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Melissa Wall, MA, on behalf of the MA WoW Evaluation Team

**Employer Recruitment**

**Successes:**
- Utilizing existing networks
- Strategic plan for use of social media and marketing
- Webinars
- Deadline extensions
- Mid-course changes made to the website and webinars
- New and creative ideas
- Increased event attendance after changes in outreach efforts

**Challenges:**
- Number of recruiters fell short of goals
- Unclear recruitment plan
- Webinar content needed improvement
- Cold calling strategy
- Significant time to build relationships
- Heterogeneous networks
- Short time period
- Lengthy approval process
- Clients needed more support
- Timing of launch

**Survey Development, Data Collection & Reporting**

**Survey Development, Launch & Data Collection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Successes</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative process</td>
<td>Benchmarking of questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique skill sets &amp; expertise of team</td>
<td>The timeframe for developing survey questions was short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive survey design</td>
<td>Interpretation of results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence-based questions</td>
<td>Gathering location information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey was easy to complete</td>
<td>Confusion = increase in work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAs effectively encouraged survey participation</td>
<td>Organizations needed more time before launching surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good response rates</td>
<td>Organizations needed more time for survey administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsequent organizations had a better understanding</td>
<td>Paper surveys were not cost effective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Report Development, Testing & Delivery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Successes</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reports to employers were meaningful &amp; impactful</td>
<td>Difficult to report meaningful results to smaller organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to merge expectations to find common ground</td>
<td>Report development was labor intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports were generated and delivered on time</td>
<td>The timing of reports and coordinating efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The evaluation team was accessible</td>
<td>Customization of reports for smaller organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizations were amenable</td>
<td>Needed more time for quality control of reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient, timely updates and work flow</td>
<td>Some discrepancies in data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source of Evaluation Data**

UMass Lowell evaluators conducted group interviews with team members from AW and HRiA to assess the WoW program at three different points in the process: after recruitment of the 1st cohort; after reports were delivered to the 1st cohort; and at the start of recruiting the 3rd cohort.

**Program Recommendations**

- Designate a team member to take the lead on the recruitment plan and better coordinate efforts
- Make program expectations and commitment clearer to participating organizations
- Build in more time to recruit organizations and plan more optimal launch dates for subsequent cohorts
- Utilize a more targeted and individualized approach recruiting organizations
- Develop a marketing plan for social media
- Improve the content of webinars
- Leverage relationships with existing companies to recruit new organizations
- Better assess how survey questions correspond with benchmarks for data analysis
- Provide organizations with better guidance and interpretation of survey results
- Modify the onboard survey to gather information and reduce staff effort
- Improve communication with organizations from the start regarding the magnitude of the program
- Allow more time for data collection so organizations have more time in the buy-in phase
- Refine program schedules to address timing and staff resources
- Allow more time for report generation and quality control
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