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The FLYWCH transcription factors FLH-1, FLH-2, and FLH-3 repress embryonic expression of microRNA genes in *C. elegans*
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MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small noncoding RNAs that regulate gene expression post-transcriptionally via antisense base-pairing. Although miRNAs are involved in a variety of important biological functions, little is known about their transcriptional regulation. Using yeast one-hybrid assays, we identified transcription factors with a FLYWCH Zn-finger DNA-binding domain that bind to the promoters of several *Caenorhabditis elegans* miRNA genes. The products of the *flh-1* and *flh-2* genes function redundantly to repress embryonic expression of *lin-4*, *mir-48*, and *mir-241*, miRNA genes that are normally expressed only post-embryonically. Although single mutations in either *flh-1* or *flh-2* genes result in a viable phenotype, double mutation of *flh-1* and *flh-2* results in early larval lethality and an enhanced derepression of their target miRNAs in embryos. Double mutations in *flh-2* and a third FLYWCH Zn-finger-containing transcription factor, *flh-3*, also result in enhanced precocious expression of target miRNAs. Mutations of *lin-4* or *mir-48*&*mir-241* do not rescue the lethal *flh-1; flh-2* double-mutant phenotype, suggesting that the inviability is not solely the result of precocious expression of these miRNAs. Therefore, the FLH-1 and FLH-2 proteins likely play a more general role in regulating gene expression in embryos.
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In addition, the post-transcriptional regulation of the let-7 miRNA is associated with the neuronal differentiation of embryonic stem cells, and embryocarcinoma cells are an important feature in neuronal specification (Wulczyn et al. 2007).

The founding member of the miRNA class of small RNAs is the product of the Caenorhabditis elegans lin-4 gene (Lee et al. 1993). Expression of lin-4 miRNA is first detected in the middle of the first larval stage [L1] (Feinbaum and Ambros 1999), and its up-regulation results in the down-regulation of one of its target mRNAs, lin-14, through complementary sequences in the lin-14 3′ UTR (Wightman et al. 1993). Down-regulation of the LIN-14 protein then allows the transition from expression of the L1 stage to the expression of L2 stage developmental events to occur (Ambros and Horvitz 1987).

Two lines of evidence suggest that the temporal regulation of lin-4 occurs at the transcriptional level. First, Northern blotting analysis of the lin-4 miRNA in wild-type animals reveals the presence of two transcripts, an ~65-nt and a 22-nt species. The longer transcript is a precursor of the mature 22-nt lin-4 (Lee et al. 1993). Both RNAs are up-regulated coordinately during the mid-L1 stage (R. Lee and V. Ambros, unpubl.), suggesting that the lin-4 precursor is activated transcriptionally during the L1 stage and then the mature lin-4 is rapidly processed from its precursor. Second, lin-4::gfp transcriptional reporters containing only DNA sequences upstream of the miRNA recapitulate its temporal expression, indicating that these upstream sequences contain all the transcriptional regulatory elements required for the temporal regulation of lin-4 (Esquela-Kerscher et al. 2005; Baugh and Sternberg 2006, this study).

In this study, we identify a class of Zn-finger FLYWCH transcription factors that includes FLH-1, FLH-2, and FLH-3 [FLYWCH transcription factor-1, FLYWCH transcription factor-2, and FLYWCH transcription factor-3] that act redundantly during embryogenesis to repress the transcription of lin-4 and other miRNAs that are normally up-regulated postembryonically.

Results

FLH-1 binds to an upstream region of lin-4

To identify candidate proteins that could be direct regulators of lin-4 expression, we conducted yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) screens using an 87-bp fragment from the phylogenetically conserved upstream region of the lin-4 gene as bait (Lee et al. 1993). This DNA fragment [fragment 365–451] consists of nucleotides 365–451 as measured 5′ from the start of the mature lin-4 of a 693-bp SalI lin-4 rescuing construct (Lee et al. 1993). Fragment 365–451 had been identified previously in gel mobility shift assays to contain sequences capable of developmentally regulated binding to a component contained in nuclear extracts from L1 larvae (R. Feinbaum and V. Ambros, unpubl.). As prey in the Y1H screens, we used a random-primed and an oligo dT-primed C. elegans cDNA library. We screened 2.1 × 10⁶ yeast transformants and found several candidates exhibiting fragment 365–451 binding activity. Among these candidates was a 485-bp sequence encoding a portion [residues 105–265] of an uncharacterized ORF, y11d7a.12, which encodes a predicted transcription factor with a FLYWCH Zn-finger DNA-binding domain (Dorn and Krauss 2003; Reece-Hoyes et al. 2005). Based on the presence of the FLYWCH motif in the Y11D7A.12 protein, the gene name flh-1 was assigned to y11d7a.12.

The FLH-1-binding fragment in the lin-4 promoter is essential for repression of lin-4 in the embryo

To determine whether sequences contained within fragment 365–451 are necessary for the proper temporal expression of lin-4 in vivo, we used a Plin-4::gfp reporter, consisting of 2.4 kb of DNA sequences upstream of the mature lin-4 fused to GFP. As expected, animals with the Plin-4::gfp [mals134] transgene exhibited no GFP during embryogenesis [Fig. 1A]. Consistent with previous reports, GFP expression from mals134 is first detected at the mid-L1 stage and persists into adulthood in various cell types, including the hypodermis, vulva, pharynx, ventral nerve cord, and muscles (Esquela-Kerscher et al. 2005; Baugh and Sternberg 2006, this study). A modified Plin-4::gfp reporter construct containing a deletion of nucleotides corresponding to the sequence of fragment 365–451 exhibited GFP expression in late-stage embryos [Fig. 1A], suggesting that this region in the lin-4 promoter contains cis-acting regulatory sequences necessary for the repression of lin-4 expression in the embryo, presumably through the binding of FLH-1.

RNAi of flh genes results in precocious embryonic expression of lin-4

To test whether FLH-1 is required for the repression of lin-4 expression in the embryo, we assayed lin-4 RNA levels in embryos produced by hermaphrodites treated with flh-1(RNAi). We used rrf-3(pk1426) animals that are hypersensitive to RNAi (Simmer et al. 2002). Northern blot analysis of total RNA extracted from flh-1(RNAi) embryos detected weak precocious expression of lin-4 in embryos [Fig. 1B]. This precocious expression was enhanced by simultaneous RNAi of both flh-1 and flh-2 (c2666.2), which encodes another of the C. elegans FLYWCH family of proteins [Fig. 1B,C]. RNAi of flh-2 alone did not result in any detectable precocious lin-4 expression [Fig. 1B]. Similarly, RNAi of flh-1 or flh-2 alone did not lead to appreciable precocious expression of GFP from our Plin-4::gfp reporter [data not shown]. However, Plin-4::gfp was precociously active in double-RNAi, flh-1(RNAi); flh-2(RNAi) embryos [Fig. 1D]. These results demonstrate that FLH-1 represses lin-4 expression by binding to a negative cis-regulatory promoter element and suggests a functional redundancy between FLH-1 and FLH-2.
Isolation and phenotype of deletion mutations in the flh genes

To further test the role of the FLYWCH family of proteins in the regulation of miRNA gene expression and C. elegans development, we obtained deletion mutations of flh-1 and flh-2 by screening a library of ethyl methane-sulphonate (EMS)-mutagenized worms using gene-specific PCR primers. The y11d7a.12 deletion mutation, flh-1(bc374), is an 894-bp deletion that deletes most of
exons 2 and 3 and results in the loss of the FLYWCH domain (Fig. 2A). The c26e6.2 mutation, flh-2(bc375), is a 2023-bp deletion that extends from the predicted translation start site to all of exon 4 [Fig. 2A], and it also contains an insertion/duplication of tttttatcagcgcctgt at the deletion junction.

Animals homozygous for either flh-1(bc374) or flh-2(bc375) exhibited a nearly wild-type phenotype with a low penetrance of larvae with morphological abnormalities (2.8% for bc374, n = 502) [Fig. 2B]. While the single FLYWCH mutants had an almost wild-type phenotype, loss of both flh-1 and flh-2 resulted in the complete penetrance of early larval lethality (Fig. 2B). L1 larvae homozygous for flh-1(bc374) and flh-2(bc375), examined following hatching, had either severe morphological abnormalities and/or appeared necrotic, and 100% (n = 92) of these double-mutant L1 larvae died before reaching the L2 larval stage.

Unlike the flh-1(bc374); flh-2(bc375) double mutant, animals double mutant for two other alleles of flh-1 and flh-2—flh-1(tm2118); flh-2(tm2126)—were viable. The viability of the flh-1(tm2118); flh-2(tm2126) double mutant is consistent with the less severe molecular lesions in these alleles as compared with flh-1(bc374) and flh-2(bc375) and indicates that flh-1(tm2118) and flh-1(tm2126) express residual protein and are probably not nulls [Fig. 2A, Supplemental Fig. 1]. Although flh-1(tm2118); flh-2(tm2126) larvae appeared superficially normal, adults are uncoordinated and retain more embryos than N2 adults, suggesting defects in egg-laying.

miRNA expression profile of flh mutants

To determine whether the levels of other miRNAs besides lin-4 changed in flh mutants, we performed real-time RT–PCR (miRTaqMan) assays on 107 miRNAs using total RNA isolated from embryos from various flh
mutants. For flh-1(bc374), we found that in addition to lin-4, the miRNAs mir-241 and mir-48 were also increased at least twofold in mutant embryos compared with wild-type [Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. 3a; Supplemental Table 1]. Decreases of less than twofold were detected for mir-51 and mir-60 [Fig. 3A, Supplemental Fig. 3a; Supplemental Table 1]. In flh-2(bc375), there was no significant increase in the levels of any of the miRNAs we tested [Fig. 3B; Supplemental Fig. 3b; Supplemental Table 2]. The most marked changes were observed in double flh-1(bc374) and flh-2(bc375) embryos, where lin-4, mir-48, mir-241, and mir-34 were significantly overexpressed compared with wild type [Fig. 3C; Supplemental Fig. 3d; Supplemental Table 4]. Embryos double mutant for flh-1(tm2118) and flh-2(tm2126) displayed similar increases in the levels of lin-4 and mir-241 as well as several other miRNAs, including mir-245, mir-246, and mir-56. flh-1(tm2118); flh-2(tm2126) embryos also exhibited a significant decrease in mir-794 [Fig. 3E; Supplemental Fig. 3e; Supplemental Table 5]. miRTaqMan analysis of animals mutant for flh-3 [y11d7a.13], which encodes the third C. elegans FLYWCH motif-containing protein [Figs. 1C, 2A], showed a twofold increase in the levels of only mir-34 and mir-49 [Fig. 3D, Supplemental Fig. 3c; Supplemental Table 3]. Animals with a combination of the flh-2(bc375) and flh-3(tm3024) mutations exhibited elevated levels of several miRNAs—lin-4, mir-48, mir-230, mir-65, mir-71, and mir-228 [Fig. 3F; Supplemental Fig. 3f; Supplemental Table 6]. Our TaqMan results indicate that while single-gene flh mutations have only moderate or undetectable effects on miRNA levels, double flh gene mutations do

Figure 3. MiRNA levels in flh mutants. Total RNA isolated from 100 late-stage embryos (see the Materials and Methods) of wild type (N2) and flh-1(bc374) (A), flh-2(bc375) (B), flh-1(bc374); flh-2(bc375) (C), flh-3(tm3024) (D), flh-1(tm2118); flh-2(tm2126) (E), and flh-2(bc375); flh-3(tm3024) (F) were subjected to miRNA TaqMan real-time PCR assays. miRNA levels in mutants compared with the N2 control were determined using the ΔΔCt method [Materials and Methods], and are expressed here as log₂ (fold change). Lists and graphs of all the analyzed ΔΔCt values are found in Supplemental Figure 3 and Supplemental Tables 1–6.
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have significant effects on miRNA levels, further confirming a redundant relationship between FLH-1, FLH-2, and FLH-3.

**Genome-scale Y1H screens reveal additional interactions between miRNA promoters and FLH proteins**

To date, 115 miRNA genes have been identified in *C. elegans* [miRBase version 4.0]. Of these 115 miRNA genes, 82 are intergenic. Sixty-six of these 82 are located in intergenic regions and are likely to be transcribed as independent transcriptional units. The other 16 of the intergenic miRNAs are expressed from operons, with a single promoter for each operon. The remaining 33 miRNAs are situated within introns of protein-coding genes and therefore may be under the transcriptional control of their host genes. We cloned upstream promoter sequences for 71 out of the 82 intergenic miRNA genes and tested whether other miRNA promoters besides *lin-4* could be directly bound by FLH-1 and FLH-2. In our genome-scale screen, we detected binding of FLH-1 to the promoters of *lin-4*, *mir-241*, *mir-48*, *mir-53*, *mir-59*, and *mir-358-357* [Fig. 4A; Martínez et al. 2008]. Transcriptional GFP reporters and Northern blots using RNA from embryos of *flh-1(bc374)* showed precocious expression of *lin-4*, *mir-48*, *mir-59*, and *mir-241* [Fig. 4B] but not in *flh-2(bc375)* or N2 [Fig. 4B,C; data not shown]. No change in expression levels was detected for *mir-53* or *mir-358* in either *flh-1(bc374)* or *flh-2(bc375)* [Fig. 4B; data not shown]. Northern blot analysis of RNA from various developmental stages of *flh-1(bc374)* and *flh-2(bc375)* revealed little or no change in the temporal expression of their miRNA targets during post-embryogenesis (Supplemental Fig. 2), indicating a primary role of FLH-1 and FLH-2 in embryogenesis.

**Identification of an FLH-1 consensus binding site**

We used the sequences of the miRNA promoters that were found to bind FLH-1 in Y1H assays (*P* *lin-4*, *P* *mir-241*, *P* *mir-48*, *P* *mir-53*, *P* *mir-59*, and *P* *mir-358-357*) to derive a putative FLH-1 consensus binding site using the Improbizer algorithm [Ao et al. 2004]. We found that the predicted FLH-1-binding site contains an a/gGGCGCCG sequence (Fig. 5A) that tends to be located in the first 1 kb upstream of the annotated miRNA. miRNA promoters that bind FLH-1 by Y1H assays (“Y1H positives”) had

---

**Figure 4.** (A) Venn diagram of miRNA targets identified by genome-scale Y1H screens. The large oval depicts miRNA promoter targets for FLH-1: *lin-4*, *mir-241*, *mir-48*, *mir-53*, *mir-59*, and *mir-358-357*. The inner circle denotes the miRNA promoter targets for FLH-2: *lin-4*, *mir-241*, and *mir-48*. (B) FLH-1 represses the expression of its miRNA targets during embryogenesis. Total RNA from N2, *flh-1(bc374)*, or *flh-2(bc375)* embryos was extracted and analyzed by Northern blots as described in Materials and Methods. RNA from a mixed-stage population of N2 was loaded as reference. *mir-358* was undetectable even after prolonged exposures. All blots were stripped and reprobed for the U6 snRNA as the loading control. (C) Transcriptional reporters for *lin-4*, *mir-241*, *mir-48*, and *mir-59* show precocious expression during embryogenesis in an FLH-1 mutant. Late-stage embryos from N2 and *flh-1(bc374)* expressing the *Plin-4::gfp*, *Pmir-241::gfp*, *Pmir-48::gfp*, or *Pmir-59::gfp* transgenes were examined by fluorescence and DIC optics. All images were of identical exposure time and were processed in parallel.
higher Improbizer scores than a set of promoters that did not bind FLH-1 ("YIH negatives"). Of the six promoters found to be positive for YIH interaction with FLH-1, four [lin-4, mir-241, mir-48, and mir-59] contain the a/gGGCGCCG, and these four correspond to miRNAs that changed in expression in flh mutants as assessed by
Northern blots and TaqMan assays. Only one Y1H negative promoter had an Improbizer score comparable with the Y1H positives. Interestingly, this miRNA (mir-34) did change in expression in flh mutants, suggesting that we failed to detect FLH binding to this promoter by Y1H assays. A second Y1H negative (mir-60) that corresponds to a miRNA that changes in flh mutants did not have a site with a good Improbizer score. This may represent a Y1H false negative or a case in which FLH proteins regulate this miRNA indirectly.

We found that DNA with the binding site consensus sequence can, indeed, interact with FLH-1 in Y1H assays (Fig. 5B). Moreover, deletion of the a/gGGCGGCCG sequence from the mir-48 promoter abolishes FLH-1 binding (Fig. 5C).

To further verify the interaction between FLH-1 and the consensus sequence, we performed gel mobility shift assays using total protein extract from N2 embryos with the 87-bp fragment 365–451 from Plin-4 (Fig. 5D) and a 51-bp fragment [fragment 200–251; consisting of nucleotides 200–251 upstream of the mature mir-48] from Pmir-48 that also contains a consensus FLH-1-binding sequence [Fig. 5E]. Addition of radiolabeled fragment 365–451 to the embryo extract resulted in a shifted complex that was competed away by unlabeled fragment 365–451 (Fig. 5D, lanes 3–6). A supershifted complex was formed upon the addition of anti-FLH-1 serum but not with a control antibody (Fig. 5D, lanes 8,9). Likewise, incubation of the embryo extract with a radiolabeled fragment from Pmir-48 (fragment 200–251) resulted in the formation of a shifted complex (Fig. 5E, lane 2). A supershifted complex was detected with the addition of anti-FLH-1 serum (Fig. 5E, lane 6) but not with a control antibody (Fig. 5E, lane 8). The shifted complex can be outcompeted upon the addition of unlabeled cold fragment 200–251 (Fig. 5E, lane 3). Addition of 100-fold excess of unlabeled fragment 200–251 deleted for the consensus site was ineffective in preventing FLH-1 binding.
to a wild-type radiolabeled fragment 200–251 (Fig. 5E, lane 4), consistent with the sequence-specific binding of FLH-1 to the Pmtr-48 200–251 fragment via the consensus sequence. Interestingly, addition of 100-fold excess of mutated fragment 365–451 containing a deletion of the consensus site was still able to compete with wild-type fragment 365–451 [data not shown], indicating that FLH-1 can also bind to sequences outside the consensus site in fragment 365–451.

**Expression pattern of FLH transcription factors**

To visualize the expression pattern of FLH-1, FLH-2, and FLH-3, we made fluorescent translational or transcriptional fusions and examined their expression in transgenic worms. Expression of VENUS [Nagai et al. 2002] from the translational fusion *flh-1::venus* localizes to most cells starting at the gastrulation stage, with its expression diminishing by the L1 stage [Fig. 6A]. The expression pattern of the rescuing translational *gfp::flh-2* transgene [Fig. 6A; Supplemental Table 8] was somewhat different from that of *flh-1::venus*. In embryogenesis, GFP was detected starting at the gastrulation stage. However, expression in head and tail cells persisted during the larval and adult stages [Fig. 6A]. Fluorescence from the transcriptional fusion P*flh-3::gfp* was detected in late-stage embryos and L1 larvae [Fig. 6A].

Northern blot analysis of total RNA extracted from populations of staged animals shows that the *flh-1, flh-2,* and *flh-3* mRNAs are detected in embryos and reduced significantly after hatching [Fig. 6B, data not shown]. While we were unable to observe VENUS from FLH-1::VENUS, we detected the *flh-1* mRNA in L4 animals and adults [Fig. 6B], suggesting that additional regulatory elements may be involved in the regulation of *flh-1* expression that are not present in our *flh-1::venus* transgene.

Western blot analyses using polyclonal antibodies against FLH-1 show that FLH-1 is present during embryogenesis and adulthood, while FLH-2 could be detected only in embryos [Fig. 6C]. This protein expression, together with the results of the Northern blots showing reduction of *flh-1* mRNA after embryogenesis, and with the temporal expression of the fluorescent transgenes, indicate that FLH-1 and FLH-2 function during embryogenesis to repress their target genes and then are down-regulated soon after hatching, consistent with the post-embryonic up-regulation of FLH targets [Fig. 8A, below].

**Precocious expression of lin-4 in flh mutants reduces LIN-14 levels in embryos**

To determine if the elevated expression of *lin-4* in embryos of *flh* mutants could lead to precocious down-regulation of LIN-14, the principal target of *lin-4*, we used Western blots to estimate LIN-14 levels in single and double *flh-1* and *flh-2* mutant embryos [Fig. 7A]. Single-mutant *flh-1(bc374)* embryos or double-mutant *flh-1(tm2118); flh-2(tm2126)* embryos exhibited a ninefold to 10-fold decrease in LIN-14 levels compared with N2 or *flh-2(bc375)* embryos [Fig. 7A]. Interestingly, although LIN-14 levels were significantly lower during embryogenesis in the single *flh-1* and the double *flh-1; flh-2* mutants, the level of LIN-14 in starved L1 larvae remained relatively unchanged compared with N2 [Fig. 7A]. In L1 larvae, a slight increase in LIN-14 was detected in the single *flh-1(bc374)* and *flh-2(bc375)* mutants and in the double *flh-1(tm2118); flh-2(tm2126)* mutant [Fig. 7A]. These results indicate that the precociously expressed *lin-4* in embryos of the *flh-1(bc374)* and *flh-1(tm2118); flh-2(tm2126)* can lead to embryonic repression of LIN-14, but does not significantly affect LIN-14 levels post-embryonically.
Elevated lin-4 levels in flh mutant embryos do not result in post-embryonic heterochronic defects

Because loss-of-function [l] mutations of flh-1 and flh-1, flh-2 result in the up-regulation of lin-4 in embryos, and since it was shown previously that elevated lin-4 could result in a precocious expression of L2-adult developmental events [Feinbaum and Ambros 1999], we examined flh-1 and flh-2 mutant animals for defects in post-embryonic developmental timing. In particular, we used a transgenic col-19::gfp reporter to monitor the timing of adult-specific developmental programs in the lateral hypodermis. The col-19 gene is an adult-specific collagen gene that is under the control of the heterochronic pathway [Liu et al. 1995]. Heterochronic mutations that cause precocious development result in the premature expression of col-19::gfp during larval stages, whereas mutations that cause retarded development result in the loss of col-19::gfp expression in adults [Abrahante et al. 1998]. We did not observe altered timing of col-19::gfp expression in flh-1(bc374) or flh-2(bc375) single mutant in flh-1(tm2118), flh-2(tm2126) or flh-2(bc375), flh-3(tm3024) double mutants [Table 1]. We were unable to examine flh-1(bc374), flh-2(bc375) double-mutant animals for post-embryonic heterochronic phenotypes because of the early larval lethality of the double-mutant combination.

An additional phenotype of animals overexpressing lin-4 is the precocious expression of L2 larval-specific cell division at the L1 larval stage [Feinbaum and Ambros 1999]. To determine whether single or double mutants of flh-1 and flh-2 exhibit precocious L2-stage cell division, we examined the hypodermal cells [seam cells] of the V lineage (V1–V6). Wild-type L1 larvae hatch with six V-lineage seam cells. These six seam cells divide once in L1 to generate a daughter seam cell and a hypodermal cell. Because the hypodermal daughter cell does not divide, the number of V-lineage seam cells at the end of the L1 stage remains at six. At the L2 stage, five of the six seam cells generate two daughter cells, thus increasing the number of the V-lineage seam cells from six to 11 [Sulston and Horvitz 1977]. In L1 larvae deficient for LIN-14, the seam cells undergo aberrant division programs characteristic of the L2 stage, thus resulting in the production of more than six seam cells [Ambros and Horvitz 1984]. We used a seam cell nuclei-specific fluorescent marker [scm::gfp] to examine whether the precocious expression of lin-4 in the flh mutants results in an abnormally high number of V-lineage seam cells in the L1 stage. As in wild-type L1 larvae, the number of seam cells remained at six in the single flh-1(bc374) and flh-2(bc375) mutants as well as in the double flh-1(tm2118), flh-2(tm2126) mutant [Table 2, Fig. 7B], indicating that the precocious expression of lin-4 during the embryonic stage is not sufficient to confer post-embryonic heterochronic defects.

FLH transcription factors likely regulate non-miRNA targets

To determine whether early larval lethality of the double flh-1(bc374); flh-2(bc375) mutation was caused primarily by overexpression of lin-4, mir-241, or mir-48 during embryogenesis, we built VT1589 [lin-4(e912) II/mmC1, flh-2(bc375) III, flh-1(bc374) IV/nT1 [qls51] [IV;V] and VT1645 [flh-2(bc375) III, flh-1(bc374) IV/nT1 [qls51] [IV;V], nDf51 V] and asked whether the lethality of the flh-1; flh-2 double mutant could be rescued in animals deleted for lin-4 or mir-48 and mir-241. Animals that were homozygous for either lin-4(0) or nDf51 [mir-48(0) mir-241(0)] did not produce viable progeny that were homozygous for both flh-1(bc374) and flh-2(bc375). This implies that the inviability of flh-1(bc374); flh-2(bc375) animals is not primarily a consequence of excessive levels of lin-4 or of mir-48 and mir-241, but may be due to the collective up-regulation of additional miRNA targets of FLH-1 and FLH-2, and/or altered expression of yet to be identified protein-coding gene targets of FLH-1 and FLH-2.

Discussion

We report the identification of three transcription factors—FLH-1, FLH-2, and FLH-3—that act redundantly to

Table 2. Seam cells* number in FLH mutant L1 larvae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Percentage of L1 larvae with six seam cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>100 [n = 32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flh-1(bc374)</td>
<td>100 [n = 34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flh-2(bc375)</td>
<td>100 [n = 27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flh-1(tm2118), flh-2(tm2126)</td>
<td>100 [n = 44]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hypodermal seam nuclei cells corresponding to the V lineages (V1–V6).

†Larvae were examined after overnight hatching of embryos in sterile M9 medium, followed by feeding on a seeded NGM plate for 1–2 h.

Table 1. Col-19::gfp expression in FLH mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Percent of col-19::gfp expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>0 [n = 499] 100 [n = 726]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flh-1(bc374)</td>
<td>0 [n = 305] 100 [n = 746]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flh-2(bc375)</td>
<td>0 [n = 353] 100 [n = 739]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flh-1(tm2118), flh-2(tm2126)</td>
<td>0 [n = 126] 100 [n = 252]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flh-2(bc375), flh-3(tm3024)</td>
<td>0 [n = 94] 100 [n = 1000]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
repress the expression of several miRNAs in *C. elegans* during embryogenesis. FLH-1, FLH-2, and FLH-3 are three of four proteins in *C. elegans* that contain a FLYWCH DNA-binding domain [Reece-Hoyes et al. 2005]. Proteins with FLYWCH domains have also been identified in insects and vertebrates [Dorn and Krauss 2003; Krauss and Dorn 2004; Babu et al. 2006]. The FLYWCH motif is a Cys2His2-type zinc-finger domain characterized by the conserved sequence: F/Y-X(n)-L-X(n)-F/Y-X(n)-WXCX_{6–12}CX_{17–22}HXH (where X is any amino acid). It was first identified in isoforms of the putative chromatin-modulating protein *modifier of mdg4* [mod(mdg4)] in *Drosophila melanogaster* [Gerasimova et al. 1995; Buchner et al. 2000]. Phenotypes of *mod(mdg-4)* mutant flies include effects on position effect variegation, chromatin insulation, nerve cell pathfinding, chromosomal meiotic pairing, and apoptosis (for review, see Dorn and Krauss 2003). It is not known whether *mod(mdg4)* mutations cause defects in miRNA expression in *Drosophila*. *Drosophila* Mod-mdg4 has not been shown to bind to DNA, but the Mod-mdg4 FLYWCH domain does seem to mediate protein–protein interactions, since it can directly interact with the DNA-binding protein Suppressor of Hairy-wing [SuiHw] as part of the gypsy insulator [Ghosh et al. 2001]. Although we did not establish a direct in vivo interaction between the FLH-1, FLH-2, or FLH-3 proteins, Y2H assays detected a physical interaction between FLH-1 and FLH-3 [Walhout et al. 2002]. The potential functional significance of interactions among the *C. elegans* FLH proteins in vivo or their interactions with other proteins remains to be determined. The functional redundancy between the *flh-1*, *flh-2*, and *flh-3* genes that we show here is consistent with a range of possible molecular relationships among the FLH-1, FLH-2, and FLH-3 proteins in vivo, including binding common sets of DNA sites, common protein partners, and/or functioning separately in redundant pathways.

The fourth *C. elegans* protein with a FLYWCH domain is *peb-1* [Thatcher et al. 2001]. The function and DNA-binding activity of *peb-1* requires the FLYWCH motif as a deletion in this region results in deficiencies in pharynx development and molting [Beaster-Jones and Okkema 2004; Fernandez et al. 2004]. Y1H analysis showed that PEB-1 binds to the promoter of several miRNAs [Martinez et al. 2008]. However, unlike *flh-1*, miRNA

---

**Figure 8.** (A) A working model for FLH-1, FLH-2, and FLH-3 transcription factors. FLH-1, and/or FLH-3, in association with FLH-3, are proposed to directly bind to sequences containing the consensus a/gGGCGCCCG in the promoters of *lin-4*, *mir-241*, and *mir-48* during embryogenesis. This binding prevents the premature transcription of these miRNAs. Upon hatching, the FLH proteins are down-regulated, permitting the up-regulation of transcription of these miRNAs during larval development by the action of other factors, including putative transcriptional activators. (B) FLYWCH transcription factors regulate miRNA expression. Network depicting regulatory effects on miRNAs [rectangles] exerted by FLYWCH transcription factors [TFs] [circles]. Solid lines are TF-Pmir interactions found by Y1H assays, dashed lines are miRNAs that change in expression in *flh* mutants, dotted lines are TF-Pmir physical interactions (no regulatory interaction determined), arrows are transcriptional activation, and blunted arrows are transcriptional repression.
TaqMan analyses of *peb-1* mutant embryos did not show any aberrance in *lin-4* levels (data not shown).

We found that the loss of both FLH-1 and FLH-2 results in early larval lethality. It is apparent from our studies that this phenotype is not due simply to the overexpression of *lin-4*, *mir-48*, or *mir-241* during embryogenesis since a *lin-4(lf)* mutation or deletion of *mir-48* and *mir-241* did not rescue the *flh* lethal phenotype. Also, the increases in *lin-4*, *mir-48*, and *mir-48* levels observed in the viable *flh* mutants [ *flh-1(tm2118); flh-2(tm2126)* or *flh-2(bc375)*; *flh-3(tm3024)*] were approximately similar to the increases in those miRNAs observed in the inviable mutant [ *flh-1(bc374); flh-2(bc375)*] (Fig. 3C,E,F; Supplemental Fig. 3d–f; Supplemental Tables 4–6). Lethality in the latter case could be attributable to a slight but concerted overexpression of other miRNAs. However, we do not favor that option since worms with a mutation in a gene whose product is involved in the general processing of all the miRNAs, *alg-1*, also fails to rescue the lethality of the double FLYWCH mutant (data not shown). It is likely, then, that the FLH proteins regulate the transcription of protein-coding genes, as well as miRNA genes, that collectively contribute to the *flh* mutant phenotypes.

We did not observe post-embryonic developmental timing defects in *flh* mutants that overexpress in embryos miRNAs known to be developmental timing regulators. For example, although transgenic overexpression in early larval stages of *mir-48* or *lin-4* has been shown to cause precocious expression of later larval cell fates (Feinbaum and Ambros 1999; Li et al. 2005), the viable *flh* mutants that we examined did not display such larval developmental timing phenotypes. This is consistent with our observation that *mir-48* and *lin-4* are primarily overexpressed during embryogenesis in *flh* mutants, and are relatively normal during development of mutant larvae. It appears that the FLH proteins are particularly involved in inhibiting miRNA expression in embryos, and other regulatory mechanisms, including transcriptional activators, govern up-regulation of these same miRNAs in larvae [Fig. 8].

It is evident that the temporal [and most likely also spatial] regulation of miRNAs involves a complex network of negative and positive transcription factors as well as post-transcriptional regulation. Indeed, a recent genome-wide analysis of *C. elegans* transcription factors and intergenic miRNA promoters has revealed nearly 350 transcription factor–miRNA interactions (Martinez et al. 2008). Future experiments are needed to fully understand the roles of transcription factors in governing the temporal and spatial expression of miRNAs, including how developmental and physiological signals modulate the transcription factors regulating miRNA gene expression.

Materials and methods

**Y1H assays**

Fragment 365–451 of Pilin-4 was cloned into pBM2389, upstream of a GAL1 promoter that drives the expression of HIS3 [Liu et al. 1993], generating plasmids pBM2389.AF [forward orientation] and pBM2389.AR [reverse orientation]. The DNA fragment was also cloned into pSE640, upstream of a CYC1 promoter driving the expression of LacZ [American Type Culture Collection], generating plasmids pSE640.AF [forward orientation] and pSE640.AR [reverse orientation]. These reporter plasmids were integrated into *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain Y4271. The yeast strains harboring the integrated plasmids were then transformed with two mixed-staged *C. elegans* cDNA libraries, pACT-RB1 [oligo dT primed] and pACT-RB2 [random hexamer primed; gifts from Dr. Robert Barstead]. Yeast transformants with pBM2389.AF or pBM2389.AR and an activator plasmid were selected for tryptophan, leucine, and histidine prototrophy and with 40 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole. Plasmids from positive candidates from the histidine screen were extracted and transformed into Y4271 harboring pSE640.AF or pSE640.AR, where the interaction was confirmed using in situ β-galactosidase assays. All yeast manipulations were done following standard procedures (Adams et al. 1997).

Gateway-compatible Y1H assays were done as described before [Deplancke et al. 2006; Vermeirssen et al. 2007] using 2 kb upstream of the mature miRNA as baits and FLH-1 and FLH-2 as preys.

**Plasmid construction**

A 5.6-kb fragment containing a rescuing wild-type copy of the *unc-119* gene was inserted into the promoter-less GFP vector pPD95.75 to generate pMO23. All PCR reactions were done using Platinum Pfx DNA polymerase [Invitrogen]. The *Pilin-4::gfp* reporter plasmid was made by amplifying a 2.4-kb fragment immediately upstream of the mature *lin-4* and inserting it into pMO23. Plasmids for *Pmir-241: gfp*, *Pmir-48: gfp*, *Pmir-49: gfp*, and *Pmir-358-357: gfp* were made by amplifying 1.5–2-kb fragments upstream of the mature miRNA and ligating them into pMO23. The *Pilin-4::gfp* plasmid with a deletion of fragment 365–451 was made by the overlap extension PCR method [Ho et al. 1989].

A VENUS translational reporter for *flh-1* [P*flh-1* [5 kb]::*flh-1::venus::flh-1 3′ UTR [290 bp]] was constructed using a combination of overlap extension PCR and Gateway cloning [Walhout et al. 2000]. A GFP translational reporter for *flh-2* [P*flh-2* [4.8 kb]::*gfp::flh-2::3′ UTR [411 bp]] was made using a pBluescript SK(+) vector with GFP and the *unc-119* mini-gene transformation marker from pDPMOS651 [Maduro and Pilgrim 1995]. The transcriptional fusion reporter for *flh-3* [P*flh-3* [4.5 kb]::*gfp::flh-3 3′ UTR [1 kb]] was made by Gateway cloning. Details of all plasmid constructions and primer sequences will be provided upon request.

**C. elegans strains**

Worms were grown using standard procedures at 20°C on Nematode Growth Medium [NGM] plates [Sulston and Hodgkin 1988]. The wild-type strain was *C. elegans* var. Bristol strain N2 [Brenner 1974]. Deletion alleles isolated from mutagenesis libraries were backcrossed to N2 at least six to eight times before characterization. All nematode strains used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table 8.

**C. elegans transformation**

Gold microparticle biolistic bombardment [for review, see Pratits 2006] of DP38 [unc-119(es3)] was used to create transgenic worms carrying fluorescent reporters. We used a transformation procedure described by Berezikov et al. [2004] using a
overnight hatching of embryos in M9 buffer at 20°C. Worm DIG High Prime DNA Labeling and Detection Starter Kit II was used to detect PCR fragment specific for used to detect screens and ImageQuant (Molecular Dynamics). Northern blots using the Decaprime II Random Primed DNA Labeling Kit (Am- }

Using the small nucleolar RNA, sn2841 or U18, as the normal-

We cloned the predicted FLH-1-binding site from the promoter of mir-48, as described previously (Deplancke et al. 2006). A Gateway compatible entry vector, pMW#4, was ligated with the annealed primers, and the FLH-1-binding sites were subsequently cloned into pMW#2 and pMW#3 integrated into the genome of S. cerevisiae YM4271 and used in YIH assays as described previously (Deplancke et al. 2006).

Deletion of the FLH-1-binding site in Pmir-48

The FLH-1 consensus binding site was deleted from Pmir-48 using the QuickChange II Site-Directed Mutagensesis kit (Strata- gene) following the instructions of the manufacturer with an entry clone with 2 kb of Pmir-48 as template. This entry clone was subsequently used to generate a YIH bait as described previously (Deplancke et al. 2006).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

Wild-type gravid hermaphrodites were collected following hypochlorite treatment (Sulston and}
Hodgkin 1988). Embryos [50 µL of packed pellet] were washed, resuspended in 650 µL of 10 mM HEPES [pH 7.2] supplemented with Halt protease inhibitor cocktail [Pierce] and disrupted by 30 strokes of an ice-cold 3-mL stainless steel dounce homogenizer. Fifty micrograms of the resulting extract were incubated at room temperature in Binding Buffer [10 mM HEPES at pH 7.2, 25 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 50 µM ZnCl₂, 5% glycerol] with 2 µg of BSA and 0.5 µg of poly [dl-dC]. A 30-min preincubation was done for cold probe chases and supershift assays using anti-FLH-1 or rabbit IgG Ab-1 control antibody [Thermo Scientific]. Following the addition of a [γ-32P]ATP 5’-end-labeled wild-type or mutant fragments 365–451 (from Pum-4) or 200–251 (from Pmu-ir-48), samples were incubated for an additional 20 min, immediately loaded into a 5% native gel, and electrophoresed at room temperature.
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