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ABSTRACT

Background The pipeline embolization device (PED; Medtronic) has presented as a safe and efficacious treatment for small- and medium-sized intracranial aneurysms. Independently adjudicated long-term results of the device in treating these lesions are still indeterminate. We present 3-year results, with additional application of a flow diverter specific occlusion scale.

Methods PREMIER (prospective study on embolization of intracranial aneurysms with pipeline embolization device) is a prospective, single-arm trial. Inclusion criteria were patients with unruptured wide-necked intracranial aneurysms ≤12 mm. Primary effectiveness (complete aneurysm occlusion) and safety (major neurologic event) endpoints were independently monitored and adjudicated.

Results As per the protocol, of 141 patients treated with a PED, 25 (17.7%) required angiographic follow-up after the first year due to incomplete aneurysm occlusion. According to the Core Radiology Laboratory review, three (12%) of these patients progressed to complete occlusion, with an overall rate of complete aneurysm occlusion at 3 years of 83.3% (115/138). Further angiographic evaluation using the modified Cekirge–Saati classification demonstrated that complete occlusion, neck residual, or aneurysm size reduction occurred in 97.1%. The overall combined safety endpoint at 3 years was 2.8% (4/141), with only one non-debilitating major event occurring after the first year. There was one case of aneurysm recurrence but no cases of delayed rupture in this series.

Conclusions The PED device presents as a safe and effective modality in treating small- and medium-sized intracranial aneurysms. The application of a flow diverter specific occlusion classification attested the long-term durability with higher rate of successful aneurysm occlusion and no documented aneurysm rupture.

Trial registration NCT02186561.

INTRODUCTION

The pipeline embolization device (PED; Medtronic, Irvine, California, USA) was approved by the Food and Drug Administration in 2011. It was initially reserved for managing large and giant wide-necked intracranial aneurysms, from petrous to superior hypophyseal internal carotid artery (ICA) segments. The device presented as a safe and efficacious breakthrough therapy for treating complex aneurysms, commonly not amenable to conventional endovascular techniques.1-3

Subsequent studies unveiled the potentialities and viability of the use of flow diverters (FDs) to treat small aneurysms.4-6 The PREMIER (prospective study on embolization of intracranial aneurysms with pipeline embolization device) trial was the first prospective study to examine the efficacy of PEDs for the management of small- and medium-sized wide-necked aneurysms. The 1-year follow-up results supported a low device-related and procedure-related morbidity and mortality, with a primary safety endpoint rate of 2.2%. Additionally, the primary effectiveness endpoint was achieved in 76.7% of patients (defined by complete aneurysm occlusion, with no significant vessel stenosis [>50%] and/or retreatment), and final complete occlusion rate of 81.9%.7 Subsequent to the study, the indication of the use of the device expanded to small- and medium-sized aneurysms extending from the petrous to the terminus segment of the ICA.

Currently, there is a paucity of independently adjudicated data supporting the long-term efficacy of flow diversion in the setting of small- and medium-sized unruptured aneurysms.8 This manuscript aims to present the 3-year follow-up results from the PREMIER cohort. Additionally, a specific FD occlusion classification was applied to better address the device efficacy and stability for aneurysm treatment.
METHODS
Study design, enrollment, and patient selection
PREMIER was a prospective, single-arm, multicenter interventional study to evaluate the PED device in the management of unruptured intracranial aneurysms. The original subject treatment target was 141 with a planned 3-year follow-up. Patient enrollment occurred between July 2014 and November 2015 in 23 participating sites. Patients were included if they had a target wide-necked aneurysm of ≤12 mm, located in the ICA (up to the carotid terminus) or vertebral artery (up to and including the posterior inferior cerebellar artery). Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria, aneurysm features, and baseline characteristics have been previously described.7

Study device and procedure detail
The PED Classic and PED Flex are braided wire mesh, cylindrical, implanted devices intended to treat intracranial aneurysms, with detailed device features previously reported. The intervention was performed by experienced operators under general or local anesthesia with sedation through femoral or radial approaches. The trial protocol allowed the placement of additional PED devices if needed and coil use at the operator’s discretion. PREMIER’s dual antiplatelet therapy protocol required drug dosage optimization guided by the antiplatelet response (VerifyNow, Accumetrics). Loading dose was not permitted, and patients were excluded if clopidogrel resistance was demonstrated. Post-treatment, dual antiplatelet therapy was maintained for at least 3 months.

Study endpoints
The primary effectiveness endpoint was complete occlusion (Raymond–Roy classification 1) of the target aneurysm without the parent artery stenosis (≤50%) or retreatment of the target aneurysm. Additionally, effectiveness was evaluated by the modified Cekirge–Saatci classification (mCSC) to account for dynamic aneurysm healing over time. The primary safety endpoint was the incidence of major stroke (ischemic or hemorrhagic) in the territory supplied by the treated artery, defined as an increased National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score of ≥4 points or neurologic death. A Clinical Events Committee, comprised of clinical experts, independently reviewed and adjudicated the safety data.

Additional outcomes included aneurysm retreatment, recurrence, the incidence of delayed device-related adverse events (defined by a strong temporal relationship with the deployed device and a less likely alternative etiology), and long-term functional outcome (modified Rankin Scale).

Follow-up assessments
Radiological follow-up was mandatory at 1 year, and further imaging follow-up at 2 and 3 years was only required if complete occlusion had not been achieved. Additionally, radiological evaluation in cases of complete occlusion could be performed per standard of care. The primary effectiveness endpoint was evaluated using imputation by the last observation carried forward method. All images were evaluated by an independent Core Radiology Laboratory (CRL), in which the Raymond–Roy classification was used to define the degree of aneurysm occlusion (complete occlusion, residual neck, or residual aneurysm).

A supplementary long-term follow-up review of the cases using the mCSC was used to classify cases initially adjudicated by the CRL as incomplete occlusion. The classification elaborates on the remodeling concept by addressing the hemodynamic and healing processes of the aneurysm sac after FD treatment (unpublished data; under review). The concept portrays a shift in the natural history of intracranial aneurysms following FD usage. Table 1 summarizes the classification.

### Table 1 Modified Cekirge–Saatci classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Commentaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>Complete aneurysm occlusion</td>
<td>In aneurysms with no incorporated branches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>With full patency of the integrated branch</td>
<td>Subclassification 1A–C is reserved for aneurysms cases with an incorporated branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>With the branch reduced in caliber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>With no aneuragrade filling of the branch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 2</td>
<td>Residual neck filling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3</td>
<td>Residual aneurysm filling</td>
<td>Residual aneurysms with unaltered dimensions, regardless of the presence of a side branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>With stable residuum in a sidewall aneurysm (with no incorporated branch)</td>
<td>Residual aneurysm with no incorporated branch showing stable or progressive reduced size on subsequent follow-up(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>With documented growth</td>
<td>Aneurysms showing growth on subsequent angiogram, regardless of the presence of a side branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 4</td>
<td>Aneurysm filling (immediate results)</td>
<td>Class 4 is reserved for immediate postoperative results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>With contrast stagnation within the aneurysm sac</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B</td>
<td>Without contrast stagnation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 5</td>
<td>Residual aneurysm filling with remodeling</td>
<td>Aneurysms with an incorporated vessel branch demonstrating stable reduced size on subsequent follow-up(s)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5A</td>
<td>Progressive remodeling</td>
<td>Aneurysm with an incorporated vessel branch demonstrating a progressive decrease in dimensions on subsequent follow-up(s)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For satisfactory radiological classification of aneurysm remodeling, two imaging controls are required (at least 6 months apart) and extending beyond 1 year of the index procedure.

*According to the original definition, there is an exceptional situation in which class 5 can be adjudicated at the first imaging control. It pertains to cases in which the branch is seen patent but with a different contrast course than originally expected within the aneurysm sac, in the absence of apparent aneurysm filling.
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Statistical analysis
Categorical and binary data were summarized using rates and percentages. A two-sided 95% exact binomial test was used to evaluate the primary endpoints with a significance level of \( \alpha = 0.025 \). Multiple imputation method had previously been performed to fill missing data. In addition, a logistic regression model was performed, with the probability of success as the dependent variable and age, gender, maximal aneurysm diameter, and parent artery location as independent variables. Statistical analysis was performed using R (V.3.0 and above, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and SAS (V.9.0 and above, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS
Baseline characteristics, aneurysm feature, and procedure details were described in the initial PREMIER report. One hundred and forty-one patients were initially included in the study and 138 patients composed the intention-to-treat population. In the effectiveness endpoint arm, of the 25 patients requiring follow-up angiograms (due to incomplete aneurysm occlusion at 1 year), all but three patients had imaging control in at least one of the subsequent years (22/25, 88%). Additionally, of the 113 cases in which radiological follow-up was not mandatory (due to complete aneurysm occlusion at 1 year), imaging control was available in 34 and 37 cases during the second and third year follow-up, respectively. For the remaining cases, the last observation carried forward method of the last CRL consensus reading was used. In the safety arm, functional outcomes were available for 130 patients. The patient flowchart at the 3 year time point is shown in figure 1.

Long-term effectiveness
Of the 25 patients requiring continued imaging follow-up due to incomplete occlusion at 1 year, 12% (3/25) progressed to occlusion without retreatment according to CRL. There was one case of recurrence, resulting in a complete occlusion rate (Raymond–Roy 1) at 3 years of 83.3% (115/138). Of the aneurysms that progressed to complete occlusion after the first year, two were located at the ophthalmic segment of the ICA and one at the communicating segment (C7), with two of these aneurysms characterized by an incorporated vessel branch arising from the aneurysm neck. Including those aneurysms achieving near complete treatment outcomes, adequate aneurysm occlusion (neck remnant or complete occlusion) progressed from 84.1% (116/138) at 1 year to 88.4% (122/138) at 3 years. The ultimate effectiveness endpoint (complete aneurysm occlusion without significant parent vessel stenosis or target aneurysm retreatment) according to the intention-to-treat principle was achieved in 78.3% (108/138, 95% confidence interval [CI] 70.4% to 84.8%) of cases.

Modified occlusion classification
Of the 25 patients with adjudicated incomplete occlusion by the Raymond–Roy classification scale at 1 year, 22 had at least one additional radiological follow-up, for which the mCSC was applied for radiological analysis. Complete occlusion after

Figure 1  PREMIER (prospective study on embolization of intracranial aneurysms with pipeline embolization device) patient disposition at the 3-year time point. FU, follow-up; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; yr, year. Primary effectiveness endpoint was evaluated using imputation by the last observation carried forward method. Angiographic follow-up was only required per protocol if complete aneurysm occlusion had not been achieved.
review of radiological imaging using the mCSC criteria was found in 27.3% (6/22), three more cases than the initial CRL adjudication using the Raymond–Roy scale. One additional patient (4.5%; 1/22) progressed to an aneurysm neck (class 2), and aneurysm size reduction was noted in 68.2% (15/22) of the remaining cases, three of which were sidewall aneurysms with no incorporated branches (class 3A). The additional 12 cases of size reduction were aneurysms with a side branch, which demonstrated either stable (class 5, eight cases) or progressive (class 5A, four cases) remodeling. When applying the mCSC, the combination of 118 (85.5%) completely occluded aneurysms, 1 (0.7%) residual neck aneurysm, and 15 (10.8%) aneurysms with either stable or progressive aneurysm size reduction resulted in a 97.1% successful treatment rate. Central study endpoints and angiographic outcomes are depicted in tables 2 and 3, respectively.

**Long-term safety and functional outcomes**

Safety endpoint occurred in 2.8% (4/140) of the patients over the 3-year follow-up; three cases within the first year of device implantation have been previously described. Briefly, there was one case of intraparenchymal hemorrhage unrelated to aneurysm rupture, one right middle cerebral artery infarct after dual antiplatelet therapy discontinuation, and one sizable intraparenchymal hemorrhage that occurred a few hours after the procedure. The patient had a major stroke with concomitant intraparenchymal hemorrhage, which was non-disabling at a 2-year follow-up.

**Additional outcomes**

There were no cases of aneurysm rupture in the series. Retreatment rate since initial device implantation was 5.0% (7/138); four within the first year and three within the second year. All retreatments were carried on with PED in planned (elective) surgical procedures. None of the patients retreated after the first year progressed to complete occlusion according to CRL adjudication. There was one case of aneurysm recurrence (0.7%) in a patient with an initially occluded aneurysm who afterward demonstrated residual neck at a 3-year follow-up. Functional independence at 3 years was observed in 97.7% (127/130) of patients. The overall device-related adverse event rate was 10.6% (15/141): 11 occurred within the first year, four within the second year, and none in the third year. Notably, most device-related injuries were minor events (11/15, 73.3%). The final rate of significant in-stent stenosis (>50%) across the 36 months was 3.6% (5/138). There was only one case of delayed stenosis (after 12 months of the procedure) in a patient with incomplete aneurysm occlusion.

**DISCUSSION**

PREMIER is the largest prospective trial evaluating PED for the treatment of small- and medium-sized unruptured intracranial aneurysms located at the ICA and vertebral artery. According to the absence of retreatment and significant parent vessel stenosis, a final effectiveness endpoint at the 3-year follow-up was achieved in 78.3% (108/138), with complete aneurysm occlusion depicted in 83.3% (115/138) of patients. Cumulative safety events occurred in 2.8% (4/140) over the same period, with only one case occurring after the first year, though it was unrelated to the device. There was no case of aneurysm rupture in the series. Radiological evaluation demonstrated that all aneurysms with residual filling in the first year progressed to complete occlusion or showed reduced dimensions on the latest available follow-up. These findings emphasize the PED safety profile when considering the long-term follow-up of these aneurysms.

Table 2  Effectiveness, safety, and functional long-term outcomes (n=138)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1-year follow-up</th>
<th>3-year follow-up†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary effectiveness outcome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete occlusion (1)</td>
<td>113 (81.9)</td>
<td>118 (85.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual neck (2)</td>
<td>3 (2.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual aneurysm (3)</td>
<td>22 (15.9)</td>
<td>16 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occlusion status: mCSC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete occlusion (1/1A/1B)</td>
<td>113 (81.9)</td>
<td>118 (85.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual neck (2)</td>
<td>3 (2.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm size reduction (3A/5A)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual aneurysm (3/3C)</td>
<td>22 (15.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No consecutive follow-up for classification</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target aneurysm retreatment</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
<td>7 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target aneurysm recurrence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenosis &gt;50%</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
<td>5 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary safety outcome*</td>
<td>3 (2.2)</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional independence (mRS ≤2)</td>
<td>137/139 (98.6)</td>
<td>127/130 (97.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are n (%) or n/N (%).

*Defined as major stroke in the supplied territory or neurologic death; three events occurred within the first year, and one within the second year.

†In cases where 3-year digital subtraction angiography (DSA) control was not available, last observation carried forward of 2-year DSA was used. The latest follow-up is used for the mCSC.

mCSC, modified Cekirge–Saatci classification; mRS, modified Rankin Scale.
Efficacy of FDs for small- and medium-sized aneurysms

Overall, flow diversion therapy proved to be an efficacious and safe strategy for managing intracranial aneurysms. Animal and in vitro models have assisted in outlining the mechanisms associated with structural and dynamical changes, ensuring optimization and refinement of the technology over the years. Although small aneurysms carry a lower risk of rupture when compared with their larger counterparts, they still represent the source of bleeding in a significant percentage of patients presenting with subarachnoid hemorrhage, given that lesions ≤12 mm account for approximately four in every five intracranial aneurysms. Hence, early stage treatment provides the promise of preventing lesion enlargement and mitigating rupture risk. A central question that remains elusive is establishing tangible efficacy and safety in the long term, an essential component while evaluating the tools designed for small intracranial aneurysms. Factors such as hypertension, posterior circulation location, and younger age have been suggested as predictors for small aneurysm rupture, potentially supporting treatment decision and patient counseling. An extensive experience, including 445 cases of implanted PED by Bender et al., reported a rate of complete aneurysm occlusion progressing from 78% to 87% between the first and second year of follow-up. Most of the treated aneurysms were small (80%; mean size 6.6 mm) and located at the ICA (82%). Similarly, in a follow-up series of >2 years, complete occlusion in aneurysms <10 mm progressed from 87.3% at 1 year to 93.2% at last follow-up. The PEDESTRIAN study encompassed 1000 aneurysms treated with the PED over 13 years, with the majority of treated aneurysms being small (64.6%; ≤10 mm) and located along the ICA (86.7%). The rate of complete occlusion progressed from 75.8% at the 1 year follow-up to 92.9% and 96.4% at the intermediate (2–4 years) and long-term (>5 years) follow-up, respectively. Likewise, the 3-year PREMIER results emphasize that, although 1-year follow-up is a determining time point for overall therapy success, aneurysm size reduction and progression to complete occlusion can be expected in the long term. According to the CRL adjudication, two ICA ophthalmic segment aneurysms and one ICA communicating segment aneurysm progressed to complete occlusion more than 1 year after the index procedure, resulting in high complete occlusion rates at 36 months (83.3%). Notably, none of these three cases had been retreated.

Aneurysm occlusion classification in the presence of a side branch

Adja...
instances of aneurysm recanalization or delayed target aneurysm rupture, previous reports described cases of delayed rupture following PED deployment for the management of large and giant aneurysms.\(^6\)\(^{23}\) This risk, however, appears to be minimal in small lesions.\(^6\)\(^{16}\)\(^{24}\)\(^{25}\) The International Retrospectives Study of the PED (IntrePED) included 793 patients harboring 906 aneurysms, 349 of which were located at the ICA and were <10 mm. Patients who presented for treatment with unruptured aneurysms and pertained to the small aneurysm group had a lower rate of neurologic morbidity and mortality (4.1%) compared with larger ICA aneurysms (9.2%), posterior circulation aneurysms (13.7%), and other anterior circulation aneurysms (8.4%, p=0.03).\(^6\) Moreover, the risk of spontaneous rupture was higher in giant aneurysms (4.5%) compared with large (0.6%) and small aneurysms (0%, p<0.001).\(^6\) Compared with coiling, PEDs demonstrated a favorable efficacy profile and similar safety profile in managing non-complex unruptured small aneurysms in a similar population.\(^{26}\) This favorable profile makes the PED a cost-effective tool, or at least comparable,\(^27\) relative to stent-assisted coiling for treatment of small unruptured intracranial aneurysms.\(^{28}\)

The PREMIER trial represents the first prospective, independently adjudicated, multicenter study to report 3-year follow-up use of FDs to treat small and medium unruptured intracranial aneurysms. The results document the safety and efficacy of the device in the long term, with progressive rates of complete occlusion and no cases of aneurysm rupture. Although 1-year angiographic results remain central to defining the overall success of the therapy, delayed progression to complete occlusion and aneurysm remodeling can be expected in the long term.

Limitations

A significant limitation of this study included the absence of a concurrent control group, making it unfeasible to compare with other aneurysm therapies directly. This is a known limitation of FD studies due to an impracticable aspect of achieving clinical equipoise with the currently available treatment strategies. In addition, long-term angiographic follow-up was not mandatory in cases of complete occlusion, limiting the analysis of aneurysm recurrence and parent vessel stenosis. The study only included unruptured, wide-necked, small- and medium-sized intracranial aneurysms, therefore limiting the generalizability to other aneurysm types, sizes, and locations.

CONCLUSIONS

PREMIER is the first prospective, independently adjudicated trial to assess the long-term safety and efficacy of the PED for the treatment of unruptured, small and medium intracranial aneurysms. A sustained high rate of aneurysm occlusion, low procedure morbidity, and absence of aneurysm rupture reinforce the device as a safe treatment strategy for aneurysms located along the ICA and vertebral artery in the long term. In addition, FD specific aneurysm occlusion classification applied to this data set demonstrated an even higher rate of successful aneurysm occlusion with no documented aneurysm rupture and a low rate of delayed ischemic complications.
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