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Interactions between Cajal bodies (CBs) and replication-dependent histone loci occur more frequently than for other mRNA-encoding genes, but such interactions are not seen with all alleles at a given time. Because CBs contain factors required for transcriptional regulation and 3' end processing of nonpolyadenylated replication-dependent histone transcripts, we investigated whether interaction with CBs is related to metabolism of these transcripts, known to vary during the cell cycle. Our experiments revealed that a locus containing a cell cycle-independent, replacement histone gene that produces polyadenylated transcripts does not preferentially associate with CBs. Furthermore, modest but significant changes in association levels of CBs with replication-dependent histone loci mimic their cell cycle modulations in transcription and 3' end processing rates. By simultaneously visualizing replication-dependent histone genes and their nuclear transcripts for the first time, we surprisingly find that the vast majority of loci producing detectable RNA foci do not contact CBs. These studies suggest some link between CB association and unusual features of replication-dependent histone gene expression. However, sustained CB contact is not a requirement for their expression, consistent with our observations of U7 snRNP distributions. The modest correlation to gene expression instead may reflect transient gene signaling or the nucleation of small CBs at gene loci.

INTRODUCTION

The Cajal or coiled body (CB) contains the highest concentration of splicing small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs) in the nucleus (Carmo-Fonseca et al., 1992; Huang and Spector, 1992; Matera and Ward, 1993). Pulse-labeling experiments have indicated that CBs do not contain significant levels of DNA or newly synthesized RNA in their interiors, and thus it has been suggested that they probably do not represent sites of RNA synthesis or processing (Monneron and Bernhard, 1969; Callan and Gall, 1991; Raska, 1995; Jordan et al., 1997). However, a very similar structure in amphibian oocytes, the sphere organelle, attaches at its surface to a few specific loci in lampbrush chromosomes, those containing the histone genes (Gall et al., 1981; Callan et al., 1991). Similarly, the CBs of human cells associate specifically and preferentially with histone loci and, in addition, with the U1 and U2 small nuclear RNA (snRNA) loci and the small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA)-encoding U3 gene (Frey and Matera, 1995; Smith et al., 1995; Gao et al., 1997). All of these loci position at the periphery of CBs, not the centers, and although they interact preferentially, none are 100% associated with CBs, suggesting possible transient interactions. A few characteristics set CB-associating genes apart from most other genes that are transcribed by RNA polymerase II. Many are organized in multigene clusters or repeats, and their transcripts all undergo unusual processing (see below). Some evidence suggests a link between U2 expression and CB association levels (Frey et al., 1999), but the functional significance or mechanism for this putative link has yet to be uncovered.

The histone loci that associate with CBs in human cells contain several replication-dependent histone genes that code for the new histones required during S phase (Frey and Matera, 1995). These cell cycle-regulated, intronless histone genes usually produce transcripts with 3' end stem loops instead of polyA tails (reviewed in Osley, 1991; Dominski and Marzluff, 1999). In contrast, there are replacement histone gene variants that are constitutively expressed and produce polyadenylated mRNA (Wu and Bonner, 1981; Brush et al., 1985; Wells and Kedes, 1985). Whether these...
have a relationship with CBs has not been previously investigated. The rates of both transcription and 3' end processing of the replication-dependent genes peak during S phase (reviewed in Osley, 1991; Marzluff, 1992). Two factors required for 3' end processing of the replication-dependent transcripts, stem-loop binding protein (SLBP) and U7 snRNP, are present in CBs, with U7 being particularly enriched in these structures (Wu and Gall, 1993; Frey and Matera, 1995; Wang et al., 1996c; Abbott et al., 1999). In addition, an S phase-specific colocalization of replication-dependent histone loci and cleavage bodies, which can be spatially associated with CBs, has been observed (Schul et al., 1999). Cleavage bodies are marked by accumulations of some factors required for the cleavage-polyadenylation reaction (Schul et al., 1996), though it is not known whether these factors participate in histone mRNA 3' end formation, nor whether their S phase overlap with histone loci is simultaneously and spatially associated with CBs. The relationship between replication-dependent histone genes and CBs as a function of cell cycle has not been directly investigated. However, it has been suggested that active histone genes in S phase cells might represent those loci that associate with CBs, and cleavage bodies, for the purpose of regulating gene activity (Schul et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2000).

We have tested three specific predictions of the hypothesis that there is a relationship between replication-dependent histone gene expression and CB association. First, we explored whether the unique aspects of replication-dependent gene expression are required for CB interaction by examining the spatial relationship between CBs and a replacement histone gene. Second, we determined whether the frequency of association between CBs and replication-dependent histone loci changes during different stages of the cell cycle as their expression is modulated. Third, we visualized for the first time individual histone loci and their associated transcripts simultaneously and spatially associated with CBs. The relationship between replication-dependent histone genes and CBs as a function of cell cycle has not been directly investigated. However, it has been suggested that active histone genes in S phase cells might represent those loci that associate with CBs, and cleavage bodies, for the purpose of regulating gene activity (Schul et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2000).

For bromo-deoxyuridine (BrdU) labeling, cells were grown in fresh, prewarmed media containing 30 μg/ml BrdU (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN) for 15 min and then washed twice with prewarmed media before the extraction and fixation protocol. Mitotic shake-off cells were obtained by washing subconfluent cells with medium to remove dead cells, allowing cells to recover for 30 min at 37°C, shaking flasks vigorously, collecting dislodged cells from media, and replating cells on coverslips for the indicated times before fixation. Only obvious sister cell pairs were scored from these enriched G1 populations.

Antibodies

Large and small CBs were detected with a 1:200 dilution of rabbit anti-collin antibody (R288; Andrade et al., 1991), generously supplied by E. Chan (Scripps Research Institute, San Diego, CA) or 1:100 dilutions of mouse monoclonal antibodies SP11-βδ and SP10-βδ (gift from M. Carmo-Fonseca, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal; Almeida et al., 1998). A 1:200 dilution of mouse anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody (Partec, Münster, Germany) or a 1:20 dilution of rat anti-BrdU (Harlan Sera-Lab, Harlan Bioproducts for Science, Indianapolis, IN) was used for BrdU immunodetection. Cyclin B was detected with a 1:100 dilution of rabbit antibody H433 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). Primary antibodies were detected with the following, all obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch (West Grove, PA): fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-goat anti-rabbit IgG, aminomethylcoumarin acetate (AMCA)-donkey anti-rabbit IgG, FITC-donkey anti-mouse IgG, and AMCA donkey anti-mouse IgG. Antibodies were diluted in 4× SSC, 1% bovine serum albumin.

Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH) Probes

All probes except oligonucleotides were generated by nick translating plasmid DNA in the presence of 120 μM digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Roche) or biotin-16-dUTP (Roche) using the Life Technologies BioNick kit and substituting the buffer with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgSO₄, 50 μg/ml bovine serum albumin, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol, and 60 μM each dATP, dCTP, and dGTP. Probes for the histone gene cluster(s) at 6p21, here called HIST1 based on the orthologous mouse locus HIST1 (Wang et al., 1996a; Albig et al., 1998), were generated from cosmid 6B6 isolated from the telomeric end of the cluster(s) and cosmid FIB9 from the centromeric end, both generously provided by D. Doenecke and W. Albig (University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI; Celeste et al., 1986).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture

HeLa S3 cells were grown at 37°C in DMEM-high glucose supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum and 100 U/ml penicillin and streptomycin (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD). WI-38 fetal human diploid fibroblasts were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and grown at 37°C in DMEM-high glucose supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum and 100 U/ml penicillin and streptomycin. Subconfluent cells grown on coverslips were extracted and fixed before hybridization and/or immunofluorescence protocols as follows (Carter et al., 1991; Xing et al., 1993). Coverslips were rinsed briefly with Hanks’ balanced salt solution (Life Technologies) followed by ice-cold cytoskeletal buffer (100 mM NaCl, 300 mM sucrose, 3 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM piperazine-N,N’-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid), pH 6.8 [Fey et al., 1986]). Cells were then extracted for 2-5 min on ice in cytoskeletal buffer plus 0.5% Triton X-100 and 20 mM vanadyl ribonucleoside complex (Life Technologies) and subsequently fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4, for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were then stored in 70% ethanol at 4°C.

Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH) Probes

All probes except oligonucleotides were generated by nick translating plasmid DNA in the presence of 120 μM digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Roche) or biotin-16-dUTP (Roche) using the Life Technologies BioNick kit and substituting the buffer with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgSO₄, 50 μg/ml bovine serum albumin, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol, and 60 μM each dATP, dCTP, and dGTP. Probes for the histone gene cluster at 1q21, here referred to as HIST2 according to similarity with the mouse locus HIST2 (Wang et al., 1996b), were generated from either the phase clone AHHG 41, which contains one copy each of the H4 and H3 genes (Sierra et al., 1982), or from the plasmid pFO-003, a subclone of AHHG 41 containing only the H4 gene and flanking sequence (Kroeger et al., 1987). Plasmid pFO-002, also derived from AHHG 41 and containing the same H4 gene in pFO-003 with only 1.8 kb of flanking sequence, was used to detect H4 RNA (Plumb et al., 1983; Pauli et al., 1989). The hybridization signals produced by probe pFO-002 were identical to those of a probe generated from plasmid FO108-P7 (Aziz et al., 1998), which contains only 215 bp of the promoter sequence and most of the coding region of this H4 gene. The H3.3A gene at 1q41 was probed with plasmid W07, which contains the first three exons and introns of that gene and was a gift from D. Wells (University of Houston, Houston, TX; Wells and Kedes, 1985). A 14-kb genomic clone containing the human osteocalcin gene, phBGP, was provided by H. Deluca (University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI; Celeste et al., 1986).
FISH

Hybridizations with nick translated probes were carried out as previously described (Johnson et al., 1991). Briefly, for detection of DNA only, fixed cells were denatured and RNA hydrolyzed in 0.07 N NaOH, 70% ethanol at room temperature for 5 min. Cells were washed twice in 70% ethanol, further denatured in 2× SSC, 70% formamide at 72°C for 2 min, and then dehydrated through a cold ethanol series. Heat-denatured, nick translated probe (50 ng) was applied to denatured slides for hybridization at 37°C overnight and detected with either TRITC (tetramethyl rhodamine)-sheep anti-digoxigenin antibody or FITC-avidin (Roche). For the exclusive detection of RNA, the cell denaturation steps were omitted and vanadyl ribonucleoside complex was added to the hybridization solution at a final concentration of 20 mM. Note that the permeabilization of cells (before fixation, which allows probes to penetrate the nucleus, results in the extraction of some cytoplasmic RNA and that only RNA and not DNA can be detected when cellular DNA is not denatured (Lawrence et al., 1989). To detect nuclear RNA in one color and DNA in another, non-denatured cells were first hybridized to detect only RNA with a digoxigenin-labeled probe, washed as described above, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 1× PBS for 10 min, subjected to NaOH hydrolysis, and then hybridized with a biotinylated probe to detect only DNA (Xing et al., 1995). Hybridization with and detection of biotinylated U7 antisense oligonucleotide (Frey and Matera, 1995), generously supplied by A.G. Matera (Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH), was achieved according to a previously published protocol (Matera et al., 1995).

Immunostaining

Immunofluorescence was typically coupled with the above-mentioned hybridization protocol as follows. For the detection of CBs (Smith et al., 1995), before hybridization fixed cells were stained with anti-coilin antibody for 1.5 h at 37°C and washed successively in 4× SSC, 4× SSC plus 0.1% Triton X-100, and 4× SSC for 10 min each. They were then incubated with appropriate secondary antibody with conjugated fluorochrome, washed as before, and refixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1× PBS for 5–10 min before continuing with the hybridization steps. Staining for cyclin B followed the hybridization protocol and an additional fixation step. To detect BrdU incorporated into DNA, cells first were hybridized to probe as described above, except that the dehydration step was omitted and denatured probe was applied to cells quickly rinsed in 2× SSC after heat denaturation. After hybridization and washes, the anti-BrdU antibody was included in the incubation with the probe’s secondary antibody. This was followed by 4× SSC washes and detection of anti-BrdU with fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibody. Some cells were counterstained with 1 μg/ml 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) in 1× PBS for 30 s. All samples were mounted in 1 mg/ml phenylenediamine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), 1× PBS, pH 8.0; 90% glycerol.

Microscopic Analysis

Cells were examined with a Zeiss Axiosplan microscope equipped with a filter wheel, triple-bandpass epifluorescence filter (Chroma Technology, Brattleboro, VT), and a 100× numerical aperture (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Digital images were either acquired by a Photometrics Series 200 charge-coupled device camera and analyzed with a data acquisition system by Hanaway and Associates (Boulder, CO) or acquired with a Photometrics Quantix camera and Metamorph imaging software (Universal Imaging, Media, PA). Digital images shown in figures were adjusted for brightness and contrast with Adobe Photoshop 4.0 such that they reproduce with accuracy and clarity the images obtained through the microscope eyepiece. Associations among CBs, gene signals, and/or RNA foci were usually scored through the microscope eyepiece by at least two investigators. Scoring of some triple-label experiments required the use of digital images. Threshold values were not altered in these cases. Gene/RNA signals were scored as “associated” if they appeared to overlap or contact the edge of CBs with no visible space between the two signals. Based on the limits of resolution of light microscopy, associated objects are within 250 nm of each other.

RESULTS

CBs Preferentially Associate with Replication-dependent Histone Genes but not a Replacement Histone Variant

All currently identified replication-dependent histone genes in humans are organized into two multigene clusters, HIST1 and HIST2, which map to chromosomes 6p21.3-p22 and 1q21, respectively (Green et al., 1984; Allen et al., 1991; Albig et al., 1997). This study is based primarily on HIST2, which previous studies reported is more frequently associated with CBs than is HIST1 (Frey and Matera, 1995; Jacobs et al., 1999). HIST2 loci were detected by FISH coupled with indirect immunofluorescence detection of CBs using one of three anti-coilin antibodies (see MATERIALS AND METHODS), p80 coilin being a hallmark protein of CBs (Andrade et al., 1999). Signals from the HIST2 probe never colocalize with closely related HIST1 probe signals when they are cohybridized to interphase nuclei, and the HIST2 probe hybridizes only to locus 1q21 on metaphase chromosomes, verifying its specificity. The HeLa cells used in this study are tetraploid for HIST2, showing four FISH signals when probed for this locus or a linked gene, osteocalcin (Figure 1D, and see below). Similar to previously reported data (Frey and Matera, 1995; Jacobs et al., 1999), we found in unsynchronized HeLa cells that ~50% of nuclei contain at least one HIST2 locus in contact with a CB (Figure 1, A–D and Table 1). Here we further report that 14% of HIST2 DNA signals are found associated with CBs, and that although most cells with CB-HIST2 associations contain only one associated locus, the numbers of associated loci vary and reach a maximum of three per nucleus (Figure 2).

Given the small size of the gene signals and small portion of nuclear volume occupied by CBs (<1%), the interactions between CBs and HIST2 loci are unlikely based on random chance. To further demonstrate the specificity of this interaction, we examined an unrelated gene, osteocalcin, located ~7 Mb from HIST2 in region 1q21 (GenBank accession numbers NT_002807 and NM_003528). We found only 3% of osteocalcin gene signals contacting CBs, with only 9% of cells containing an osteocalcin–CB interaction (Table 1). Even though this gene is linked to the HIST2 locus, this association level is approximately fivefold less than HIST2 and approaches that of a random distribution. A similar difference has been observed between the U2 locus and another locus on chromosome 17 ~1 Mb away (Smith et al., 1995). If the interactions between HIST2 and CBs depend on any of the unique aspects of replication-dependent histone gene expression, then a replacement histone gene would not be expected to preferentially associate with CBs. We tested this hypothesis by examining the replacement histone gene H3.3A, which is constitutively active, contains three introns, and produces polyadenylated mRNA (Wells and Kedes, 1985). Scoring H3.3A relative to CBs indicates that, similar to osteocalcin, this gene does not associate preferentially with
Figure 1. CBs preferentially associate with replication-dependent histone loci but not with the histone variant H3.3A. (A-C) In one HeLa nucleus, one HIST2 locus (red, B) contacts the edge of a CB (green, C), whereas two other loci are separate from coilin accumulations. (D) This field of HeLa cells shows a variety of HIST2-CB interactions. Nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue), CBs are in green, and HIST2 DNA is red. (E) The polyadenylated, variant histone gene H3.3A (red) rarely associates with CBs (green). (F–H) HIST1 (red, F and G) preferentially interacts with both large and small (arrowheads) CBs (green, F and H). Contacts with small CBs can be either at the periphery (F, inset, and top two arrowheads) or completely overlapping (bottom left arrowhead). CBs were detected with rabbit anti-coilin R288 polyclonal antibody (A, C, D, and E) or mouse anti-coilin 5p11-ρδ monoclonal antibody (F and H). Bars, 5 μm.
Replication-dependent Histone Gene Associations with CBs Occur Throughout Interphase but Are More Frequent during Stages When Genes Are More Highly Expressed

To address whether replication-dependent histone gene activity correlates with CB association, we examined HIST2–CB associations at different stages of the cell cycle. Such an analysis enabled us to test the simple hypothesis that the appearance of HIST2–CB associations in only 50% of unsynchronized cells might be because these cells are the ones in S phase, when HIST2 is most active. Transcription of replication-dependent histone genes occurs throughout interphase but increases by at least two- to fourfold between early G1 and early S phase and decreases in G2 (Heintz et al., 1983; Baumbach et al., 1987; Collart et al., 1991; Harris et al., 1991). Pre-mRNA 3' end processing rates change in a similar manner (Harris et al., 1991).

To examine the association level of replication-dependent histone loci with CBs during different stages of interphase, cells in G1, S, and G2 phases were identified in one of three ways. 1) Enriched populations of HeLa cells in G1 were generated by mitotic shake-off, plated, and allowed to grow for 2, 4, or 6 h. G1 cells were identified as those in sister-cell pairs (Figure 3A) and were primarily in G1 and not S phase because fewer than 10% incorporated BrdU when pulse-labeled. In contrast, BrdU incorporation increased to 30% by 8 h after shake-off. 2) Cells in S phase were identified by labeling unsynchronized cells with BrdU for 15 min before fixation. These cells were then subjected to a triple-labeling protocol to detect BrdU-labeled DNA, HIST2 DNA, and coilin. Different patterns of BrdU-labeled replication foci enabled us to determine whether cells were in early, mid, or late S phase (Figure 3B), as has been previously reported (Nakamura et al., 1986; Nakayasu and Berezney, 1989; Kill et al., 1991). Approximately 45% of these unsynchronized cells labeled with BrdU. 3) To identify G2 cells, unsynchronized HeLa cells were triple-labeled for HIST2 DNA, coilin, and cyclin B, which accumulates to detectable levels in the cytoplasm during G2 (Figure 3C) (Bailly et al., 1992).

Scoring the associations of HIST2 locus with CBs in cell stage-marked populations indicated that cells with associated loci clearly are not specific to S phase but can be at any stage in interphase. However, we found that the level of association increases modestly (1.8-fold) between mid-G1 and late G1, remains at a higher level through S phase, and then returns to the original level by G2 (Figure 4). Although seemingly small, a Student’s t test shows that the increase between mid-G1 (4 h) and late G1 (6 h) is statistically significant (p < 0.001). Moreover, the pattern of change in association frequencies is similar to the reported changes in histone gene expression (Plumb et al., 1983; Baumbach et al., 1987), suggesting that the two are linked. In fact, the increase in CB associations that occurs between mid- and late G1 might actually precede the reported increase in replication-dependent histone gene transcription at the beginning of S phase.

Table 1. Frequencies of associations between CBs and specific genetic loci/transcripts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Cytogenetic band</th>
<th>Nuclear target</th>
<th>No. of FISH signals scored</th>
<th>% signals associated with CBsa</th>
<th>% cells with ≥1 associationa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIST2</td>
<td>1q21</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>14 ± 3.8b</td>
<td>47 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteocalcin</td>
<td>1q21</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>20 ± 5.0</td>
<td>44 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3.3A</td>
<td>1q41</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>2.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>5.2 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1</td>
<td>6p21.3</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>30 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a CBs >0.3 μm in diameter were scored.

b Standard deviations were calculated based on data from different experiments and scorers.

c Cells with visible signals were scored. These cells did not necessarily contain signals from all loci.
Varying Levels of Transcript Are Detected at Individual HIST2 Loci within the Same Nucleus

Although the interactions between replication-dependent histone loci and CBs are significantly more frequent than random expectation, they do not occur for all the loci in a nucleus at the same time, indicating that all loci are not equivalent. This observation led us to ask whether individual homologs of HIST2 might also be different in terms of their expression status, and whether such a difference might be reflected in positioning relative to CBs. If so, this would directly link histone gene expression with CB association. We therefore first investigated whether individual HIST2 loci might be associated with different amounts of transcript in situ.

To detect transcripts from a single histone gene in HIST2, we used a probe for one of the more highly expressed H4 genes (Lichtler et al., 1982). With this probe, we detected small RNA foci in a subset of nuclei (Figures 5, A and D, and 3, D–F). The level of detection varied with different cell preparations, but in some cases >50% of HeLa cells contained nuclear RNA signal. To determine whether the RNA foci correspond to transcripts accumulated at the gene, we used a two-step hybridization protocol to detect separately RNA and DNA in two different colors (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). These hybridizations showed that 99% of the H4 RNA foci overlapped the HIST2 gene signals in both

Figure 3. HeLa cells at several different stages of interphase contain RNA foci from replication-dependent histone genes. (A) A pair of mid-G1 daughter cell nuclei, 4 h after mitotic shake-off, is counterstained with DAPI. (B) In an asynchronous population of HeLa cell nuclei pulse-labeled with BrdU, anti-BrdU antibody staining reveals patterns indicative of cells in early, middle, and late S phase. (C) Immuno-staining with anti-cyclin B antibody reveals one G2 cell with cytoplasmic cyclin B particularly concentrated around the nuclear periphery (top left) and one cell with only background signal, not in G2 (lower right). (D–F) H4 RNA foci are detected by FISH in the same cells shown in A–C. In these examples, RNA foci are clearly visible in both G1 cells (D), one of the early S phase cells (E), and the G2 nucleus (F). Typically, early S phase cells have the brightest and most numerous RNA foci (E), though RNA has been detected in all stages of S phase. Bars, 5 μm.

Figure 4. HIST2 associates with CBs more frequently during late G1 and all of S phase. The histogram shows the percentage of HIST2 DNA FISH signals contacting CBs in HeLa nuclei at different stages of interphase, as determined by mitotic shake-off and DAPI stain, BrdU labeling, or cyclin B staining (Figure 3). G1 cells were examined 2, 4, and 6 h after shake-off as indicated. Error bars represent SDs between different experiments and from different scorers.
more frequently associated with CBs. We therefore exam-
tinate necessary for histone mRNA metabolism, it might still
in RNA (see DISCUSSION).
that active histone loci need not have sustained contact with
contacts CBs (20%), though the majority do not (Table 1). A
hundreds of cells indicate that a subset of RNA signals
were detected simultaneously. Data from the scoring of
dependent gene activity (Plumb et al., 1983; Baumbach et al., 1987).
However, RNA foci are present in G1 and G2 nuclei (Figure
3, D and F), consistent with previous reports of replication-
dependent Histone Transcripts Can Be
Detected at Loci Unassociated with CBs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H4 RNA focus size</th>
<th>No. of foci scored</th>
<th>% of total foci</th>
<th>% of CB-associated foci</th>
<th>Fold enrichment at CBs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total foci include all RNA signals in the nuclei scored. These were classified as small, medium, or large based on their relative sizes in multiple cells.

Percentage of CB-associated foci per percentage of total foci.

HeLa cells and WI38 diploid fibroblasts (Figure 5, D–F).
Moreover, their appearance is responsive to transcription
level, as they are brightest and most numerous in early S
phase cells (Figure 3E), in accordance with their time of peak
transcription (Plumb et al., 1983; Baumbach et al., 1987).
However, RNA foci are present in G1 and G2 nuclei (Figure
3, D and F), consistent with previous reports of replication-
dependent Histone Transcripts Can Be
Detected at Loci Unassociated with CBs

Replication-dependent Histone Transcripts Can Be
Detected at Loci Unassociated with CBs
To test whether the HIST2 loci producing transcripts are the
ones contacting CBs, as has been previously suggested
(Schul et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2000), H4 RNA foci and CBs
were detected simultaneously. Data from the scoring of
hundreds of cells indicate that a subset of RNA signals
contacts CBs (20%), though the majority do not (Table 1). A
less typical cell with three of four RNA signals associated
with CBs is shown in Figure 5, A–C. These findings suggest
that active histone loci need not have sustained contact with
CBs to synthesize RNA (see DISCUSSION).

Although our data suggest that CB contact might not be
necessary for histone mRNA metabolism, it might still facili-
tate this process. If so, more highly active loci should be
more frequently associated with CBs. We therefore exam-
ined whether the size and intensity of individual H4 RNA
foci correlate with CB association and found that they do not
(Figure 5, A–C, and Table 2). However, this is not necessarily
unexpected. Although cells with more and brighter RNA signals
are more transcriptionally active in general, individual
RNA foci represent steady-state accumulations that can
also be affected by RNA processing and transport rates.
Importantly, we do find that overall the CB-contacting loci
are slightly enriched for those that produce visible RNA foci;
20% of RNA foci, which represent active genes, versus 14%
of all HIST2 DNA signals are CB associated (Table 1), consis-
tent with our cell cycle analysis.

Histone Gene Activity Does Not Require Continual
Association with Highly Concentrated U7 snRNA Sites

The observation that active replication-dependent histone
genes can be separated from CBs raises the question of how
their transcripts get processed, because U7 and SLBP have
been shown to concentrate in CBs. To address this question,
we examined the distribution of U7 in our cultured cell
system. We hybridized HeLa cells with an antisense oligo-
nucleotide probe directed against U7 snRNA and then
stained them for coilin. Similar to previously reported data
(Frey and Matera, 1995), this experiment shows several sites
of concentrated U7 oligo signal, many of which colocalize
with CBs, as well as a weaker dispersed signal throughout
the entire nucleus but excluding the nucleolus (Figure 6,
A–C). Of note are several sites of concentrated U7 that do
not correspond to CBs and that have not been described
previously. Many of these are completely independent of
CBs (Figure 6, A–C, arrowheads), but interestingly, some of
the brightest are located at the periphery of CBs and appear
as “buds” on the larger U7 signal that is coincident with the
CB (Figure 6, A–C, arrow). To determine whether the extra
U7 sites might have some special relationship to active histone
loci, we triple-labeled cells to distinguish H4 RNA, U7,
and CBs. This experiment showed that when H4 RNA asso-
ciates with a concentrated U7 site, it also contacts a CB
(Figure 6, D–G); H4 RNA rarely associates with a U7 con-
centration that is separate from a CB. Thus, although U7
distribution is more complex and widespread than that of
CBs, active histone genes still interact selectively with the
concentrated U7 sites that correspond to CBs. In addition,
we observed that the RNA foci associated with the edges of
CBs also overlap the smaller “buds” of U7 with high fre-
quency. This arrangement is reminiscent of the previously
described cleavage body and HIST1 DNA during S phase,
which was suggested to facilitate the expression of the asso-
ciated locus (Schul et al., 1999). However, scoring of H4
RNA foci relative to U7 accumulations indicates that this
association does not account for the majority (80%) of tran-
scriptionally active loci, which are separate from both CBs
and U7 concentrations (Figure 6, D–G).

Related to the above-mentioned experiments, and partic-
ularly relevant to concepts mentioned in the DISCUSSION
(see below), we detected some CBs that are smaller (≤0.3
µm in diameter) than those usually described (0.5–1 µm in
diameter) and are reminiscent of a subset of the concen-
trated U7 sites that can appear separate from the usual
larger CBs (Figures 1H, arrowheads, 1C, and 5B). These are

Table 2. Proportion of large and small H4 RNA foci contacting CBs

The observation that active replication-dependent histone
genes can be separated from CBs raises the question of how
their transcripts get processed, because U7 and SLBP have
been shown to concentrate in CBs. To address this question,
we examined the distribution of U7 in our cultured cell
system. We hybridized HeLa cells with an antisense oligo-
nucleotide probe directed against U7 snRNA and then
stained them for coilin. Similar to previously reported data
(Frey and Matera, 1995), this experiment shows several sites
of concentrated U7 oligo signal, many of which colocalize
with CBs, as well as a weaker dispersed signal throughout
the entire nucleus but excluding the nucleolus (Figure 6,
A–C). Of note are several sites of concentrated U7 that do
not correspond to CBs and that have not been described
previously. Many of these are completely independent of
CBs (Figure 6, A–C, arrowheads), but interestingly, some of
the brightest are located at the periphery of CBs and appear
as “buds” on the larger U7 signal that is coincident with the
CB (Figure 6, A–C, arrow). To determine whether the extra
U7 sites might have some special relationship to active histone
loci, we triple-labeled cells to distinguish H4 RNA, U7,
and CBs. This experiment showed that when H4 RNA asso-
ciates with a concentrated U7 site, it also contacts a CB
(Figure 6, D–G); H4 RNA rarely associates with a U7 con-
centration that is separate from a CB. Thus, although U7
distribution is more complex and widespread than that of
CBs, active histone genes still interact selectively with the
concentrated U7 sites that correspond to CBs. In addition,
we observed that the RNA foci associated with the edges of
CBs also overlap the smaller “buds” of U7 with high fre-
quency. This arrangement is reminiscent of the previously
described cleavage body and HIST1 DNA during S phase,
which was suggested to facilitate the expression of the asso-
ciated locus (Schul et al., 1999). However, scoring of H4
RNA foci relative to U7 accumulations indicates that this
association does not account for the majority (80%) of tran-
scriptionally active loci, which are separate from both CBs
and U7 concentrations (Figure 6, D–G).

Related to the above-mentioned experiments, and partic-
ularly relevant to concepts mentioned in the DISCUSSION
(see below), we detected some CBs that are smaller (≤0.3
µm in diameter) than those usually described (0.5–1 µm in
diameter) and are reminiscent of a subset of the concen-
trated U7 sites that can appear separate from the usual
larger CBs (Figures 1H, arrowheads, 1C, and 5B). These are
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might arise specifically at these loci (see DISCUSSION). CBs at HIST1 suggests to us the possibility that new CBs larger CBs (Figure 1, F–H). The high coincidence of small center of the small CBs as well as the edges, unlike with the Platani et al. (our unpublished results), which are 2.5 Mb apart and have findings are consistent for both ends of the HIST1 cluster (Table 3). They are also more frequently associated with HIST1 than they are with HIST2 (Figure 1, F–H, and Table 3). Interestingly, small CBs are twice as frequently associated with HIST1 than they are with HIST2 (Figure 1, F–H, and Table 3). They are also more frequently associated with HIST1 than the larger CBs (Tables 1 and 3). These findings are consistent for both ends of the HIST1 cluster (our unpublished results), which are 2.5 Mb apart and have the potential to localize independently of each other (Lawrence et al., 1990; Smith et al., 1995). HIST1 can localize at the center of the small CBs as well as the edges, unlike with the larger CBs (Figure 1, F–H). The high coincidence of small CBs at HIST1 suggests to us the possibility that new CBs might arise specifically at these loci (see DISCUSSION).

**DISCUSSION**

The work presented here provides valuable information regarding the mechanism that mediates the spatial relationship between CBs and specific loci. Our finding that the replacement histone gene H3.3A does not preferentially associate with CBs is consistent with the hypothesis that cell cycle transcriptional regulation and/or U7-mediated 3' end processing play a role in HIST1– and HIST2–CB interactions. Although the difference between replication-dependent loci and H3.3A might arise coincidentally from their chromosomal locations, we consider this unlikely because we also found that the osteocalcin gene, which is in the same cytogenetic band as HIST2, does not associate with CBs. Rather, the difference is more likely related to divergent 5' or 3' regulatory regions of the genes within those loci. This is also suggested by the presence of the 3' processing factors U7 snRNP and SLBP in CBs (reviewed in Dominiski and Marzluff, 1999), and by the very recently reported CB association with cyclin E and cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2) accumulations, the latter of which occurs specifically during S phase (Liu et al., 2000). Cyclin E plays a role in activating p220/NPAT, a protein that activates replication-dependent histone gene transcription and accumulates at HIST1 and HIST2 loci (Ma et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2000).

In support of the hypothesis that CB association is related to replication-dependent histone gene expression, our results show that a modest but significant change in CB association levels mirrors the timing of changes in replication-dependent histone gene expression. This finding is further supported by our observations that 20% of HIST2 transcript foci in unsynchronized cells associate with CBs versus 14% of HIST2 gene signals, because the RNA foci are more readily detected in S phase nuclei. Similarly, when U2 expression levels are increased (e.g., by copy number or integration site), CB association levels within that population of cells also increase (Frey et al., 1999), suggesting that a link to expression level may be a common feature of CB-associating loci.

Without further analysis at the level of individual loci, the positive correlation between CB association and gene expression within a cell population might be considered to indicate a straightforward explanation: that loci are more active in RNA production when directly associated with a CB (Schul et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2000). However, our in situ analyses of nuclear RNA foci emanating from the HIST2 locus reveal that this is not the case. Our results clearly indicate that sustained contact with a CB is not required for gene expression, as indicated by the presence of detectable RNA foci at loci unassociated with a CB. This is also the case for U2 loci (Smith and Lawrence, 2000). That CB association is not an absolute requirement for gene expression is indirectly suggested by the observation that some cell types

---

**Table 3. Association frequencies of specific genes and “small” CBs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>No. of FISH signals scored</th>
<th>% of signals contacting small CBs*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIST2</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>11 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>26 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteocalcin</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Only CBs ~0.3 µm in diameter were scored.

---

**Figure 5 (-facing page).** Nuclear foci of RNA from replication-dependent histone genes localize to their sites of transcription, not always with CBs. (A–C) Some HIST2 H4 RNA foci (red, A and C) associate with CBs (green, B and C) in HeLa cells and some do not. These RNA foci vary in number and intensity from cell to cell. The cell shown is atypical in that three of the four RNA signals are CB-associated. Note that the weakest RNA focus (arrowhead) contacts a CB, whereas a more intense, nearby focus does not. (D–F) H4 RNA (red, D and F) forms a focus that partially overlaps one HIST2 locus (arrow, green, E and F) in a WI38 diploid fibroblast nucleus. The other homolog of HIST2 has no apparent accumulation of H4 RNA (arrowhead). Bars, 5 µm.

**Figure 6 (-facing page).** U7 snRNA signal is both disperse and concentrated at discrete sites that do not necessarily correspond to CBs or sites of active HIST2 loci. (A–C) A HeLa nucleus hybridized with a U7 antisense oligonucleotide (green, A and B) and stained with anti-collin antibody R288 (red, A and C) shows that most concentrations of U7 signal coincide with CBs. However, others are completely separate from CBs (arrowheads, note one small accumulation at the nucleolar periphery, left) or localize to the edge of a CB appearing as “buds” from the signal overlapping the CB (arrow). (D–G) Triple labeling to detect U7 snRNA (green, D, E, and G), H4 RNA (red, D, F, and G), and collin (blue, G) shows one of three active histone loci associated with concentrated U7 signal (D and insets). This association occurs at the periphery of a CB (G and insets) in most HeLa nuclei. Bars, 5 µm.
have no visible CBs (Spector et al., 1992; Carmo-Fonseca et al., 1993). Because CBs are often prominent in highly metabolically active cells, it was a priori possible that sustained contact with a CB enriched in specific RNA metabolic factors might instead be required for the enhanced expression of specific genes. However, our results show that this is not the case, even in rapidly dividing cells. Hence, these results argue against mechanisms in which ongoing CB contact is necessary to maintain gene activity, as might be the case if CBs continually supply components necessary for metabolism of that RNA. This is consistent with the widespread distribution of U7 snRNA, which suggests that histone premRNAs can be processed at many sites throughout the nucleus, regardless of the presence of CBs.

If sustained CB contact is not a requirement for histone gene expression, why does this interaction occur, and what purpose might it serve? We envision two broad possibilities. One is that transient interactions might enhance histone gene expression in metabolically active cells. Because CBs have been shown to move about the nucleus (Boudonck et al., 1999; Platani et al., 2000), they may well contact specific genes briefly. Measured speeds of the typical, larger CBs might be fast enough to ensure that each locus is contacted within a given cell cycle or even the short time that its transcripts reside in the nucleus (Heintz et al., 1983; Boudonck et al., 1999; Platani et al., 2000). Furthermore, data from our lab and others indicate that the very small CBs move even faster than the larger ones (Tam and Lawrence, unpublished data; Platani et al., 2000). An average of one or two brief interactions, particularly during late G1 and early S phase, could conceivably be sufficient to maintain the rate at which the HeLa cells studied here divide.

Although sustained contact with active genes would imply that CBs act by providing necessary metabolic factors, transient associations would be more consistent with the possibility that CBs are involved in regulating expression by signaling a change in activity status. This model of CBs acting as transient regulators of replication-dependent histone genes is supported by our observation that HIST2–CB association levels begin to increase in late G1, perhaps in anticipation of gene up-regulation that occurs at the G1/S transition. However, the possibility that transient CB interactions serve to deposit a small amount of necessary factors at specific gene sites cannot be ruled out. Additionally, we think it unlikely that CBs interact transiently with all genes, as might be suggested by their accumulations of many basic factors necessary for RNA metabolism (Gall et al., 1999). In a given cell cycle, the three to four large CBs clearly do not move throughout the nucleus fast enough to contact such a great number of genes, and whether the three to four small CBs could do so is questionable. Even so, CBs associate significantly more frequently with histone and snRNA loci than they do with others, indicating specialized interactions.

The alternative to CBs acting as transient regulators of genes is that the level of histone gene activity impacts the formation of CBs. These, in turn, may be involved in basal nuclear RNA metabolism, such as the maturation of snRNA and snRNA and the assembly of snRNPs (Gall et al., 1999; Smith and Lawrence, 2000). If CB formation is nucleated by high levels of histone gene expression, it could provide a link between numerous activities that must be balanced with one another and reflect the cell’s overall metabolic rate. This process may be impacted by the possible formation of CBs at other loci such as U2, which are more highly expressed and may better compete for CB-forming factors, consistent with the observations that not all loci appear associated with a CB at a given time.

That CBs might be nucleated at histone loci is suggested by several observations. Very small CBs were found in association with HIST1 with high frequency and may represent new CBs that have yet to grow into more mature and larger CBs (Figure 1F). Small CBs have also been observed previously in the vicinity of U2 loci (Smith et al., 1995). In addition, the average number of CBs, both large and small, increases between G1 and S phase and decreases again in G2, following the activity profile of histone genes (our unpublished observations). This is in apparent contrast to previous reports describing G1 as the time when CB numbers peak, though these studies may have used different cell types or different techniques to select cells at specific stages (Andrade et al., 1993; Smith et al., 1995). A recent report suggests that high levels of U7 snRNA can cause the formation of CB-like bodies (Tuma and Roth, 1999). CBs might therefore be nucleated by localized concentrations of U7 that accumulate at histone loci as a result of gene activity. However, we and others have found that not all U7 accumulations are coincident with CBs (Figure 6; Matera, personal communication), suggesting that if U7 is involved in this process, other nonhomogeneously distributed factors also might be necessary.

Our findings have significantly narrowed the potential mechanisms that may underlie the interactions of CBs with replication-dependent histone loci. They point most strongly to two possible models, that either brief interaction with CBs might signal a sustained effect on histone gene expression or, conversely, that histone gene expression might nucleate new CBs. The recent findings that cyclin E and CDK2 associate with CBs in a cell cycle-dependent manner tend to favor a role for the CB in gene regulation (Liu et al., 2000; Ma et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2000). However, future work, including investigations of CB interactions in living cells, will be needed to further define why histone loci physically associate with CBs.
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