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Leukoaraiosis, intracerebral hemorrhage, and functional outcome after acute stroke thrombolysis

ABSTRACT

Objective: To perform a systematic review and pooled meta-analysis of published studies to assess whether the presence of leukoaraiosis on neuroimaging before treatment with thrombolysis (IV or intra-arterial) is associated with an increased risk of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage (sICH) or poor functional outcome.

Methods: We included studies of patients with acute ischemic stroke, treated with IV or intra-arterial thrombolysis, which assessed functional outcome (3-month modified Rankin Scale [mRS]) or sICH in relation to leukoaraiosis on pretreatment neuroimaging (CT or MRI). We used random-effects models to calculate pooled relative risks (RR) of sICH and poor functional outcome (mRS ≥ 2) for any vs no leukoaraiosis (using any rating scale) and for no to mild vs moderate to severe leukoaraiosis (using the Van Swieten or Fazekas Schmidt scale).

Results: We identified 15 studies (total n = 6,967). For sICH outcome, the RR was 1.65 (n = 5,551; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.26–2.16, p = 0.001) with an absolute risk (AR) increase of 2.5% for any leukoaraiosis vs none. The RR was 2.4 (n = 4,192; 95% CI 1.83–3.14, p = 0.001) with an AR increase of 6.2% for moderate to severe vs no to mild leukoaraiosis. For poor functional outcome; the RR was 1.30 (n = 3,401; 95% CI 1.19–1.42, p = 0.001) with an AR increase of 15.4% for any leukoaraiosis vs none. The RR was 1.31 (n = 3,659; 95% CI 1.22–1.42, p = 0.001) with an AR increase of 17.5% for moderate to severe vs no to mild leukoaraiosis. No statistical heterogeneity was noted for any of the analyses.

Conclusions: Leukoaraiosis presence and severity are consistently associated with an increased risk of sICH and poor functional outcome after IV or intra-arterial thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke. Neurology® 2017;88:638–645

GLOSSARY

CI = confidence interval; ICH = intracerebral hemorrhage; LA = leukoaraiosis; mRS = modified Rankin Scale; RCT = randomized control trial; RR = relative risk; sICH = symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage; tPA = tissue plasminogen activator.

Thrombolytic therapy in acute ischemic stroke increases the risk of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH). Symptomatic ICH (sICH) occurs in 2.4%–10% of patients within 24–36 hours after thrombolysis2–4 and is associated with an increased risk of subsequent death or disability.5 Imaging markers of small vessel disease, e.g., leukoaraiosis (LA) and cerebral microbleeds, are potential risk factors for thrombolysis-related ICH6–7 and poor clinical outcome.8,9 On plain CT, LA is denoted by bilateral (patchy or diffuse) areas of hypodensities in the periventricular white matter regions, which may extend to the centrum semiovale.10 On MRI, LA is seen on T2-weighted magnetic sequences as hyperintense signal, termed white matter...
LA definition and statistical analysis. LA severity was defined using various scales: the Gorter scale; the van Swieten scale; the Blennow rating scale; the Wahlund rating scale; the Fazekas scale; the Fazekas and Schmidt (1992) scale; and the Scheltens score scale. Although combining scales potentially introduces heterogeneity, the good correlation for presence/absence and different severity grades among the different visual rating scales allows meaningful comparison of the results. Based on the findings reported, we first compared outcomes between the presence of any LA vs no LA; second, we compared outcomes between no to mild LA vs moderate to severe LA. We pooled data for both of these comparisons in separate meta-analyses.

For the sICH outcome (no LA vs any LA), we were able to combine all of the different scales, as no LA was defined the same regardless of scale (10 articles). Eight studies provided sICH risk between patients with no to mild LA vs moderate to severe LA; 2 of 8 studies used the Fazekas and Schmidt 2003 (scores 0–1 defined as no to mild and 2–3 as moderate to severe). A total of 6 of 8 studies used van Swieten (scores 0–2 defined as no to mild and 3–4 defined as moderate to severe). For functional outcome at 3 months, the same analyses as above were undertaken: no vs any LA (8 articles) and no to mild vs moderate to severe (van Swieten) (6 articles).

We used a random-effects model to calculate the pooled relative risk (RR) of sICH and functional outcome in patients with vs without LA; weights were calculated using the inverse variance method. We assessed heterogeneity using $I^2$ and $\chi^2$ statistics indicating the percentage of variance in a meta-analysis attributable to study heterogeneity and through visual inspection of the forest plots. We explored publication bias with funnel plots. We calculated pooled absolute risk between each group comparing any LA vs no LA and moderate to severe LA vs no to mild LA. Meta-analyses were performed using Stata 11.2 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

RESULTS We identified 667 articles in our initial search of MEDLINE and EMBASE; 19 studies met our inclusion criteria. Nine articles provided the required data and we e-mailed the authors of the...
remaining 10 articles to obtain the required data. Four articles (831 patients in total) were unable to provide functional outcome data. We included data from 15 articles including a total of 6,967 patients. The number of patients with LA was 2,934, giving an overall pooled prevalence of 42.1%. A flow chart of study selection is shown in figure e-1. A summary of the characteristics of included studies is provided in tables e-1–e-5. Not all LA measures or outcomes were available from all studies; therefore different numbers of participants contributed to each analysis as described in detail below.

**sICH risk.** For this analysis, we included data from 10 articles including 5,551 patients comparing sICH risk post thrombolysis between patients with no LA vs any LA. The total sICH rate was 299/5,551 (5.4%). The sICH event rate in those with any LA was 6.6% vs 4.1% for those without LA; thus, any LA confers an absolute risk increase of 2.5% for sICH. The pooled RR of sICH risk post thrombolysis was 2.40 (95% CI 1.83–3.14; p = 0.001) for those with moderate to severe LA vs no to mild LA; thus, moderate to severe LA confers an absolute risk increase of 6.2% for sICH (table 1). The pooled RR of sICH risk post thrombolysis was 2.40 (95% CI 1.83–3.14; p = 0.001) for those with moderate to severe LA vs no to mild LA (figure 2). There was no significant heterogeneity between studies (I² was 0%). There was no evidence of publication bias in the funnel plot (figure e-2).

**Functional outcome.** For this analysis, data were available from 11 studies including a total of 5,823 patients. A summary of the characteristics of included studies is provided in tables e-1–e-5. Of the 11 available studies, provided data on poor functional outcome as modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score ≥2 at 3 months. Two articles provided different outcomes (the ISTc group 17 reported the
Oxford Handicap scale \( \geq 3 \) at 6 months and Shi et al.\(^3\) reported mRS \( \geq 3 \) at discharge so could not be included in the meta-analysis.

Eight articles, including 3,401 patients, compared 3-month outcome between patients with no LA vs any LA. The total poor functional outcome rate was 2,069/3,401 (60.8%). The poor functional outcome event rate in those with any LA was 68.0% vs 52.6% for those without LA; thus, any LA confers an absolute risk increase of 15.4% for poor functional outcome (table 1). The pooled RR of poor functional outcome was 1.30 (95% CI 1.19–1.42; \( p = 0.001 \)) for those with any LA vs those without (figure 3). Heterogeneity (\( I^2 \)) was 13.7% between the studies. In meta-regression, 3 factors accounted for heterogeneity as assessed by \( I^2 \): hypertension, use of endovascular treatment, and initial stroke severity (NIH Stroke Scale score). However, none of these variables was statistically associated with clinical outcome at 3 months. There was no evidence of publication bias in the funnel plot (figure e-2).

Six articles, including 3,659 patients, compared outcome at 3 months between no to mild LA and moderate to severe LA. The total poor functional outcome rate was 2,244/3,659 (61.3%). The poor functional outcome event rate in those with moderate to severe LA was 76.0% vs 58.5% for those no to mild LA; thus, moderate to severe LA confers an absolute risk increase of 17.5% for poor functional outcome (table 1). The pooled RR of poor functional outcome was 1.31 (95% CI 1.22–1.42; \( p = 0.001 \)) for those with moderate to severe LA vs no to mild LA (figure 4). Heterogeneity (\( I^2 \)) was 13.2% between the studies. In meta-regression, 2 factors accounted for heterogeneity as assessed by \( I^2 \): hypertension and sex. However, neither of these variables was statistically associated with clinical outcome at 3 months.

There was no evidence of publication bias in the funnel plot (figure e-2).

DISCUSSION Our systematic review and meta-analysis shows that the presence of LA on pretreatment neuroimaging is associated with an increased risk of sICH and poor functional outcome following thrombolytic treatment. Pooled analyses show that both presence and greater severity of LA are associated with a greater risk of sICH.

Our findings have biological plausibility. LA indicates presumed ischemic white matter injury in the territory of the penetrating small vessels in the distal deep arterial or arteriolar territories, associated with chronic endothelial dysfunction, and disruption of the blood–brain barrier.\(^{34}\) The increased risk of sICH after thrombolysis may be explained by damage to the cerebral microcirculation.
causing increased small vessel fragility and susceptibility
to vessel rupture and bleeding complications. In
addition, LA is associated with platelet hyperactivation
and hypercoagulability, which might reduce tissue
perfusion after thrombolysis, leading to increased
hemorrhagic transformation of the infarct.

Our pooled analyses show that both presence and
greater severity of LA are associated with a poor func-
tional outcome. LA might plausibly be relevant for
stroke functional recovery: it is associated with cortical
neuronal death, white matter disconnection that might
result in decreased cerebral plasticity, and impaired
learning during neurorehabilitation. Intact network
connectivity of the brain is a critical factor for recovery
from ischemic damage after acute stroke, which
might be impaired by LA causing demyelination, loss
of axons and oligodendrocytes, and astrocytic gliosis.
Thus, due to these multiple mechanisms, LA could be
related to increased risk of haemorrhage, delayed recov-
ery, and impaired plasticity with poorer poststroke
functional outcome. LA is also a risk factor for develop-
ing poststroke cognitive impairment, depression,
difficulties in walking, and urinary incontinence that
could adversely affect patients’ compliance with treatment and recovery programs.

Our study has several strengths. We included a large
number of patients. We undertook meta-regression
to assess for heterogeneity and confounding. Fur-
thermore, undertaking separate meta-analyses on
no vs any LA and no to mild vs moderate to severe
LA allows us to demonstrated a dose-response effect
with a higher risk of sICH in those with more severe
LA, which supports a biologically plausible causal
association. Finally, our results are consistent with
those from studies excluded from the meta-analysis
as they measured functional outcome with different
scales or time points.

There are some limitations of our analyses. First,
the neuroimaging varied between studies, and
included both CT and MRI, which is likely to affect
the prevalence of LA. Nevertheless, our study shows
that medium to high scores on ordinal scales indicat-
ing moderate to severe LA (easily detected on CT)
carry a high risk of sICH risk compared to those with
no to mild LA. This suggests that CT is sufficient to
detect clinically relevant LA associated with increased
sICH risk and poor functional outcome. Second,
there was heterogeneity in thrombolysis protocols
and the availability of some baseline characteristics;
for example, hypertension, hyperglycemia, prior use
of antiplatelet and anticoagulant medications, or
recurrent stroke. Third, definitions in sICH varied,
although we partially accounted for this heterogeneity
by meta-regression. Fourth, there is likely to be

Figure 3  Forest plot of poor functional outcome: Any leukoaraiosis (LA) vs no LA

Forest plot of modified Rankin Scale score ≥2 at 3 months associated with LA in post-thrombolysis patients: any LA vs no LA. CI = confidence interval; RR = relative risk.
selection bias in studies using MRI since unwell patients are more likely to be excluded, although the use of CT or MRI did not appear to be associated with any significant difference in the occurrence of sICH or poor functional outcome. Finally, we have not investigated the effect of ethnicity, which might be relevant for LA prevalence and sICH risk.

The poorer functional outcome in those with LA is likely to be due in part to the increased risk of sICH, particularly in those with moderate to severe LA, in whom the absolute risk was 6.2% higher than in those with no to mild LA. This association begs the question of whether thrombolysis should be withheld in patients with moderate to severe LA. All patients in our analysis were treated with thrombolysis, so we do not know the outcome in patients with or without LA where thrombolysis was withheld based on clinical judgement (which might have included an assessment of severe LA), so we cannot assess for interaction between LA and thrombolysis. In other words, the current study cannot determine whether those with moderate to severe LA would still derive benefit from thrombolysis treatment. Accordingly, our findings do not justify withholding thrombolytic therapy in patients with LA. Nevertheless, subanalysis of a randomized controlled trial shows that the beneficial effect of thrombolysis on favorable functional outcome (mRS 0–1) was only experienced in those without LA.16 Thus, our results might justify the need for future randomized control trials (RCTs) of thrombolysis to include stratification of patient outcomes by pretreatment LA. Until such time, other treatment options for those with moderate to severe LA with concern about high sICH risk include endovascular treatment (where a small unadjusted case series saw no difference in sICH rates according to LA grade)39 or lower dose tissue plasminogen activator (tPA),40 although there is currently no clear evidence supporting the latter strategy; subgroup analyses of the interaction of tPA dose and pretreatment LA in a recent RCT40 will be of considerable interest.

Our analysis suggests an approximate doubling of the risk of sICH after thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke if LA is present on pretreatment neuroimaging; the risk is highest for moderate to severe LA, which is easily detected on plain CT images. Importantly, LA is also associated with increased risk of poor functional outcome after thrombolysis therapy. However, in the absence of randomized trial data, our results do not justify withholding thrombolytic therapy from otherwise eligible candidates solely on the basis of LA presence on neuroimaging. Nevertheless, these data may be useful for clinicians in assessing likely sICH risk and functional outcome in individual patients, and for counseling patients and families about the expected outcome of thrombolytic therapy.

Figure 4 Forest plot of modified Rankin Scale score ≤2 at 3 months associated with LA in post-thrombolysis patients: moderate to severe LA vs no to mild LA. CI = confidence interval; RR = relative risk.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference no.</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>No LA</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.07 (0.87, 4.95)</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>8/29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.32 (1.24, 1.40)</td>
<td>346/451</td>
<td>1166/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.56 (0.58, 4.18)</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>8/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.92 (1.30, 2.83)</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>12/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.25 (0.98, 1.59)</td>
<td>26/38</td>
<td>143/261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.23 (1.07, 1.41)</td>
<td>55/71</td>
<td>466/741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.31 (1.22, 1.42)</td>
<td>441/580</td>
<td>1803/3079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(I^2 = 13.2%, p = 0.33)
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