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Polyglycolide/Polylactide-Coated Platinum Coils for Patients With Ruptured and Unruptured Cerebral Aneurysms
A Single-Center Experience

Italo Linfante, MD; Nabil M. Akkawi, MD; Alain Perlow, MD; Vincenzo Andreone, MD; Ajay K. Wakhloo, MD, PhD

Background and Purpose—Recanalization of cerebral aneurysm is a limitation of bare platinum coils (BPCs). In a swine aneurysm model, polyglycolide/polylactide (a polymer)-coated platinum coils (Matrix) accelerated clot fibrosis and reduced recanalization rate and aneurysmal volume. We aimed to evaluate the safety of Matrix coils in patients with intracranial aneurysm.

Methods—This is a single-center, prospective study of patients with intracranial aneurysms treated with Matrix alone or in combination with BPCs. Follow-up evaluation included a 1-month clinical evaluation and a 6- and 12-month clinical and angiographic examination. Primary adverse events included death, stroke, and permanent neurological deficits.

Results—Between May 2002 and January 2004, 52 patients (range 34 to 79 years of age; 38 females) were treated for 54 aneurysms (size 7.9±4.6 mm; neck 3.9±1.5 mm; 26 ruptured). Matrix alone was used in 13 aneurysms. In 39, we used a combination of Matrix and BPCs. Twenty-one aneurysms had a 6-month follow-up examination (11 Matrix; 10 Matrix combined with bare platinum), and 11 completed the 12-month follow-up evaluation (Matrix only). Adverse events not related to the procedure were 2 deaths (ruptured basilar aneurysms) and 1 stroke at day 10 postcoiling secondary to vasospasm. Procedure-related adverse events were 2 strokes. At 6-month follow-up (n=21) evaluation, 2 of 3 recanalizations needed retreatment. At 12-month follow-up (n=11), there was no recanalization in patients treated with Matrix alone and no significant reduction in aneurysmal size.

Conclusions—Polyglycolide/polylactide-coated coils had a satisfactory safety profile. Significant aneurysmal size reduction after coiling was not observed. (Stroke. 2005;36:1948-1953.)
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Endovascular therapy using detachable coils significantly improves outcome compared with traditional surgery in patients with ruptured and unruptured intracranial aneurysms.1–5 In particular, the International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial (ISAT) reported a relative risk reduction of death or dependency at 1 year of 22.6% versus microsurgical clipping.3 The main drawback of embolization with bare platinum coils (BPCs) is aneurysmal recanalization occurring in up to 40% of patients.6–14

Platinum is a biologically inert material. As such, it produces a delayed clot organization and no inflammatory response. This phenomenon may be responsible for aneurysm recanalization after coil embolization, in particular, in broad-necked or giant aneurysms.7,12 Several strategies have been used to reduce the percentage of aneurysms that recanalize, such as: (1) increased packing density; (2) use of radioactive coils and coils coated with bioabsorbable polymeric material (BPM);15,19 (3) cytokines such as growth factors,20 ion implantation, and protein coating;21 and (4) fibroblast tissue allografts.11,22 In particular, BPMs can stimulate the cellular reaction necessary to promote scar formation in the aneurysm. This biological reaction can be controlled by the composition of the copolymers.

Polymer-coated platinum coils (Matrix; BSC/Target) are platinum coils covered with a bioabsorbable polymer (90% polyglycolide, 10% polylactide). The composition of BPM is similar to surgical suture material. Such material is then wrapped and attached to a stainless-steel delivery wire. Murayama et al in a swine model reported reduced rate of recanalization and a decrease in size of the aneurysmal sac in aneurysms treated with Matrix.15

We present our clinical and angiographic follow-up data in patients with ruptured and unruptured intracranial aneurysms treated with 90% polyglycolide-coated and 10% polylactide-coated platinum coils (Matrix).
Patients and Methods
This is a single-center, prospective, safety and feasibility study in which patients were treated with bioabsorbable polymer (polyglycolide and polylactide)-coated coils (Matrix) alone or in combination with BPCs. Inclusion criteria for the study were patients >18 years of age with either ruptured or unruptured aneurysms. The study was approved by our institutional review board. Informed consent for the procedure was obtained by the patient whenever possible or by the closest family member if the patient was unable to understand the risks or benefits of the procedure.

Angiographic Procedure
All diagnostic angiograms were discussed with the vascular neurosurgeon to decide the best approach to the lesion (ie, endovascular embolization versus microsurgical clipping). Criteria that would favor an endovascular approach were: (1) age (>50 years old); (2) size; (3) location (posterior circulation); (4) unruptured; (5) if ruptured, presence of vasospasm; (6) poor grade; and (7) operator preference or availability.

Patients underwent general anesthesia for the procedure. Access was obtained via the common femoral artery in all patients. We used standard angiographic procedure for coil embolization. Endovascular treatment was performed on a biplane angiography unit with 3D capability (Artis; Siemens). A Prowler 14-microcatheter (Cordis Neurovascular; J&J) over either an Agility 10, 14 (Cordis Neurovascular; J&J), or Synchro 10 or 14 microwire (Boston Scientific/Target) was advanced through a guiding catheter. The tip of the microcatheter was positioned in the dome of the aneurysm. Before coil embolization, dimensions of the aneurysm were obtained in ≥4 projections, and reconstructions in 3 dimensions were obtained in most patients. The interventionists could always choose any other coil system from the inventory if they believed it would be safer, more effective, or more appropriate for each coil deposition. We used the Matrix detachable coil preparation commercially available. Matrix is a platinum coil covered with a bioabsorbable polymer (90% polyglycolide, 10% polylactide)-coated coils (Matrix) alone or in combination of Guglielmi detachable coils (GDCs; Cordis Neurovascular; J&J) over either an Agility 10, 14 (Cordis Neurovascular; J&J), or Synchro 10 or 14 microwire (Boston Scientific/Target) was advanced through a guiding catheter. The tip of the microcatheter was positioned in the dome of the aneurysm. Before coil embolization, dimensions of the aneurysm were obtained in ≥4 projections, and reconstructions in 3 dimensions were obtained in most patients. The interventionists could always choose any other coil system from the inventory if they believed it would be safer, more effective, or more appropriate for each coil deposition. We used the Matrix detachable coil preparation commercially available. Matrix is a platinum coil covered with a bioabsorbable polymer (90% polyglycolide, 10% polylactide). The details of the coil characteristics and the detachment system were described previously.15,17,18 All aneurysms were densely packed using either Matrix coils alone or a combination of Guglielmi detachable coils (GDCs; Cordis Neurovascular; J&J) coils. After the treatment, patients were admitted to the neurosurgical intensive care unit.

Clinical Evaluation
A neurological examination was performed in all patients at baseline, immediately after the procedure, and at 6 and 12 months after coil embolization. The modified Rankin scale was included in the 30-day and 6-month follow-up evaluations. Primary adverse events included death and stroke. Secondary adverse events recorded were transient ischemic attack, need for reintervention, and presence of hematomas. Residual aneurysmal size was determined by angiography. Medical histories, procedural reports, and clinical outcomes were recorded in a prospective database maintained for quality assurance purposes by the Interventional Neuroradiology Service.

The guideline for stopping the study was an incidence of primary adverse events that exceeded twice the rate of such events reported in ISAT and International Study of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms (ISUIA).3,5

Aneurysmal Volume
Aneurysmal size was measured after obtaining ≥4 angiographic projections. Reconstructions in 3 dimensions were obtained in most patients. Aneurysmal volume was calculated using the ellipsoid, cylindrical, spherical, or conical volume equations. Packing density was calculated as the ratio of coil volume to aneurysmal volume. To evaluate whether Matrix was able to decrease the aneurysmal size, we calculated the diameters of the aneurysmal sac in ≥2 perpendicular angiographic projections. Such measurements were obtained at baseline and at follow-up angiography. In addition, we calculated aneurysmal volume using the ellipsoid, cylindrical, spherical, or conical volume equations. Packing density was calculated as the ratio of coil volume to aneurysmal volume.

Angiographic Follow-Up
Angiographic follow-up studies were scheduled at 3 months. Twenty-one aneurysms had a 6-month follow-up examination (11 Matrix; 10 Matrix combined with bare platinum), and 11 completed the 12-month follow-up evaluation (Matrix only). For comparative reasons, follow-up studies were performed in same projections as the pre-embolization angiograms. Anatomic results were classified as published previously.7,15 In particular, results were divided into 3 classes: (1) complete obliteration; (2) residual neck, defined as the persistence of any portion of the original neck; and (3) residual aneurysm, defined as any opacification of the aneurysm sac. At follow-up angiography, a recurrence was defined as any increase in the size of the remnant. The recurrence was qualified as major if its size would require a treatment with coils or a surgical clipping.

Statistical Analysis
Values were expressed as mean and SD. Comparisons between groups and between aneurysmal volumes were performed with paired and unpaired Student t test analysis and χ2. The significance level was pre-established at P=0.05.

Results
Between May 2002 and January 2004, 52 patients (age range 34 to 79 years; 38 females) were treated for 54 aneurysms (size 7.9±4.6 mm; neck 3.87±1.5 mm; 26 ruptured; Table 1). Thirteen aneurysms were treated with Matrix alone and the remaining with a combination of Matrix plus other coils. Satisfactory coating of the aneurysm was achieved in all but 3 aneurysms, which were left with minimal contrast filling. In 25% of our cases, a balloon remodeling technique was used as described previously for safer coil embolization.23,24

Stroke related to the procedure was observed in 2 patients: 1 stroke occurred at day 2 (patient treated with a combination of matrix and BPCs) and the other at day 21 after embolization (Matrix only). Adverse events not related to the procedure were 2 deaths in patients with a rupture basilar tip aneurysm who had a pre-embolization Hunt and Hess grade 5. There was also 1 stroke at day 10 secondary to severe vasospasm (Table 1).

Twenty-one aneurysms completed the 3- to 6-month follow-up evaluation (11 Matrix; 10 Matrix plus other systems), and 11 completed the 12-month follow-up evaluation (Matrix only; Figure 1). Overall, there have been no deaths or aneurysmal rupture during the follow-up period. At 3- to 6-month (n=21) angiographic follow-up evaluation, there was 1 recurrence secondary to insufficient Matrix coil supply. At the time of follow-up evaluation, a coil embolization of the remnant aneurysmal sac was successfully accomplished (Figure 2). There was 1 aneurysm with center filling (treated with a combination of Matrix and BPC) possibly secondary to concomitant therapy with aspirin plus clopidogrel for 6 months. One aneurysm showed coil compaction probably secondary to insufficient packing density.

At the 12-month follow-up (n=11; Matrix alone), there was no recanalization of the treated aneurysms. In the 11 patients treated with Matrix alone, average coil packing density was 29% (Table 2). Nine patients had unruptured and 4 had ruptured aneurysms. Two patients experienced vasospasm during the initial procedure that improved with tripleH
therapy. Five patients had residual neck not requiring retreatment (Figure 2). Opening of the aneurysm neck was noted in only 2 patients. Reduction of aneurysm size was not observed at 12-month follow-up in patients treated with Matrix alone (Table 3; Figures 1 and 2).

**Discussion**

In this preliminary experience, Matrix coils had a satisfactory safety profile. The procedure led to 1 stroke at day 2 and 1 stroke at day 21 after coil embolization. Adverse events unrelated to the procedure were 2 deaths in patients with ruptured basilar artery aneurysms (Hunt and Hess grade 5) and 1 stroke at day 10 because of severe vasospasm. At 6-month (n=21) angiographic follow-up evaluation, there were 3 aneurysms that recanalized (14.2%). At 12-month angiographic and clinical follow-up, there were no deaths or aneurysmal ruptures. A size reduction of aneurysms treated with Matrix coils alone was not observed.

The exact mechanism by which thrombosis and formation of neointimal proliferation after coil embolization is not known.25 Platinum coils elicit a mild biological response when deployed into an aneurysm.26 Tamatani et al reported no endothelial proliferation on the BPC surface in an in vitro study.19 From histopathologic reports on human aneurysms embolized with GDC, it appears that the intra-aneurysmal clot undergoes a slow organization.12,19 Therefore, when such

![Figure 1](https://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/35/9/1950/F1.large.jpg)
phenomena do not occur, the effect of pulsatile blood flow against inert platinum causes the coil mass to compact, leading to eventual aneurysmal recanalization. For all these reasons, investigators have explored the use of coils that promote organization of the initial thrombus such as polymer-coated coils and radioactive coils.

With regard to polymer-coated coils, it has been reported that aneurysm thrombosis could be controlled by the composition of the polymer ratio. As the polymer degrades, it produces a mild inflammatory reaction. In a swine model, Murayama et al showed that Matrix-treated aneurysms presented an average of 87% of their area as organized thrombus versus an average of 75% in the aneurysms treated with BPCs. Histologically, bioabsorbable polymer was replaced by mature connective tissue. The authors reported that mature scar tissue by the process of wound healing decreases the size of the aneurysm.

In our small case series of 11 patients treated with matrix alone, we did not replicate such findings (Table 3; Figures 1 and 2). The experimental observation may be related to less packing density of the aneurysm in the Matrix group compared with the bare platinum–treated side wall porcine aneurysm.

In the present series, we observed individual variation in the amount of scarring tissue formation after embolization with Matrix coils. Neointimal growth or “white collar sign” was seen in 2 patients treated with Matrix coils alone (Figure 2). On the other hand, this phenomena may lead to unwarranted parent artery stenosis or occlusion related to intra-aneurysmal clot formation and subsequent fibrosis. Excessive clot formation leading to stroke was observed in 2 patients. Fibrosis and subsequent stenosis of the parent arteries adjacent to the aneurysm was observed in another case. This observation needs careful evaluation in a larger cohort of patients.

Friction and compartmentalization were observed in our experience. Friction is probably related to the high amount of polymer (70%) supported by a small central wire (30%) as designed in the first generation Matrix coils. We hypothesize that the high percentage of polymer creates an increase in contact points between the coil and the inner surface of the catheter. Consequently, the axial force required to advance the coil is higher. With regard to compartmentalization, the polymer is braided over the wire, therefore not allowing break points within the coil to be active. This may result in less compliance of the coil as it folds against the endothelium of the aneurysm and other coils.

Regarding recanalization, in 1 patient treated with aspirin plus clopidogrel, we noted a center-filling defect. We hypothesized that the concurrent treatment of aggressive antiplatelet regimen may induce recanalization by preventing thrombus formation.

This preliminary study was not designed to assess efficacy. The recurrence rate in our small cohort is compatible with any
TABLE 3. Diameters of Aneurysmal Sac and Neck in Patients Treated With Matrix Coils Alone at Baseline and at 12-Month Angiographic Follow-Up Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Sac Height (mm)</th>
<th>Sac Width (mm)</th>
<th>Neck Width (mm)</th>
<th>Sac Height (mm)</th>
<th>Sac Width (mm)</th>
<th>Neck Width (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean±SD 15.42±27.12 14.41±27.24 11.78±27.18 15.76±27.19 15.08±27.15 11.10±28.07

*No significant difference was found between diameters at baseline and at follow-up (paired Student’s t-test analysis).*

hypothesis (success or failure in decreasing recurrences). In addition, we acknowledge that this pilot study has several weak points. (1) The total number of aneurysms is small. (2) Not all patients have long-term angiographic follow-up. (3) The study does not contain a control group with GDC procedure alone. (4) A comparison with clinical data on patients treated with Matrix is not yet available because most data are available only in an abstract form or in 2 cases reported recently.30–31

Conclusions

Matrix coil has been the first attempt in using enhanced inflammatory response to reduce aneurysmal recanalization. This preliminary experience shows that polymer-coated coils may be a safe option in patients with ruptured and unruptured aneurysms. Individual excessive inflammatory response to Matrix coils resulting in untoward parent artery stenosis or occlusion may be observed and needs further investigation.
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