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Purpose

A 2002 report from the AAMC Project Implementation Committee indicated, “The pool from which to recruit women academic leaders remains shallow” (Bickel et al., 2002). Since then, much attention has been focused on improving conditions for women at the faculty level. Yet, few studies address the possibility that the medical school experience could impact the initial depth in this recruitment pool. Is there a trend in medical school that may be negatively impacting women’s success in pursuing a career in academia?

Method

Electives are one of the few times within the uniform medical school curriculum that allows for variation. Using data from the 2005 AAMC Graduation Questionnaire (GQ), differences in participation rate by gender were examined using Chi-square tests for each of the 15 activities (elective/volunteer-based) listed. The sample consisted of a cohort of 91 students (46% male and 54% female).

Results

Six of the fifteen activities showed statistically significant (p<.05) differences in gender participation rates. The participation rates of males were significantly higher in independent study projects (50% vs. 29%); research project with faculty (60% vs. 33%); authorship of research paper (53% vs. 22%); and in-home care (36% vs. 16%). Female participation rates were significantly higher in the areas of international health experience (57% vs. 29%); and learned another language (55% vs. 33%).

Recommendations

RECOMMENDATIONS (2002) FROM AAMC’S INCREASING WOMEN’S LEADERSHIP PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

- Evolution of faculty diversity in departments varies; create departmental climate to develop women faculty.
- Target the professional development needs of women...make the impact of faculty appointments...more effective...women.
- Assess which institutional...important factors...women’s professional development...‘academic success’.
- Financially support institutional women in medical programs and the AAMC Women Leaders Officer and...represent...women...leadership committees.
- Enhance the effectiveness of mentorship...women...leaders.

Suggested Recommendations at the Medical School Level

- Evaluate teaching and research faculty on their professional development of female medical students.
- Encourage and evaluate faculty members on their participation of female medical students.
- Teach students...leadership...priorities in selecting mentors...female medical students.
- Provide financial support...women...leadership...in...academic field.
- Establish an improvement that...women...leaders...the...academic field.

“Compared to men, women found their mentors to be less helpful with career planning and a higher proportion of women than men in academic settings reported that they have achieved a lower level of advancement than their peers.” (Limacher et al., 1998)

Conclusion

Men are disproportionately choosing electives where the pathway to a career in academia is more direct. Why women are not choosing this same pathway is unknown. More detailed and multi-institutional studies appear necessary.
