hS5-ICL4 chimera 17- or 27-fold, respectively (Figure S1D). Thus, SIVmac239 Nef counteracted even unmodified frog SERINC5. In contrast, unmodified frog SERINC5 was largely resistant to the Nef proteins of SIVagm155 and SIVagm677, and the transfer of the ICL4 of human SERINC5 substantially increased its sensitivity to these Nefs (Figures S1E and S1F). Overall, these findings demonstrate that the ICL4 of human SERINC5 can confer sensitivity to Nefs from widely divergent primate lentiviruses.

Transfer of Sensitivity against NefSF2 to SERINC3

Unlike other HIV-1 Nefs, NefSF2 has no effect on the incorporation of SERINC3 into HIV-1 virions (Usami et al., 2015). To examine whether the ICL4 of SERINC5 confers sensitivity to NefSF2 in the context of human SERINC3 (hS3), we generated the hS3/hS5-ICL4 chimera, which harbors the ICL4 of human SERINC5 in a human SERINC3 background (Figure S2A). Because authentic human SERINC3 was unaffected by NefSF2, as previously reported (Usami et al., 2015), the incorporation of the chimera was strongly inhibited (Figure S2B), confirming the role of the ICL4 in determining Nef sensitivity.

Figure 2. Transfer of Human SERINC5 ICL4 Is Sufficient to Confer Nef Responsiveness to Frog SERINC5

(A) Frog SERINC5 is as potent as human SERINC5 in inhibiting the single-round infectivity of Nef- HIV-1 progeny virions.

(B) Schematic illustration of the parental SERINC5 proteins and of the chimera examined.

(C) Effect of frog SERINC5 on Nef- HIV-1 progeny virion infectivity is largely resistant to NefSF2 but becomes sensitive upon replacement of its ICL4 by that of human SERINC5. SERINCs were expressed from pBJ5 or from the very weak pBJ6 expression plasmid.

(D) Western blots showing the effects of NefSF2 on the incorporation of human, frog, and chimeric SERINC5 into Nef- HIV-1 virions. Bar graphs represent the mean ± SD from 3 biological replicates.

See also Figures S1, S2, and S4.
Transfer of Nef Resistance to Human SERINC5
The results described earlier showed that the hS5/hS5-ICL4 chimera gained sensitivity to various Nefs. We also made the reciprocal hS5/fS5-ICL4 chimera (Figure 3A), which inhibited the infectivity of Nef by HIV-1 virions carrying EnvHXB2 as potently as authentic human SERINC5 (Figures 3B and 3C). Although the infectivity of Nef by HIV-1 virions carrying the relatively SERINC5-resistant EnvHXB2 was inhibited to a lesser extent as expected (Rosa et al., 2015), the hS5/fS5-ICL4 chimera again was at least as potent as human SERINC5 (Figure 3D), confirming that it retained full anti-HIV activity. Crucially, whereas NefSF2 increased the infectivity of progeny virions carrying EnvHXB2 about 37-fold in the presence of exogenous human SERINC5, only a 2-fold increase was observed in the presence of the hS5/fS5-ICL4 chimera (Figure 3B). Furthermore, similar results were obtained with Nef97ZA012 (Figure 3C). Consistent with these observations, the incorporation of the hS5/fS5-ICL4 chimera into HIV-1 virions was not inhibited by NefSF2 and was only moderately reduced by Nef97ZA012 (Figure 3E). As expected, both Nef proteins strongly inhibited the incorporation of authentic human SERINC5 (Figure 3E). We conclude that the hS5/fS5-ICL4 chimera exhibits marked resistance to HIV-Nef proteins.

We also replaced the ICL4 of human SERINC5 with that of human SERINC2 (hS2), which exhibits no sequence similarity in this region. The resulting hS5/hS2-ICL4 chimera (Figure 4A) inhibited the specific infectivity of Nef by HIV-1 virions, albeit less potently than authentic human SERINC5 (Figure 4B). In this experiment, NefSF2 increased virion infectivity more than 50-fold in the presence of exogenous human SERINC5 but less than 2-fold in the presence of the chimera. Furthermore, the incorporation of the hS5/hS2-ICL4 chimera into HIV-1 virions was essentially resistant to NefSF2 (Figure 4C). In addition, flow cytometry revealed that the surface expression of SERINC5[HA], which contains an internal HA tag within an extracellular loop, was not compromised when its ICL4 was replaced with that of SERINC2; it also revealed that the ICL4 swap conferred resistance to downregulation by NefSF2 (Figure S3). Altogether, these results establish that the ICL4 swap markedly reduced the sensitivity of human SERINC5 to NefSF2.

During the course of these experiments, we observed that the incorporation of human SERINC2 into Nef-resistant HIV-1 virions, although unaffected by NefSF2, is inhibited by Nef97ZA012 (Figure 4D). Similarly, the incorporation of the hS5/hS2-ICL4 chimera, while unaffected by NefSF2, was clearly inhibited by Nef97ZA012 (Figure 4E). Because the hS5/hS5-ICL4 chimera, which differs only in the ICL4, was resistant to Nef97ZA012 (Figure 3C), these findings raise the possibility that Nef97ZA012 recognizes a determinant within the ICL4 of SERINC2. In addition, these findings suggest that only ICL4 regions derived from SERINC5s that exhibit resistance to a given Nef confer resistance to the same Nef when transferred to a sensitive SERINC.

Point Mutations in the ICL4 of Human SERINC5 Confer Resistance to Nef
Although Nef typically targets dileucine- or tyrosine-based sorting motifs, such motifs are not evident within the ICL4 of human or mouse SERINC5 (Figure S4A). To examine which region of the ICL4 determines sensitivity to Nef, we generated

Figure 3. ICL4 of Frog SERINC5 Confers Resistance to Nef
(A) Schematic illustration of the parental SERINC5 proteins and of the chimera examined. (B) Human SERINC5 bearing the ICL4 of frog SERINC5 remains fully capable of inhibiting the infectivity of Nef by HIV-1 virions but exhibits strong resistance to a clade B HIV-1 Nef. (C) SERINC5 chimera also exhibits resistance to a clade C HIV-1 Nef. (D) SERINC5 chimera inhibits the infectivity of Nef by HIV-1 virions carrying a relatively resistant HIV-1 Env at least as well as the parental human SERINC5. (E) Western blots showing the effects of clade B and clade C HIV-1 Nefs on the incorporation of human SERINC5 and of the SERINC5 chimera into Nef-resistant HIV-1 virions. Bar graphs represent the mean + SD from 3 biological replicates. See also Figure S4.
versions of Nef-resistant frog SERINC5 that have relatively poorly conserved segments replaced by the corresponding human SERINC5 sequences (Figure S4A). We observed that the transfer of ICL4 residues 9–26, but not of ICL4 residues 26–41, of human SERINC5 significantly increased the sensitivity of frog SERINC5 to NefSF2 (Figure S4B). Within the 9–26 segment, a leucine residue (L350 in human SERINC5) is present in Nef-sensitive, but not in Nef-resistant, SERINC5 proteins (Figure S4A). Point mutations that targeted this residue (L350A) of another small hydrophobic residue nearby (I353A) reduced the sensitivity of human SERINC5 to NefSF2 about 8- or 3-fold, respectively (Figure S4C). Together, these mutations (L350A/I353A) reduced the sensitivity of human SERINC5 more than 12-fold, and an 8-fold reduction was observed when L350 and I353 were replaced by the corresponding residues in frog SERINC5 (L350T/I353M) (Figure S4C). We also observed that the L350A and L350A/I353A mutations rendered the incorporation of human SERINC5 into HIV-1 virions largely resistant to NefSF2 (Figure S4D). These findings confirm the crucial role of the ICL4 in Nef sensitivity.

DISCUSSION

This study shows that widely divergent SERINC5 proteins from different vertebrate species share the ability to markedly inhibit the infectivity of Nef+ HIV-1 virions. However, sensitivity to Nef is not conserved and can be transferred to a Nef-resistant SERINC5 by exchanging the ICL4 region. In the same manner, human SERINC3 can be made sensitive to a particular Nef, supporting the crucial role of the ICL4 region in Nef sensitivity. Conversely, our results show that the anti-HIV-1 activity of human SERINC5 can be made to persist even in the presence of Nef, which might provide a novel strategy to combat HIV-1. However, the in vivo significance of the effect of Nef on SERINC5 remains to be established.

Nearly the entire ICL4 region is predicted to be flexible by the PROFbval program (Schlessinger et al., 2006). The apparent flexibility of the ICL4 could conceivably facilitate interactions with widely divergent Nef proteins through a mechanism involving conformational selection (Boehr et al., 2009). Our data show that the ICL4 of human SERINC5 can confer sensitivity to Nefs from different primate lentiviral lineages.

The conservation of the anti-HIV activity among vertebrate SERINC5 proteins indicates that this activity is closely related to the biological function of SERINC5, which remains to be elucidated. SERINCs have been named for their reported function as carrier proteins that facilitate the synthesis of serine-derived lipids (Inuzuka et al., 2005). This activity suggested a model in which SERINCs inhibit HIV-1 infectivity by modifying the lipid content of progeny virions. However, a study argues strongly against this hypothesis by showing that SERINC5 does not alter the lipid composition of HIV-1 progeny virions or the exposure of phosphatidylserine on their surface (Trautz et al., 2017).

Usami et al. (2015) and Rosa et al. (2015) have presented evidence indicating that Nef counteracts SERINC5 by preventing its incorporation into HIV-1 virions. More recent work confirmed that Nef excludes SERINC5 from virions but suggested that Nef additionally inactivates the antiviral activity of any SERINC5 that becomes virion-associated even in the
presence of Nef as a consequence of overexpression (Trautz et al., 2016). In the present study, the ability of Nef proteins to reduce the incorporation of native or chimeric versions of frog or human SERINC5 correlated well with their ability to enhance HIV-1 infectivity, which supports the notion that virion exclusion is the primary mechanism by which Nef counteracts SERINC5.

The ability of Nef to counteract human SERINC5 depends on the AP-2 clathrin adaptor complex (Rosa et al., 2015), whose cargo-binding AP2M1 and AP2S1 subunits in particular are more than 95% identical in human, frog, and zebrafish. Nef also hijacks clathrin adaptors to downregulate CD4 and major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC class I) molecules, and in these cases, Nef:AP complexes recognize linear epitopes within the cytosolic domains of CD4 and MHC class I molecules (Jia et al., 2012; Ren et al., 2014). Our results indicate that certain small hydrophobic residues within the ICL4 of human SERINC5 are critical for its sensitivity to Nef, and it is tempting to speculate that the region containing these residues is also recognized by Nef:AP complexes.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Analysis of Virus Infectivity

Pseudovirions capable of a single round of replication were produced by transfecting 293T cells using a calcium phosphate precipitation method. The cells were co-transfected with HXB/Env*/Nef* (1 μg in the experiments shown in Figures 1A, 1B, and 2A; 2 μg in all other experiments), a pSVIII-env-based plasmid expressing EnvHXB2 or, where indicated, EnvJRFL (0.1 μg in the experiments shown in Figures 1A, 1B, 2A, and 3D; 0.4 μg in all other experiments), and the indicated amounts of pBS- or pBJS-based plasmids expressing wild-type or chimeric SERINC5 proteins, or with equimolar amounts of empty vector. In addition, equal amounts of the nef-deficient pNefm or of a plasmid expressing an HIV-1 or SIV Nef were co-transfected where indicated (2 μg in the experiments shown in Figure 1B and in the left panel of Figure 2C; 0.5 μg in all other experiments). Supernatants containing progeny virions were harvested 1 day post-transfection, clarified by low-speed centrifugation, filtered through 0.45-m pore filters, and then used immediately to infect TZM-bl indicator cells in triplicate in 8-well plates. Aliquots of the virus stocks were frozen for HIV-1 capsid (p24) antigen quantitation by a standard ELISA. Three days post-infection, the indicator cells were lysed, and β-galactosidase activity induced as a consequence of infection was measured using a kit (E2000; Promega). Values were normalized for the amount of p24 antigen present in the supernatants used for infection.

Analysis of SERINC Incorporation

293T cells were co-transfected with 1 μg HXB/Env*/Nef*, pBJS-based expression plasmids for C-terminally HA-tagged SERINCs or SERINC chimeraS (0.1 μg, unless indicated otherwise), and the nef-deficient control plasmid pNefm or a plasmid expressing an HIV-1 Nef (0.5 μg in the experiments shown in Figures 1E, 1F, 2B, and 3D). 2 μg in the experiments shown in Figures 2D, 4C, and 4E). Virions released into the medium were pelleted through 20% sucrose cushions, and virus- and cell-associated proteins were detected by western blotting as described (Accola et al., 2000). Because SERINC proteins are highly prone to aggregation (Usami et al., 2015), samples used for the detection of SERINCs were not boiled before loading. The antibodies used were 183-H12-5C against HIV-1 CA, and HA.11 (BioLegend) against the HA epitope.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed unpaired t test with Welch’s correction in case of unequal variance. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, NS, not significant (p > 0.05).

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and four figures and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.082.
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