Supplementary materials for: Randomized Controlled Trial of Ergocalciferol in New-onset Type 1 diabetes

Contents:

- CONSORT Checklist 05SEPT2021
- Summary of Amendments to the Investigational Study Plan 15SEPT2021
- Investigational Study Protocol 26FEB2021-Final Version
- Investigational Study Protocol 26MAY2016-Original Version
- Modification to the Statistical Analysis Plan 9-12-21
- Final STATISTICAL PLAN 5-30-2016
- Original STATISTICAL PLAN 5-30-2016
- IRB-Approved Modification Letter_H00010550_4 10.11.2017

CONSORT Checklist 05SEPT2021



CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial*

Section/Topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract			
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	1
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	2
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	3
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	3
Methods			
Trial design	За	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	4
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	N/A
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	4-5
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	4
Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	5-10
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed	10
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	10
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	11
·	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	N/A
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	8-9
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	8
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers),	9
concealment mechanism		describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	9
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those	9

CONSORT 2010 checklist Page 1

		assessing outcomes) and how	
	11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	9
Statistical methods	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	_11
	12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	11
Results			
Participant flow (a	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and	Pages 8-9,
diagram is strongly		were analysed for the primary outcome	Fig 1, Table 1
recommended)	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	Page 8-9, Fig
•			1
Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	4
	14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped	N/A
Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	Table 1 on
			page 20
Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was	Fig 1, Table 1
		by original assigned groups	and pages 8-
			9
Outcomes and	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its	12-14; Fig 1-
estimation		precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	3; Tables 1-2;
			Supplementar
			y Tables 1-5
	17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	12-14,
			Figures and
			Tables
Ancillary analyses	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	12-14
Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)	13-14;
			Supplementar
			y Table 5
Discussion			
Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	16
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	16
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	16
·			

CONSORT 2010 checklist Page 2

Other information Registration	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	_4, 17
Protocol	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	4 and S1
			Protocol
Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	17

^{*}We strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If relevant, we also recommend reading CONSORT extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and pragmatic trials. Additional extensions are forthcoming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see www.consort-statement.org.

CONSORT 2010 checklist Page 3

Summary of Amendments to the Investigational Study Plan 15SEPT2021

Summary of IRB-approved Amendments/Modifications to the Investigational Study Protocol with the Associated Dates 15SEPT2021

Study title: Vitamin D Supplementation, Residual Beta-cell Function, and Partial Clinical Remission in Pediatric Type 1 diabetes: A 12-month Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial

This study was originally approved by the University of Massachusetts Institutional Review Board (IRB) on 5/27/2016.

All amendments reviewed and approved by the University of Massachusetts IRB are listed below:

On 3/8/2017, study protocol was updated with Section 2.0 Funding Sources: the study team uploaded a copy of the grant, and updated study documents to allow the NIH and FDA to provide oversight for the trial.

Submission of study revision 1 (9/25/17 & 12/04/2017): minor changes to study personnel, study personnel responsibilities and clarification of a study flyer to be used for recruitment purposes.

The following changes were made to the study Investigational Study Protocol (ISP):

- 1. Updated the study timeline (section 7). Enrollment for this study will begin in October 2017.
- 2. Revised the study personnel roles to include the responsibilities of the Department of pediatric study Clinical Research Assistant (CRA) (section 22) who has been added to the protocol as of September 2017.
- 3. We also added a study flyer to use for recruitment purposes. A copy of the flyer has been included in section 7. Also, we have made the decision to compensate subjects with \$50 cash after each study visit. The initial ISP stated that bookstore gift cards would be given as compensation after each study visit. We've since learned that cash is more flexible for parents and subjects and the preferred method of payment (section 23).

The initial ISP described the use of a telephone script for follow up phone calls. We have included that script in section 7.

Submission of study revision 3 on 12/28/2017 which is summarized as follows:

Changes to the ISP:

We requested the IRB to allow us to change the duration of the Run-in phase of the study (Visit 3) from 4 weeks, to 2-4 weeks. This change is requested to decrease the amount of time that subjects must wait prior to study randomization. We do not sense that lessening the run-in phase will increase the risk to subjects enrolled since the Treat-to-target regimen that takes place during the run-in phase of this study (Visit 3) is

based on the basal-bolus regimen that is considered standard treatment for children and adults with T1D.

Revisions were made to Table 1 (Pg7) to clarify the mixed meal tolerance test (MMTT) visits, by removing "dispense insulin and supplies" since insulin is not dispensed as part of this study and other minor editorial clarifications.

Changed the timing/scheduling of the MMTT. The Clinical Research Center (CRC) does not open until 8:30am so we had to change the testing time from 7:00am-10:00am to 8:30am-10:00am.

We wanted the nutrition visit to be consistent with the language on page 9 of the ISP and to simply state that the first nutrition visit will take place within 2 months of enrollment which may not necessarily be during the visit 3 study visit.

On 6/14/2018, the ISP was revised as follows: Inclusion and exclusion criteria: In the ISP (Page 4, under inclusion criteria), the last point originally read "9. Fasting C-peptide level of >0.1 nmol/L (0.3 ng/mL)[19]".

This sentence was incomplete, and the actual point should have read:

"9. Fasting C-peptide level of >0.1 nmol/L (0.3 ng/mL)[19] or 2-hour post-meal stimulated C-peptide level of 0.2 nmol/L (≥0.6 ng/mL)." These are the criteria which we listed elsewhere in the study documents, for example, the document titled "Human Subjects Protection". So, we are correcting this point so all the study documents will contain the same criteria.

To be compliant with the consent witness procedures, we are clarifying in the ISP that witness signature is only required if study participants are not English speaking. This language appears on page 23 of the ISP.

On 7/21/2018, we requested the IRB to allow us to modify our protocol to allow for an immediate processing of the C-peptide sample collected during visits 4-8, as is already being done for visit 2. Though we had originally planned to run these assays at the end of the study, we have learnt that C-peptide does degrade in the first year of storage even in the best of conditions. We are making this modification to ensure the validity of our C-peptide assay results. We will also want to begin to run the C-peptide after each visit going forward. We have modified the ISP which is the only applicable document for this change of timing of assay processing for C-peptide.

On, 11/30/2018, the ISP was revised: The ISP states that the first morning void is required for VDBP testing during study visits. In practice many patients do not bring in their first morning void and a spot urine is collected instead. Though the first morning void is preferable, the spot urine is an acceptable secondary option if the morning void is not supplied. To correct this the ISP was modified to state that a morning void is preferable, but not required, for vitamin D binding protein (VDBP) testing, and that a spot urine will be accepted if the morning void is not supplied.

Changes to initial administration of study drug and safety labs. The time to start the initial dose of study drug was adjusted to allow for communication of the result of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] to the family. Thus, the first dose is to be taken after 25(OH)D levels drawn at visit 4 are received and verified to be < 70ng/mL. Additionally, visit 4b (a now redundant safety visit) was removed.

Improved clarity of payment scheme, and the acceptance of urine sample during visit in situations where the first morning urine void was not available

On 12/4/2018, Exclusion criteria #4 was included as we did not want to knowingly recruit any subjects with existing 25(OH)D levels that were greater than 70 ng/mL. Please note that this was not a requirement for screening visits, but a review criterion from existing records. In response to the audit on 11/28/2018, as well as given the fact that the DSMB report from 11/27/2018 did not show any evidence of vitamin D toxicity associated with this study, we agree with the auditor to remove this component of the exclusion criteria as we have not encountered any cases of elevated 25(OH)D in study subjects. To correct this, we have decided to remove this exclusion criteria in the protocol. Additionally, we will use an inclusion/exclusion criteria checklist to prevent this type of oversight in any subjects recruited in the future.

On 12/18/2018, There are 2 reasons for this modification, and both are listed below: 1. We are continuing our study as originally planned without the inclusion of patients with type 1b diabetes. This was to ensure that all subjects had positive diabetes-associated antibodies in serum before they are enrolled in the trial.

2. We have reinstated the following exclusion criteria: "Subjects with 25(OH)D levels of >70 ng/mL, as this may lead to vitamin D toxicity in the study subjects". Screening for 25(OH)D levels of >70 ng/mL was requested by the IRB to be done prior to subjects receiving their initial dose of the study drug (vitamin D or placebo). This safety lab will now be performed at visit 4. The ISP has been updated to reflect that baseline 25(OH)D will be obtained at Visit 4 and initial administration of study drug will occur after a review of the safety lab tests to ensure that the subjects do not have a 25OHD of >70 ng/mL. As a result of this request from the IRB, visit 4b now occurs at Visit 4 (randomization) and visit 4a will now occur 4-6 weeks after randomization.

On, 2/19/2019, We are making changes to the ISP to include the use of EMLA cream (for needle-phobia) and other similar devices to reduce discomfort during blood draws.

Additionally, we created a document that displays the subjects' number of visits, research procedures and time commitment and compensation at each visit.

Though the ISP mentions the drawing of safety labs these are not specifically referred to as visits 4a and 4b. Additionally, the compensation scheme for the safety visits is mentioned, but not clear, as it differs from the compensation scheme of the core study

visits: V1, V2, V3, V4-V9. The compensation scheme for these visits is explicitly stated in the ISP. To correct this, we will add visits 4a and 4b to the ISP and clarify that the compensation is only a parking voucher, rather than \$50.00 and a parking voucher. The ISP now includes changes in visit 4 to make it easier for auditors to understand.

On 4/25/2019, the study protocol was revised because though the original protocol stated the patients would be weighed in their undergarments and with no shoes, but we felt that it wasn't required that a patient needed to undergo this for the physical and history examination segment of their visit. All patients to date have been weighed in loose, lightweight clothing with no shoes. A protocol modification was then submitted to change the ISP to state subjects would be weighed in loose, lightweight clothing.

On 6/10/2019 the study protocol was revised. This was because of a handful of subjects who dropped out of the study after enrollment but before randomization. Therefore, to meet our original goal of 40 randomized patients, we increased the total number of subjects enrolled from 40 to 48 (an increase of 8 subjects). We have selected 48 to account for both the subjects that have already dropped out prior to randomization (n=6), and any that may drop out in the future.

Additionally, we added lipid panel to the baseline labs drawn during visits 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. We wanted the results of the lipid profile to enable us to obtain data on non-glycemic metabolic parameters which are also important for the assessment of cardiovascular health of patients with type 1 diabetes.

On, 9/3/2019 the ISP was revised to clarify that the issue of urine calcium and creatinine. The current protocol states that urine Ca will be collected at visits 4, 4a, 5, 6, 7, and 8, but did not mention creatinine. As the sample that is collected to run the urine calcium assay also requires an assay for urine creatinine for validation, we have been running into issues with our research billing department as they are having difficulty billing for the urine Ca/Cr ratio. Currently the assay for urine creatinine is designated as Research Study (RS) on the billing grid for study visits 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, however it is undesignated for V4a. To correct this, the modification is changing all mentions of "urine Ca" in the protocol to "urine Ca and Creatinine". These changes would clarify that it is both urine calcium and creatinine that are to be collected at visits 4, 4a, 5, 6, 7, and 8.

In addition to the above change, we have also decided to extend the window of safety visit 4a. Currently in the protocol we are limited to a 2-week window as it states that visit 4a will occur 4 - 6 weeks after visit 4. As we have had difficulties getting subjects to come in for their safety lab draws during this window, we would like to extend this window. The protocol was changed to state that the safety draw will occur 4 - 10 weeks after randomization.

On 1/6/2020, ISP was revised to enable us collect continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) data. In addition to the data collected from the Precision Xtra Blood Glucose

Monitoring System (Abbott Diabetes Care, Alameda, CA, USA) and the subject's insulin pump, continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) data will also be collected for those subjects that are using CGM. By collecting the CGM data, the subject's blood sugar levels can be monitored, and evaluated throughout the study. It is crucial for subjects to have healthy blood sugar levels throughout the day and night, so by collecting CGM data, the subjects will be evaluated and treated for blood sugar levels that are too high or too low.

On 10/8/2020, ISP was revised to enable us expanded the cytokine panel to include 3 additional cytokines to enable the investigators to compare the levels of proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines

Investigational Stud	y Protocol	26FEB2021	1-Final	Version

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

1) Title

Vitamin D Supplementation, Residual Beta-cell Function, and Partial Clinical Remission in Pediatric Type 1 diabetes: A 12-month Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial IRB Review History*

NA

Attestation: The FDA completed its review of this study's IND application on June 20th, 2017 and has cleared this study to proceed.

We will meet the FDA sponsor-investigator monitoring requirements as follows:

In compliance with the FDCA:

We will report unexpected fatal or life-threatening suspected adverse events to the FDA no later than 7 calendar days after initial receipt of the information. This should be transmitted by fax to 301-796-9712 and addressed to the Regulatory Project Manager and/or to the Chief, Project Management Staff.

We will also report any (1) serious, unexpected suspected adverse reactions (2) findings from other clinical, animal or in vitro studies that suggest significant human risk, and (3) a clinically important increase in the rate of a serious suspected adverse reaction to the Division and all other investigators no later than 15 calendar days after determining that the information qualifies for reporting. This will be submitted in paper format pending the installation of eCTD format to allow for the secure electronic transmission of information.

We will submit annual progress within 60 days of the anniversary of the date that the IND became active, 6/20/17.

We will cite the IND number 134232 in all communications.

We will address all paper communications to: Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology Products 5901-B Ammendale Road Beltsville, MD 20705-1266

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

This trial will follow the procedures outlined in this study plan and not the R21.

2) Objectives*

1. To study how vitamin D affects the body during the honeymoon phase of type 1 diabetes using a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial design.

The study endpoints are:

- (a) Change in the duration of the partial clinical remission (PCR) phase of T1D
- (b) Change in the rate of decrease of residual beta cell function (RBCF) in T1D
- (c) Change in the levels of glucagon-like peptide between the groups
- (d) Change in the duration of PCR in subjects with high-risk T1D single nucleotide polymorphisms
- (e) Change in glycemic control as measured by HbA1c.

Vitamin D is not being used for therapeutic intent for this trial, but for the evaluation of function of human system.

3) Background*

Background: The diagnosis of T1D is followed by a phase of PCR due to RBCF. During this early period in the natural history of T1D, endogenous islet function supports exogenous insulin therapy and enables the achievement of glycemic control with sub-physiologic insulin doses[1]. Recent studies indicate that approximately 50% of the β-cell mass may be viable at the time of diagnosis of T1D and that these β-cells persist for many months and even years following the diagnosis of T1D[2,3].

Preservation of RBCF is crucial for optimal diabetes care and outcome across the life history of T1D[4]. The landmark Diabetes Control and Complications Trial reported reduced incidence of long term diabetes-related end organ complication in patients with only modest levels of β -cell activity at entry into the trial[5]. Other studies show that endogenous insulin secretion in patients with T1D is associated with improved long-term glycemic control, reduced risk of severe hypoglycemia[3,6], reduced risk for the development of diabetic retinopathy[7] and a positive effect on statural growth in prepubertal children[8]. Regrettably, a recent study reported a low rate of PCR in children of <5 years and adolescents of >12 years. This study also found that metabolic control was poorest in these young children, while the requirement for high doses of insulin to overcome insulin resistance decreased the duration of PCR in the adolescents[9]. Furthermore, a recent study reported that a variant of a principal T1D susceptibility gene, protein tyrosine phosphatase nonreceptor type 22 (PTPN22), the PTPN22 -1858T is associated with reduced residual β -cell function and poor metabolic control[10]. However, whether pharmacological interventions can modify the effect of these gene variants on PCR and RBCF is not well studied.

Similarly, the role of endogenous insulin secretagogues such as incretins on RBCF and PCR is not known in youth with T1D. Incretins are gastrointestinal hormones that stimulate insulin release after food ingestion. These are glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and gastric inhibitory peptide (GIP). Though incretin-based therapies are now being used to improve glycemic control and weight loss in patients with T2D[11], little is known about their effect on PCR, RBCF; and the factors that could prolong their effects. It is also unknown whether vitamin D treatment impacts GLP-1 secretion. These concepts may lead to effective and easily adoptable therapeutic modalities to prolong RBCF and the duration of PCR in youth with T1D.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

Trials that investigated various modalities to prolong the duration of PCR led to differing conclusions[12-20]. Specifically, studies that examined the role of vitamin D on these parameters found that while the active form of vitamin D, calcitriol, demonstrated a protective effect on RBCF[20] in adult subjects with latent autoimmune diabetes of adults (LADA), two other studies in non-LADA patients with T1D, one of which enrolled children and adults, showed no effect of calcitriol on β-cell mass[15,16]. However, among the trials that investigated the effect of the precursor form of vitamin D, cholecalciferol, one trial in children of 6-12 years reported a non-statistically significant C-peptide decrease of 8% vs. 20% at 6 months in the vitamin D vs. placebo arm[14], while another trial in subjects of 7-30 years reported a statistically-significant C-peptide decrease of 14% vs. 46% after 18 months[12]. These slower rates of decrease in C-peptide values are comparable to the decreases in C-peptide achieved by immunotherapeutic intervention trials: 38% vs. 56%[17], 16% vs. 60%[18], 8% vs. 75%[19] at 18 months between the experimental and the placebo groups respectively. Taken together, these studies suggest that cholecalciferol supplementation may achieve a comparable protection of RBCF as immune-based therapies but without the toxic side effects[21,22].

However, major limitations of these trials include the use of suboptimal doses of vitamin D[12,14]; as well as the lack of a standardized insulin treatment protocol that addresses the confounding effect of concurrent insulin therapy on RBCF. This is important given that the cholecalciferol intervention trials reported no differences in HbA1c and insulin requirements[12,14] between the groups, while the immunotherapeutic trials reported improved HbA1c levels and decreased insulin requirements in their treatment groups[17,18]. To bridge this gap, and accurately determine how vitamin D affects the body during the honeymoon phase of T1D, we propose to standardize insulin therapy in both groups using an algorithm-based, self-directed, treat-to-target insulin regimen (TTIR) to address the confounding effects of differing insulin regimens on earlier trials.

Significance:

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is a hyperglycemic syndrome caused by immune mediated destruction of β -cells of the pancreas that continues long after the diagnosis of the disease[12]. The high prevalence of poor glycemic control in children[23], and its attendant risk for lifetime debilitating chronic complications of diabetes have led to calls for innovative therapeutic interventions to prevent these chronic complications of diabetes mellitus. One of these maneuvers is to prolong the duration of partial clinical remission (PCR) by augmenting residual β-cell function (RBCF) in youth with newly diagnosed T1D. This is predicated on the report that approximately 50% of the β -cell mass may be viable at the time of diagnosis of T1D and that these β -cells persist for many months and even years following the diagnosis of T1D[2,3]. Studies that examined therapeutic agents for prolonging PCR have arrived at differing conclusions because of the severe side effect profile of the agents[21,22], insufficient dose of experimental agents[12,14], and more importantly, the non-standardization of insulin regimens[12,14,21,22] which confounded the effect of the experimental agents. The immunomodulatory approach is promising, but regimens tested to date lack sufficient benefit to justify the risk. Vitamin D supplementation, on the other hand, is safe and may slow T1D progression[12,14], but existing studies have caveats that prevent widespread implementation of this recommendation. For example, both trials used 2000 IU of vitamin D[12,14], and reported no changes in insulin requirements and HbA1c; while Gabbay et al[12] reported a significant difference in RBCF, Mishra et al[14] did not. Furthermore, the mechanisms of vitamin D effect on PCR and RBCF were not fully explored by both studies. This trial will address these gaps by employing an optimal dose of vitamin D in a randomized controlled trial with an in-built standardized insulin treatment regimen[24] to eliminate the confounding effect of non-standardized insulin treatment regimen on study outcomes. It will also examine mechanistic models of vitamin D action in prolonging PCR by examining its effect on incretins, inflammatory markers, alterations in the levels of vitamin D binding protein (VDBP), and the alleviation of the deleterious effects of high-risk single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).

The **rationale** for this study is to generate data to support or refute a recommendation for adjunctive vitamin D therapy to augment RBCF and prolong partial clinical remission (PCR) in patients with newly-diagnosed T1D.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

This trial will add to existing knowledge by:

- (a) determining the mechanism of vitamin D effect on PCR
- (b) determining the role of vitamin D supplementation on the duration of PCR under standardized insulin treatment protocol
- (c) determining the role of vitamin D supplementation in subjects with high-risk SNPs.

Ergocalciferol will be used for this trial. Ergocalciferol is vitamin D₂ whereas cholecalciferol is vitamin D₃. Both are analogs of the storage form of vitamin, 25-hydroxyvitamin D [250HD], and are equipotent and undergo the same metabolic processes in human[25]. The only difference is that vitamin D₂ is the plant derived analog of 250HD, while vitamin D₃ is the animal-derived analog of 250HD. Whereas ergocalciferol is available in 50,000 IU formulation, cholecalciferol is not. This is why we are using ergocalciferol for this study.

The principal investigator has an extensive experience with ergocalciferol given his earlier open-label trial of 2000 IU of ergocalciferol in children of 10-18 years with psychiatric illness[26], and his current randomized controlled trial of ergocalciferol 50,000 IU per week in children, adolescents, and young adults with type 2 diabetes and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease [Docket #00002866]. In both the concluded trial and the ongoing trial, there were no reports of side effects such as hypercalcemia or hyperphosphatemia or their associated symptoms in these patients. Ergocalciferol is well tolerated in children, adolescents, and young adults.

4) Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria*

Inclusion criteria:

- 1. Age: 10-21 years.
- 2. Gender: Male and female
- 3. Tanner stage: II-V: to ensure the exclusion of prepubertal subjects.
- 4. T1D duration of <3 months (i.e., from first insulin injection) to ensure the inclusion of patients in PCR.
- 5. Presence of at least one diabetes-associated autoantibody
- 6. Normal weight, overweight and obese subjects with T1D
- 7. Insulin therapy
- 8. Subjects on basal bolus regimen with an insulin-to-carbohydrate ratio
- 9. Fasting C-peptide level of >0.1 nmol/L (0.3 ng/mL)[19] or 2-hour post-meal stimulated C-peptide level of 0.2 nmol/L (≥0.6 ng/mL).

Exclusion criteria:

- 1. Subjects on weight altering medications, such as orlistat.
- 2. Subjects with eating disorder
- 3. Subjects on medications other than insulin that can affect blood glucose level.
- 4. Subjects with 25(OH)D levels of >70 ng/mL, as this may lead to vitamin D toxicity in the study subjects. Furthermore, subjects with a history of conditions that are contraindicated for the use of ergocalciferol products such as hypercalcemia, abnormal sensitivity to the toxic effects of vitamin D, hypervitaminosis D, will be excluded from participation in the study.
- 5. Subjects with major systemic diseases such as cardiac-, liver-, or kidney failure (requiring dialysis).
- 6. Subjects with recurrent diabetes ketoacidosis (>2 episodes since the diagnosis of T1D or in the preceding 3 months); or recurrent severe hypoglycemia (>2 episodes of hypoglycemia with altered level of consciousness, requiring a third party assistance in the preceding 3 mo).
- 7. Pregnant or breast-feeding female subjects. Even though vitamin D has no adverse effects on the health of a mother or developing fetus, we would want to limit this study to people who are not pregnant or breast-feeding.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

- 8. The receipt of any investigational drug within 6 months prior to this trial.
- 9. Active malignant neoplasms.
- 10. Lack of internet access for uploading glucose data to MyCareTeam portal.

We will not enroll adults unable to consent, pregnant women, or prisoners.

5) Study-Wide Number of Subjects*

N/A.

6) Study-Wide Recruitment Methods*

N/A

7) Study Timelines*

Time	Event
April 2016	Obtain IRB Approval
October 2017	Start patient recruitment
June 2018	On-going data collection
June 2020	Study Completion, Data Analysis,
	Manuscript write up

8) Study Endpoints*

Primary endpoint:

- 1. Change in stimulated C-peptide concentration over time between the placebo and experimental groups Secondary endpoints:
- 1. Change in HbA1c overtime during PCR between the placebo and experimental groups
- 2. Change in GLP-1, inflammatory markers, and vitamin D binding protein (VDBP) concentration over time between the placebo and experimental groups
- 3. Duration of PCR:
- (a) Differences in the duration of PCR between the placebo and experimental groups
- (b) Differences in the duration of PCR between the obese and normal-weight subjects
- (c) Differences in the duration of PCR in subjects with high-risk SNPs who received vitamin D vs. placebo.

9) Procedures Involved*

Detailed procedures:

Subjects will be a part of the study for up to 14 months. Subject's endocrinologist will be notified of his/her participation in this study to ensure an open communication between the study staff and the endocrinologist.

METHODS AND ASSESSMENTS: VISIT PROCEDURES (See Table 1)

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

Protocol: This research study involves 9 visits (**Table 1**): 2 screening visits, 1 visit during the run-in phase, 5 study visits, and one follow-up visit for a final vitamin D check. In this 14-month study, 12 teaspoons of blood will be collected from each participant: one teaspoon at the second screening visit (V2), and 2 teaspoons at V4-V8, and one teaspoon at V9. Subjects will undergo an up to one-month **run-in period (2-4 weeks)** to screen for complications, to update patient education, and to assess subject's compliance with study protocol. Patients will continue on their pre-existing insulins. Subjects will not receive vitamin D or placebo during the run-in period. Subjects will be randomized to either arm of the study within 4 to 6 weeks of screening. Participants, who wish to do so, may continue to see their endocrinologists for their routine visits during their participation in the study.

VISIT 1: SCREENING VISIT

Consent: Consent and assent (for minors) will be obtained before being enrolled in the trial.

<u>History:</u> A detailed history of subject's medical condition will be taken to ensure eligibility for the study. This history will focus on patient's current medical diagnosis, past medical and birth history, social history. Family history of type 1 or type 2 diabetes, obesity, and related comorbidities will be documented. Subject's medications, supplements, diet and exercise plan will also be documented.

<u>Physical Examination:</u> All participants will undergo a detailed physical examination (PE) at Visits I (V1), then V4-V8. The aims of the PE are (1) to identify pathological features that could exclude patients from the study (2) to document subject's Tanner stage.

<u>Urine Sample:</u> A spot urine sample will be collected for pregnancy test in female subjects of child-bearing age who have achieved menarche. This will be repeated at V4-V8 (while the first void of the day is preferable, a sample taken at the visit is acceptable). Instructions for Mixed meal tolerance test (MMTT) will be provided at this visit.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

Table 1. Summary of Study Protocol

Activities and Time points	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	V6	V7	V8	V9
•	Screen	Screen			N40	MC	MO	M12	
	1	2	Run-In**	Randomization	М3	M6	M9	EOS	
Duration (hours)	1.5	2	2	2.5	2	2	2	2	0.5
Procedures									
Consent/Assent	Х								
H&P	Х			X	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Vital signs	Х			X	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Anthropometric measures				X	Х	Х	Х	Х	
MMTT instructions	Х								
Screening MMTT: measure blood									
glucose, C-peptide levels		X**							
Research-specific MMTT				X	Х	Х	Х	X	
Nutrition counseling			X#			Х			
Treat-to-target insulin regimen (TTIR)			X	X	Х	Χ	Х		
Blood glucose review and adjustments			Χ	X	Х	Х	Х		
Blood collection for research analytes: (c-									
peptide),									
C-reactive protein, lipid panel, 25OHD,									
VDBP,									
GLP-1, HbA1c, Ca, PO4, IL-1β,									
FGF21, TGF-β1,IL-4, IL-12, IL-2,TNF-α,									
IL-10, IL-6, and INF-γ				X	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	
Safety labs: Ca, PO4, 250HD , urine Ca									250HI
and creatinine									
These labs will also be collected at 4-6					.,		.,	.,	
weeks following randomization.				X	X	Х	Х	X	
Blood collection for SNPs				X					
Urine collection: VDBP, Urine Ca and						.,			
creatinine				X	X	X	Х	X	
Urine pregnancy test	Х			X	Х	Х	Х		
Run-In phase			X						
Adverse events		Х	X	X	X	X	Х	X	
Dispense ergocalciferol/placebo				X	X	Х	Х		
Collect ergocalciferol/placebo					X	Χ	Χ	X	
Study diaries				X	X	Χ	Χ		
Follow up phone calls*									

^{*} Subjects will be followed by weekly phone calls for 4 weeks until the time of randomization; and then once every month till the end of their participation in the study. V=visit, M= month, EOS=end of study, MMTT= mixed meal tolerance test, TTIR= treat-to-target insulin regimen, IL=interleukin, INF-γ=interferon gamma
** Run-in phase begins once positive C-peptide level is confirmed following V2. {Fasting C-peptide level of >0.1 nmol/L (0.3 ng/mL)[19]}

Nutrition visit will take place within the first 2 months of enrollment.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

VISIT 2: SCREENING VISIT FOR MIXED MEAL TOLERANCE TEST (MMTT)

Screening Laboratory Test: MMTT For Stimulated C-peptide Estimation. This test, aimed at stimulating endogenous C-peptide release, will be performed between 8:30AM and 10AM following an overnight fast, with no injection of bolus insulin in the preceding 6 hours. Boost (formerly Sustacal, Mead Johnson, Evansville, IN, USA), at a dose of 6 mL/kg (maximum 360 mL), will be ingested in less than 10 minutes. Boost is a creamy, tasty, healthy drink that contains 26 vitamins and minerals, 3g fiber, and 10g of high-quality protein in each 8 fl oz bottle. Per the DCCT protocol, blood draws will be obtained for baseline glucose and C-peptide, and for 90-min post mixed-meal C-peptide and glucose estimation [27].

Even though this trial involves a standard fasting protocol which is defined as the lack of ingestion of calories for 8-12 hours, participants will not be at risk of hypoglycemia as they will not receive their short-acting insulin on the morning of the test until after the blood draws when they will then inject their short-acting insulin and eat a meal. They will also not be at risk for hyperglycemia as their bedtime long-acting insulin is titrated to maintain normal glucose levels when patient is not eating any calories.

To minimize discomfort from study blood draws, the use of EMLA cream and similar devices such as a Buzzy (child-friendly ice pack and motion device) may be permitted.

VISIT 3: RUN-IN PHASE (2-4 WEEKS)

Run-In Phase: Participants will be started on treat-to-target insulin regimen (TTIR) on their existing insulins. All participants will be instructed on the modalities of TTIR and be given a summary card (Appendix 1) to familiarize themselves with the regimen. The PI and/or a certified diabetes educator (CDE) will review TTIR with the subjects at this visit. The basal-bolus regimen, on which the treat-to-target insulin regimen is based, is the standard treatment protocol for type 1 diabetes in children and adults, and is based on the results of the landmark Diabetes Control and Complications Trial which showed an improved glycemic control in subjects on basal bolus regimen compared to those on conventional therapy[5]. The only difference from routine diabetes management is that the patient and his or her parent/guardian will take an average of fasting blood glucose every third day and use that figure to titrate the dose of the long-acting insulin in patients on multiple daily injections, or the overnight basal rate for those who are using the insulin pump.

Glycemic control during the run-in-phase: A 2-4 week run-in period will precede the interventional phase of the study. All subjects will continue on their pre-existing insulins This will be followed by weekly phone calls by study staff and close monitoring of blood glucose levels while on their insulin regimen to ensure normoglycemia as shown in Tables 2 and 3 below. Parents will be advised to upload their child's glucose data in the MyCareTeam software portal weekly for study staff review and recommendation of insulin dose adjustment if necessary. In addition to the MyCareTeam upload, they can also fax a copy of their child's glucose log book to the study staff for review. This will enable the study team keep abreast of blood sugar trends in case of software system failures. All participants will return to the clinic at the end of the run-in phase for the randomization visit (Visit 4).

• Subjects will be required to check their blood glucose at 3AM two times every week during this trial; and also to check their 3AM blood sugar for 2 nights in a row after any changes to their long-acting insulin.

Insulin Treatment Protocol:

Standardized Multiple Daily Insulin Injections Protocol: To ensure uniformity of insulin administration during the study, participants and their caregivers will use a self-directed titration algorithm of (-1)-0-(+1)[24] scale (Table 2) to adjust the dose of the subjects' long-acting insulin every 3rd day at bedtime to maintain fasting

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

plasma glucose (FPG) in the normal range as shown in Table 3 below. Specifically, subjects will be advised to increase their long-acting insulin (LAI) dose by 1 unit if the average of the 3 prior FPG recordings is >120 mg/dL; reduce their LAI dose by 1 unit if the average of 3 FPG readings is <90 mg/dL, and make no change to the LAI insulin dose if the average of 3 FPG values is between 90-120 mg/dL.

Standardized Protocol for Insulin Pump Therapy: Under parental supervision, patients on continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (insulin pump) will similarly use a self-directed titration algorithm of (-10%)-0-(+10%) scale to adjust their overnight basal rate every 3rd day at bedtime to maintain fasting plasma glucose (FPG) in the normal range as shown in Table 2. Specifically, subjects will be advised to increase their overnight basal rate by 10% if the average of the 3 prior FPG recordings is >120 mg/dL; reduce their overnight basal rate by 10% if the average of 3 FPG readings is <90 mg/dL, and make no change to their overnight basal rate if the average of 3 FPG values is between 90-120 mg/dL.

<u>Insulin to carbohydrate ratio</u>: This will be adjusted as in routine clinical care as outlined in the Summary Card on Table 2: **Bolus insulin adjustment plan.**

Table 2: Titration Algorithm for Both Long-acting Insulin Analog and Overnight Basal Rate

Titration Algorithm for Both Long-acting Insulin (LAI) Analog or Overnight Basal Rate					
Average value of fasting plasma glucose for 3 consecutive days	Recommended long-acting insulin dose adjustments				
<5.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL)	 Non-pump users: subtract 1 units from the total dose of LAI Pump users: decrease overnight basal rate by 10% 				
5.0-6.7 mmol/L (90 – 120 mg/dL)	no adjustments				
>6.7 mmol/L (120 mg/dL)	 Non-pump users: add 1 units to the total dose of LAI Pump users: increase overnight basal rate by 10% 				

Table 3: Summary of Daily Plasma Glucose Goals

Time	Before breakfast	Before lunch or	Before	2 hours after a	At 3AM
		dinner	bedtime	meal	
Glucose level (mg/dL)	90-120	80-130	> 100	< 220	> 100

Post-prandial glycemia will be maintained with adjusted doses of short-acting insulin for meal-time boluses using insulin to carbohydrate ratio and correction factor[28]. The concept of an algorithm-based, patient-directed standardization protocol for insulin delivery is innovative as no other trial to augment RBCF and prolong PCR in youth has employed this technique. The PI is well versed in the application of this protocol[24].

<u>Follow Up Phone Calls:</u> Subjects will be followed by weekly phone calls for 4 weeks until the time of randomization. These phone calls will focus on review of glucose data, insulin dose adjustments, and a review of the implementation of TTIR protocol. Participants needing further one-on-one instructions will be invited for a clinic visit before randomization.

These phone calls are safety checks and are required by the protocol, and will be documented by the study team on case report forms. The protocol specific window for these phone calls is 2 weeks from the prior phone call. This ensures that subjects, who were not reached in the first week, can still be called in the following week to ensure that the study team is compliant with the protocol specifications.

<u>Nutrition Counseling: Participants</u> will receive nutrition counseling from a registered dietician in the first 2 months of the trial, and then at 6-8 months. The counseling will focus on subject's food choices and

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

recommendations for a healthy diet based on MyPlate: each meal should consist of 50% vegetables and fruits, 25% carbohydrates, and 25% protein; with additional servings of water and dairy.

VISIT 4: BASELINE/RANDOMIZATION INTERVENTION STUDY VISIT AT 0 MO: Following the completion of the run-in phase, subjects will return for the randomization visit after an overnight fast for the initial intervention study blood draw.

<u>Vital Signs</u>: Blood pressure and pulse will be measured using an electronic sphygmomanometer. <u>Anthropometric Measurements</u>: Weight, height and waist circumference will be measured in all participants at baseline, 3mo, 6 mo, 9 mo, and 12 months. Weight will be measured using an electronic scale with patient wearing loose, lightweight clothing and no shoes. Values will be expressed to the nearest 0.1 kg. Height will be measured using a wall-mounted stadiometer. Waist circumference will be measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the superior border of the iliac crests using a standard measuring tape. All measurements will be done in triplicates and the average taken. Body mass index will be derived from the weight and height data.

Blood Samples: A venous catheter will be used to obtain blood samples at 0 minute for the analytes listed on Table 1 under Research Analytes. MMTT will be conducted as detailed on Visit 2. MMTT-specific analytes: C-peptide, glucose, and GLP-1, will be obtained at 0, 30, and 90 minutes. In addition, a lipid panel will be drawn at 0 minute only, at visits 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. All laboratory tests listed on Table 1 under Research Analytes will be redrawn at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months. All samples will be spun upon collection using a centrifuge and the serum collected and stored at -70 degrees until assay, except for C-peptide and a lipid panel, which will be sent to the UMass Biochemistry Lab for immediate processing as is currently being done for c-peptide during Visit 2. Whole blood sample will be obtained for HbA1c estimation using HPLC assay technique. 25(OH)D, or vitamin D levels will be tested at this visit to assure that a subject doesn't have a level > 70 ng/mL. The administration of study drug (vitamin D or placebo) will be initiated after a review of the safety lab tests obtained at the randomization visit to ensure that the subjects do not have a 25OHD of >70 ng/mL. The tests are: Ca, PO4, 25OHD, urine Ca and creatinine. The drug will be provided to the subject at this visit, but the administration of the drug will be delayed by a week to confirm and communicate the results to the subject that their 25OHD is < 70ng/mL. Instructions on the intervals of when to take the study drug will be provided at both the visit and when the subject is contacted for clarity.

To minimize discomfort from study blood draws, the use of EMLA cream and similar devices such as a Buzzy (child-friendly ice pack and motion device) may be permitted.

Note: In the case of a subject's 25OHD > 70ng/mL, the subject will be advised to not take the study drug. The subject's 25OHD will be checked again in 2-3 weeks to confirm that the level is >70ng/mL. If 25OHD is still > 70ng/mL, the subject will be removed from the study as they do not meet the inclusion criteria to remain in the study. Subjects will be compensated with a parking voucher at the confirmation visit.

<u>Urine Sample:</u> A spot urine sample will be collected for baseline VDBP, which will be repeated at V5-V8. While the first urine void of the day is preferable, a sample taken at the visit is acceptable). <u>SNP Genotyping and Research Assays:</u> T1D risk-SNPs with odds ratio >1.5 (loci: DR3, DR4-DQ8, HLA-A24, HLA-B5701, HLA-DRB1-15, PTPN22, INS and IL2RA)[29] will be genotyped in triplicate using validated Taqman probes on a BioRad PCR machine. GLP-1 and inflammatory markers will be measured on baseline (inflammatory markers) or baseline, 30-minute- and 90-minute (GLP1) DPP4-inhibitor-treated blood samples from MMTTs in duplicate using ELISA kits from Millipore. GLP-1 and inflammatory markers will be measured at V5-V8.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

Randomization: Subjects will be randomized to either ergocalciferol or placebo. Ergocalciferol and placebo will be prepared as identical capsules by Boulevard Pharmaceutical Compounding Center[24]. Each pill will be of standard capsule size 00# which measures 20.1±0.35 mm in length and 7.66±0.02 mm in diameter. **Rationale for Treatment:** For this trial, the study group will receive ergocalciferol 50,000 international units (IU) once weekly for 2 months, and then once every other week to keep serum 25(OH)D concentration between 20-100 ng/dL. This dose is below the standard tolerable upper intake level for vitamin D for people >9 yr [30] and thus unlikely to lead to vitamin D toxicity. This dosing regimen will also ensure an early rise in the serum 25(OH)D concentration.

Randomization will be conducted by the Investigational Drug Services (IDS), UMMS, using a randomization scheme generated by Dr. Barton. Randomization will be 1:1 (ergocalciferol: placebo) and will use a permuted block design with blocking for every 2 or 4 subjects (at random). IDS will maintain blinding information and PI will contact IDS for emergency unblinding. Twenty subjects will be randomized to each arm of the trial as follows: 40 subjects will be stratified by BMI criterion into 20 normal-weight (BMI<85th percentile), and 20 overweight/obese subjects (BMI >85th percentile). Ten subjects from each BMI group will receive ergocalciferol while the other 10 will receive placebo thus allowing for stratification by both BMI and treatment modality. Subjects will receive a pill-counting dossette for monitoring of compliance during follow up visits. Group allocation will remain hidden to all study staff and patients until all data have been collected and analyzed. We now plan to enroll a total of 48 subjects to reach the initial goal of 40 randomized patients, as 5 patients have dropped out before randomization.

Following randomization, participants will continue on TTIR, with meal-time insulin to carbohydrate ratio and correction factor to ensure euglycemia between meals. The adequacy of each aspect of therapy will be confirmed by an ongoing monitoring system as described by Bode et al[28], and summarized in Tables 5 and 6.

<u>Follow-Up Phone Calls:</u> Following randomization, subjects will be contacted once every month until the end of participation in the trial. The subject of the calls will be to identify adverse effects, assess compliance and accuracy of insulin titration, and make recommendations.

These phone calls are safety checks and are required by the protocol, and will be tracked by the study team. The protocol specific window for these phone calls is 2 months from the prior phone call. This ensures that subjects, who were not reached in the prior month, can still be called in the subsequent month, to ensure that the study team is compliant with the protocol specifications.

Glucose Data Collection: This trial will use the MyCareTeam software for comprehensive glucose data capture. MyCareTeam[31] is a secure software portal/system in widespread clinical use in the UMass adult and pediatric diabetes clinics that enables patients to directly upload their glucose data to the hospital's electronic medical record system for immediate review by study staff. Fasting and non-fasting plasma glucose data will be obtained by the patient and/or caregiver by self-monitoring of patient's capillary blood glucose (SMBG) using the Precision Xtra Blood Glucose Monitoring System (Abbott Diabetes Care, Alameda, CA, USA) or via the subjects' insulin pump. All participants will receive a Study Summary Card containing a synopsis of the daily study guidelines. Parents and study subjects will routinely upload subjects' glucose data to the UMass MyCareTeam [31] website every 4 weeks for review by the study staff. Along with data recorded by families in the titration algorithm sheets, the MyCareTeam software will provide comprehensive data on hypoglycemic events, fasting and non-fasting plasma glucose levels, and compliance rate with capillary blood glucose monitoring which will ensure a comprehensive glucose data analysis. Following randomization, participants will return to the clinic at 3, 6, 9 months for interim visits, and at 12 months for study conclusion. In addition to the data collected from the Precision Xtra Blood Glucose Monitoring System (Abbott Diabetes Care, Alameda,

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

CA, USA) and the subject's insulin pump, continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) data will also be collected for those patients that are on CGM.

Study Diary: All study subjects will be given a study diary for the purposes of documenting ergocalciferol/placebo administration and monitoring of compliance. Subjects should put a check mark on the correct date in the diary right after taking their ergocalciferol or placebo. The patients should bring in the diaries for a review by the study staff at every visit following randomization.

Visit 4a: This safety laboratory visit will occur at 4-10 weeks after Visit 4. The visit involves a quick safety blood draw for the following analytes: Ca, PO4, **25OHD**, urine Ca and creatinine. The aim of this visit is to ensure that there is no vitamin D toxicity. The subject will receive only a parking voucher at this visit as compensation. To minimize discomfort from study blood draws, the use of EMLA cream and similar devices such as a Buzzy (child-friendly ice pack and motion device) may be permitted.

Due to changes in the ISP, there are 3 groups in which the subjects fall in relation to Visit 4. Below is a list of the three groups and how many current subjects are in each:

Visit(s)	Subjects
4	0 subjects
4, 4a, 4b	Subject 1 – Subject 25
4, 4a	0 subjects (future enrollments starting with Subject
	26 will be in this group)

<u>VISIT 5: INTERVENTION STUDY INTERIM VISIT AT +3 MO:</u> Subjects will return to the clinic three months after randomization to either ergocalciferol or placebo, while continuing on TTIR. This visit will involve a repeat assessment of vital signs, anthropometric measurements, physical examination, review of dossettes, MMTT, and fasting laboratory investigations as detailed for Visit 4.

VISIT 6: INTERVENTION STUDY VISIT AT +6 MO

Subjects will undergo evaluations as detailed in the section on Visit 4, in addition to a nutrition evaluation.

VISIT 7: INTERVENTION STUDY VISIT AT +9 MO

This visit will involve assessment of vital signs, anthropometric measurements, physical examination, review of dossettes, MMTT and fasting blood and urine collection as detailed in the section on Visit 4.

VISIT 8: STUDY CONCLUSION AT +12 MO

This visit will involve a final assessment of vital signs, anthropometric measurements, physical examination, review of dossettes, MMTT and fasting blood and urine collection as detailed in the section on Visit 4. The discharge history and physical examination will focus on identifying any adverse effects from the study, and to establish endpoint laboratory and anthropometric data. Ergocalciferol/placebo will be discontinued at this visit. The TTIR will end at the time of study completion, but participants will be encouraged to discuss continuing TTIR with their endocrinologists.

VISIT 9: POST-STUDY FOLLOW UP VISIT IN 1-3 MONTHS AFTER VISIT 8:

To ensure normal vitamin D level after the study, subjects will return to the CTU 1-3 months after V8 for a final vitamin D level assessment. Subjects with subnormal vitamin D level (i.e., 25-hydroxyvitamin D level of <20 ng/mL) will be started on vitamin D supplementation, while those with elevated 25-hydroxyvitamin D level of >100 ng/mL will undergo a repeat vitamin D check in 4 weeks to ensure that their vitamin D level returned to

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

the normal range. Subjects with elevated vitamin D level will be closely monitored for any side effects of elevated vitamin D level such as constipation. The decision to refer subjects to subspecialists such as gastroenterology or nephrology will be made at this time.

10) Data and Specimen Banking*

N/A – No banking for future research.

11) Data Management*

Anthropometric data, urine, and blood samples will be collected from subjects for research purposes only.

Only research team members will have access to subject identifying information. Hard copy data will be transferred (hand-carried by a research team member) from the CRC to the Department of Pediatrics for data entry and analysis. Each patient will be identified by a study ID number. A list of subject identifying information linked to the study ID numbers will be kept in a computer file which is password protected and a hard copy kept in a locked file in the trial coordinator's locked office in the Clinical Research Center, AC1-044, University of Massachusetts Medical School.

STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS:

All analyses will be overseen by Dr. Barton, Professor of Biostatistics at UMMS, and performed by analysts at the Quantitative Methods Core.

<u>Sample size and power calculation</u>: This trial's sample size was based on establishing a stable estimate (with a 95% confidence interval) for the difference in C-peptide between the two treatment groups. Based on published data[16], group sample sizes of 13 in each arm, will produce a two-sided 95% confidence interval with a distance from the difference in means to the limits of 0.081 nmol/l when the estimated standard deviation is 0.10 in each group; i.e., if the estimated difference in C-peptide between the two treatment groups is 0.12 nmol/L, the 95% confidence interval will be \pm 0.081. Calculated sample size will be increased to 40 to enable further analysis by BMI strata and to compensate for attrition.

Data Management

Data will be entered using the REDCap system (Vanderbilt University) as implemented at the University of Massachusetts Medical School, a member of the REDCap Software Consortium, using SQL Server as the underlying database structure. We will program the system for a single entry with validation rules at the time of entry and comprehensive edits conducted after the data have been submitted to the main data base. These edits will check for validity, consistency, and normal range values. Edit queries will be generated and resolved by clinic staff with corrections posted to the database through the REDCap system, which enforces an audit trail for all changes. The main study database will be stored on a secure server in the University of Massachusetts HIPAA-compliant data center with daily back-up. For analysis, data will be exported from the REDCap system as SAS data sets and merged within SAS to create the official analysis files for the study. All reports and analyses will be generated from these files using the latest version of SAS (currently SAS 9.2). Data files (and accompanying SAS programs) that are used for reports, presentations, or publication will be archived as required past the end of the study.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

The REDCap system will be programmed and maintained by staff at the Quantitative Methods Core at the U Mass Medical School while data entry will be performed by staff in the Department of Pediatrics at the UMass Medical School.

Data entered into REDCap is password protected and access is limited to the research staff.

Storage:

Specimens will be stored at -80 C in the Clinical Research Center, Room AC1-044, in the ACC Building, UMMS, until study completion. All stored samples will be maintained solely for use in this research project.

Only the PI and members of his research team will have access to stored specimens (blood and urine samples).

Data collected as part of this study will be stored for 3 years following the completion of statistical analysis.

12) Provisions to Monitor the Data to Ensure the Safety of Subjects*

To monitor for safety, calcium, phosphorus, and 25(OH)D will be drawn at 4-10 after randomization, and visits 4-8. Urine calcium and creatinine will be obtained with other safety labs at visits 4-8. The normal levels of these analytes are: calcium 8.7-10.7 mg/dL, Phosphorus 3.5-5.9 mg/dL, and 25(OH)D 30-100 ng/mL. Subjects with elevated calcium, phosphorus, or 25(OH)D will be withdrawn from the study and not restarted on study pills.

Safety above all else is our concern. We will ensure that all study patients are comfortable during each assessment. In addition, we will be extremely attentive to any potential emotional or behavioral challenge that might limit a subject's participation in the study.

Dr. Amanda Angelescu, a pediatric endocrinologist who is not connected with this study will serve as the Data Monitoring Officer. She will oversee the overall safety of subjects during the duration of this study. Dr. Angelescu is an experienced endocrinologist and diabetologist with extensive clinical and research experience in the management of children and adolescents with T1D.

Reports on adverse effects will be collected at study visits, and also by telephone in between visits. Research staff will contact subjects twice in the first month, and then once every 2 months throughout the study. Each subject will be contacted 3 additional times if they are not able to be reached at the first call.

During the phone calls the subject or his/her parent(s) will be asked about his or her compliance with the study; any side effects that could be related to elevated calcium levels such as weakness, constipation, excessive urination.

Data on adverse effects will be entered in a database and sorted by treatment group. The investigators will review these data once every month and present this report to the Data Monitoring Officer, who will forward such reports to the UMass IRB. Any events which meet the UMMS prompt reporting

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

requirements will be reported to the UMMS IRB within 5 business days of the PI becoming aware of the information.

13) Withdrawal of Subjects*

A subject must be withdrawn from the study if any of the following applies:

- 1. Pregnancy or intention of becoming pregnant
- 2. Allergic reaction to vitamin D or placebo
- 3. Subject decides to withdraw from the study
- 4. Subject may also be withdrawn from the trial at the discretion of the Investigator if judged non-compliant with trial procedures or due to a safety concern
- 5. Subjects with elevated calcium, phosphorus, or 25(OH)D will be withdrawn from the study and not restarted on study pills.

In addition, any enrolled subject who is found to be ineligible based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria outlined during the screening visit or any visit thereafter will be withdrawn from the study.

14) Risks to Subjects*

Some children, adolescents, and young adults may become embarrassed when given a physical examination or asked detailed questions about their type 1 diabetes.

Risk of venipuncture:

The risks of having blood drawn include slight pain when the needle is inserted. Subjects may develop a harmless black and blue mark, and they arm may be sore. Occasionally, some people feel dizzy or lightheaded when blood is drawn. They may become sweaty, feel cold or tingly, and may faint or throw up. Risks that are possible but unlikely include infection, nerve damage, and puncturing an artery instead of a vein.

As a result of participating in this study, subjects randomized to the intervention group will receive ergocalciferol 50,000 IU every week for 2 months, and then once every other week for 10 months. The standard management of vitamin D deficiency in the study age group is 50,000 IU of ergocalciferol once weekly for 6 weeks, followed by a recheck of 25(OH)D level and maintenance ergocalciferol 50,000 IU once every two weeks. This is a very high dose of vitamin D.

There is a small risk of a Vitamin D overdose in this trial. This may manifest as hypercalcemia or hyperphosphatemia. The associated symptoms include stomach upset (example: nausea, vomiting, change in bowel movements), weakness, weight loss, confusion and heart palpitations. Calcium and phosphorus levels will be checked at each visit following randomization to ensure normal serum calcium and phosphorus levels. Subjects with elevated calcium, phosphorus, or 25(OH)D will be withdrawn from the study and not restarted on study pills.

Risk of hypercalciuria (elevated calcium in the urine):

There is a minimal risk of hypercalciuria in this study. Urine calcium and creatinine will be monitored throughout the study to ensure normocalciuria. A normal reference interval for random urine calcium

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

(mg/dL):urine creatinine (mg/dL) ratio is <0.14. Values exceeding 0.20 are found in patients with hypercalciuria[32].

Allergic reactions to ergocalciferol are extremely rare, but possible manifestations of an allergic reaction will include signs and symptoms such as rash, itching/swelling, severe dizziness, and trouble breathing. The vitamin D product for this study does not contain the dye, tartrazine, which has been linked with allergic reaction.

Fasting

Even though high blood sugar levels and/or low blood sugar levels are not anticipated, they are still potential risks of the study. Hyperglycemia will be unlikely to occur as the patient will have his 24-hour long-acting insulin on board. Hypoglycemia is also unlikely to occur as the subject will not receive any insulin until the end of testing when he/she will be free to eat.

However, if the subject experiences hypoglycemia, he/she may feel hungry, shaky, weak and dizzy. The patient's parent or study staff will check the patient's blood sugar, and if low (<70 mg/dL), the patient will be managed based on the American Diabetes Association guidelines for managing hypoglycemia which is to administer 15g of carbohydrate, wait for 15 minutes, and recheck blood sugar; and retreat with 15g if it is blood glucose is still low.

If patient experiences hyperglycemia, he/she may feel no symptoms, or he/she could feel slightly weak. The subject's parent or study staff will his/her blood glucose and if >250 mg/dL, will administer a correction insulin dose based on the subject's insulin regimen.

As with all studies, there is a chance that data could be linked back to a subject's true identity, and so there is a risk of psychological and social stigma that could adversely impact the subject.

15) Potential Benefits to Subjects*

Subjects may benefit directly as follows:

Vitamin D Repletion: All participants in the experimental arm of the study will receive vitamin D supplementation during the study. This vitamin D repletion will confer all the benefits of vitamin D sufficiency on the subjects.

Monitoring and more frequent follow up visits: This will ensure the safety of the participants and may improve their adherence to their insulin regimen.

Medical Nutrition Therapy: Registered pediatric nutritionists will instruct the subjects on the state-of-theart modalities of medical nutrition therapy. This will ensure a healthy eating habit and the maintenance of healthy weight and energy.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

16) Vulnerable Populations*

Provisions are made for soliciting permission of the parents/ legal guardians of those persons who have not yet attained the legal age for consent.

We will not recruit from any other vulnerable populations.

The level of risk associated with this study is minimized as these children will continue on their standard insulin regimen and will only need to titrate their long-acting insulin doses, or overnight basal rates once every 3 days, to ensure normal fasting glucose levels; while also adjusting their short-acting insulin as in routine care to keep their blood glucose in the normal range.

Vitamin D is a supplement with proven safety profile which is well-tolerated by children.

The research team members have extensive experience in the use of vitamin D and TTIR in research studies in children.

17) Multi-Site Research*

NA

18) Community-Based Participatory Research*

NA

19) Sharing of Results with Subjects*

The following results will be shared with subjects and their families: end of study vitamin D status.

20) **Setting**

All subjects will be recruited from the Diabetes Center of Excellence of the UMass Memorial Medical Center.

Consenting and all study related procedures will be done in private exam rooms in the Clinical Research Center, located in the Ambulatory Care Center. No research related activities will be conducted outside of the Ambulatory Care Center.

21) Resources Available

Facilities:

The Ambulatory Care Center (ACC) Building is a new healthcare facility that serves several outpatient subspecialty clinics including the Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes Clinics.

On the first floor of the ACC Building is located the UMMS Clinical Research Center (CRC), which is a 2,600-square-foot ambulatory research site that provides a comfortable and accessible area for study participants. The CRC includes a waiting area; conference room for study initiation, monitoring visits, and educational meetings; four (4) staff offices; three workstations for visiting staff; four (4) exam rooms, and an infusion room with two recliners. It is staffed with an experienced, certified clinical research nurse manager, research nurse coordinators, regulatory specialist and a receptionist / research assistant. It provides a high quality research

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

environment for the coordination and management of clinical studies. A small laboratory equipped with centrifuges, freezer, and hematocrit machine is available to investigators and their staff, as well as an area for packaging and shipping clinical trial samples to meet current transport regulations. The CRC also has a secure area for short-term storage of study files and case report forms. The Clinical Research Center is under the direction of the Office of Clinical Research in the Office of Research, UMass Medical School.

Personnel:

The Diabetes Center of Excellence (DCOE) is staffed by experienced pediatric and adult endocrinologists and certified diabetes educators. The study staff will work with members of the DCOE team to ensure that the enrollment goal of 48 subjects is reached.

All of the pediatric endocrinologists and Certified Nurse Educators have multiple years of experience working with children and adolescents with T1D. Equally, the pediatric nutritionists have multiple years of experience working with children and adolescents.

Principal Investigator will be responsible for study design and statistical analysis. The PI is a board-certified pediatric endocrinology with extensive experience in research studies in children involving vitamin D and treat-to-target insulin regimen.

Principal Investigator and Co-investigators will be responsible for recruitment, consenting, obtaining medical and family history, conducting physical exams, as well as SAE/AE monitoring/ reporting. The co-investigators include a board-certified internist with extensive experience in single-nucleotide polymorphism genotyping in diabetes mellitus, and processing of inflammatory markers; and a board-certified biostatician with extensive experience in the design and analysis of research studies in children. A sub-investigator will be a terminal degree holder whose role will be limited to identifying eligible subjects for this study.

Registered pediatric nutritionists will be responsible for instructing the subjects on state-of-the-art modalities of medical nutrition therapy.

<u>The counseling sessions will occur</u> in the first 2 mo of the trial, and then at 6-8 mo. The counseling sessions will focus on subject's food choices and recommendations for a healthy diet based on MyPlate: each meal should consist of 50% vegetables and fruits, 25% carbohydrates, and 25% protein; with additional servings of water and dairy.

The study CRA will be responsible for recruitment, consenting, obtaining medical and family history, maintain regulatory documents, CRF completion, query resolution, and IRB communications.

CRC nurses will act as back up and will be trained to carry out the phlebotomy, monitoring vital signs and nursing assessment visits. Each Nurse Coordinator in the Clinical Research Center has greater than 10 years' experience in clinical research. Weekly team meetings are held to review studies on an ongoing basis.

All study staff will have completed the required CITI training.

22) Prior Approvals

This study is entirely covered under the blanket of the CRC's IBC prior approval.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

23) Recruitment Methods

Participants will be recruited from the outpatient clinic of the UMass Memorial Health Center (UMMHC).

Potentially eligible children and adolescents will be identified for the study by pediatric endocrinologists. The endocrinologist will then review the subject's medical records to ensure that the patient is eligible for the study. Once identified, the subject's pediatric endocrinologist will discuss this study with the potential subject and his/her parent(s) or guardian(s). If they are interested in learning more, they will be contacted by the s study staff, in this case an endocrinologist or the study CRA, to go over the details of the study to determine whether they are interested in participating in the study.

Prospective study patients who are under the care of the study investigators will be recruited directly to the study. Prospective study patients who are under the care of endocrinologists who are not part of the study team will be contacted by their treating endocrinologist and asked if it is okay to pass on their contact information to the study team for recruitment purposes.

The study staff will contact the prospective subject and/or his or her parents using a phone screening script that will be submitted to the IRB for review and approval prior to use after the study staff is given the okay by the treating endocrinologist to contact the subject.

Study flyers will be used for recruitment purposes. Flyers will be posted in the pediatric outpatient endocrinology clinic. No recruitment letters are needed for this study.

Patients who meet the above-outlined inclusion/exclusion criteria will be approached by a study team member during their regular clinic appointments. If they are interested in participating, a screening appointment will be arranged.

Subjects will be recruited from the outpatient pediatric clinic at the UMass Memorial Health Center (UMMHC). The UMMHC has a broad outpatient base and a thriving Diabetes Center for Excellence.

Recruitment:

Feasibility: Sixty to eighty patients of age <21yr are diagnosed with new-onset T1D at the Children Medical Center every year, and 30-35% of them are overweight or obese. Thus we have the patient population to carry out this study. The recruitment timeframe for this study is <12 months as we will be able to 'back recruit' eligible patients going through the honeymoon phase, and prospectively recruit newly-diagnosed patients during the trial.

Study Compensation:

This research study involves 8 visits (**Table 1**): 2 screening visits, 1 visit during the run-in phase, and 5 study visits.

Participants will receive \$50 cash at the end of each visit. Participants will also be provided with a parking voucher at the end of each visit.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

24) Local Number of Subjects

We plan to screen 120 subjects for this study. Of these, we expect to enroll 20 study patients, and 20 control subjects for the study.

25) Confidentiality

Only the research study team including the statistician and data manager will have access to the study information and data collected. The following procedures will be taken for protecting and limiting access to all the participating subjects' personal information and ensuring subject anonymity/confidentiality.

- 1. We will assign an ID number to each subject and associated data.
- 2. No personal identifying information will be contained on the data collection forms.
- 3. The list that links identifiers with the study ID numbers will be kept in a password-protected computer file and in hard copy in a locked file by the study coordinator in the Clinical Research Center, AC1-044. Reporting information will be done in aggregate form or with qualitative illustration.
- 4. The University of Massachusetts firewall protects online data and is secured with daily data backup.

Only research team members will have access to subject identifying information. Hard copy data will be transferred (hand-carried by a research team member) from the CRC to the Department of Pediatrics for data entry and analysis. Each patient will be identified by a study ID number. A list of subject identifying information linked to the study ID numbers will be kept in a computer file which is password protected and a hard copy kept in a locked file in the trial coordinator's locked office in the Clinical Research Center, AC1-044, University of Massachusetts Medical School.

26) Provisions to Protect the Privacy Interests of Subjects (HIPAA)

All subjects will be asked to sign a HIPAA consent form at the time of signing of the IRB consent or assent to allow us access to pertinent clinical information for the study.

27) Compensation for Research-Related Injury

If a subject is injured while on study, he/she is advised to seek treatment and contact the study doctor as soon as possible. The University of Massachusetts Medical School does not provide funds for the treatment of research-related injury.

If a subject is injured as a result of his/her participation in this study, treatment will be provided. However, the subject or his/her insurance carrier will be expected to pay the costs of the treatment. No additional financial compensation for injury or lost wages will be made available. As stated in the consent form, the subject does not give up any of his/her legal rights by signing this form.

28) Economic Burden to Subjects

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

There will be no additional cost to the subjects. The study will cover the cost of vitamin D or placebo used in the trial. In addition, all study visits (including the nutritional counseling that is not covered by the subject's medical insurance as part of their standard of care) will be paid for by the study.

Consent Process

Both parents must give their permission for children unless one parent is deceased, unknown, incompetent, or not reasonably available, or only one parent has legal responsibility for the care and custody of the child as required by the federal regulations at 45 CFR 46.408(b). All children ages 10 to 15 will sign an approved assent form detailing the study procedures to document their assent. Children 16 and 17 years old will sign the consent form to document their assent. The consent and assent forms will be read to the subjects and their parents/guardians. They will then be given the time they need to review the form and ask questions about the study. When the subject and his/her family are completely satisfied about their participation in the study, they will be invited to sign the forms in the presence of a study investigator or research nurse. A witness will also be present and sign the consent form in non-English speaking subjects.

Only individuals who are fluent in English will be enrolled. However, there may unexpected situations where a non-English speaking subject will be enrolled in this study. In such a case, we will only enroll the subject if there is an IRB approved short form available in the subject's language. The PI will follow the UMMS Investigator Guidance for Informed Consent (HRP-802) and UMMS Investigator Guidance for Documentation of Informed Consent (HRP-803). These stipulate that the consenting process will require the services of an interpreter who is fluent in English and the subject's language, an independent witness who is fluent in English and the subject's language (the interpreter and witness may be the same individual), and a member of the study staff. When the subject and his/her family are completely satisfied about their participation in the study, they will be invited to sign the forms in the presence of a study investigator or research nurse. We will not enroll a child if there is any sign of unwillingness.

Please see #21 for a description of where the consent process will take place.

29) Process to Document Consent in Writing

We will document the consent in writing, in the form of a signed Informed Consent Form. We will be following the UMMS Investigator Guidance for Documentation of Informed Consent (HRP-803). This means that for non-English speaking subjects, the subject, the witness, and person obtaining consent will each sign the summary (i.e., the long consent form) and the short form.

30) Drugs or Devices

No drug is being tested in this study.

Storage and Administration: Both the vitamin D capsules and placebo (containing microcrystalline cellulose) will be compounded by Boulevard Pharmaceutical Compounding Center, Worcester, Massachusetts, and supplied to the Investigational Drug Services (IDS), University of Massachusetts for storage and dispensing. Please note that the vitamin D product used for this study does not contain tartrazine, a yellow dye, that could be associated with hypersensitivity reaction. Only the IDS staffers have the authority to dispense ergocalciferol or

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

placebo as part of the randomization and ongoing dispensing of study drugs. The PI, Dr. Nwosu, has an ongoing relationship with both the Boulevard Pharmaceutical Compounding Center and IDS as part of his ongoing randomized controlled trial.

References:

- 1. Retnakaran R (2015) Novel Strategies for Inducing Glycemic Remission during the Honeymoon Phase of Type 2 Diabetes. Can J Diabetes.
- 2. Oram RA, Jones AG, Besser RE, Knight BA, Shields BM, et al. (2014) The majority of patients with long-duration type 1 diabetes are insulin microsecretors and have functioning beta cells. Diabetologia 57: 187-191.
- 3. Sorensen JS, Johannesen J, Pociot F, Kristensen K, Thomsen J, et al. (2013) Residual beta-Cell function 3-6 years after onset of type 1 diabetes reduces risk of severe hypoglycemia in children and adolescents. Diabetes Care 36: 3454-3459.
- 4. Max Andersen ML, Hougaard P, Porksen S, Nielsen LB, Fredheim S, et al. (2014) Partial remission definition: validation based on the insulin dose-adjusted HbA1c (IDAA1C) in 129 Danish children with new-onset type 1 diabetes. Pediatr Diabetes 15: 469-476.
- 5. Steffes MW, Sibley S, Jackson M, Thomas W (2003) Beta-cell function and the development of diabetes-related complications in the diabetes control and complications trial. Diabetes Care 26: 832-836.
- 6. Sherry NA, Tsai EB, Herold KC (2005) Natural history of beta-cell function in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 54 Suppl 2: S32-39.
- 7. Nakanishi K, Watanabe C (2008) Rate of beta-cell destruction in type 1 diabetes influences the development of diabetic retinopathy: protective effect of residual beta-cell function for more than 10 years. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 93: 4759-4766.
- 8. Bizzarri C, Benevento D, Patera IP, Bongiovanni M, Boiani A, et al. (2013) Residual beta-cell mass influences growth of prepubertal children with type 1 diabetes. Horm Res Paediatr 80: 287-292.
- 9. Bowden SA, Duck MM, Hoffman RP (2008) Young children (<5 yr) and adolescents (>12 yr) with type 1 diabetes mellitus have low rate of partial remission: diabetic ketoacidosis is an important risk factor. Pediatr Diabetes 9: 197-201.
- 10. Petrone A, Spoletini M, Zampetti S, Capizzi M, Zavarella S, et al. (2008) The PTPN22 1858T gene variant in type 1 diabetes is associated with reduced residual beta-cell function and worse metabolic control. Diabetes Care 31: 1214-1218.
- 11. Hur KY, Lee MS (2015) New mechanisms of metformin action: Focusing on mitochondria and the gut. J Diabetes Investig 6: 600-609.
- 12. Gabbay MA, Sato MN, Finazzo C, Duarte AJ, Dib SA (2012) Effect of cholecalciferol as adjunctive therapy with insulin on protective immunologic profile and decline of residual beta-cell function in new-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 166: 601-607.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

- 13. Ataie-Jafari A, Loke SC, Rahmat AB, Larijani B, Abbasi F, et al. (2013) A randomized placebocontrolled trial of alphacalcidol on the preservation of beta cell function in children with recent onset type 1 diabetes. Clin Nutr 32: 911-917.
- 14. Mishra A, Dayal D, Sachdeva N, Attri SV (2015) Effect of 6-months' vitamin D supplementation on residual beta cell function in children with type 1 diabetes: a case control interventional study. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab.
- 15. Walter M, Kaupper T, Adler K, Foersch J, Bonifacio E, et al. (2010) No effect of the 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 on beta-cell residual function and insulin requirement in adults with new-onset type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 33: 1443-1448.
- 16. Bizzarri C, Pitocco D, Napoli N, Di Stasio E, Maggi D, et al. (2010) No protective effect of calcitriol on beta-cell function in recent-onset type 1 diabetes: the IMDIAB XIII trial. Diabetes Care 33: 1962-1963.
- 17. Pescovitz MD, Greenbaum CJ, Krause-Steinrauf H, Becker DJ, Gitelman SE, et al. (2009) Rituximab, B-lymphocyte depletion, and preservation of beta-cell function. N Engl J Med 361: 2143-2152.
- 18. Herold KC, Gitelman S, Greenbaum C, Puck J, Hagopian W, et al. (2009) Treatment of patients with new onset Type 1 diabetes with a single course of anti-CD3 mAb Teplizumab preserves insulin production for up to 5 years. Clin Immunol 132: 166-173.
- 19. Ludvigsson J, Faresjo M, Hjorth M, Axelsson S, Cheramy M, et al. (2008) GAD treatment and insulin secretion in recent-onset type 1 diabetes. N Engl J Med 359: 1909-1920.
- 20. Li X, Liao L, Yan X, Huang G, Lin J, et al. (2009) Protective effects of 1-alpha-hydroxyvitamin D3 on residual beta-cell function in patients with adult-onset latent autoimmune diabetes (LADA). Diabetes Metab Res Rev 25: 411-416.
- 21. Chakhtoura M, Azar ST (2013) The role of vitamin d deficiency in the incidence, progression, and complications of type 1 diabetes mellitus. Int J Endocrinol 2013: 148673.
- 22. van Belle TL, Coppieters KT, von Herrath MG (2011) Type 1 diabetes: etiology, immunology, and therapeutic strategies. Physiol Rev 91: 79-118.
- 23. Willi SM, Miller KM, DiMeglio LA, Klingensmith GJ, Simmons JH, et al. (2015) Racial-ethnic disparities in management and outcomes among children with type 1 diabetes. Pediatrics 135: 424-434.
- 24. Nwosu BU, Maranda L, Cullen K, Greenman L, Fleshman J, et al. (2015) A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Adjunctive Metformin Therapy in Overweight/Obese Youth with Type 1 Diabetes. PLoS One 10: e0137525.
- 25. Biancuzzo RM, Clarke N, Reitz RE, Travison TG, Holick MF (2013) Serum concentrations of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin d2 and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin d3 in response to vitamin d2 and vitamin d3 supplementation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 98: 973-979.
- 26. Nwosu BU MB, Maranda L, Ciccarelli C, Reynolds D, Curtis L, King J, Frazier JA, Lee MM. (2011) A potential role for adjunctive vitamin D therapy in the management of weight gain and metabolic side effects of second-generation antipsychotics. Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism (in press).

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

- 27. (1998) Effect of intensive therapy on residual beta-cell function in patients with type 1 diabetes in the diabetes control and complications trial. A randomized, controlled trial. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. Ann Intern Med 128: 517-523.
- 28. Bode BW, Davidson, P.C., editor (1995) The Insulin Pump Therapy Book. Los Angeles: Minimed Technologies.
- 29. Oram RA, Patel K, Hill A, Shields B, McDonald TJ, et al. (2016) A Type 1 Diabetes Genetic Risk Score Can Aid Discrimination Between Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes in Young Adults. Diabetes Care 39: 337-344.
- 30. Medicine Io (2010) Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D; Ross AC, editor. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- 31. Smith KE, Levine BA, Clement SC, Hu MJ, Alaoui A, et al. (2004) Impact of MyCareTeam for poorly controlled diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Technol Ther 6: 828-835.
- 32. Foley K (2010) Urine Calcium: Laboratory Measurement and Clinical Utility. Lab Med 41: 683-686.

Investigational Study	Protocol	26MAY20 1	16-Original	Version

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

1) Title

Vitamin D Supplementation, Residual Beta-cell Function, and Partial Clinical Remission in Pediatric Type 1 diabetes: A 12-month Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial IRB Review History*

NA

2) Objectives*

1. To study how vitamin D affects the body during the honeymoon phase of type 1 diabetes using a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial design.

The study endpoints are:

- (a) Change in the duration of the partial clinical remission (PCR) phase of T1D
- (b) Change in the rate of decrease of residual beta cell function (RBCF) in T1D
- (c) Change in the levels of glucagon-like peptide between the groups
- (d) Change in the duration of PCR in subjects with high-risk T1D single nucleotide polymorphisms
- (e) Change in glycemic control as measured by HbA1c.

Vitamin D is not being used for therapeutic intent for this trial, but for the evaluation of function of human system.

3) Background*

Background: The diagnosis of T1D is followed by a phase of PCR due to RBCF. During this early period in the natural history of T1D, endogenous islet function supports exogenous insulin therapy and enables the achievement of glycemic control with sub-physiologic insulin doses[1]. Recent studies indicate that approximately 50% of the β-cell mass may be viable at the time of diagnosis of T1D and that these β-cells persist for many months and even years following the diagnosis of T1D[2,3].

Preservation of RBCF is crucial for optimal diabetes care and outcome across the life history of T1D[4]. The landmark Diabetes Control and Complications Trial reported reduced incidence of long term diabetes-related end organ complication in patients with only modest levels of β -cell activity at entry into the trial[5]. Other studies show that endogenous insulin secretion in patients with T1D is associated with improved long-term glycemic control, reduced risk of severe hypoglycemia[3,6], reduced risk for the development of diabetic retinopathy[7] and a positive effect on statural growth in prepubertal children[8]. Regrettably, a recent study reported a low rate of PCR in children of <5 years and adolescents of >12 years. This study also found that metabolic control was poorest in these young children, while the requirement for high doses of insulin to overcome insulin resistance decreased the duration of PCR in the adolescents[9]. Furthermore, a recent study reported that a variant of a principal T1D susceptibility gene, protein tyrosine phosphatase nonreceptor type 22 (PTPN22), the PTPN22 -1858T is associated with reduced residual β -cell function and poor metabolic control[10]. However, whether pharmacological interventions can modify the effect of these gene variants on PCR and RBCF is not well studied.

Similarly, the role of endogenous insulin secretagogues such as incretins on RBCF and PCR is not known in youth with T1D. Incretins are gastrointestinal hormones that stimulate insulin release after food ingestion. These are glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and gastric inhibitory peptide (GIP). Though incretin-based

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

therapies are now being used to improve glycemic control and weight loss in patients with T2D[11], little is known about their effect on PCR, RBCF; and the factors that could prolong their effects. It is also unknown whether vitamin D treatment impacts GLP-1 secretion. These concepts may lead to effective and easily adoptable therapeutic modalities to prolong RBCF and the duration of PCR in youth with T1D.

Trials that investigated various modalities to prolong the duration of PCR led to differing conclusions[12-20]. Specifically, studies that examined the role of vitamin D on these parameters found that while the active form of vitamin D, calcitriol, demonstrated a protective effect on RBCF[20] in adult subjects with latent autoimmune diabetes of adults (LADA), two other studies in non-LADA patients with T1D, one of which enrolled children and adults, showed no effect of calcitriol on β-cell mass[15,16]. However, among the trials that investigated the effect of the precursor form of vitamin D, cholecalciferol, one trial in children of 6-12 years reported a non-statistically significant C-peptide decrease of 8% vs. 20% at 6 months in the vitamin D vs. placebo arm[14], while another trial in subjects of 7-30 years reported a statistically-significant C-peptide decrease of 14% vs. 46% after 18 months[12]. These slower rates of decrease in C-peptide values are comparable to the decreases in C-peptide achieved by immunotherapeutic intervention trials: 38% vs. 56%[17], 16% vs. 60%[18], 8% vs. 75%[19] at 18 months between the experimental and the placebo groups respectively. Taken together, these studies suggest that cholecalciferol supplementation may achieve a comparable protection of RBCF as immune-based therapies but without the toxic side effects[21,22].

However, major limitations of these trials include the use of suboptimal doses of vitamin D[12,14]; as well as the lack of a standardized insulin treatment protocol that addresses the confounding effect of concurrent insulin therapy on RBCF. This is important given that the cholecalciferol intervention trials reported no differences in HbA1c and insulin requirements[12,14] between the groups, while the immunotherapeutic trials reported improved HbA1c levels and decreased insulin requirements in their treatment groups[17,18]. To bridge this gap, and accurately determine how vitamin D affects the body during the honeymoon phase of T1D, we propose to standardize insulin therapy in both groups using an algorithm-based, self-directed, treat-to-target insulin regimen (TTIR) to address the confounding effects of differing insulin regimens on earlier trials.

Significance:

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is a hyperglycemic syndrome caused by immune mediated destruction of β -cells of the pancreas that continues long after the diagnosis of the disease[12]. The high prevalence of poor glycemic control in children[23], and its attendant risk for lifetime debilitating chronic complications of diabetes have led to calls for innovative therapeutic interventions to prevent these chronic complications of diabetes mellitus. One of these maneuvers is to prolong the duration of partial clinical remission (PCR) by augmenting residual β -cell function (RBCF) in youth with newly diagnosed T1D. This is predicated on the report that approximately 50% of the β -cell mass may be viable at the time of diagnosis of T1D and that these β -cells persist for many months and even years following the diagnosis of T1D[2,3]. Studies that examined therapeutic agents for prolonging PCR have arrived at differing conclusions because of the severe side effect profile of the agents[21,22], insufficient dose of experimental agents [12,14], and more importantly, the non-standardization of insulin regimens[12,14,21,22] which confounded the effect of the experimental agents. The immunomodulatory approach is promising, but regimens tested to date lack sufficient benefit to justify the risk. Vitamin D supplementation, on the other hand, is safe and may slow T1D progression[12,14], but existing studies have caveats that prevent widespread implementation of this recommendation. For example, both trials used 2000 IU of vitamin D[12,14], and reported no changes in insulin requirements and HbA1c; while Gabbay et al[12] reported a significant difference in RBCF, Mishra et al[14] did not. Furthermore, the mechanisms of vitamin D effect on PCR and RBCF were not fully explored by both studies. This trial will address these gaps by employing an optimal dose of vitamin D in a randomized controlled trial with an in-built standardized insulin treatment regimen[24] to eliminate the confounding effect of non-standardized insulin treatment regimen on study outcomes. It will also examine mechanistic models of vitamin D action in prolonging PCR by examining

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

its effect on incretins, inflammatory markers, alterations in the levels of vitamin D binding protein (VDBP), and the alleviation of the deleterious effects of high-risk single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).

The **rationale** for this study is to generate data to support or refute a recommendation for adjunctive vitamin D therapy to augment RBCF and prolong partial clinical remission (PCR) in patients with newly-diagnosed T1D.

This trial will add to existing knowledge by:

- (a) determining the mechanism of vitamin D effect on PCR
- (b) determining the role of vitamin D supplementation on the duration of PCR under standardized insulin treatment protocol
- (c) determining the role of vitamin D supplementation in subjects with high-risk SNPs.

Ergocalciferol will be used for this trial. Ergocalciferol is vitamin D₂ whereas cholecalciferol is vitamin D₃. Both are analogs of the storage form of vitamin, 25-hydroxyvitamin D [250HD], and are equipotent and undergo the same metabolic processes in human[25]. The only difference is that vitamin D₂ is the plant derived analog of 250HD, while vitamin D₃ is the animal-derived analog of 250HD. Whereas ergocalciferol is available in 50,000 IU formulation, cholecalciferol is not. This is why we are using ergocalciferol for this study.

The principal investigator has an extensive experience with ergocalciferol given his earlier open-label trial of 2000 IU of ergocalciferol in children of 10-18 years with psychiatric illness[26], and his current randomized controlled trial of ergocalciferol 50,000 IU per week in children, adolescents, and young adults with type 2 diabetes and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease [Docket #00002866]. In both the concluded trial and the ongoing trial, there were no reports of side effects such as hypercalcemia or hyperphosphatemia or their associated symptoms in these patients. Ergocalciferol is well tolerated in children, adolescents, and young adults.

4) Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria*

Inclusion criteria:

- 1. Age: 10-21 years.
- 2. Gender: Male and female
- 3. Tanner stage: II-V: to ensure the exclusion of prepubertal subjects.
- 4. T1D duration of <3 months (i.e., from first insulin injection) to ensure the inclusion of patients in PCR.
- 5. Presence of at least one diabetes-associated autoantibody.
- 6. Normal weight, overweight and obese subjects with T1D
- 7. Insulin therapy
- 8. Subjects on basal bolus regimen with an insulin-to-carbohydrate ratio
- 9. Fasting C-peptide level of >0.1 nmol/L (0.3 ng/mL)[19].

Exclusion criteria:

- 1. Subjects on weight altering medications, such as orlistat.
- 2. Subjects with eating disorder
- 3. Subjects on medications other than insulin that can affect blood glucose level.
- 4. Subjects with 25(OH)D levels of >70 ng/mL, as this may lead to vitamin D toxicity in the study subjects.
- 5. Subjects with major systemic diseases such as cardiac-, liver-, or kidney failure (requiring dialysis).
- 6. Subjects with recurrent diabetes ketoacidosis (>2 episodes since the diagnosis of T1D or in the preceding 3 months); or recurrent severe hypoglycemia (>2 episodes of hypoglycemia with altered level of consciousness, requiring a third party assistance in the preceding 3 mo).

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

- 7. Pregnant or breast-feeding female subjects. Even though vitamin D has no adverse effects on the health of a mother or developing fetus, we would want to limit this study to people who are not pregnant or breast-feeding.
- 8. The receipt of any investigational drug within 6 months prior to this trial.
- 9. Active malignant neoplasms.
- 10. Lack of internet access for uploading glucose data to MyCareTeam portal.

We will not enroll adults unable to consent, pregnant women, or prisoners.

5) Study-Wide Number of Subjects*

N/A.

6) Study-Wide Recruitment Methods*

N/A

7) Study Timelines*

Time	Event	
April 2016	Obtain IRB Approval	
June 2017	Start patient recruitment	
June 2018	On-going data collection	
June 2019	Study Completion, Data Analysis,	
	Manuscript write up	

8) Study Endpoints*

Primary endpoint:

- 1. Change in stimulated C-peptide concentration over time between the placebo and experimental groups Secondary endpoints:
- 1. Change in HbA1c overtime during PCR between the placebo and experimental groups
- 2. Change in GLP-1, inflammatory markers, and vitamin D binding protein (VDBP) concentration over time between the placebo and experimental groups
- 3. Duration of PCR:
- (a) Differences in the duration of PCR between the placebo and experimental groups
- (b) Differences in the duration of PCR between the obese and normal-weight subjects
- (c) Differences in the duration of PCR in subjects with high-risk SNPs who received vitamin D vs. placebo.

9) Procedures Involved*

Detailed procedures:

Subjects will be a part of the study for up to 13 months. Subject's endocrinologist will be notified of her participation in this study to ensure an open communication between the study staff and the endocrinologist.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

METHODS AND ASSESSMENTS: VISIT PROCEDURES (See Table 1)

Protocol: This research study involves 8 visits (**Table 1**): 2 screening visits, 1 visit during the run-in phase, and 5 study visits. In this 13 month study, 11 teaspoons of blood will be collected from each participant: one teaspoon at the second screening visit (V2), and 2 teaspoons at V4-V8. Subjects will undergo a one-month **run-in period** to screen for complications, to update patient education, and to assess subject's compliance with study protocol. Patients will continue on their pre-existing insulins. Subjects will not receive vitamin D or placebo during the run-in period. Subjects will be randomized to either arm of the study subjects will be randomized within 4 to 6 weeks of screening. Participants, who wish to do so, may continue to see their endocrinologists for their routine visits during their participation in the study.

VISIT 1: SCREENING VISIT

Consent: Consent and assent (for minors) will be obtained before being enrolled in the trial.

History: A detailed history of subject's medical condition will be taken to ensure eligibility for the study. This history will focus on patient's current medical diagnosis, past medical and birth history, social history. Family history of type 1 or type 2 diabetes, obesity, and related comorbidities will be documented. Subject's medications, supplements, diet and exercise plan will also be documented.

<u>Physical Examination:</u> All participants will undergo a detailed physical examination (PE) at Visits I (V1), then V4-V8. The aims of the PE are (1) to identify pathological features that could exclude patients from the study (2) to document subject's Tanner stage.

<u>Urine Sample:</u> A spot urine sample will be collected for pregnancy test in female subjects of child-bearing age who have achieved menarche. This will be repeated at V4-V8. Instructions for Mixed meal tolerance test (MMTT) will be provided at this visit.

Table 1. Summary of Study Protocol

Activities and Time points	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	V6	V7	V8
	Screen 1	Screen 2	Run-In Start at time -4 weeks	Time 0 MONTH Randomization	М3	M6	М9	M12 EOS
Duration (hours)	1.5	2	2	2.5	2	2	2	2
Procedures								
Consent/Assent	X							
H&P	X			X	X	X	Х	X
Vital signs	X			X	Х	Х	Х	Х
Anthropometric measures				X	Х	Х	Х	Х
MMTT instructions	X							
Screening test: C-peptide by MMTT		X						
Nutrition counseling			Χ			X		
Treat-to-target insulin regimen (TTIR)			Χ	X	X	X	Х	
Blood glucose review and adjustments			Χ	X	X	Χ	Х	
Research analytes: MMTT(c-peptide), C-reactive protein, 25OHD, VDBP, GLP-1, HbA1c, Ca, PO4, IL-1β,								
FGF21, TGF-β1,IL-4, IL-12, IL-2,TNF-α Safety labs: Ca, PO4, 25OHD, urine Ca These labs will also be collected at 4				X	X	Х	X	X
and 8 weeks following randomization.				X	Х	Χ	Χ	X
Blood collection for SNPs				X				
Urine collection: VDBP, Urine Ca				X	X	Χ	Χ	X
Urine pregnancy test	X			X	Χ	Χ	Χ	
Run-In phase			X					
Adverse events		X	Χ	X	X	Χ	Χ	X
Dispense insulin and supplies			X	X		Χ	Χ	
Dispense ergocalciferol/placebo				X	X	Χ	Χ	
Collect ergocalciferol/placebo					X	Χ	Χ	X
Study diaries				X	Х	Χ	Χ	
Follow up phone calls*								

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

V=visit, M= month, EOS=end of study, MMTT= mixed meal tolerance test, TTIR= treat-to-target insulin regimen

VISIT 2: SCREENING VISIT FOR MIXED MEAL TOLERANCE TEST (MMTT)

<u>Screening Laboratory Test: MMTT For Stimulated C-peptide Estimation</u>. This test, aimed at stimulating endogenous C-peptide release, will be performed between 7 and 10AM following an overnight fast, with no injection of bolus insulin in the preceding 6 hours. Boost (formerly Sustacal, Mead Johnson, Evansville, IN, USA), at a dose of 6 mL/kg (maximum 360 mL), will be ingested in less than 10 minutes. Boost is a creamy, tasty, healthy drink that contains 26 vitamins and minerals, 3g fiber, and 10g of high-quality protein in each 8 fl oz bottle. Per the DCCT protocol, blood draws will be obtained for baseline glucose and C-peptide, and for 90-min post mixed-meal C-peptide and glucose estimation[27].

Even though this trial involves a standard fasting protocol which is defined as the lack of ingestion of calories for 8-12 hours, participants will not be at risk of hypoglycemia as they will not receive their short-acting insulin on the morning of the test until after the blood draws when they will then inject their short-acting insulin and eat a meal. They will also not be at risk for hyperglycemia as their bedtime long-acting insulin is titrated to maintain normal glucose levels when patient is not eating any calories.

VISIT 3: RUN-IN PHASE START AT -1 MONTH

Run-In Phase: Participants will be started on treat-to-target insulin regimen (TTIR) on their existing insulins. All participants will be instructed on the modalities of TTIR and be given a **summary card** (Appendix 1) to familiarize themselves with the regimen. The PI and/or a certified diabetes educator (CDE) will review TTIR with the subjects at this visit. The basal-bolus regimen, on which the treat-to-target insulin regimen is based, is the standard treatment protocol for type 1 diabetes in children and adults, and is based on the results of the landmark Diabetes Control and Complications Trial which showed an improved glycemic control in subjects on basal bolus regimen compared to those on conventional therapy[5]. The only difference from routine diabetes management is that the patient and his or her parent/guardian will take an average of fasting blood glucose every third day and use that figure to titrate the dose of the long-acting insulin in patients on multiple daily injections, or the overnight basal rate for those who are using the insulin pump.

Glycemic control during the run-in-phase: A 1-month run-in period will precede the interventional phase of the study. All subjects will continue on their pre-existing insulins This will be followed by weekly phone calls by study staff and close monitoring of blood glucose levels while on their insulin regimen to ensure normoglycemia as shown in Tables 2 and 3 below. Parents will be advised to upload their child's glucose data in the MyCareTeam software portal weekly for study staff review and recommendation of insulin dose adjustment if necessary. In addition to the MyCareTeam upload, they can also fax a copy of their child's glucose log book to the study staff for review. This will enable the study team keep abreast of blood sugar trends in case of software system failures. All participants will return to the clinic at the end of one month (from the time of initiation of treat-to-target insulin regimen) for the randomization visit (Visit 4). Subjects will be required to check their blood glucose at 3AM two times every week during this trial; and also to check their 3AM blood sugar for 2 nights in a row after any changes to their long-acting insulin.

Insulin Treatment Protocol:

Standardized Multiple Daily Insulin Injections Protocol: To ensure uniformity of insulin administration during the study, participants and their caregivers will use a self-directed titration algorithm of (-1)-0-(+1)[24] scale (Table 2) to adjust the dose of the subjects' long-acting insulin every 3rd day at bedtime to maintain fasting plasma glucose (FPG) in the normal range as shown in Table 3 below. Specifically, subjects will be advised to

^{*} Subjects will be followed by weekly phone calls for 4 weeks until the time of randomization; and then once every month till the end of their participation in the study.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

increase their long-acting insulin (LAI) dose by 1 unit if the average of the 3 prior FPG recordings is >120 mg/dL; reduce their LAI dose by 1 unit if the average of 3 FPG readings is <90 mg/dL, and make no change to the LAI insulin dose if the average of 3 FPG values is between 90-120 mg/dL.

Standardized Protocol for Insulin Pump Therapy: Under parental supervision, patients on continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (insulin pump) will similarly use a self-directed titration algorithm of (-10%)-0-(+10%) scale to adjust their overnight basal rate every 3rd day at bedtime to maintain fasting plasma glucose (FPG) in the normal range as shown in Table 2. Specifically, subjects will be advised to increase their overnight basal rate by 10% if the average of the 3 prior FPG recordings is >120 mg/dL; reduce their overnight basal rate by 10% if the average of 3 FPG readings is <90 mg/dL, and make no change to their overnight basal rate if the average of 3 FPG values is between 90-120 mg/dL.

<u>Insulin to carbohydrate ratio</u>: This will be adjusted as in routine clinical care as outlined in the Summary Card on Table 2: **Bolus insulin adjustment plan.**

Table 2: Titration Algorithm for Both Long-acting Insulin Analog and Overnight Basal Rate

Titration Algorithm for Both Long-acting Insulin (LAI) Analog or Overnight Basal Rate			
Average value of fasting plasma glucose for 3 consecutive days	Recommended long-acting insulin dose adjustments		
<5.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL)	 Non-pump users: subtract 1 units from the total dose of LAI Pump users: decrease overnight basal rate by 10% 		
5.0-6.7 mmol/L (90 – 120 mg/dL)	no adjustments		
>6.7 mmol/L (120 mg/dL)	 Non-pump users: add 1 units to the total dose of LAI Pump users: increase overnight basal rate by 10% 		

Table 3: Summary of Daily Plasma Glucose Goals

Time	Before	Before lunch or	Before	2 hours after a	At 3AM
	breakfast	dinner	bedtime	meal	
Glucose level (mg/dL)	90-120	80-130	> 100	< 220	> 100

Post-prandial glycemia will be maintained with adjusted doses of short-acting insulin for meal-time boluses using insulin to carbohydrate ratio and correction factor[28]. The concept of an algorithm-based, patient-directed standardization protocol for insulin delivery is innovative as no other trial to augment RBCF and prolong PCR in youth has employed this technique. The PI is well versed in the application of this protocol[24].

<u>Follow Up Phone Calls:</u> Subjects will be followed by weekly phone calls for 4 weeks until the time of randomization. These phone calls will focus on review of glucose data, insulin dose adjustments, and a review of the implementation of TTIR protocol. Participants needing further one-on-one instructions will be invited for a clinic visit before randomization.

These phone calls are safety checks and are required by the protocol, and will be documented by the study team on case report forms. The protocol specific window for these phone calls is 2 weeks from the prior phone call. This ensures that subjects, who were not reached in the first week, can still be called in the following week to ensure that the study team is compliant with the protocol specifications.

<u>Nutrition Counseling: Participants</u> will receive nutrition counseling from a registered dietician in the first 2 mo of the trial, and then at 6-8 mo. The counseling will focus on subject's food choices and recommendations for a healthy diet based on MyPlate: each meal should consist of 50% vegetables and fruits, 25% carbohydrates, and 25% protein; with additional servings of water and dairy.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

VISIT 4: BASELINE/RANDOMIZATION INTERVENTION STUDY VISIT AT 0 MO: Following the completion of the run-in phase, subjects will return for the randomization visit after an overnight fast for the initial intervention study blood draw.

<u>Vital Signs</u>: Blood pressure and pulse will be measured using an electronic sphygmomanometer. <u>Anthropometric Measurements</u>: Weight, height and waist circumference will be measured in all participants at baseline, 3mo, 6 mo, 9 mo, and 12 months. Weight will be measured using an electronic scale with patient wearing under-garments and a hospital gown. Values will be expressed to the nearest 0.1 kg. Height will be

measured using a wall-mounted stadiometer. Waist circumference will be measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the superior border of the iliac crests using a standard measuring tape. All measurements will be done in triplicates and the average taken. Body mass index will be derived from the weight and height data.

<u>Blood Samples</u>: A venous catheter will be used to obtain blood sample for the analytes listed on **Table 1** under Research Analytes. Then, MMTT will be conducted as detailed on Visit 2. These analytes will be redrawn at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months. All samples will be spun upon collection using a centrifuge and the serum collected and stored at -70 degrees until assay. Whole blood sample will be obtained for HbA1c estimation using HPLC assay technique.

<u>Urine Sample:</u> A spot urine sample will be collected for baseline VDBP, which will be repeated at V5-V8. <u>SNP Genotyping and Research Assays:</u> T1D risk-SNPs with odds ratio >1.5 (loci: DR3, DR4-DQ8, HLA-A24, HLA-B5701, HLA-DRB1-15, PTPN22, INS and IL2RA)[29] will be genotyped in triplicate using validated Taqman probes on a BioRad PCR machine. GLP-1 and inflammatory markers will be measured on baseline (inflammatory markers) or baseline and 90-minute (GLP1) DPP4-inhibitor-treated blood samples from MMTTs in duplicate using ELISA kits from Millipore. GLP-1 and inflammatory markers will be measured at V5-V8.

Randomization: Subjects will be randomized to either ergocalciferol or placebo. Ergocalciferol and placebo will be prepared as identical capsules by Boulevard Pharmaceutical Compounding Center[24]. Each pill will be of standard capsule size 00# which measures 20.1±0.35 mm in length and 7.66±0.02 mm in diameter. **Rationale for Treatment:** For this trial, the study group will receive ergocalciferol 50,000 international units (IU) once weekly for 2 months, and then once every other week to keep serum 25(OH)D concentration between 20-100 ng/dL. This dose is below the standard tolerable upper intake level for vitamin D for people >9 yr [30] and thus unlikely to lead to vitamin D toxicity. This dosing regimen will also ensure an early rise in the serum 25(OH)D concentration.

Randomization will be conducted by the Investigational Drug Services (IDS), UMMS, using a randomization scheme generated by Dr. Barton. Randomization will be 1:1 (ergocalciferol: placebo) and will use a permuted block design with blocking for every 2 or 4 subjects (at random). IDS will maintain blinding information and PI will contact IDS for emergency unblinding. Twenty subjects will be randomized to each arm of the trial as follows: 40 subjects will be stratified by BMI criterion into 20 normal-weight (BMI<85th percentile), and 20 overweight/obese subjects (BMI >85th percentile). Ten subjects from each BMI group will receive ergocalciferol while the other 10 will receive placebo thus allowing for stratification by both BMI and treatment modality. Subjects will receive a pill-counting dossette for monitoring of compliance during follow up visits. Group allocation will remain hidden to all study staff and patients until all data have been collected and analyzed.

Following randomization, participants will continue on TTIR, with meal-time insulin to carbohydrate ratio and correction factor to ensure euglycemia between meals. The adequacy of each aspect of therapy will be confirmed by an ongoing monitoring system as described by Bode et al[28], and summarized in Tables 5 and 6.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

<u>Follow-Up Phone Calls:</u> Following randomization, subjects will be contacted once every month until the end of participation in the trial. The subject of the calls will be to identify adverse effects, assess compliance and accuracy of insulin titration, and make recommendations.

These phone calls are safety checks and are required by the protocol, and will be tracked by the study team. The protocol specific window for these phone calls is 2 months from the prior phone call. This ensures that subjects, who were not reached in the prior month, can still be called in the subsequent month, to ensure that the study team is compliant with the protocol specifications.

Glucose Data Collection: This trial will use the MyCareTeam software for comprehensive glucose data capture. MyCareTeam[31] is a secure software portal/system in widespread clinical use in the UMass adult and pediatric diabetes clinics that enables patients to directly upload their glucose data to the hospital's electronic medical record system for immediate review by study staff. Fasting and non-fasting plasma glucose data will be obtained by the patient and/or caregiver by self-monitoring of patient's capillary blood glucose (SMBG) using the Precision Xtra Blood Glucose Monitoring System (Abbott Diabetes Care, Alameda, CA, USA) or via the subjects insulin pump. All participants will receive a Study Summary Card containing a synopsis of the daily study guidelines. Parents and study subjects will routinely upload subjects' glucose data to the UMass MyCareTeam [31] website every 4 weeks for review by the study staff. Along with data recorded by families in the titration algorithm sheets, the MyCareTeam software will provide comprehensive data on hypoglycemic events, fasting and non-fasting plasma glucose levels, and compliance rate with capillary blood glucose monitoring which will ensure a comprehensive glucose data analysis. Following randomization, participants will return to the clinic at 3, 6, 9 months for interim visits, and at 12 months for study conclusion.

Study Diary: All study subjects will be given a study diary for the purposes of documenting ergocalciferol/placebo administration and monitoring of compliance. Subjects should put a check mark on the correct date in the diary right after taking their ergocalciferol or placebo. The patients should bring in the diaries for a review by the study staff at every visit following randomization.

<u>VISIT 5: INTERVENTION STUDY INTERIM VISIT AT +3 MO:</u> Subjects will return to the clinic three months after randomization to either ergocalciferol or placebo, while continuing on TTIR. This visit will involve a repeat assessment of vital signs, anthropometric measurements, physical examination, review of dossettes, MMTT, and fasting laboratory investigations as detailed for Visit 4.

VISIT 6: INTERVENTION STUDY VISIT AT +6 MO

Subjects will undergo evaluations as detailed in the section on Visit 4, in addition to a nutrition evaluation.

VISIT 7: INTERVENTION STUDY VISIT AT +9 MO

This visit will involve assessment of vital signs, anthropometric measurements, physical examination, review of dossettes, MMTT and fasting blood and urine collection as detailed in the section on Visit 4.

VISIT 8: STUDY CONCLUSION AT +12 MO

This visit will involve a final assessment of vital signs, anthropometric measurements, physical examination, review of dossettes, MMTT and fasting blood and urine collection as detailed in the section on Visit 4. The discharge history and physical examination will focus on identifying any adverse effects from the study, and to establish endpoint laboratory and anthropometric data. Ergocalciferol/placebo will be discontinued at this visit. The TTIR will end at the time of study completion, but participants will be encouraged to discuss continuing TTIR with their endocrinologists.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

10) Data and Specimen Banking*

N/A – No banking for future research.

11) Data Management*

Anthropometric data, urine, and blood samples will be collected from subjects for research purposes only.

Only research team members will have access to subject identifying information. Hard copy data will be transferred (hand-carried by a research team member) from the CRC to the Department of Pediatrics for data entry and analysis. Each patient will be identified by a study ID number. A list of subject identifying information linked to the study ID numbers will be kept in a computer file which is password protected and a hard copy kept in a locked file in the trial coordinator's locked office in the Clinical Research Center, AC1-044, University of Massachusetts Medical School.

STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS:

All analyses will be overseen by Dr. Barton, Professor of Biostatistics at UMMS, and performed by analysts at the Quantitative Methods Core.

<u>Sample size and power calculation</u>: This trial's sample size was based on establishing a stable estimate (with a 95% confidence interval) for the difference in C-peptide between the two treatment groups. Based on published data[16], group sample sizes of 13 in each arm, will produce a two-sided 95% confidence interval with a distance from the difference in means to the limits of 0.081 nmol/l when the estimated standard deviation is 0.10 in each group; i.e., if the estimated difference in C-peptide between the two treatment groups is 0.12 nmol/L, the 95% confidence interval will be \pm 0.081. Calculated sample size will be increased to 40 to enable further analysis by BMI strata and to compensate for attrition.

Data Management

Data will be entered using the REDCap system (Vanderbilt University) as implemented at the University of Massachusetts Medical School, a member of the REDCap Software Consortium, using SQL Server as the underlying database structure. We will program the system for a single entry with validation rules at the time of entry and comprehensive edits conducted after the data have been submitted to the main data base. These edits will check for validity, consistency, and normal range values. Edit queries will be generated and resolved by clinic staff with corrections posted to the database through the REDCap system, which enforces an audit trail for all changes. The main study database will be stored on a secure server in the University of Massachusetts HIPAA-compliant data center with daily back-up. For analysis, data will be exported from the REDCap system as SAS data sets and merged within SAS to create the official analysis files for the study. All reports and analyses will be generated from these files using the latest version of SAS (currently SAS 9.2). Data files (and accompanying SAS programs) that are used for reports, presentations, or publication will be archived as required past the end of the study.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

The REDCap system will be programmed and maintained by staff at the Quantitative Methods Core at the U Mass Medical School while data entry will be performed by staff in the Department of Pediatrics at the UMass Medical School.

Data entered into REDCap is password protected and access is limited to the research staff.

Storage:

Specimens will be stored at -80 C in the Clinical Research Center, Room AC1-044, in the ACC Building, UMMS, until study completion. All stored samples will be maintained solely for use in this research project.

Only the PI and members of his research team will have access to stored specimens (blood and urine samples).

Data collected as part of this study will be stored for 3 years following the completion of statistical analysis.

12) Provisions to Monitor the Data to Ensure the Safety of Subjects*

To monitor for safety, calcium, phosphorus, and 25(OH)D will be drawn at 4 and 8 weeks after randomization, and visits 4-8. Urine calcium will be obtained with other safety labs at visits 4-8. The normal levels of these analytes are: calcium 8.7 -10.7 mg/dL, Phosphorus 3.5-5.9 mg/dL, and 25(OH)D 30-100 ng/mL. Subjects with elevated calcium, phosphorus, or 25(OH)D will be withdrawn from the study and not restarted on study pills.

Safety above all else is our concern. We will ensure that all study patients are comfortable during each assessment. In addition, we will be extremely attentive to any potential emotional or behavioral challenge that might limit a subject's participation in the study.

Dr. Amanda Angelescu, a pediatric endocrinologist who is not connected with this study will serve as the Data Monitoring Officer. She will oversee the overall safety of subjects during the duration of this study. Dr. Angelescu is an experienced endocrinologist and diabetologist with extensive clinical and research experience in the management of children and adolescents with T1D.

Reports on adverse effects will be collected at study visits, and also by telephone in between visits. Research staff will contact subjects twice in the first month, and then once every 2 months throughout the study. Each subject will be contacted 3 additional times if they are not able to be reached at the first call.

During the phone calls the subject or his/her parent(s) will be asked about his or her compliance with the study; any side effects that could be related to elevated calcium levels such as weakness, constipation, excessive urination.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

Data on adverse effects will be entered in a database and sorted by treatment group. The investigators will review these data once every month and present this report to the Data Monitoring Officer, who will forward such reports to the UMass IRB. Any events which meet the UMMS prompt reporting requirements will be reported to the UMMS IRB within 5 business days of the PI becoming aware of the information.

13) Withdrawal of Subjects*

A subject must be withdrawn from the study if any of the following applies:

- 1. Pregnancy or intention of becoming pregnant
- 2. Allergic reaction to vitamin D or placebo
- 3. Subject decides to withdraw from the study
- 4. Subject may also be withdrawn from the trial at the discretion of the Investigator if judged non-compliant with trial procedures or due to a safety concern
- 5. Subjects with elevated calcium, phosphorus, or 25(OH)D will be withdrawn from the study and not restarted on study pills.

In addition, any enrolled subject who is found to be ineligible based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria outlined during the screening visit or any visit thereafter will be withdrawn from the study.

14) Risks to Subjects*

Some children, adolescents, and young adults may become embarrassed when given a physical examination or asked detailed questions about their type 1 diabetes.

Risk of venipuncture:

The risks of having blood drawn include slight pain when the needle is inserted. Subjects may develop a harmless black and blue mark, and they arm may be sore. Occasionally, some people feel dizzy or lightheaded when blood is drawn. They may become sweaty, feel cold or tingly, and may faint or throw up. Risks that are possible but unlikely include infection, nerve damage, and puncturing an artery instead of a vein.

As a result of participating in this study, subjects randomized to the intervention group will receive ergocalciferol 50,000 IU every week for 2 months, and then once every other week for 10 months. The standard management of vitamin D deficiency in the study age group is 50,000 IU of ergocalciferol once weekly for 6 weeks, followed by a recheck of 25(OH)D level and maintenance ergocalciferol 50,000 IU once every two weeks. This is a very high dose of vitamin D.

There is a small risk of a Vitamin D overdose in this trial. This may manifest as hypercalcemia or hyperphosphatemia. The associated symptoms include stomach upset (example: nausea, vomiting, change in bowel movements), weakness, weight loss, confusion and heart palpitations. Calcium and phosphorus levels will be checked at each visit following randomization to ensure normal serum calcium and phosphorus levels. Subjects with elevated calcium, phosphorus, or 25(OH)D will be withdrawn from the study and not restarted on study pills.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

Risk of hypercalciuria (elevated calcium in the urine):

There is a minimal risk of hypercalciuria in this study. Urine calcium and creatinine will be monitored throughout the study to ensure normocalciuria. A normal reference interval for random urine calcium (mg/dL):urine creatinine (mg/dL) ratio is <0.14. Values exceeding 0.20 are found in patients with hypercalciuria[32].

Allergic reactions to ergocalciferol are extremely rare, but possible manifestations of an allergic reaction will include signs and symptoms such as rash, itching/swelling, severe dizziness, and trouble breathing.

Fasting

Even though high blood sugar levels and/or low blood sugar levels are not anticipated, they are still potential risks of the study. Hyperglycemia will be unlikely to occur as the patient will have his 24-hour long-acting insulin on board. Hypoglycemia is also unlikely to occur as the subject will not receive any insulin until the end of testing when he/she will be free to eat.

However, if the subject experiences hypoglycemia, he/she may feel hungry, shaky, weak and dizzy. The patient's parent or study staff will check the patient's blood sugar, and if low (<70 mg/dL), the patient will be managed based on the American Diabetes Association guidelines for managing hypoglycemia which is to administer 15g of carbohydrate, wait for 15 minutes, and recheck blood sugar; and retreat with 15g if it is blood glucose is still low.

If patient experiences hyperglycemia, he/she may feel no symptoms, or he/she could feel slightly weak. The subject's parent or study staff will his/her blood glucose and if >250 mg/dL, will administer a correction insulin dose based on the subject's insulin regimen.

As with all studies, there is a chance that data could be linked back to a subject's true identity, and so there is a risk of psychological and social stigma that could adversely impact the subject.

15) Potential Benefits to Subjects*

Subjects may benefit directly as follows:

Vitamin D Repletion: All participants in the experimental arm of the study will receive vitamin D supplementation during the study. This vitamin D repletion will confer all the benefits of vitamin D sufficiency on the subjects.

Monitoring and more frequent follow up visits: This will ensure the safety of the participants and may improve their adherence to their insulin regimen.

Medical Nutrition Therapy: Registered pediatric nutritionists will instruct the subjects on the state-of-theart modalities of medical nutrition therapy. This will ensure a healthy eating habit and the maintenance of healthy weight and energy.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

16) Vulnerable Populations*

Provisions are made for soliciting permission of the parents/ legal guardians of those persons who have not yet attained the legal age for consent.

We will not recruit from any other vulnerable populations.

The level of risk associated with this study is minimized as these children will continue on their standard insulin regimen and will only need to titrate their long-acting insulin doses, or overnight basal rates once every 3 days, to ensure normal fasting glucose levels; while also adjusting their short-acting insulin as in routine care to keep their blood glucose in the normal range.

Vitamin D is a supplement with proven safety profile which is well-tolerated by children.

The research team members have extensive experience in the use of vitamin D and TTIR in research studies in children.

17) Multi-Site Research*

NA

18) Community-Based Participatory Research*

NA

19) Sharing of Results with Subjects*

The following results will be shared with subjects and their families: end of study vitamin D status.

20) **Setting**

All subjects will be recruited from the Diabetes Center of Excellence of the UMass Memorial Medical Center.

Consenting and all study related procedures will be done in private exam rooms in the Clinical Research Center, located in the Ambulatory Care Center. No research related activities will be conducted outside of the Ambulatory Care Center.

21) Resources Available

Facilities:

The Ambulatory Care Center (ACC) Building is a new healthcare facility that serves several outpatient subspecialty clinics including the Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes Clinics.

On the first floor of the ACC Building is located the UMMS Clinical Research Center (CRC), which is a 2,600-square-foot ambulatory research site that provides a comfortable and accessible area for study participants. The CRC includes a waiting area; conference room for study initiation, monitoring visits, and educational meetings; four (4) staff offices; three workstations for visiting staff; four (4) exam rooms, and an infusion room with two recliners. It is staffed with an experienced, certified clinical research nurse manager, research nurse coordinators, regulatory specialist and a receptionist / research assistant. It provides a high quality research

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

environment for the coordination and management of clinical studies. A small laboratory equipped with centrifuges, freezer, and hematocrit machine is available to investigators and their staff, as well as an area for packaging and shipping clinical trial samples to meet current transport regulations. The CRC also has a secure area for short-term storage of study files and case report forms. The Clinical Research Center is under the direction of the Office of Clinical Research in the Office of Research, UMass Medical School.

Personnel:

The Diabetes Center of Excellence (DCOE) is staffed by experienced pediatric and adult endocrinologists and certified diabetes educators. The study staff will work with members of the DCOE team to ensure that the enrollment goal of 40 subjects is reached.

All of the pediatric endocrinologists and Certified Nurse Educators have multiple years of experience working with children and adolescents with T1D. Equally, the pediatric nutritionists have multiple years of experience working with children and adolescents.

Principal Investigator will be responsible for study design and statistical analysis. The PI is a board-certified pediatric endocrinology with extensive experience in research studies in children involving vitamin D and treat-to-target insulin regimen.

Principal Investigator and Co-investigators will be responsible for recruitment, consenting, obtaining medical and family history, conducting physical exams, as well as SAE/AE monitoring/ reporting. The co-investigators include a board-certified internist with extensive experience in single-nucleotide polymorphism genotyping in diabetes mellitus, and processing of inflammatory markers; and a board-certified biostatician with extensive experience in the design and analysis of research studies in children. A sub-investigator will be a terminal degree holder whose role will be limited to identifying eligible subjects for this study.

Registered pediatric nutritionists will be responsible for instructing the subjects on state-of-the-art modalities of medical nutrition therapy.

<u>The counseling sessions will occur</u> in the first 2 mo of the trial, and then at 6-8 mo. The counseling sessions will focus on subject's food choices and recommendations for a healthy diet based on MyPlate: each meal should consist of 50% vegetables and fruits, 25% carbohydrates, and 25% protein; with additional servings of water and dairy.

Nurse coordinators will be responsible for recruitment, consenting, obtaining medical and family history, phlebotomy, monitoring vital signs/ nursing assessments, maintain regulatory documents, CRF completion and query resolution, and IRB communications.

A Research Nurse Coordinator in the Clinical Research Center now serves as the primary study coordinator. The other CRC nurses will act as back up and will be trained to carry out study visits in the absence of the primary coordinator. Each Nurse Coordinator in the Clinical Research Center has greater than 10 years' experience in clinical research. Weekly team meetings are held to review studies on an ongoing basis.

All study staff will have completed the required CITI training.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

22) Prior Approvals

This study is entirely covered under the blanket of the CRC's IBC prior approval.

23) Recruitment Methods

Participants will be recruited from the outpatient clinic of the UMass Memorial Health Center (UMMHC).

Potentially eligible children and adolescents will be identified for the study by pediatric endocrinologists. The endocrinologist will then review the subject's medical records to ensure that the patient is eligible for the study. Once identified, the subject's pediatric endocrinologist will discuss this study with the potential subject and his/her parent(s) or guardian(s). If they are interested in learning more, they will be contacted by the s study staff, in this case an endocrinologist or a research nurse coordinator, to go over the details of the study to determine whether they are interested in participating in the study.

Prospective study patients who are under the care of the study investigators will be recruited directly to the study. Prospective study patients who are under the care of endocrinologists who are not part of the study team will be contacted by their treating endocrinologist and asked if it is okay to pass on their contact information to the study team for recruitment purposes.

The study staff will contact the prospective subject and/or his or her parents using a phone screening script that will be submitted to the IRB for review and approval prior to use after the study staff is given the okay by the treating endocrinologist to contact the subject.

No recruitment letters are needed for this study.

Patients who meet the above-outlined inclusion/exclusion criteria will be approached by a study team member during their regular clinic appointments. If they are interested in participating, a screening appointment will be arranged.

Subjects will be recruited from the outpatient pediatric clinic at the UMass Memorial Health Center (UMMHC). The UMMHC has a broad outpatient base and a thriving Diabetes Center for Excellence.

Recruitment:

Feasibility: Sixty to eighty patients of age <21yr are diagnosed with new-onset T1D at the Children Medical Center every year, and 30-35% of them are overweight or obese. Thus we have the patient population to carry out this study. The recruitment timeframe for this study is <12 months as we will be able to 'back recruit' eligible patients going through the honeymoon phase, and prospectively recruit newly-diagnosed patients during the trial.

Study Compensation:

This research study involves 8 visits (**Table 1**): 2 screening visits, 1 visit during the run-in phase, and 5 study visits.

Participants will receive \$50 at the end of each visit. This payment will be made out in the form of a gift card to a bookshop. Participants will also be provided with a parking voucher at the end of each visit.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

24) Local Number of Subjects

We plan to screen 120 subjects for this study. Of these, we expect to enroll 20 study patients, and 20 control subjects for the study.

25) Confidentiality

Only the research study team including the statistician and data manager will have access to the study information and data collected. The following procedures will be taken for protecting and limiting access to all the participating subjects' personal information and ensuring subject anonymity/confidentiality.

- 1. We will assign an ID number to each subject and associated data.
- 2. No personal identifying information will be contained on the data collection forms.
- 3. The list that links identifiers with the study ID numbers will be kept in a password-protected computer file and in hard copy in a locked file by the study coordinator in the Clinical Research Center, AC1-044. Reporting information will be done in aggregate form or with qualitative illustration.
- 4. The University of Massachusetts firewall protects online data and is secured with daily data back-up.

Only research team members will have access to subject identifying information. Hard copy data will be transferred (hand-carried by a research team member) from the CRC to the Department of Pediatrics for data entry and analysis. Each patient will be identified by a study ID number. A list of subject identifying information linked to the study ID numbers will be kept in a computer file which is password protected and a hard copy kept in a locked file in the trial coordinator's locked office in the Clinical Research Center, AC1-044, University of Massachusetts Medical School.

26) Provisions to Protect the Privacy Interests of Subjects (HIPAA)

All subjects will be asked to sign a HIPAA consent form at the time of signing of the IRB consent or assent to allow us access to pertinent clinical information for the study.

27) Compensation for Research-Related Injury

If a subject is injured while on study, he/she is advised to seek treatment and contact the study doctor as soon as possible. The University of Massachusetts Medical School does not provide funds for the treatment of research-related injury.

If a subject is injured as a result of his/her participation in this study, treatment will be provided. However, the subject or his/her insurance carrier will be expected to pay the costs of the treatment. No additional financial compensation for injury or lost wages will be made available. As stated in the consent form, the subject does not give up any of his/her legal rights by signing this form.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

28) Economic Burden to Subjects

There will be no additional cost to the subjects. The study will cover the cost of vitamin D or placebo used in the trial.

29) Consent Process

Both parents must give their permission for children unless one parent is deceased, unknown, incompetent, or not reasonably available, or only one parent has legal responsibility for the care and custody of the child as required by the federal regulations at 45 CFR 46.408(b). All children ages 10 to 15 will sign an approved assent form detailing the study procedures to document their assent. Children 16 and 17 years old will sign the consent form to document their assent. Participants 16 years and older will be given a consent form. The consent and assent forms will be read to the subjects and their parents/guardians. They will then be given the time they need to review the form and ask questions about the study. When the subject and his/her family are completely satisfied about their participation in the study, they will be invited to sign the forms in the presence of a study investigator or research nurse and a witness.

Only individuals who are fluent in English will be enrolled. However, there may unexpected situations where a non-English speaking subject will be enrolled in this study. In such a case, we will only enroll the subject if there is an IRB approved short form available in the subject's language. The PI will follow the UMMS Investigator Guidance for Informed Consent (HRP-802) and UMMS Investigator Guidance for Documentation of Informed Consent (HRP-803). These stipulate that the consenting process will require the services of an interpreter who is fluent in English and the subject's language, an independent witness who is fluent in English and the subject's language (the interpreter and witness may be the same individual), and a member of the study staff. When the subject and his/her family are completely satisfied about their participation in the study, they will be invited to sign the forms in the presence of a study investigator or research nurse. We will not enroll a child if there is any sign of unwillingness.

Please see #21 for a description of where the consent process will take place.

30) Process to Document Consent in Writing

We will document the consent in writing, in the form of a signed Informed Consent Form. We will be following the UMMS Investigator Guidance for Documentation of Informed Consent (HRP-803). This means that for non-English speaking subjects, the subject, the witness, and person obtaining consent will each sign the summary (i.e., the long consent form) and the short form.

31) Drugs or Devices

No drug is being tested in this study.

Storage and Administration: Both the vitamin D capsules and placebo (containing microcrystalline cellulose) will be compounded by Boulevard Pharmaceutical Compounding Center, Worcester, Massachusetts, and supplied to the Investigational Drug Services (IDS), University of Massachusetts for storage and dispensing. Only the IDS staffers have the authority to dispense ergocalciferol or placebo as part of the randomization and ongoing dispensing of study drugs. The PI, Dr. Nwosu, has an ongoing relationship with both the Boulevard Pharmaceutical Compounding Center and IDS as part of his ongoing randomized controlled trial.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

References:

- 1. Retnakaran R (2015) Novel Strategies for Inducing Glycemic Remission during the Honeymoon Phase of Type 2 Diabetes. Can J Diabetes.
- 2. Oram RA, Jones AG, Besser RE, Knight BA, Shields BM, et al. (2014) The majority of patients with long-duration type 1 diabetes are insulin microsecretors and have functioning beta cells. Diabetologia 57: 187-191.
- 3. Sorensen JS, Johannesen J, Pociot F, Kristensen K, Thomsen J, et al. (2013) Residual beta-Cell function 3-6 years after onset of type 1 diabetes reduces risk of severe hypoglycemia in children and adolescents. Diabetes Care 36: 3454-3459.
- 4. Max Andersen ML, Hougaard P, Porksen S, Nielsen LB, Fredheim S, et al. (2014) Partial remission definition: validation based on the insulin dose-adjusted HbA1c (IDAA1C) in 129 Danish children with new-onset type 1 diabetes. Pediatr Diabetes 15: 469-476.
- 5. Steffes MW, Sibley S, Jackson M, Thomas W (2003) Beta-cell function and the development of diabetes-related complications in the diabetes control and complications trial. Diabetes Care 26: 832-836.
- 6. Sherry NA, Tsai EB, Herold KC (2005) Natural history of beta-cell function in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 54 Suppl 2: S32-39.
- 7. Nakanishi K, Watanabe C (2008) Rate of beta-cell destruction in type 1 diabetes influences the development of diabetic retinopathy: protective effect of residual beta-cell function for more than 10 years. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 93: 4759-4766.
- 8. Bizzarri C, Benevento D, Patera IP, Bongiovanni M, Boiani A, et al. (2013) Residual beta-cell mass influences growth of prepubertal children with type 1 diabetes. Horm Res Paediatr 80: 287-292.
- 9. Bowden SA, Duck MM, Hoffman RP (2008) Young children (<5 yr) and adolescents (>12 yr) with type 1 diabetes mellitus have low rate of partial remission: diabetic ketoacidosis is an important risk factor. Pediatr Diabetes 9: 197-201.
- 10. Petrone A, Spoletini M, Zampetti S, Capizzi M, Zavarella S, et al. (2008) The PTPN22 1858T gene variant in type 1 diabetes is associated with reduced residual beta-cell function and worse metabolic control. Diabetes Care 31: 1214-1218.
- 11. Hur KY, Lee MS (2015) New mechanisms of metformin action: Focusing on mitochondria and the gut. J Diabetes Investig 6: 600-609.
- 12. Gabbay MA, Sato MN, Finazzo C, Duarte AJ, Dib SA (2012) Effect of cholecalciferol as adjunctive therapy with insulin on protective immunologic profile and decline of residual beta-cell function in new-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 166: 601-607.
- 13. Ataie-Jafari A, Loke SC, Rahmat AB, Larijani B, Abbasi F, et al. (2013) A randomized placebocontrolled trial of alphacalcidol on the preservation of beta cell function in children with recent onset type 1 diabetes. Clin Nutr 32: 911-917.
- 14. Mishra A, Dayal D, Sachdeva N, Attri SV (2015) Effect of 6-months' vitamin D supplementation on residual beta cell function in children with type 1 diabetes: a case control interventional study. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

- 15. Walter M, Kaupper T, Adler K, Foersch J, Bonifacio E, et al. (2010) No effect of the 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 on beta-cell residual function and insulin requirement in adults with new-onset type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 33: 1443-1448.
- 16. Bizzarri C, Pitocco D, Napoli N, Di Stasio E, Maggi D, et al. (2010) No protective effect of calcitriol on beta-cell function in recent-onset type 1 diabetes: the IMDIAB XIII trial. Diabetes Care 33: 1962-1963.
- 17. Pescovitz MD, Greenbaum CJ, Krause-Steinrauf H, Becker DJ, Gitelman SE, et al. (2009) Rituximab, B-lymphocyte depletion, and preservation of beta-cell function. N Engl J Med 361: 2143-2152.
- 18. Herold KC, Gitelman S, Greenbaum C, Puck J, Hagopian W, et al. (2009) Treatment of patients with new onset Type 1 diabetes with a single course of anti-CD3 mAb Teplizumab preserves insulin production for up to 5 years. Clin Immunol 132: 166-173.
- 19. Ludvigsson J, Faresjo M, Hjorth M, Axelsson S, Cheramy M, et al. (2008) GAD treatment and insulin secretion in recent-onset type 1 diabetes. N Engl J Med 359: 1909-1920.
- 20. Li X, Liao L, Yan X, Huang G, Lin J, et al. (2009) Protective effects of 1-alpha-hydroxyvitamin D3 on residual beta-cell function in patients with adult-onset latent autoimmune diabetes (LADA). Diabetes Metab Res Rev 25: 411-416.
- 21. Chakhtoura M, Azar ST (2013) The role of vitamin d deficiency in the incidence, progression, and complications of type 1 diabetes mellitus. Int J Endocrinol 2013: 148673.
- 22. van Belle TL, Coppieters KT, von Herrath MG (2011) Type 1 diabetes: etiology, immunology, and therapeutic strategies. Physiol Rev 91: 79-118.
- 23. Willi SM, Miller KM, DiMeglio LA, Klingensmith GJ, Simmons JH, et al. (2015) Racial-ethnic disparities in management and outcomes among children with type 1 diabetes. Pediatrics 135: 424-434.
- 24. Nwosu BU, Maranda L, Cullen K, Greenman L, Fleshman J, et al. (2015) A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Adjunctive Metformin Therapy in Overweight/Obese Youth with Type 1 Diabetes. PLoS One 10: e0137525.
- 25. Biancuzzo RM, Clarke N, Reitz RE, Travison TG, Holick MF (2013) Serum concentrations of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin d2 and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin d3 in response to vitamin d2 and vitamin d3 supplementation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 98: 973-979.
- 26. Nwosu BU MB, Maranda L, Ciccarelli C, Reynolds D, Curtis L, King J, Frazier JA, Lee MM. (2011) A potential role for adjunctive vitamin D therapy in the management of weight gain and metabolic side effects of second-generation antipsychotics. Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism (in press).
- 27. (1998) Effect of intensive therapy on residual beta-cell function in patients with type 1 diabetes in the diabetes control and complications trial. A randomized, controlled trial. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. Ann Intern Med 128: 517-523.
- 28. Bode BW, Davidson, P.C., editor (1995) The Insulin Pump Therapy Book. Los Angeles: Minimed Technologies.
- 29. Oram RA, Patel K, Hill A, Shields B, McDonald TJ, et al. (2016) A Type 1 Diabetes Genetic Risk Score Can Aid Discrimination Between Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes in Young Adults. Diabetes Care 39: 337-344.

PI - Benjamin U. Nwosu, MD Docket #: H00010550

- 30. Medicine Io (2010) Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D; Ross AC, editor. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- 31. Smith KE, Levine BA, Clement SC, Hu MJ, Alaoui A, et al. (2004) Impact of MyCareTeam for poorly controlled diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Technol Ther 6: 828-835.
- 32. Foley K (2010) Urine Calcium: Laboratory Measurement and Clinical Utility. Lab Med 41: 683-686.

Modification to the Statistical Analysis Plan 9-12-21

1. Sample size was increased from 40 to 48 to compensate for attrition.

Explanation:

On **6/10/2019** the study protocol was revised to increase the enrollment target from 40 subjects to 48 subjects to compensate for subjects who were discontinued from the study follow enrollment but before randomization. This was to ensure that we preserved the power requirement for the trial. Therefore, the original power calculation and the statistical analysis plan did not change.

Final STATISTICAL PLAN 5-30-2016

STATISTICAL PLAN AS DETAILED IN THE RESARCH STRATEGY OF THE FUNDED GRANT Grant ID: 1 R21 DK113353-03

DATED 5/30/2016

STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS: All analyses will be overseen by Dr. Bruce A. Barton, Professor of Biostatistics at UMMS, and performed by analysts at the Quantitative Methods Core. **Sample Size and Power Calculation:** This trial's sample size was based on establishing a stable estimate (with a 95% confidence interval) for the difference in C-peptide between the two treatment groups. Based on published data²⁷, group sample sizes of 13 in each arm, will produce a two-sided 95% confidence interval with a distance from the difference in means to the limits of 0.081 nmol/l when the estimated standard deviation is 0.10 in each group; i.e., if the estimated difference in C-peptide between the two treatment groups is 0.12 nmol/L, the 95% confidence interval will be ± 0.081. Recruitment goal was increased from 40 to 48 on 6/10/2019 to enable further analysis by BMI strata and to compensate for attrition.

<u>Statistical Analysis:</u> For this trial, PCR will be defined by IDAA1C, a new two-dimensional definition that is clinically meaningful as it relates insulin dose and measured HbA1c to the residual β-cell function⁴. When compared to previous definitions, IDAA1C gave the best agreement with stimulated C-peptide definition of >300 pmol/L²⁸. The formula for IDAA1C is as follows: HbA1c (%) + [4 X insulin dose (units/kg/24h)]. PCR is defined as IDAA1C of \leq 9⁴. Initially, we will use analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to analyze the primary (and secondary) outcome of change from baseline at 12 months as predicted by treatment group assignment and adjusted for the baseline measure. We will follow intention to treat principles in our initial analyses. Further analysis will use a mixed effects model approach to include measures at all time points during the study.

Original STATISTICAL PLAN 5-30-2016

STATISTICAL PLAN AS DETAILED IN THE RESARCH STRATEGY OF THE FUNDED GRANT 5R21DK113353-01

DATED 5/30/2016

STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS: All analyses will be overseen by Dr. Bruce A. Barton, Professor of Biostatistics at UMMS, and performed by analysts at the Quantitative Methods Core. **Sample Size and Power Calculation:** This trial's sample size was based on establishing a stable estimate (with a 95% confidence interval) for the difference in C-peptide between the two treatment groups. Based on published data²⁷, group sample sizes of 13 in each arm, will produce a two-sided 95% confidence interval with a distance from the difference in means to the limits of 0.081 nmol/l when the estimated standard deviation is 0.10 in each group; i.e., if the estimated difference in C-peptide between the two treatment groups is 0.12 nmol/L, the 95% confidence interval will be ± 0.081. Recruitment goal is increased to 40 to enable further analysis by BMI strata and to compensate for attrition.

<u>Statistical Analysis:</u> For this trial, PCR will be defined by IDAA1C, a new two-dimensional definition that is clinically meaningful as it relates insulin dose and measured HbA1c to the residual β-cell function⁴. When compared to previous definitions, IDAA1C gave the best agreement with stimulated C-peptide definition of >300 pmol/L²⁸. The formula for IDAA1C is as follows: HbA1c (%) + [4 X insulin dose (units/kg/24h)]. PCR is defined as IDAA1C of \leq 9⁴. Initially, we will use analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to analyze the primary (and secondary) outcome of change from baseline at 12 months as predicted by treatment group assignment and adjusted for the baseline measure. We will follow intention to treat principles in our initial analyses. Further analysis will use a mixed effects model approach to include measures at all time points during the study.

IRB-Approved Modification Letter_H00010550_4 10.11.2017



October 11, 2017

Benjamin Nwosu, MD University of Massachusetts Pediatrics

Dear Dr. Nwosu:

The IRB reviewed the following:

Type of Submission:	Modification
Review Type:	Non-Committee
Project Title:	Vitamin D Supplementation, Residual Beta-cell
	Function, and Partial Clinical Remission in Pediatric
	Type 1 diabetes: A 12-month Randomized, Double-
	Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial
Investigator:	Benjamin Nwosu, MD
IRB ID:	H00010550_4
Funding Agency:	NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH
Grant Title:	Vitamin D and Residual Beta-Cell Function in Type 1
	Diabetes
Grant ID:	1 R21 DK113353-01
IND or IDE:	Name: Vitamin D2 (Ergocalciferol), IND #: 134232
IRB Review Date:	10/11/2017
Documents Reviewed:	ISP version 2 9.18.17
	Vitamin D Flyer
	Consent Form - Vitamin D and Honeymoon Phase
	9.15.17
	Telephone script

The IRB approved the modification effective on 10/11/2017.

In conducting this research, you are required to follow the requirements listed in the INVESTIGATOR MANUAL.

Sincerely,

Andrea Robinson, CIP IRB Protocol Specialist

cc: Ciccarelli, Carol