Endotracheal Tube Cuff Pressures in Patients Intubated Prior to Helicopter EMS Transport

Joseph Tennyson

University of Massachusetts Medical School

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/oapubs

Part of the Emergency Medicine Commons

Repository Citation


Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMassChan. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by UMMS Authors by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMassChan. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.
Endotracheal Tube Cuff Pressures in Patients Intubated Prior to Helicopter EMS Transport

Joseph Tennyson, MD*
Tucker Ford-Webb, MD†
Stacy Weisberg, MD, MPH*
Donald LeBlanc, BSN, RN‡

*University of Massachusetts Medical School, Department of Emergency Medicine, Division of Emergency Medical Services, Worcester, Massachusetts
†Lahey Hospital & Medical Center, Emergency Department, Burlington, Massachusetts
‡UMass Memorial LifeFlight, Emergency Medical Services, Worcester, Massachusetts

Introduction: Endotracheal intubation is a common intervention in critical care patients undergoing helicopter emergency medical services (HEMS) transportation. Measurement of endotracheal tube (ETT) cuff pressures is not common practice in patients referred to our service. Animal studies have demonstrated an association between the pressure of the ETT cuff on the tracheal mucosa and decreased blood flow leading to mucosal ischemia and scarring. Cuff pressures greater than 30 cmH₂O impede mucosal capillary blood flow. Multiple prior studies have recommended 30 cmH₂O as the maximum safe cuff inflation pressure. This study sought to evaluate the inflation pressures in ETT cuffs of patients presenting to HEMS.

Methods: We enrolled a convenience sample of patients presenting to UMass Memorial LifeFlight who were intubated by the sending facility or emergency medical services (EMS) agency. Flight crews measured the ETT cuff pressures using a commercially available device. Those patients intubated by the flight crew were excluded from this analysis as the cuff was inflated with the manometer to a standardized pressure. Crews logged the results on a research form, and we analyzed the data using Microsoft Excel and an online statistical analysis tool.

Results: We analyzed data for 55 patients. There was a mean age of 57 years (range 18-90). The mean ETT cuff pressure was 70 (95% CI= [61-80]) cmH₂O. The mean lies 40 cmH₂O above the maximum accepted value of 30 cmH₂O (p<0.0001). Eighty-four percent (84%) of patients encountered had pressures above the recommended maximum. The most frequently recorded pressure was >120 cmH₂O, the maximum pressure on the analog gauge.

Conclusion: Patients presenting to HEMS after intubation by the referral agency (EMS or hospital) have ETT cuffs inflated to pressures that are, on average, more than double the recommended maximum. These patients are at risk for tracheal mucosal injury and scarring from decreased mucosal capillary blood flow. Hospital and EMS providers should use ETT cuff manometry to ensure that they inflate ETT cuffs to safe pressures. [West J Emerg Med. 2016;17(6)721-5.]
which the cuff is pressing may prevent the flow of blood through those capillaries and lead to mucosal ischemia.\textsuperscript{2,3} Animal and human studies have demonstrated that ETTCP in excess of 30 cmH\textsubscript{2}O may cause decreased blood flow to the tracheal mucosa in as little as 25 minutes.\textsuperscript{1-3} While guidelines for inflation pressures exist,\textsuperscript{4} available equipment to measure cuff pressure is not routinely used in all settings, and even experienced operators are prone to over-inflation.\textsuperscript{5-7}

We hypothesized that in patients intubated by referral EMS agencies or referral hospitals, the initial cuff pressure measured by the HEMS crew would be within the accepted safe range.

Reduction in tracheal blood flow as a consequence of higher-than-recommended ETTCP has been associated with ischemic lesions to the trachea.\textsuperscript{8} Identifying the frequency at which patients are presented for transfer with ETTCP higher-than-recommended safe values will allow modification of practice.

METHODS
Study Design and Setting
We performed a prospective cohort study of patients intubated by referring agencies, both hospitals and EMS agencies, who presented for critical care transport by UMass Memorial Life Flight. The study was approved by the University of Massachusetts Medical School Institutional Review Board.

Selection of Participants
This study was performed at UMass Memorial Life Flight, a critical care transport service based in Worcester, MA, between 2013 and 2014. Patients who were intubated by referring agencies (hospitals or EMS agencies) and transported by helicopter were consecutively included in the study. We excluded patients if they were prisoners at the time of transfer, or if they had been intubated with non-cuffed ETTs.

Methods and Measurements
In all patients intubated prior to initial LifeFlight contact, a baseline ETT cuff pressure reading was obtained at the time of initial assessment. If the pressure was in excess of 25 mmH\textsubscript{2}O, it was lowered to that pressure. Pressure measurements, inflation, and deflation of the ETT cuffs were performed using the Posey Cufflator\textsuperscript{TM} endotracheal tube inflator and manometer (Posey Company, 5635 Peck Road, Arcadia, California 91006-0020 USA), a commercially available device. The maximum measurement on this device is >120 cmH\textsubscript{2}O (see Figure 1).

Data Collection
Data were collected by critical care paramedics and nurses and entered at the time of measurement into a data collection form created for the purpose of the study. These data were then transcribed to a computer database for analysis.

RESULTS
At the time data analysis was begun, 60 records had been entered into the database. One record was excluded for incomplete data (missing the initial cuff pressure). We
excluded four additional records as the patients were not intubated prior to the Life Flight crew’s arrival and were intubated by the crew. The remaining 55 patients were analyzed (see Figure 2).

The table lists the characteristics of the analyzed cases. The mean age of patients was 57, ranging from 18 to 90. Male patients predominated by a small margin. More cases were related to medical conditions as opposed to traumatic conditions. The most common ETT size was 7.5 with sizes ranging from 6.0 to 8.5.

Initial ETTCp ranged from 15 cmH\textsubscript{2}O to >120 cm H\textsubscript{2}O. The mean pressure measurement was 70 cmH\textsubscript{2}O, 40 cmH\textsubscript{2}O higher than the accepted maximum safe value of 30 cmH\textsubscript{2}O (p<0.0001, 95% CI for the difference= [31-50]). The mode was >120cmH\textsubscript{2}O. Of the measurements, 8 (14.55%) were below the accepted maximum safe value of 30 cmH\textsubscript{2}O, 47 (85.45%) above that value. Figure 3 shows the distribution of results.

**DISCUSSION**

The vast majority of endotracheal tubes transported by our critical care HEMS crew had a dangerous level of cuff over-inflation. Less than 15% of the measurements found pressures within acceptable ranges and the most common value was at the upper limit of the manometer’s range. Pressures such as this have been shown in animal studies to cause tissue ischemia to the tracheal mucosa.\textsuperscript{2,3}

Evidence for the harm of over-inflation of ETT cuffs is not limited to animal studies. A 1984 study by Seegobin found blanching of tracheal mucosa on tracheoscopy in patients whose ETTCp exceeded 40 cm H\textsubscript{2}O.\textsuperscript{1} This blanching suggests decreased blood flow and ischemia to those regions. A 2013 paper by Touat et al used tracheoscopy on newly extubated patients to evaluate the degree of injury with a tracheal ischemia score. They found that ETTCp > 30 cm H\textsubscript{2}O was associated with an elevated tracheal ischemia score.\textsuperscript{8} This demonstrates that the issue persists despite the introduction of modern high-volume, low-pressure cuffs.

Less severe complications related to over-inflation of ETT cuffs include hoarseness, sore throat and hemoptysis.\textsuperscript{3} More severe complications include post-intubation stridor,\textsuperscript{3} tracheal stenosis\textsuperscript{10} and even reports of tracheal rupture.\textsuperscript{11,12} One study by Kastanos demonstrated a 10% rate of development of tracheal stenosis and that this demonstrated a statistically significant association with elevated ETTCp.\textsuperscript{10}

The prevalence of over-inflated ETTCp has been reported several times and yet persists. The reports have covered clinical environments including the prehospital environment,\textsuperscript{6,7,13} the emergency department (ED),\textsuperscript{13} the peri-operative environment,\textsuperscript{14,15} and the intensive care unit (ICU).\textsuperscript{16,17}

Many clinicians rely on pilot-balloon estimation of cuff pressures. The inaccuracy of this technique has been demonstrated many times.\textsuperscript{9,14,18,19} One study evaluated the

---

**Table.** Characteristics of subjects in study analyzing endotracheal tube (ETT) cuff pressures in patients arriving to the emergency department via helicopter emergency medical services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>57 (51-62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum age</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum age</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>35 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of case, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>10 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>45 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETT size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum ETT size</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum ETT size</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval
accuracy of this method by certified nurse anesthetists and anesthesiologists as well as students. They found that fewer than one-third of the cuffs were inflated to an appropriate range. Further, they failed to demonstrate a difference in the accuracy of cuff inflation when stratified by provider experience.

Techniques for using various-sized syringes as pressure-relief valves have been published over the years. This technique is analogous to the pilot-balloon technique in that a syringe is left connected to the pilot balloon, allowing the air pressure in the cuff to move the syringe plunger when it is too high. While the early reports favored this technique, a more recent report has found it lacking.

In this study each of the abnormal pressures was normalized prior to flight. Had the pressures not been normalized the risk of tracheal injury might have been even higher. Several papers have demonstrated that ETTCP is affected by altitude changes when patients are transported by aeromedical transport modes. This analysis of patients presenting for HEMS transport demonstrated that the majority began with pressure outside the safe range. Our data suggest uncorrected pressures could lead to severe worsening pressures as the patient is brought to altitude, increasing the risk of severe complications.

LIMITATIONS

This dataset is limited by possible confounding variables that were not collected by the data collection forms. It is possible that identification of whether the intubation was performed by hospital staff or field EMS personnel may have identified a tendency toward over-inflation by one of those groups. Additionally, for those cases intubated in a hospital setting, delineating whether they were done in the ED, ICU, or operating room, may have also allowed for more stratification of the data. Finally, the question of who specifically inflated the cuff, be they physician, nurse, or respiratory therapist, may also have elucidated some associations that could potentially have suggested further research.

The fact that these data were collected from a single HEMS system may tend to limit the degree to which they can be generalized. Possibly offsetting this limitation is the fact that the subjects included in the study originated from multiple EMS systems and multiple referral hospitals across a five-state area, providing a greater cross-section than may be inferred from the single HEMS service.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we present additional evidence that current standard practice in EMS agencies and referral hospitals in our HEMS system leads to frequently elevated ETTCP. These pressures place the patient at risk for complications from the ETT. Clinicians should move to routine measurement of ETTCP in all intubated patients.

Figure 3. Distribution of initial endotracheal tube cuff pressures.
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