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OBJECTIVE: To experience the process of using principles of scientific research data management (SRDM) to work with a researcher to create a data management plan (DMP). SRDM is an area where research in the traditional sciences intersects with information science. SRDM guides researchers through all stages of the data life cycle. A DMP is a document explaining how a study will progress through the data life cycle that is increasingly required by research funders. This project was undertaken as part of a class on SRDM through the Simmons College School of Library and Information Science. METHODS: After corresponding via email with a researcher studying the cognitive and linguistic skills of deaf children with autism, a set of questions was created based on an interview instrument developed by the Digital Curation Centre and a Skype interview was conducted. Using the information gathered during the interview and in follow-up emails, as well as knowledge of SRDM principles learned in class and through independent research, a DMP (following National Science Foundation guidelines) was created. Additionally, aspects of the researcher’s study which proved challenging when creating a DMP were identified. RESULTS: A seven-part DMP was created. Challenging aspects were identified as a set of teaching points. These included: data being collected via video camera; children as subjects; subject IDs; repository requirements. CONCLUSIONS: This project was successful in teaching both this author and the interviewed researcher about SRDM and DMPs. This will improve the cognitive science community’s understanding of the principles and importance of SRDM.

Digital Curation Centre and a Skype interview was conducted. Using the information gathered during the interview and in follow-up emails, as well as knowledge of SRDM principles learned in class and through independent research, a DMP (following National Science Foundation guidelines) was created. Additionally, aspects of the researcher’s study which proved challenging when creating a DMP were identified. RESULTS: A seven-part DMP was created. Challenging aspects were identified as a set of teaching points. These included: data being collected via video camera; children as subjects; subject IDs; repository requirements. CONCLUSIONS: This project was successful in teaching both this author and the interviewed researcher about SRDM and DMPs. This will improve the cognitive science community’s understanding of the principles and importance of SRDM.

All of the subjects in the study were children. This complicated the researcher’s ability to share gathered data. The researcher had the parents of subjects sign consent forms, however these did not give the researcher permission to submit the data to a repository. If the researcher had been looking at the whole life cycle of the data from the beginning, the wording of the consent form could have been different.

One of the funders for this study was interested in the researcher submitting the data to a repository. This could be a way for the data to be useful to additional people, for both analysis and re-use. Unfortunately, for several reasons, including the videotaped nature of much of the data, and difficulty obtaining appropriate consent, these data were not ultimately deposited. If the researcher had known about the repository’s strict requirements from the beginning and planned for that throughout the data cycle, it is possible that things could have been done differently, resulting in successful re-use of data.
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