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Histone Citrullination Represses MicroRNA Expression, Resulting in Increased Oncogene mRNAs in Somatolactotrope Cells

Stanley B. DeVore, Coleman H. Young, Guangyuan Li, Anitha Sundararajan, Thiruvarangan Ramaraj, Joann Mudge, Faye Schilkey, Aaron Muth, Paul R. Thompson, Brian D. Cherrington

ABSTRACT  Peptidylarginine deiminase (PAD) enzymes convert histone arginine residues into citrulline to modulate chromatin organization and gene expression. Although PADs are expressed in anterior pituitary gland cells, their functional role and expression in pituitary adenomas are unknown. To begin to address these issues, we first examined normal human pituitaries and pituitary adenomas and found that PAD2, PAD4, and citrullinated histones are highest in prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas. In the somatoprolactinoma-derived GH3 cell line, PADs citrullinate histone H3, which is attenuated by a pan-PAD inhibitor. RNA sequencing and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) studies show that the expression of microRNAs (miRNAs) let-7c-2, 23b, and 29c is suppressed by histone citrullination. Our studies demonstrate that these miRNAs directly target the mRNA of the oncogenes encoding HMGA, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), and N-MYC, which are highly implicated in human prolactinoma/somatoprolactinoma pathogenesis. Our results are the first to define a direct role for PAD-catalyzed histone citrullination in miRNA expression, which may underlie the etiology of prolactinoma and somatoprolactinoma tumors through regulation of oncogene expression.
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tivity during late pregnancy to increase PRL production for the initiation of lactation (13–16). Yet uncontrolled proliferation can result in lactotrope-derived PRL-secreting prolactinomas, which account for 40 to 60% of all diagnosed functional pituitary adenomas (PAs) (17). Approximately 10 to 15% of PAs secrete GH, and the large majority of these are somatoprolactinomas, which secrete both GH and PRL (17, 18). A growing body of research implicates the overexpression of PDAs in the pathogenesis of multiple cancers and in tumor progression (5, 19, 20). Currently, it is unknown if PAD-catalyzed histone citrullination alters gene expression in lactotrope cells or if it contributes to prolactinoma/somatoprolactinoma pathogenesis (21).

Genomic studies of human prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas show that microRNA (miRNA) profiles differ between PA subtypes (22, 23). miRNAs are conserved 19- to 25-nucleotide (nt) noncoding RNAs that bind to complementary sequences within target mRNAs to regulate their expression (22, 24). miRNA biogenesis begins with transcription of a >200-nt primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) with a single miRNA or a cluster of miRNAs embedded within its stem-loop structure(s) (22). The microprocessor complex, a heterodimer of the RNase III enzyme Drosha and the double-stranded RNA binding protein DiGeorge syndrome chromosomal region 8 (DGC8), excises the stem-loop, yielding a 60- to 100-nt precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA) (22, 25). Exportin-5 shuttles the pre-miRNA from nucleus to the cytoplasm, where the RNase III enzyme Dicer removes the terminal loop to generate the 19- to 25-nt duplex miRNA intermediate. The duplex is loaded into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which retains one strand and guides the mature miRNA to its binding site, commonly in a target mRNA’s 3’ untranslated region (UTR). miRNA binding inhibits translation and/or promotes degradation of the mRNA transcript (22, 24, 25). Although miRNA expression is epigenetically regulated by methylation and acetylation, it is unknown if histone citrullination may likewise regulate miRNAs (25).

Bioinformatic analyses predict that more than 60% of human protein-coding genes contain one or more conserved miRNA binding sites in their 3’ UTRs, indicating that a majority of such genes are susceptible to miRNA regulation (26). Some miRNAs, known as tumor suppressor miRNAs, target the mRNA of oncogenes. For example, members of the LET-7 family of miRNAs target Ras, Myc, and importantly, high-mobility-group AT-hook 1 and 2 (HMGA1 and HMGA2) mRNAs, which are implicated in prolactinoma and somatoprolactinoma pathogenesis (24, 27, 28). Therefore, it is not surprising that global miRNA suppression promotes cancer cell transformation and is associated with an increasing number of human neoplasias, including breast, lung, and thyroid cancers and both prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas (23, 24, 29, 30).

Here we report that human prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas express high levels of PAD2 and PAD4 and contain citrullinated histones. The rat somatoprolactinoma-derived GH3 cell line likewise expresses PAD2 and -4, which citrullinate histones to suppress the expression of the tumor suppressor miRNAs let-7c-2, 23b (miR-23b), and miR-29c. When histone citrullination is attenuated by the PAD inhibitor biphenyl-benzimidazole-C1-amidine (BB-ClA) or when PAD2 is knocked down, the miRNAs are reexpressed and processed and subsequently target oncogene mRNAs. Specifically, these miRNAs target HMGA1, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), and N-MYC. GH3 proliferation is significantly decreased following PAD inhibition compared to vehicle-treated controls. Our work is the first to show that histone citrullination directly represses the expression of tumor suppressor miRNAs, thus linking PADs to the overexpression of oncogenes in pituitary adenomas.

RESULTS

Human prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas express elevated levels of PDAs and citrullinated histones. PDAs are expressed in the anterior pituitary gland, but whether levels of these enzymes change in PAs is currently unknown. Thus, we first examined if PAD2 and -4 are expressed in normal human pituitaries as well as human prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas using immunohistochemistry (IHC). Normal tissue sections (n = 8 females and n = 6 males) were probed with anti-PAD2 or
anti-PAD4 antibodies, while pituitary tumor sections (n = 11 prolactinoma and n = 6 somatoprolactinoma) were also probed with an anti-H3Cit2,8,17 antibody. Representative normal human female and male pituitary sections show little PAD2 (Fig. 1Ai and ii) or PAD4 (Fig. 1Aiii and iv) staining. Relative to the normal pituitary sections, an exemplar prolactinoma displays low PAD2 (Fig. 1Bi) and moderate PAD4 (Fig. 1Biii) staining; the somatoprolactinoma, however, has strong PAD2 (Fig. 1Bii) and PAD4 (Fig. 1Biv) expression. Consistent with elevated expression, PAD2 (Fig. 1Bi and ii) and PAD4 (Fig. 1Biii and iv) are localized to the nucleus and citrullinate histone H3 arginine residues 2, 8, and 17 (H3Cit2,8,17 [Fig. 1Bv and vi]). These findings illustrate that PADs and citrullinated histones are present in human prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas at higher levels than in normal pituitaries.

**PAD2 and PAD4 localize to the nucleus and citrullinate histones in the somatolactotrope-derived GH3 cell line.** To address PAD function, we used the GH3 cell line derived from a female rat somatoprolactinoma (31). Consistent with somatolactotrope data from Fig. 1, GH3 lysates also express PAD2 and PAD4 (Fig. 2A). Cross-reactivity of the human PAD4 antibody with the rat isoform was assessed by preabsorbing with a rat N-terminal PAD4 15-amino-acid peptide, which significantly attenuates signal. To determine subcellular localization, GH3 cells were fixed and stained with anti-PAD2 or anti-PAD4 antibodies and IgG as a negative control following a standard immunocytochemistry (ICC) protocol. Confocal imaging confirmed the localization of both PAD isoforms in the cytoplasm and nuclei (Fig. 2B, arrows). The nuclear localization of PAD2 and PAD4 suggests that the enzymes may citrullinate histones in GH3 cells.

![Image of Figure 1](http://mcb.asm.org/)

**Figure 1** Human prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas express elevated levels of PADs and citrullinated histones. (A) Normal human pituitary sections (n = 8 female and n = 6 male) were examined by IHC by probing with anti-PAD2 and anti-PAD4 antibodies or with an equal mass of rabbit IgG as a negative control. Representative images for a 29-year-old female and 33-year-old male were taken with a Zeiss Axio Vert.A1 microscope using the 20× objective except for IgG, which was taken with a 10× objective. (B) Human prolactinoma (n = 11) and somatoprolactinoma (n = 6) sections were examined by IHC using anti-PAD2, anti-PAD4, and anti-H3Cit2,8,17 antibodies. Sections were probed with an equal mass of rabbit IgG as a negative control. Representative images were taken using the 40× objective except for IgG, which was taken with a 10× objective. The scale bars represent 100 μm for the 10× objective, 50 μm for the 20× objective, and 20 μm for the 40× objective.
To test this directly and confirm our results in Fig. 1B that show citrullinated histones in prolactinomas and somatotropinomas, GH3 cells were treated with either dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or 1.25 μM BB-ClA every 3 h for a total of 14 h. Equal concentrations of purified histones were examined by Western blotting with in vitro citrullinated histones as a positive control. Membranes were probed with an anti-H3Cit2,8,17 antibody or anti-histone H3 as a loading control. Our results indicate that GH3 cell histones are citrullinated under basal conditions, but PAD inhibition with BB-ClA treatment caused a significant ~50% decrease in histone H3 citrullination ($n = 3; P < 0.01$) (Fig. 3A and B). Thus, PADS catalyze the H3Cit2,8,17 epigenetic modification in GH3 cells, which is significantly inhibited by BB-ClA.

The pan-PAD inhibitor BB-ClA decreases basal histone citrullination to regulate the expression of pri-miRNAs in GH3 cells. Given that PADS citrullinate somatolactotrope histones, at issue is the identity of the genes epigenetically regulated by citrullination. To address this, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) on GH3 cells treated with either DMSO or 1.25 μM BB-ClA following the experimental paradigm described above. Total RNA from three independent experiments was collected and submitted for sequencing. Bioinformatic analysis found that inhibition of PAD-catalyzed citrullination significantly upregulated 81 genes and downregulated 74 genes. The top 12 upregulated genes are listed in Fig. 3C. Interestingly, PAD inhibition induced significant expression of multiple pri-miRNAs. Thus, it appears that PAD-catalyzed citrullination normally suppresses pri-miRNA expression. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study showing that PAD-catalyzed citrullination directly regulates pri-miRNA expression.

Inhibiting histone citrullination or knocking down PAD2 increases the expression of primary microRNAs let-7c, 23b, and 29c. miRNAs are implicated in the
etiology of prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas (23, 29). Taken together with the data in Fig. 3, this suggests that PADs may be an unexplored mechanism through which pri-miRNA/miRNA expression promotes PAs. To confirm our RNA-seq results, we validated the expression of pri-let-7c-2, pri-miR-23b, and pri-miR-29c in GH3 cells since their respective mature miRNAs are associated with PAs and/or other human tumors (32–34).

Following the same experimental paradigm, independent sets of RNA were isolated from GH3 cells and analyzed by qPCR using primers for pri-let-7c-2, pri-miR-23b, and pri-miR-29c. The primers detect only pri-miRNA transcripts since the forward primers are specific to regions that are removed during biogenesis. Our quantitative PCR (qPCR) results confirm a 2.5- to 4-fold increase in expression of each pri-miRNA transcript following PAD inhibition with BB-ClA ($n = 4$; $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 4A). We next examined the in vivo relevance of this finding using mouse pituitary primary cell cultures. Pituitaries from wild-type mice were explanted, dispersed, and plated in complete medium overnight. The following day, the cells were treated every 3 h for 14 total hours with DMSO or BB-ClA and pri-miRNA levels examined by qPCR. As with GH3 cells, pri-let-7c-2, pri-miR-23b, and pri-miR-29c expression was significantly increased upon PAD inhibition ($n = 4$; $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 4B). To confirm the results in Fig. 4A by another means, we next knocked down PAD2 and PAD4 in GH3 cells using small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). GH3 cells were transiently transfected with rat PAD2, PAD4, and nontargeting siRNAs for 48 h. Knockdown significantly decreased PAD2 and PAD4 expression compared to nontargeting controls ($n = 5$; $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 4C). siRNA-mediated knockdown of PAD2 but not PAD4 resulted in a significant increase in the expression of pri-let-7c-2, pri-miR-23b, and pri-miR-29c ($n = 4$; $P < 0.05$) (Fig. 4D to F).

Given the significant regulation that occurs at each step of miRNA biogenesis, we next quantified mature miRNA following PAD inhibition in GH3 cells. Our results indicate that mature miRNAs are also significantly increased with BB-ClA treatment compared to controls ($n = 4$; $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 4G) (25, 34). Finally, we used chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis to test if the changes in pri-miRNA expression are associated with histone citrullination of the miRNA genes. Following DMSO or BB-ClA treatment, GH3 cell lysates were incubated with the anti-H3Cit2,8,17 antibody and immunoprecipitated chromatin was analyzed by qPCR using primers specific to each pri-miRNA gene. The results indicate that significantly less of each
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The pan-PAD inhibitor BB-ClA decreases basal histone citrullination to regulate the expression of pri-miRNAs in GH3 cells. (A) GH3 cells were treated with either DMSO or 1.25 μM BB-ClA. Following cell lysis, histones were purified and examined by Western blotting using anti-H3Cit2,8,17 antibody and anti-histone H3 antibody as a loading control. In vitro-citrullinated histones were used as a positive control. (B) Western blots were quantified with Bio-Rad Image Lab; means were separated via a two-tailed t test ($n = 3$; $P < 0.01$), and values are expressed as means ± SEM. (C) GH3 cells were treated with either DMSO or 1.25 μM BB-ClA. The RNA from three independent experiments was purified and sequenced on an Illumina platform. Bioinformatic analysis identified 81 upregulated genes, of which the top 12 genes in DMSO- versus BB-ClA-treated GH3 cells are listed. Genes with $P_{adj}$ values of $<0.05$ were considered significant.
pri-miRNA gene is associated with citrullinated histones following PAD inhibition compared to vehicle-treated control cells (n ≥ 4; P < 0.05) (Fig. 5). Thus, blocking PAD activity decreases H3Cit2,8,17 at the let-7c, miR-23b, and miR-29c genes. These data show that histone citrullination is repressive and directly suppresses the expression of these pri-miRNA genes.

PAD inhibition significantly decreases oncogene mRNA and protein expression in GH3 cells. HMGA1 and HMGA2 are nonhistone chromatin binding proteins that are directly implicated in the pathogenesis of prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas (28, 35, 36). IGF-1 and N-MYC are similarly associated with oncogenic processes (37–40). TargetScan and visual analyses showed that the HMGA1, HMGA2, IGF-1, and N-MYC mRNAs contain putative binding sites for let-7 family members, miR-23b, and/or miR-29c (27, 32, 33). Given that histone citrullination suppresses pri-miRNA transcription, we hypothesized that inhibiting PADs in GH3 cells would decrease HMGA1, IGF-1, and N-MYC mRNAs due to the reexpression of miRNAs that promote transcript degradation. To test this, we examined HMGA1, IGF-1, and N-MYC mRNA levels after 0, 12, 24,
and 48 h of treatment with 1.25 μM BB-CIA or DMSO with inhibitor replenishment every 12 h. HMGA2 was not analyzed due to lack of expression in GH3 cells (27). Our results indicate that HMGA1 and N-MYC mRNAs were significantly downregulated following 24 and 48 h of PAD inhibition, while IGF-1 mRNA was significantly decreased following 12, 24, and 48 h of BB-CIA (Fig. 6A to C) (n ≥ 3; P < 0.05 and P < 0.01). HMGA1, N-MYC, and IGF-1 were also decreased following 48 h of BB-CIA treatment compared to DMSO-treated controls (Fig. 6D to F). Importantly, after 48 h of BB-CIA treatment

![Graphs showing mRNA expression changes](image)

**FIG 5** Citrullinated histones are directly associated with and repress primary miRNA expression in GH3 cells. For ChIP, GH3 protein-DNA complexes were immunoprecipitated with anti-H3Cit2,8,17, anti-histone H3 (positive control), or nonspecific IgG (negative control). Cross-links were reversed, and the DNA was purified and subjected to qPCR analysis with pri-miRNA specific primers. Means were separated with a one-tailed paired t test (n ≥ 3). All values provided are means ± SEM.

![Graphs showing protein expression changes](image)

**FIG 6** PAD inhibition significantly decreases oncogene mRNA and protein expression in GH3 cells. GH3 cells were treated every 12 h with 1.25 μM BB-CIA for 0, 12, 24, or 48 total hours. Following cell lysis, RNA was purified, reverse transcribed, and subjected to qPCR analysis using intron-spanning primers specific to HMGA1 (A), N-MYC (B), or IGF-1 (C). GAPDH was used as an endogenous reference control. Means were separated with a one-way repeated-measures ANOVA with a post hoc Dunnett’s t test (n ≥ 3). Following the same paradigm, HMGA1 (D), N-MYC (E), and IGF-1 (F) were examined by Western blotting. pri-let7c-2 (G), pri-miR-23b (H), and pri-miR-29c (I) expression was examined by qPCR after 24 and 48 h of BB-CIA treatment to confirm that pri-miRNAs were increased when oncogene expression was suppressed. Means were separated with a one-way repeated-measures ANOVA with a post hoc Dunnett’s t test (n ≥ 5). All values provided are means ± SEM.
pri-miRNAs let-7c-2, miR-23b, and miR-29c were significantly elevated compared to DMSO controls ($n \geq 5; P < 0.05$) (Fig. 6G to I). These data suggest that PAD inhibition promotes increased miRNAs that bind to target sequences within the HMGA1, IGF-1, and N-MYC mRNAs to decrease their expression.

**Antagomirs to miRNAs let-7c-2, 23b, and 29c attenuate oncogene repression in the presence of PAD inhibition.** Our results suggest that PADs may promote tumor proliferation by repressing miRNAs that target HMGA1, IGF-1, and N-MYC, thus allowing increased oncogene expression. Yet a direct relationship between let-7c-2, miR-23b, and miR-29c and oncogene mRNA expression in GH3 cells has not been shown. To address this, GH3 cells were transiently transfected for 48 h with antagomirs that specifically target let-7c-2, miR-23b, and miR-29c and simultaneously treated with DMSO or 1.25 μM BB-CLA every 12 h. qPCR analysis shows that similar to the results in Fig. 6A to C, HMGA1, IGF-1, and N-MYC mRNAs were significantly suppressed with BB-CLA treatment compared to those in DMSO-treated controls ($n \geq 3; P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 7). Antagomirs for let-7c-2, miR-23b, and miR-29c all prevented a decrease in HMGA1, N-MYC, and IGF-1 mRNA expression in the presence of PAD inhibition by BB-CLA. Our results indicate that these PAD2-regulated miRNAs directly target the HMGA1, N-MYC, and IGF-1 mRNAs.

**PAD inhibition attenuates GH3 proliferation.** If histone citrullination indirectly elevates oncogenic mRNA, then it seems likely that this mechanism will increase proliferation rates of GH3 cells. To test this, we investigated if PAD inhibition alters GH3 cellular proliferation rates compared to those in vehicle-treated controls. Equal numbers of GH3 cells were treated every 12 h with 1.25 μM BB-CLA or DMSO for 24, 48, 72, or 96 total hours. At each time point, cellular proliferation was measured using a standard 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) proliferation assay. Our results show that PAD inhibition with BB-CLA significantly attenuated cellular proliferation relative to that in vehicle-treated controls at 72 and 96 h ($n = 3; P < 0.05$) (Fig. 8A). To corroborate these results, we also performed cell growth assays and quantified GH3 cells at 24, 48, 72, or 96 h following treatment with 1.25 μM BB-CLA or DMSO every 12 h. Similar to the MTT assay, results show that with 72 and 96 h of BB-CLA treatment there was a significant reduction in cell growth compared to that in DMSO-treated controls ($n = 5; P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 8B). Cell viability was simultaneously measured using trypan blue exclusion, which found no significant difference between vehicle and BB-CLA treatment at 24, 48, or 72 h and a slight decrease in viability at 96 h ($n = 6; P < 0.01$) (Fig. 8C). These data are consistent with Fig. 4, which shows elevations in miRNAs, and Fig. 6, which demonstrates decreased oncogene mRNAs, and the data collectively indicate that PAD inhibition ultimately reduces GH3 proliferation.

FIG 7 Antagomirs to let-7c, miR-23b, and miR-29c attenuate oncogene mRNA expression in the presence of PAD inhibition. GH3 cells were transiently transfected with vehicle, let-7c, miR-23b, and miR-29c antagomirs for 48 h. Immediately following transfection, cells were treated every 12 h with DMSO or 1.25 μM BB-CLA for 48 total hours. RNA was purified, reverse transcribed, and subjected to qPCR analysis using intron-spanning primers specific to HMGA1 (A), N-MYC (B), or IGF-1 (C). GAPDH was used as an endogenous reference control. Means were separated with a one-tailed paired t test. All values provided are means ± SEM.
DISCUSSION

Our work defines a new role for PADs in epigenetic regulation of miRNA gene expression and shows that PAD2 and -4 and citrullinated histones are elevated in human prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas. Mechanistically, PAD-catalyzed citrullination of H3Cit2,8,17 represses the expression of let-7c-2, miR-23b, and miR-29c. Without these tumor suppressor miRNAs, oncogenic HMGA1, N-MYC, and IGF-1 mRNAs increase resulting in cellular proliferation. Conversely, when PADs are inhibited or knocked down, tumor suppressor miRNAs are reexpressed and target oncogene mRNAs for repression and degradation, thereby suppressing proliferation (Fig. 9).

Compared to PAs, normal human pituitary tissues display low PAD2 and -4 staining. Our past work shows that PAD expression in female mouse pituitaries varies significantly across the estrous cycle and a similar trend in expression may occur in human pituitaries during the menstrual cycle (2, 21). Low expression in female pituitaries may simply reflect that the tissues were collected on a day of the menstrual cycle associated with low PAD expression. Low expression in normal human males is supported by previous studies of rodents which found that PAD expression is sexually dimorphic, with little expression in male pituitaries (10). Understanding the sexually dimorphic expression of PADs in pituitaries is the focus of ongoing investigations in our lab.

PAD inhibition results in a significant increase in expression of primary and mature let-7c, miR-23b, and miR-29c in GH3 cells and in pri-miRNAs in mouse pituitary cells compared to controls. Currently, BB-CIA is the most potent PAD inhibitor, yet it achieved only an approximately 50% reduction in histone citrullination (41). Despite this, elevated miRNAs following PAD inhibition are not unprecedented, as Cui et al.

FIG 8 PAD inhibition attenuates GH3 proliferation. GH3 cells were treated every 12 h with DMSO or 1.25 μM BB-CIA for 24, 48, 72, or 96 total hours. (A) To quantify proliferation, the MTT tetrazolium reagent was added to each well. After 2 h, the resulting formazan solution was collected and the absorbance measured at 490 nm. Means were separated with one-tailed paired t tests (n = 3). (B and C) Cell growth (B) and viability (C) for DMSO and 1.25 μM BB-CIA treated GH3 cells were measured at 24, 48, 72, or 96 total hours using a Countess automated cell counter. Means were separated with one-tailed paired t tests (n ≥ 5). All values provided are means ± SEM.

FIG 9 A working model for PAD catalyzed histone citrullination in lactotrope and somatolactotrope proliferation.
showed that the same mechanism is associated with the reexpression of miR-16 in colon cancer cells (42). Our ChIP studies are the first to show that histone citrullination is directly associated with the regulation of miRNA genes. In GH3 cells, the changes in mature miRNAs are not as robust as with pri-miRNAs, particularly with respect to let-7. This may occur because the let-7 TaqMan probe detects the mature miRNAs processed from both let-7c-1 and let-7c-2, while histone citrullination only controls the expression of the let-7c-2 isoform. Additionally, the fold changes in primary cell pri-miRNAs following BB-CIA treatment are lower than those from GH3 cells; however, this may be attributed to the heterogeneous cell population derived from the mouse pituitary gland.

Gene expression analyses have detected decreased let-7 miRNA in prolactinomas and somatoprolactinomas (23, 27, 32). let-7 miRNAs target and induce destabilization and subsequent degradation of oncogene mRNAs, including HMGA isoforms (24, 27, 28). Our findings suggest that aberrant PAD expression may increase histone citrullination, which suppresses let-7c expression, resulting in elevated oncoprotein levels. Although histone citrullination suppresses miR-23b expression in GH3 cells, Bottoni et al. showed that it is upregulated in human somatoprolactinomas (23). In contrast, Leone et al. observed significant downregulation of miR-23b in human somatotrope adenomas; however, it is unclear if these adenomas included GH/PRL-secreting somatoprolactinomas (33). Our studies are the first to show regulation of miR-29c in GH3 cells, although the clinical significance of this in PAs is unknown. An important implication from our work is that PAD-catalyzed histone citrullination is a plausible mechanism by which let-7c-2, miR-23b, and miR-29c are downregulated in PAs. Evidence of such epigenetic regulation of miRNA gene expression is not unprecedented (25). For example, DNA hypermethylation decreases let-7 and miR-23b expression and subsequently contributes to tumorigenesis (43, 44). In contrast, treatment of pituitary cells with the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor zebularine and the histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin A slows proliferation by inducing the reexpression of oncogene-targeting miRNAs (45). Our work shows that PAD-catalyzed histone citrullination represses tumor suppressor miRNA expression, which is potentially important in PA etiology.

PAD inhibition or knockdown facilitates increased miRNA expression, which results in a decrease in HMGA1, N-MYC, and IGF-1 mRNA. Our siRNA studies suggest that PAD2 is the predominant isoform that regulates pri-miRNA expression. Blocking miRNAs with antagonirs alleviates the BB-CIA-induced repression of HMGA1, N-MYC, and IGF-1 mRNA expression and demonstrates a direct relationship between the two. Interestingly, these target mRNAs are associated with the G_{1}/S cell cycle transition, and previous studies found that PAD inhibition or knockdown arrests cells at the same checkpoint (40, 42, 46–48). HMGA proteins modulate gene expression by altering chromatin architecture and are highly expressed in embryonic tissues, with minimal expression in adults (46). Reactivation of HMGA proteins in adult tissues is thought to promote tumorigenesis by displacing histone deacetylase 1 from the pRB/E2F-1 complex, which allows for the acetylation and activation of the proliferative effects of E2F-1 (67, 68). Furthermore, female transgenic mice overexpressing either HMGA1 or -2 almost exclusively develop GH/PRL-secreting somatoprolactinomas (35, 36). Previous work has demonstrated that let-7 targets the HMGA 3’ UTRs to promote transcript degradation (32). In agreement with our data, overexpression or upregulation of let-7 in GH3 cells decreases HMGA1 mRNA and attenuates cellular proliferation in a time-dependent manner (27, 32, 45). Our work suggests that miR-29c may also target HMGA-1 given that the antagonir to miR-29c prevents HMGA1 repression. IGF-1 is aberrantly expressed in prolactinomas of both human and diethylstibestrol (DES)-treated ACI rats, and abrogated IGF-1 signaling is strongly linked to the pathogenesis of many cancers (37, 38, 51). TargetScan and visual analyses identified several binding sites for let-7, miR-23b, and miR-29c within the IGF-1 mRNA sequence, and previous research showed that let-7 and miR-29c alter IGF-1 mRNA (52, 53). N-MYC is implicated in many cancers, and studies have characterized binding sites for let-7 and miR-29c.
within N-MYC mRNA (40, 54, 55). It is important to point out that our RNA-seq data identified other miRNAs that are increased following PAD inhibition. Thus, we cannot rule out the possibility that the decrease in HMGA1, N-MYC, and IGF-1 mRNAs in GH3 cells following BB-CIA treatment was exclusively due to let-7c, miR-23b, or miR-29c. Although our RNA-seq data do not show a change in HMGA1, N-MYC, and IGF-1 expression following 14 h of BB-CIA treatment, we cannot eliminate the possibility that their genes are also regulated by histone citrullination at 48 h. Nonetheless, our studies suggest that PAD-mediated repression of tumor suppressor miRNAs promotes the overexpression of oncogenic proteins, which may contribute to the pathogenesis of prolactinomas and somatotrophinomas.

In conclusion, we propose a model in which aberrant PAD expression and the resulting high levels of citrullinated histones act to suppress the expression of let-7c, miR-23b, and miR-29c, thereby allowing the reexpression of the HMGA1, N-MYC, and IGF-1 oncogenes, which drive cellular proliferation. These effects, however, can be prevented or reversed by knocking down PAD expression or blocking citrullination with the PAD inhibitor BB-CIA (Fig. 9). Studies to test whether inhibiting PAD activity in vivo alters oncogene mRNA profiles and cellular proliferation of primary lactotrope cells are under way. Although off-target effects are a legitimate concern, PAD inhibitors may represent novel therapeutic modalities for treatment of proliferation in the multiple cancers that overexpress PAD enzymes and contain citrullinated histones.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. The anti-PAD2 (12110-1-AP), IGF-1 (20215-1-AP), and N-Myc (10159-2-AP) antibodies were obtained from ProteinTech (Rosemont, IL), and the anti-PAD4 antibody (P4749) was from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The anti-β-actin (Ab8227), anti-histone H3 (Ab1791), anti-H3Cit2,8,17 (Ab5103), and anti-HMGA1 (Ab129153) antibodies are from Abcam Inc. (Cambridge, MA). The let-7c-2-5p (IH-320289-05-0002), miR-23b-3p (IH-320310-05-0002), and miR-29c-3p (IH-320322-06-0002) antagonists as well as the nontargeting (sense, UUCUCCGAACGUUGUCAGCU-dTdT; antisense, ACGUGACACGUCGGAGAA-dTdT), PAD2 (sense, CGCUCUUCUUGCCCAAGCCG-dTdT; antisense, GCGCUGGCAAAAGACCCGGA-dTdT), and PAD4 (sense, GAAGGAUUCUCCUGUAATAACAGT; antisense, UUUGACACGAAGGAAUCCSUUC-dTdT) siRNAs were purchased from Dharmacon (Lafeyette, CO). The PAD inhibitor BB-CIA was generously provided by Paul R. Thompson (University of Massachusetts, Worcester, MA) and was synthesized as previously described (41). Rat PAD4 blocking peptide (MAQGAHVPAEPEPT) was synthesized by and purchased from GenScript (Piscataway, NJ).

Cell culture and transient transfection. GH3 cells were obtained from Clay and Colorado State University and authenticated by treatment with E2 and quantification of c-myc and parvalbumin expression (56). GH3 cells were maintained as previously described (31). For the MTT assay, miRNA target studies, complete medium was used since longer exposure to BB-CIA was required. Complete medium was also necessary for primary culture experiments to allow cell survival. In remaining experiments, cells were plated and incubated before treatments in phenol red-free, charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum (FBS) medium as previously described (56, 57). For PAD siRNA and antagomir studies, equal numbers of GH3 cells were plated and then transfected the following morning with 35 nM siRNAs or 30 nM antagomirs using DharmaFECT 1 (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL) for 48 h according to manufacturer protocols. GH3 cells transfected with antagomirs were treated with DMSO or 1.25 μM BB-CIA every 12 h for 48 h.

IHC and immunocytochemistry (ICC). A normal human pituitary tissue array slide was obtained from US Biomax, Inc. (PIT501; Derwood, MD). Pituitary tumor samples and their subtype pathology were obtained from Institut D’Investigacions Biomediques August Pi i Sunyer Biobanc (Barcelona, Spain). Samples were deidentified and thus were exempt from University of Wyoming institutional review board (IRB) approval (protocol number 20140814800496). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed as previously described (41). Briefly, slides were incubated with anti-PAD2, -PAD4, or -H3Cit2,8,17 antibodies at 1:100 in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) overnight at 4°C, and negative-control slides were incubated with an equal mass of nonspecific rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories). Images were taken with a Zeiss Axio Vert.A1 microscope using the 10×, 20×, and 40× objectives.

For ICC, GH3 cells were grown in MatTek 35-mm glass-bottom dishes (Ashland, MA). After being fixed and permeabilized, cells were incubated with primary antibodies (anti-PAD2, 1:100, or anti-PAD4, 1:100) overnight at 4°C. Duplicate dishes were incubated with an equal mass of nonspecific rabbit IgG as a negative control. Samples were imaged by a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope under a 40× objective.

Western blotting. Positive controls for the anti-H3Cit2,8,17 antibody were generated by in vitro citrullination of bulk histones. For PAD2 and PAD4 antibody positive controls, GH3 cells were transiently transfected with PAD2 or PAD4 expression plasmids for 24 h following the Mirus Bio TransIT-2020 (Madison, WI) transfection protocol. To determine the cross-reactivity of human PAD4 antibody with the rat PAD4 isoform, the antibody was diluted 1:1,000 in blocking buffer and then equally divided between two tubes. Rat PAD4 blocking peptide (400 μg/ml) was added to one tube and incubated overnight at 4°C with agitation. Samples of GH3 cell lysates and GH3 cells overexpressing human PAD4 were run side
by side on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel, and the membrane cut in half. Membranes were probed with the anti-PAD4 antibody or anti-PAD4 antibody preabsorbed with the rat blocking peptide.

For histone citrullination, GH3s were plated in 70-mm culture dishes and treated with 1.25 μM BB-CIA or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) vehicle every 3 h for 14 total hours. Histones were purified as described by Shechter et al. (60). GH3 cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer and Western blotting was performed as previously described (49). Protein concentrations were determined by Pierce 660-nm protein assay for equal loading. Samples were then subjected to SDS-PAGE using 10% (PAD blots) or 15% gels (histone blots). Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies: anti-PAD2 (1:2,000), anti-PAD4 (1:1,000), anti-β-actin (1:5,000), anti-H3Cit2,8,17 (1:1,000) (0.9 μg/μl), anti-HMG1 (1:10,000), anti-IGF-1 (1:500), anti-N-Myc (1:500), anti-histone H3 (1:5,000). Membranes were visualized on a Bio-Rad Chemidoc XRS using SuperSignal West Pico and Femto chemiluminescence substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL). As loading controls, PAD blots were examined for β-actin, while citrullinated histone blots were probed for total histone H3. Results were quantified using Bio-Rad Image Lab software.

Quantitative PCR. RNA was purified following the Omega Bio-Tek E.Z.N.A. total RNA kit I protocol (Omega Bio-Tek, Inc., Norcross, GA). One microgram of the purified RNA was reverse transcribed using iScript reverse transcription (RT) supermix for RT-qPCR (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). cDNA was subjected to qPCR analysis using intron-spanning rat-specific primers (pri-let-7c-2, TAGAGGGAGCCTGAGAAAGC [forward] and AAGGGCCTAGCTGACTGTGG [reverse]; pri-miR-23b, CATGATGATGGAGTGGT [forward] and CAAATCAGATGCAGGAA [reverse]; pri-miR-29c, AAGAGCTGCTTATTACATCAG [forward] and ACCAGG ACTAGTGGTCACA [reverse]; HMGA1, GTGAGAGGGGTCGCGTGA [forward] and CCCGGAGATGTGTTGTA CTT [reverse]; IGF-1, AGTACTAGGTCGCAAAGACTCA [forward] and TCCCTCAGGTCCTTTC [reverse]; N-Myc, GTGACGCTTGGCAGTAGTAG [forward] and TCTCCTCAGGTCCTCA [reverse]; PAD2, TGGAC-C ACTGACATGCCCAAGACTCA [forward] and GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGA [reverse]). For mature miRNAs, cells were treated as described above but miRNAs were purified per the Omega Bio-Tek E.Z.N.A. miRNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Inc.) protocol. miRNAs were reverse transcribed using the TaqMan microRNA reverse transcription kit (Thermo Scientific) and small-RNA-specific stem-loop primers that were pooled according to Applied Biosystem’s user bulletin PN 4465407 primer pool protocol. TaqMan probes for let7c-2-5p (assay identifier [ID] 000379, catalog no. 4427975), miR-23b-3p (0004000, 4427975), miR-29c-3p (000587, 4427975), and U6 snRNA (001973, 4427975) were purchased from Thermo Fisher. All qPCR data were analyzed using the threshold cycle (2ΔΔCt) method using GAPDH for pri-miRNAs and miRNAs and U6 snRNA for mature miRNAs as reference genes (50).

Euthanasia and pituitary collection were performed in accordance with the guidelines outlined in the report of the AVMA on euthanasia (66). All work in this study was approved by the University of Wisconsin Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol 20141104BC00129-02). For primary cell experiments, FVB wild-type mice were euthanized and pituitaries (n = 7) were explanted and dispersed as previously described (61). Cells were suspended in complete GH3 medium and the following morning treated with 1.25 μM BB-CIA or DMSO every 3 h for 14 total hours. RNA was purified, reverse transcribed, and analyzed by qPCR using mouse-specific primers (Pri-let-7c-2, TCTCTCAGGAGGAGCTCAA [forward] and CGTCTAGGACGTGGCGGTA [reverse]; pri-miR-23b, TTGTCCTCAGTGTCTCTAT [forward] and GTGCAGGCTGCTTCTT [reverse]; pri-miR-29c, CGAAGTGCTCAGGGTCGTA [forward] and GGGAAGTCGGTCGCTG [reverse]; Pri-let-7c-2, TAGAGGGAGCCTGAGAAAGC [forward] and GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATG [reverse]). For mature miRNAs and U6 snRNA for mature miRNAs as reference genes (50).

Illumina RNA sequencing. Three independent sets of GH3 cell RNA were generated as described above. RNA samples were sent to the National Center for Genomic Resources (NCCR; Santa Fe, NM). RNA libraries were prepared using the Illumina TrueSeq library kit. A polyadenylation step during library preparation ensured retention of mRNA in the samples. Libraries were sequenced on HiSeq 2000 to generate 1 × 50 reads (single end reads). Adapters and primer sequences were removed during postprocessing.

Postprocessed high-quality reads for all samples were aligned to the Rattus norvegicus genome, downloaded from the GenBank repository (GCA_000001895.4). An associated annotation file in GFF format was used to obtain genic information to generate read counts. Alignments were generated using BMAP (version released on 29 December 2014) using the following parameters: indel penalty = 2, maximum mismatches = 0.06, and everything else at default (62). Alpheus, an in-house pipeline, was used to generate reads (63). Gene expression for each sample was calculated as a measure of the total number of reads aligning uniquely to the reference, binned by genic coordinates (information obtained from the annotation file). Differential gene expression analysis was performed using the Bioconductor package DESeq2 (64). Raw read counts obtained were normalized to account for differences in sequencing depth and composition using methods implemented within DESeq2. Differential expression of pairwise comparisons was assessed using the negative binomial test, and comparisons that were significantly differentially expressed were defined as candidates that had an adjusted P value of ≤0.05 (65).

ChIP. GH3 cells were plated in 15-cm plates and treated as described above. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was then performed following the SimpleChIP Plus enzymatic chromatin IP kit protocol (Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA), optimized for GH3 cells. The ChIP primer sequences were identical to the primer sets used for RT-qPCR. All values are expressed as percent input.

Cell proliferation, growth, and viability assays. GH3 cells were treated every 12 h with either DMSO or 1.25 μM BB-CIA. At 24, 48, 72, and 96 h, medium was removed from the corresponding wells...
and replaced with 500 μl of complete medium and 20 μl of CellTiter 96 AQeuous One solution reagent from the CellTiter 96 AQeuous One cell proliferation assay (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI). After incubation for 2 h at 37°C, the absorbances of 100 μl were measured at 490 nm. For cell growth and viability, equal numbers of GH3 cells were seeded into 6-well plates and then treated with DMSO or 1.25 μM BB-CIA every 12 h. At 24, 48, 72, and 96 h, cells were counted and examined for viability using trypan blue following standard protocols for an Invitrogen Countess automated cell counter.

**Statistics.** All statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 6.0. Results were analyzed for significance using two-tailed t-tests unless otherwise noted. All experiments were repeated at least three independent times. Values are given as means ± standard errors of the means (SEM).

**Accession number(s).** RNA sequencing data were deposited in the NCBI database with BioProject ID PRJNA422458.
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