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The RNA-binding protein ATX-2 regulates cytokinesis through PAR-5 and ZEN-4

Megan M. Gnazzo, Eva-Maria E. Uhlemann, Alex R. Villarreal, Masaki Shirayama, Eddie G. Dominguez, and Ahna R. Skop

ABSTRACT The spindle midzone harbors both microtubules and proteins necessary for furrow formation and the completion of cytokinesis. However, the mechanisms that mediate the temporal and spatial recruitment of cell division factors to the spindle midzone and midbody remain unclear. Here we describe a mechanism governed by the conserved RNA-binding protein ATX-2/Ataxin-2, which targets and maintains ZEN-4 at the spindle midzone. ATX-2 does this by regulating the amount of PAR-5 at mitotic structures, particularly the spindle, centrosomes, and midbody. Preventing ATX-2 function leads to elevated levels of PAR-5, enhanced chromatin and centrosome localization of PAR-5-GFP, and ultimately a reduction of ZEN-4–GFP at the spindle midzone. Codepletion of ATX-2 and PAR-5 rescued the localization of ZEN-4 at the spindle midzone, indicating that ATX-2 mediates the localization of ZEN-4 upstream of PAR-5. We provide the first direct evidence that ATX-2 is necessary for cytokinesis and suggest a model in which ATX-2 facilitates the targeting of ZEN-4 to the spindle midzone by mediating the posttranscriptional regulation of PAR-5.

INTRODUCTION

In animal cells, cytokinesis requires the dynamic interplay of microtubules, membrane, and actin to coordinate the positioning and formation of the cleavage furrow (Knoblich, 2010; Green et al., 2012; Mierzwia and Gerlich, 2014; D’Avino et al., 2015). The cleavage plane in many animal systems is dependent on a structure called the spindle midzone, which is formed from overlapping spindle microtubules in anaphase (Wheatley and Wang, 1996; Glotzer, 2004; Galli and van den Heuvel, 2008; Pacquelet et al., 2015; Landino and Ohi, 2016). The assembly and stability of the spindle midzone are regulated by a conserved complex called centralspindlin, which consists of KIF23/ZEN-4 and RacGAP/CYK-4. KIF23/ZEN-4 is a kinesin-6 family member that binds to a nonmotor subunit, RacGAP/CYK-4, with which it works in concert to bundle and anchor the midzone to the cleavage furrow (Misushima et al., 2002; Lekomtsev et al., 2012; White and Glotzer, 2012; Glotzer, 2013; Davies et al., 2015). Despite recent data on how centralspindlin is initially targeted (Douglas et al., 2010; Basant et al., 2015), the regulation of this complex and associated factors remains unclear. Although several genomic and proteomic screens have identified factors required for spindle assembly and cytokinesis (Goshima and Vale, 2003; Echard et al., 2004; Eggert et al., 2004; Skop et al., 2004; Neumann et al., 2010; Bonner et al., 2011; Moutinho-Pereira et al., 2013), many of these factors have not been fully characterized. One such factor, Ataxin-2/ATX-2, identified in a proteomic screen of isolated mammalian midbodies, is necessary for cytokinesis (Skop et al., 2004) and is associated with two important human diseases, spinocerebellar ataxia type II (SCA2) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS; Pulst et al., 1996; Elden et al., 2010).

SCA2 and ALS are neurodegenerative diseases associated with varying numbers of polyglutamine (CAG) repeats in the RNA-binding protein Ataxin-2 (Pulst et al., 1996; Elden et al., 2010;
Fischbeck and Puls, 2011; Van Damme et al., 2011; Fittschen et al., 2015). SCA2 belongs to a family of diseases that includes Huntington’s disease, dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy, and spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy (Shao and Diamond, 2007). Individuals with SCA2 suffer degeneration and atrophy of Purkinje cells in the cerebellum, leading to defects in muscle coordination and balance (ataxia), as well as a shortened lifespan (Scherzed et al., 2012). Although human Ataxin-2 has been widely studied as a regulator of stress granules and processing bodies (Nonhoff et al., 2007; van de Loo et al., 2009; Kaehler et al., 2012; Yokoshi et al., 2014), its precise cellular role is unclear. Work in several model organisms has begun to shed light on our understanding of Ataxin-2 function in the cell (Satterfield et al., 2002; Ciosk et al., 2004; Maini et al., 2004; Kassum et al., 2012), yet many questions remain.

Ataxin-2 belongs to a conserved family of cytoplasmic Like-SM (Lsm) proteins widely expressed in eukaryotes (Albrecht et al., 2004; Jimenez-Lopez and Guzman, 2014). Ataxin-2 contains three conserved RNA-binding domains: SM-ATX, LsmAD, and PAM2 (Albrecht et al., 2004; Yokoshi et al., 2014). Ataxin-2 binds and stabilizes mRNA directly or through a conserved association with poly(A)-binding protein cytoplasmic 1 (PABPC1), mediating the stability, transport, and translation of target mRNAs (Kozlov et al., 2001; Goss and Kleiman, 2013). Ataxin-2 positively regulates translation functions upstream of PAR-5 in the spindle midzone assembly pathway (Douglas et al., 2010; Basant et al., 2015). Our data suggest a novel function for the RNA-binding protein, ATX-2, in mitosis by regulating PAR-5 and spindle midzone assembly.

RESULTS

ATX-2 is necessary for cytokinesis, the anaphase/telophase transition, and pronuclear size

Ataxin-2 was identified in a proteomic screen of isolated mammalian midbodies, and depletion of the C. elegans orthologue, ATX-2, indicated a role in cell division (Skop et al., 2004), yet many questions remain. To determine the specific role for ATX-2 in mitosis, we compared atx-2 feeding RNA interference (IRNAi)–treated embryos and an atx-2 temperature-sensitive (ts) mutant strain (ne4297; Q919stop) with control embryos and observed the early embryonic divisions using in vivo videomicroscopy (Figure 1A and Supplemental Figure S1C). In control embryos, the cleavage furrow initiated and completed to form two blastomeres of unequal size (Figure 1A, control, and Supplemental Movie S1), whereas atx-2 IRNAi–treated embryos exhibited early (17%; n = 3 of 18) and late (28%; n = 5 of 18) cytokinesis failures (Figure 1A and Supplemental Movies S2 and S3). Cytokinesis failures occurred well into the second and third divisions in atx-2 IRNAi–treated embryos, resulting in multinucleate embryos (unpublished data). Similar embryonic phenotypes were observed in atx-2(ne4297) embryos at the restrictive temperature (22 or 24°C; n = 8 of 24; Figure 1A, atx-2(ne4297), and Supplemental Movie S4). ATX-2 protein levels were reduced in both atx-2 IRNAi and atx-2(ne4297) embryos (Supplemental Figure S1, A and B), and for the rest of our experiments, we used both RNA feeding and atx-2 ts mutants to assay the loss of ATX-2.

To monitor plasma membrane dynamics during cell division, we depleted ATX-2 using fRNAi in worms coexpressing GFP–PH domain and mCherry–histone H2B (Green et al., 2008). Under control conditions, two polar bodies were extruded to the anterior of the embryo after meiosis II (Figure 1B and Supplemental Movie S5). After pronuclear meeting, the chromosomes aligned at the metaphase plate, segregated to opposite poles in anaphase, and remained condensed until telophase (Figure 1B and Supplemental Movie S5). The cleavage furrow initiated in anaphase and completed in cytokinesis, yielding two blastomeres of unequal size (Figure 1B). In 33% of the atx-2 IRNAi–treated embryos, an extra body of histone H2B–GFP was observed in the anterior (n = 6 of 18; Figure 1B and Supplemental Movie S6), likely from polar body extrusion failures in meiosis II. This compacted DNA migrated along with the maternal pronuclei yet never mixed with the paternal or maternal pronuclei during mitosis (Figure 1B and Supplemental Movie S6). These polar body extrusion defects were similar to those observed in ZEN-4 and CAR-1 mutant embryos (Raich et al., 1998; Audhya et al., 2005; Squirell et al., 2006). After metaphase, the chromosomes segregated in anaphase, and the cleavage furrow initiated and appeared to complete before subsequently retracting, leading to blastomeres with multiple nuclei in 44% of the ATX-2–depleted embryos (n = 7 of 16; Figure 1B). In some embryos, the chromatin decondensed before furrow initiation, suggesting a delay in furrow initiation, precocious decondensation of chromosomes, or a cell cycle defect (Figure 1B). The furrow initiation delay mimics phenotypes observed in cells treated with Taxol, in which inhibition of microtubule dynamics resulted in defects in the timing and completion of the furrow (Shannon et al., 2005; Strickland et al., 2005). No obvious plasma membrane defects were observed, except for failures in meiotic and mitotic cytokinesis.

Given that we observed a cell cycle delay in atx-2 IRNAi–treated embryos, we sought to determine and quantify the time between the chromatid phases in mitosis in control and atx-2 IRNAi–treated embryos (Figure 1C). In control embryos, the transition from metaphase to anaphase onset took an average of 60 ± 3 s, and the transition from anaphase to telophase took an average of 121 ± 3 s.
FIGURE 1: ATX-2 is necessary during mitosis. (A) Early and late cytokinesis defects are observed in atx-2 RNAi–treated and atx-2(ne4297) embryos. DIC time-lapse images of control, atx-2 RNAi-treated, and atx-2(ne4297) (24°C) embryos throughout the first cell division. In control embryos, the cleavage furrow initiates (7:00) and subsequently completes (9:00), resulting in a two-cell embryo (11:10). In atx-2 RNAi–treated embryos, an early cytokinesis failure occurs when the cleavage furrow ingresses one-fourth of the way into the cell (RNAi, top montage; 12:10) and subsequently retracts (RNAi early; 25:10), and a late cytokinesis failure occurs when the furrow ingresses three-fourths or more into the embryo (RNAi late; 18:00) before retraction (RNAi, bottom montage; 20:50). In atx-2(ne4297) embryos, the cleavage furrow initiates (7:30) and subsequently retracts, resulting in a multinucleate embryo (27:50). Time in minutes:seconds is given relative to pronuclear meeting. Scale bar, 10 μm. (B) Membrane and DNA dynamics in control and atx-2 RNAi–treated embryos coexpressing GFP–PH domain and mCherry–histone H2B. In control embryos, the daughter set of chromosomes segregates, the furrow initiates in anaphase (8:20), and the cleavage furrow completes, yielding a two-cell embryo (13:10). The extra nucleus in the atx-2 RNAi embryo (0:00) indicates a polar body extrusion failure.
We then compared the pronuclear area (in μm²) of the maternal and paternal pronuclei in control (embryos were 9.82 μm² larger (Figure 1D). However, atx-2 RNAi–treated embryos averaged 196 ± 13 s to complete the anaphase-to-telophase transition, which was statistically significant (n = 16; p < 0.00005; Figure 1C). The delay during the anaphase-to-telophase transition was significant regardless of a successful completion (gray points) or cytokinesis failure (blue points), suggesting a specific role for ATX-2 during the anaphase-to-telophase transition. This specific cell cycle delay is similar to those observed in Staufen-depleted UZOS cells (Boulay et al., 2014), suggesting a role in mediating cell division factors during this time. Of note, both Staufen and Ataxin-2 bind mRNAs encoding proteins that mediate aspects of the cell cycle (LeGendre et al., 2013; Boulay et al., 2014; Yokoshi et al., 2014), suggesting that the function of ATX-2 may be temporally coordinated.

In atx-2 RNAi–treated embryos, we also observed that the pronuclei appeared larger (Figure 1, B and D). To quantify pronuclear size, we used a strain that coexpressed mCherry–histone H2B and yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)–LMN-1 (Lamin; Portier et al., 2007) to visualize the DNA and nuclear envelope, respectively (Figure 1D). We then compared the pronuclear area (in μm²) of the maternal and paternal pronuclei in control (n = 10) and atx-2 RNAi–treated (n = 14) embryos. On average, the maternal pronuclei in atx-2 RNAi–treated embryos were 9.82 μm² larger (p = 0.009; control, 56.12 μm²; atx-2 RNAi, 65.94μm²), and the paternal pronuclei were 13.18 μm² larger (p = 0.00009; control, 53.25 μm², atx-2 RNAi, 66.43 μm²), than controls. These results support observations that ATX-2 functions in meiosis (Ciosto et al., 2004; Maine et al., 2004) by contributing to chromatin remodeling and nuclear envelope assembly in some way.

Depletion of ATX-2 perturbs germline cytokinesis

ATX-2 is necessary for proper germline development, including germline proliferation and oogenesis. As a result, when ATX-2 is depleted, the C. elegans germline shrinks and becomes masculinized, causing sterility (Ciosto et al., 2004; Maine et al., 2004). To determine whether defective germline cytokinesis failures are the cause of the sterility observed, we monitored membrane dynamics in control and atx-2 RNAi–treated worms coexpressing GFP–PH domain and mCherry–histone H2B (Green et al., 2008). At the mid focal plane in control animals, the germline nuclei were separated by a T-shaped membrane surrounding the rachis (common cytoplasm). At the top focal plane, the germline is organized into hexagonal cells with one nucleus each (Skop et al., 2001; Green et al., 2008; Pazdernik and Schedl, 2013; Figure 1E). At the mid focal plane in atx-2 RNAi–treated worms, the T-shaped membranes were replaced with Y-shaped, l-shaped, or looped membranes, with stray nuclei localized within the rachis (Figure 1E, white arrowheads). At the top focal plane, the hexagonal membrane pattern was abnormal (Figure 1E). ATX-2 plays an important role in germline membrane structure and may contribute to the sterility observed (Ciosto et al., 2004; Maine et al., 2004).

ATX-2 localizes to cytoplasmic granules, centrosomes, the spindle, the spindle midzone, and midbody

To determine the temporal and spatial localization of ATX-2 in the C. elegans embryo, we constructed an ATX-2-GFP strain (MAD63; see Materials and Methods). The GFP signal was specific, as we detected a reduction of the ATX-2-GFP signal in atx-2 RNAi–treated embryos (Supplemental Figure S2A). In mitosis, ATX-2-GFP decorated dynamic puncta of various sizes throughout the cytoplasm of early embryos (Supplemental Figure S2A and Supplemental Movie S7). These puncta were similar in size to P-granules, except that they did not polarize to the posterior pole after pronuclear meeting, suggesting that they may be RNA stress granules, a previously attributed function for Ataxin-2 in mammalian cells (Nonhoff et al., 2007; Kaehler et al., 2012). To determine the precise localization pattern during mitosis, we fixed, immunostained, and projected 15 optical 0.1-μm sections per embryo of ATX-2-GFP–expressing embryos with anti-GFP antibody. In metaphase-stage embryos, we detected an enrichment of ATX-2 puncta adjacent to the metaphase plate (white arrowheads), around the centrosomes in anaphase (white arrowheads), on the spindle midzone, and at the midbody during telophase (yellow arrowheads; Figure 2A). During anaphase, we observed enrichment of ATX-2-GFP on a round structure in the anterior of the embryo (white arrow), likely an organelle or vesicle-like structure 2 μm in size. In late-stage (~100 cell) embryos, ATX-2 localized to cytoplasmic puncta, as well as around the periphery of the germline precursor nuclei, Z2 and Z3 cells (Figure 2B). Overall we determined that ATX-2 localizes to nonpolarizing cytoplasmic granules, around germline precursor nuclei, and to spindle-associated structures. These data indicate that ATX-2 may function not only in RNA-associated puncta or granules as previously reported (Nonhoff et al., 2007; Kaehler et al., 2012; Figley et al., 2014), but also specifically on the spindle during mitosis.
determine whether ATX-2 regulates RNA granules in a similar way, we monitored the dynamics of RNA-rich P-granules, using PGL-1–GFP (Cheeks et al., 2004). In control embryos, by pronuclear meeting,
P-granules of various sizes segregated to the posterior of the cell (Supplemental Figure S2D, 0:00 min) and at the two-cell stage remained in the P1 cell (Supplemental Figure S2D, 14:00 min, and Supplemental Movie S15; Strome, 2005). Any P-granules remaining in the AB cell of the embryo were rapidly degraded (Strome and Wood, 1983; Hird et al., 1996). P-granules in atx-2 fRNAi–treated embryos did not segregate properly to the posterior of the embryo by pronuclear meeting and were larger, and several granules persisted in the AB cell at the two-cell stage when cell division completed (n = 12 of 17; Supplemental Figure S2D, middle, and Supplemental Movie S16) or in the anterior of the cell when cytokinesis failed (n = 3 of 3; Supplemental Figure S2D, bottom, and Supplemental Movie S17). These data suggest a failure in the segregation to the posterior, a failure to degrade P-granules in the anterior, or a defect in RNA granule dynamics. This observation is consistent with the loss of known ATX-2 interacting proteins PAB-1 and CGH-1 (Updike and Strome, 2009; Ko et al., 2013). This observation is consistent with a disruption to cell polarity (Watts et al., 1996; Gotta et al., 2001) that we observed in ATX-2–depleted embryos (Supplemental Figure S2C). Here, atx-2 fRNAi–treated embryos coexpressing the opposing polarity domains of PAR-6 (Supplemental Figure S2C, green) and PAR-2 (red) failed to occupy their normal cortical localization. Compared to control embryos, PAR-2 occupied 8% more of the cortical cell length (Supplemental Figure S2C and Supplemental Movies S13 and S14). Taken together, the results show that ATX-2 appears to be important for regulating P-granule dynamics; further study is necessary, however, to determine the specific relationship between ATX-2, PGL-1, and P-granule dynamics.

**ATX-2 depletion leads to spindle orientation and midzone defects**

Successful asymmetric cell division requires proper spindle midzone microtubule dynamics and alignment of the mitotic spindle along the axis of polarity (Hyman and White, 1987; Lu and Johnston, 2013; Landino and Ohl, 2016). Given that we observed cytokinesis defects, we sought to determine whether ATX-2 is necessary for microtubule dynamics during the cell cycle. To do this, we monitored microtubules in the early embryo using a strain coexpressing GFP-tagged tubulin and histones (TBB-2–GFP; HIS-11–GFP; Skop et al., 2004). In control embryos, the spindle assembled along the anterior–posterior axis and, during anaphase, elongated to form the spindle midzone (Figure 2C and Supplemental Movie S8). In some ATX-2–depleted embryos, the spindle aligned properly and the midzones appeared similar to control embryos (n = 5 of 15; unpublished data). However, in most cases when ATX-2 was depleted, we observed spindle orientation defects in both metaphase (n = 4 of 15) and anaphase (n = 9 of 15), as well as a reduction or loss of spindle midzone microtubules in late anaphase (n = 6 of 15; Figure 2, C–E, insets, and Supplemental Movies S9 and S10). In control embryos, TBB-2–GFP fluorescence intensity during anaphase was on average 21.86 arbitrary units (a.u.; n = 14; measured by drawing a region of interest [ROI] around the spindle midzone in anaphase). In ATX-2–depleted embryos, TBB-2–GFP fluorescence intensity at the spindle midzone was significantly reduced to 12.00 a.u. (p = 0.002) when cytokinesis failed, and there was no significant reduction in midzone fluorescence intensity (16.83 a.u.) when cytokinesis completed (n = 15; Figure 2, C and D). Most of the ATX-2–depleted embryos that failed in cytokinesis had reduced spindle midzone fluorescence (n = 5 of 6), but not all ATX-2–depleted embryos that had reduced spindle midzone fluorescence failed in cytokinesis. The reduced spindle midzone microtubules were not rescued after codepletion of ATX-2 and PAR-5 (Supplemental Figure S2, E and F). The midzone defects were similar to those observed in car-1 fRNAi–treated embryos (Audhya et al., 2005; Squirrell et al., 2006). Cytokinesis failures in atx-2 fRNAi–treated embryos were not the result of a disruption in actin at the furrow or in the cortex (Supplemental Figure S2B and Supplemental Movies S11 and S12), suggesting that the primary roles of ATX-2 may be in spindle alignment and midzone assembly.

**ATX-2 targets ZEN-4 to the spindle midzone but does not regulate ZEN-4 RNA or protein levels**

Given that the spindle midzone was weak or absent in many of our ATX-2–depleted embryos, we sought to determine whether ZEN-4 properly localized during mitosis. In control embryos, ZEN-4–GFP first appeared at the spindle midzone in anaphase and persisted until the end of cytokinesis (Figure 3A). In control embryos, ZEN-4–GFP fluorescence intensity during anaphase was on average 14.55 a.u. (n = 8; measured by drawing an ROI around the spindle midzone in anaphase). In ATX-2–depleted embryos, ZEN-4–GFP fluorescence intensity ranged between 1.43 and 40.72 a.u. at the spindle midzone (n = 20; Figure 3, A and B). Ectopic ZEN-4–GFP puncta (yellow boxes) were also observed in the posterior of the embryo near or associated with the centrosomes (Supplemental Figure S3A). When cytokinesis failed, ZEN-4–GFP intensity levels were reduced to 6.51 a.u., compared with control levels of 14.55 a.u. (n = 6 of 20; Figure 3B). When cytokinesis did not fail, ZEN-4–GFP intensity levels varied greatly (3.82–40.72 a.u.) and averaged 20.86 a.u. (n = 14 of 20; Figure 3B), suggesting that ATX-2 may function to modulate the levels of ZEN-4 protein at the spindle midzone. Furthermore, these data suggest that in the absence of ATX-2, ZEN-4–GFP localization to the spindle midzone was disrupted. Expression of CYK-4–GFP, the C. elegans homologue of RcaGAP and a member of the central-spindlin complex, at the spindle midzone was not affected by ATX-2 depletion (Supplemental Figure S3, B and C). In atx-2(ne4297) germlines, ZEN-4 also failed to localize to the T-shaped membrane structure, often extending into or completely across the rachis (Supplemental Figure S3D). Our data suggest that ATX-2 is necessary to maintain proper ZEN-4 at the spindle midzone and germline furrows and could also mediate ZEN-4 levels during mitosis.

To determine whether this might be the case, we quantified ZEN-4 using a quantitative Western blot. We loaded equal amounts of embryo lysate from control (fRNAi empty vector) and atx-2 fRNAi–treated embryos. In addition, we compared lysates from N2 and atx-2(ne4297) embryos at permissive (15°C) and restrictive (24°C) temperatures. We then probed with an anti-ZEN-4 antibody (gift from Michael Glotzer, University of Chicago; Figure 3C) and measured ZEN-4 protein expression. In both N2 and atx-2(ne4297) embryos at the restrictive temperature (24°C), ZEN-4 protein levels were reduced twofold to threefold compared with embryos at the permissive temperature (15°C), suggesting that ZEN-4 protein expression is unstable when the temperature is increased (Figure 3D). We observed a slight decrease in ZEN-4 protein expression between the atx-2(ne4297) embryos at 15 and 24°C (p = 0.2; n = 3). However, there was no significant difference between N2 and atx-2(ne4297) embryos at 24°C. Furthermore, atx-2 fRNAi–treated embryos did not exhibit a significant decrease in ZEN-4 protein expression compared with control (Figure 3D). Taking the results together, we cannot conclude that ATX-2 depletion causes a decrease in ZEN-4 protein expression. To determine whether ZEN-4 transcript levels were affected, we performed real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Here, ZEN-4 transcript levels were unaffected after ATX-2 depletion (Supplemental Figure S3B), suggesting that ATX-2 does not regulate either ZEN-4 mRNA or protein directly. The reduced...
levels of ZEN-4-GFP at the spindle midzone could be a secondary phenotype resulting from decreased midzone microtubules or premature lengthening of the mitotic spindle. To address the latter possibility, we inhibited premature lengthening of the mitotic spindle by depleting GPR-1/2, a protein necessary for the spindle-pulling forces (Srinivasan et al., 2003; Audhya et al., 2005). We codepleted GPR-1/2 and ATX-2 using RNAi and measured ZEN-4–GFP fluorescence intensity in live embryos. Codepletion limited the premature spindle elongation phenotype observed in ATX-2–depleted embryos but did not rescue the reduction of ZEN-4–GFP fluorescence at the spindle midzone (Figure 3, A and B), indicating that ATX-2 functions to modulate ZEN-4 targeting to the spindle midzone.

**ATX-2 regulates PAR-5 posttranscriptionally**

To determine how ATX-2 might function in targeting of ZEN-4 to the spindle midzone, we selected PAR-5, the homologue of mammalian 14-3-3σ, which has been shown to coordinate mitotic translation and cytokinesis (Wilker et al., 2007). PAR-5 is also a negative regulator of ZEN-4 and plays a role in the activation of ZEN-4 during mitosis (Douglas et al., 2010; Basant et al., 2015). Finally, mammalian 14-3-3σ (PAR-5) and KIF23 (ZEN-4) mRNAs are direct Ataxin-2 targets (Yokoshi et al., 2014). Given these previous data and our work, we hypothesized that ATX-2 could regulate the expression of PAR-5 in some way. To monitor PAR-5 protein expression, we quantified PAR-5 protein levels using a quantitative Western blot. Lysozymes from control (empty vector), atx-2 RNAi–treated, N2, and atx-2(ne4297) (at permissive [15°C] and restrictive temperatures [22°C]) embryos were probed with anti–PAR-5 antibody (gift from Andy Golden, National Institutes of Health; Morton et al., 2002). We determined that depletion of ATX-2, either by RNAi or in the atx-2(ne4297) mutant embryos at 22°C, led to a 1.12- to 1.55-fold increase in PAR-5 protein levels compared with 15°C control embryos. We further determined whether PAR-5 and ATX-2 shared similar localization patterns during mitosis. PAR-5 localization was originally described as cytoplasmic in early embryos (Morton et al., 2002), but the cell cycle pattern had not been described. Given this, we used PAR-5–GFP–expressing embryos (Miki and Cowan, 2014). To our surprise, PAR-5–GFP localized around the metaphase plate, to the centrosomes.

![Figure 3](https://example.com/fig3.png)

**Figure 3:** ATX-2 regulates ZEN-4 and PAR-5 localization during mitosis and posttranscriptionally regulates PAR-5 expression. (A) Visualization of ZEN-4-GFP localization in control and atx-2 RNAi–, atx-2; gpr-2 RNAi–, par-5 RNAi–, and atx-2; par-5 RNAi–treated embryos. In control embryos, ZEN-4 localizes to the spindle midzone. In atx-2 RNAi– and atx-2; gpr-2 RNAi–treated embryos, ZEN-4 expression at the midzone is reduced. Images are maximum projections of 0.5-μm z-stacks spanning 1.5 μm. Scale bars, 10 μm. (B) Quantification of ZEN-4–GFP fluorescence intensity in control and atx-2 RNAi–, and atx-2; gpr-2 RNAi–treated embryos. ZEN-4 protein expression levels were normal in control temperature shifts from 15 to 24°C, and we cannot conclude that ZEN-4 expression is altered with ATX-2 depletion. As an antibody control, ZEN-4 RNAi–treated embryos were probed with ZEN-4 antibody. Results are mean ± SEM. Asterisks represent level of significance for compared data. *p < 0.05. (C, D) Quantitative Western blot analysis of relative protein expression levels of ZEN-4 in control and atx-2(ne4297) worms grown at 15 or 24°C and atx-2 RNAi–treated embryos from N2 at similar conditions. Protein levels were normalized to actin. ZEN-4 protein expression levels were inconsistent in control temperature shifts from 15 to 24°C. mRNA levels were normalized to tba-1 (α-tubulin), and 24°C samples were compared with their respective 15°C control sample. par-5 mRNA expression is unchanged when ATX-2 is depleted. Results are mean ± SEM.

If ATX-2 regulates PAR-5 protein levels necessary for targeting ZEN-4 to the midzone, we predict that ZEN-4 localization could be rescued by depleting both ATX-2 and PAR-5. To test this, we codepleted ATX-2 and PAR-5 using RNAi in ZEN-4–GFP–expressing embryos and measured ZEN-4–GFP fluorescence intensity levels at the spindle midzone (Figure 3, A and B). As a control, ZEN-4–GFP fluorescence intensity levels were measured in ATX-2– and PAR-5–depleted embryos. Here, ZEN-4–GFP expression was similar to that for control embryos (Figure 3, A and B). These data suggest that in the absence of both ATX-2 and PAR-5, ZEN-4–GFP is able to localize to the midzone, revealing that ATX-2 likely functions to modulate PAR-5 posttranscriptionally.

To investigate how ATX-2 might regulate PAR-5, we sought to determine whether PAR-5 and ATX-2 shared similar localization patterns during mitosis. PAR-5 localization was originally described as cytoplasmic in early embryos (Morton et al., 2002), but the cell cycle pattern had not been described. Given this, we used PAR-5–GFP–expressing embryos (Miki and Cowan, 2014). To our surprise, PAR-5–GFP localized around the metaphase plate, to the centrosomes.
ATX-2 plays an important role in cytokinesis

Mammalian Ataxin-2 localizes to the Golgi, RER, and RNA stress granules and plays a role in RNA transport and processing (Huynh et al., 2003; van de Loo et al., 2009; Yokoshi et al., 2014), yet the precise cellular role for Ataxin-2 is unclear. To further understand the cell biology of Ataxin-2, we uncovered additional roles for ATX-2 by studying its role in developing C. elegans embryos. Here loss of ATX-2 led to cell cycle delays, cytokinesis failures, and disrupted spindle midzone microtubule bundles. Furthermore, ATX-2 localized to cytoplasmic puncta (similar to RNA granules) and aggregated around mitotic structures, including the spindle, centrosomes, the spindle midzone, and the midbody (Figure 2A). Although human Ataxin-2 has been widely studied as a regulator of stress granules and processing bodies (Nonhoff et al., 2007; van de Loo et al., 2009; Kaehler et al., 2012; Yokoshi et al., 2014), we found a new and important role for ATX-2 in mitosis.

FIGURE 4: Mitosis requires ATX-2. (A) Model summarizing the role of ATX-2 during mitosis. In control embryos, PAR-5 (orange) localizes around the metaphase plate and faintly to the centrosomes. During anaphase and telophase, PAR-5 localizes to the spindle midzone, where it maintains ZEN-4 (purple). In ATX-2–depleted embryos, PAR-5 localization accumulates at the metaphase plate and centrosomes. During anaphase and telophase, PAR-5 is lost from the spindle midzone, which leads to the loss of ZEN-4 from the midzone. ZEN-4 puncta localize ectopically near the centrosomes in the posterior of the embryo. (B) Our data suggest that ATX-2 functions upstream of PAR-5 in the targeting and maintaining of ZEN-4 at the spindle midzone.

(bblack arrowheads), to the spindle midzone, to the midbody (white arrowheads), and faintly along the cleavage furrow in telophase (Figure 3G and Supplemental Movie S18), very similarly to ATX-2. In ATX-2–depleted embryos, we observed a decrease in PAR-5:GFP around the metaphase plate and a dramatic increase in PAR-5:GFP at the centrosomes (black arrowheads; Figure 3G and Supplemental Movie S19). During anaphase, PAR-5 maintained its localization to the centrosomes and chromatin, and in late anaphase, PAR-5 puncta dispersed into the cytoplasm, appearing to come from the spindle midzone (Supplemental Movie S19). In telophase, PAR-5:GFP accumulated at the periphery of the centrosomes and less was observed around the chromatin. In sum, our data suggest that during mitosis, ATX-2 mediates the levels and the temporal and spatial localization of PAR-5 (Figures 3 and 4). Ultimately, our data place ATX-2 upstream of PAR-5 in a molecular pathway necessary to target and maintain ZEN-4 to the spindle midzone. We provided the first direct evidence that ATX-2 is necessary for cytokinesis by mediating the posttranscriptional regulation of PAR-5.

DISCUSSION

The work presented here provides a novel function for the conserved RNA-binding protein ATX-2 in mitosis. We show that cytokinesis requires ATX-2 by regulating a novel pathway necessary to target ZEN-4 to the spindle midzone. ATX-2 does this by properly targeting PAR-5 to mitotic structures and functioning as a molecular rheostat for PAR-5, a known inhibitor of ZEN-4 (Douglas et al., 2010; Basant et al., 2015). Loss of ATX-2 leads to increased levels of PAR-5, which lead to a reduction of ZEN-4 at the midzone. This loss of ZEN-4 at the midzone is rescued upon codepletion of ATX-2 and PAR-5, suggesting that ATX-2 functions upstream of PAR-5. Our results suggest that ATX-2 promotes cell division by facilitating the targeting of ZEN-4 to the spindle midzone through the posttranscriptional regulation of PAR-5.

ATX-2 regulates PAR-5 expression and localization during mitosis

Successful cell division requires a stable spindle midzone (Wheatley and Wang, 1996; Bringmann and Hyman, 2005; Khmelinskii and
Schönegg, 2008; Lewellyn et al., 2011; White and Glotzer, 2012; Landino and Ohl, 2016). Recent work from the Glotzer lab suggests that PAR-5 may play an important role in midzone assembly (Basant et al., 2015); however, many questions remain. Here our study found that PAR-5 is not only important for division but also is temporally and spatially regulated during mitosis. Once believed to be cytoplasmically localized (Morton et al., 2002; Miki and Cowan, 2014), we found a novel pattern for PAR-5 in mitosis, in which PAR-5 is enriched around the centrosomes, metaphase plate, midzone, and midbody (Figure 3G). Loss of ATX-2 leads to a dramatic shift in PAR-5–GFP localization primarily to the centrosomes and around the metaphase plate. In mammalian tissue culture cells, the PAR-5 orthologue, 14-3-3σ, is necessary for cytokinesis by regulating the switch between cap-dependent and -independent translation, and yet the localization and regulation of 14-3-3σ was not described (Wilker et al., 2007). We found that the mitotic localization of PAR-5 is not only dependent on ATX-2 but also is important for its expression and activity.

The role of RNA-binding proteins in mitosis
It has become evident that RNA-binding proteins play an important role in the regulation of target mRNAs throughout development (Bandzuijs et al., 1989; Colegrove-Otero et al., 2005; Gerstberger et al., 2014; Ye and Biellioch, 2014; Briner and Cooper, 2016). However, how mRNAs are regulated during mitosis remains unclear. Once believed to cease in mitosis, cap-independent translation plays a significant role (Wilker et al., 2007). How translation is both spatially and temporally regulated during spindle assembly and cytokinesis is an important step in understanding how cells coordinate the activity and dynamics of proteins necessary for cell division. It remains to be determined how ATX-2/Ataxin-2 regulates the function and dynamics of mRNA targets during cell division. Uncovering these mechanisms might contribute to our understanding of neurodegenerative disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Worm strains and ATX-2–GFP construction
Strains used in this study, include N2 (control; Brenner, 1974), OD95 (GFP-PhPLC::da:mCherry-HIS-58; Greer et al., 2008), OD139 (mCherry–histone H2B;YFP-LMN-1; Portier et al., 2007), TY35S8 (TBB-2-GFP;GFP-HIS-11; Skop et al., 2004), WM210 (atx-2(t2s);ne4297; Q919stop; isolated by ENU mutagenesis), PGL-1; Cheeks et al., 2004), TH120 (GFP-PAR-2;mCherry-PAR-6; Schonegg et al., 2007), KK299 (par-5(it55); Morton et al., 2002), MG170 (ZEN-4-GFP; Kaitna et al., 2000), U50 (GFP-PAR-5; Miki and Cowan, 2014), PF100 (MOE-1-GFP; Motegi et al., 2006), and WH279 (CYK-4-GFP; Verbruggen and White, 2004). All strains were maintained at 24°C, with the exception of, atx-2(ne4297), which was maintained at 15°C and shifted to 22 or 24°C to deplete ATX-2 (see Western blot, Supplementary Figure S1B). Some strains were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (St. Paul, MN). The ATX-2–GFP strain MAD63 (dq51l[Pmex-5:ATX-2a::GFP::tbb-2 3’ UTR + unc-119(+)] II; unc-119(ed3) III) was generated as follows: cDNA of the a isoform of atx-2 (atx-2a) was amplified from pT7β (generously provided by Rafal Ciosk, Friedrich Miescher Institute for Biomedical Research) and then inserted into pCR®8/GW/TOPO (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The primers used to amplify the atx-2a were sense primer, 5′-ATGTAACACACAAAGGGTCTCCCTGGCG-3′, and antisense primer, 5′-CGGCGGGGCTCTGAGAGTCG-3′. The resulting atx-2a vector was then combined with pJA252 (Pmex-5 w/o stop; Addgene plasmid 21512; Zeiser et al., 2011), pJAZ56 ([Gly]3Ala::gfp::tbb-2 3’ UTR; Addgene plasmid 21509; Zeiser et al., 2011), and pCFJ150 (pDESThT5605[R4-R3], II; Addgene plasmid 19329; Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2008) in a Gateway LR Clonase reaction (Invitrogen) to make the final construct, pMMG07, Pmex-5/atx-2a/[Gly]3Ala::gfp::tbb-2 3’ UTR. The resulting construct was injected into the Mos1 insertion strain EG6699 (Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2008). The injection mix contained pMMG07 (22.5 ng/μl), pCFJ601 (50 ng/μl; Addgene plasmid 34874; Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2012), pMA122 (10 ng/μl; Addgene plasmid 34873; Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2012), and the coinjection markers pGH8 (10 ng/μl; Addgene plasmid 19359; Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2008), pCFJ90 (2.5 ng/μl; Addgene plasmid 19327; Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2008), and pCFJ104 (5 ng/μl; Addgene plasmid 19328; Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2008). Rescued worms were screened for fluorescence as described previously (Frokjaer-Jensen et al., 2008, 2012). MAD63 rescued atx-2(ne4297).

RNA interference
To knock down ATX-2, ZEN-4, GPR-1/2, and PAR-5 in vivo, the pL4440 feeding vectors specific to these genes were obtained from the Ahringer feeding RNAi library and sequence verified as previously described (Timmons and Fire, 1998; Kamath et al., 2001, 2003; Kamath and Ahringer, 2003; Ahringer, 2006). RNAi experiments were performed at 24°C for 16–22 h.

Live imaging
Embryo dissection was performed in Shelton’s Growth Medium, and embryos were mounted on 2% agar pads (made with egg salt buffer) in a drop of Shelton’s Growth Medium (Shelton and Bowerman, 1996). The pads were covered with a 22 mm × 22 mm coverslip and sealed in place with Vaseline. We found that this modified mount abrogates the osmotic defect observed in atx-2 RNAi or atx-2(ne4297) embryos while allowing for optimal imaging during the first two cell divisions. Time-lapse images were taken every 10 s using a 200M inverted Axioscope microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with a spinning-disc confocal scan head (QLC100; VisiTech, Sunderland, United Kingdom), an Orca 285 differential interference contrast (DIC) camera (Hamamatsu, Japan), and an Orca ER camera (Hamamatsu, Japan). The DIC and Orca ER cameras were operated through MetaMorph software (version 7.7.11.0; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Image rotating and cropping was performed using Fiji (ImageJ) software (Schindelin et al., 2012). Fluorescence intensity of ZEN-4–GFP was obtained by drawing a region of interest of equal area around the spindle midzone of each embryo. These regions of interest were then used to measure the average pixel intensity. Background fluorescence obtained from a nonfluorescent region in the embryo anterior was subtracted.

Immunostaining
Immunostaining was performed using a methyl–4% formaldehyde fix as described previously (Skop and White, 1998) using the primary antibodies anti–ATX-2 mouse monoclonal (1:100; Ciosk et al., 2004), anti-GFP rabbit polyclonal (1:100; ab5656; Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), anti–ZEN-4 rabbit serum (1:400; gift from Michael Glotzer, University of Chicago), and anti-actin [C4] mouse monoclonal (1:200; 691002; MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA) diluted in PBSB (1× phosphate-buffered saline [PBS], 1% bovine serum albumin) and incubated overnight at 4°C. Unbound primary antibodies were removed by three washes for 5 min each with 1× PBS plus 0.5% Tween-20. Secondary antibodies used over the course of several different experiments were applied as follows: Alexa Fluor 488 anti-mouse (A-11001; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), Alexa Fluor 568 anti-mouse (A-11004; Molecular Probes), and Alexa Fluor 488 anti-rabbit (A-11008; Molecular Probes), with all
secondary antibodies diluted 1:200 in PBSB. After 2 h of incubation in the dark at ambient conditions, unbound secondary antibodies were removed as described. The fixed and stained embryos were mounted with 8 μl of Vectashield with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAP; H-1200; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Confocal imaging was performed on a departmental Zeiss 510 Confocal LSM operated with ZEN software (Carl Zeiss).

**Western blot**

Total SDS-soluble protein was extracted from control RNAi, atx-2 RNAi, zen-4 RNAi, N2 15°C, N2 22°C, N2 24°C, atx-2(ne4297) 15°C, atx-2(ne4297) 22°C, atx-2(ne4297) 24°C, and par-5(it55) embryos. Protein concentration was determined by a modified Lowry assay (Dulley and Grieve, 1975). For the horseradish peroxidase (HRP) Western blot, 60 μg of protein per lane was separated on a 4–15% SDS–PAGE minigel (TGX; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and then transferred to an Immun-Blot polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Bio-Rad) using standard conditions for semidry blotting. The blots were blocked with PBS plus 0.1% Tween-20 containing 4% skim milk powder for 1 h at ambient conditions. Membranes were probed with primary anti–ATX-2 antibody (mAbP1G12; Ciosk et al., 2004) at a dilution of 1:150 and mouse anti-actin monoclonal Clone 4 (MP Biomedicals) antibody at a dilution of 1:20,000 for 16–18 h at 4°C. Primary antibody binding was detected with anti-mouse HRP-conjugated antibody (1:5000; GE Amersham, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom). After washing with PBSB three times (5 min each) at ambient conditions, blots were developed with ECL Plus (Amersham).

For the quantitative Western blot, 20 μg of protein per lane was separated on a 4–15% SDS–PAGE minigel (TGX) and transferred to a Millipore Immobilon-FL membrane using standard conditions for semidry blotting. The blot was blocked in Odyssey Tris buffered saline–based blocking solution (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) for 1 h at room temperature before being probed with primary antibodies for 16–18 h at 4°C. The immunoblot was visualized with infrared fluorescence IRDye secondary antibodies at a dilution of 1:15,000 (LI-COR Biosciences) using an Odyssey imager (LI-COR Biosciences). Polyclonal rabbit anti–ZEN-4 (a gift from M. Glotzer) at a dilution of 1:1000 or polyclonal rabbit anti–PAR-5 antibody (a gift from A. Golden) at a dilution of 1:2000 were incubated with primary antibodies for 16–18 h at 4°C. The immunoblot was visualized with infrared fluorescence IRDye secondary antibodies at a dilution of 1:15,000 (LI-COR Biosciences) using an Odyssey imager (LI-COR Biosciences). The blots were repeated three times. Quantification of protein levels, after normalization to actin monoclonal Clone 4 antibody (MP Biomedicals) at a dilution of 1:15,000 (LI-COR Biosciences) using an Odyssey imager (LI-COR Biosciences). Infrared fluorescence IRDye secondary antibodies at a dilution of 1:15,000 (LI-COR Biosciences) using an Odyssey imager (LI-COR Biosciences). Polyclonal rabbit anti–ZEN-4 serum (a gift from M. Glotzer) at a dilution of 1:1000 or polyclonal rabbit anti–PAR-5 antibody (a gift from A. Golden) at a dilution of 1:2000 were incubated with primary antibodies for 16–18 h at 4°C. The blots were repeated three times. Quantification of protein levels, after normalization to actin monoclonal Clone 4 antibody (MP Biomedicals) at a dilution of 1:15,000 (LI-COR Biosciences) using an Odyssey imager (LI-COR Biosciences). Polyclonal rabbit anti–ZEN-4 serum (a gift from M. Glotzer) at a dilution of 1:1000 or polyclonal rabbit anti–PAR-5 antibody (a gift from A. Golden) at a dilution of 1:2000 were incubated with primary antibodies for 16–18 h at 4°C. The blots were repeated three times. Quantification of protein levels, after normalization to actin monoclonal Clone 4 antibody (MP Biomedicals) at a dilution of 1:15,000 (LI-COR Biosciences) using an Odyssey imager (LI-COR Biosciences).

**RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis for RT-qPCR**

Total RNA was isolated from four independent worm samples of N2 15°C, N2 24°C, atx-2(ne4297) 15°C, and atx-2(ne4297) 24°C-synchronized adult populations using TRIzol Reagent (15596-026; Invitrogen). RNA samples were treated with TURBO DNase (AM2238; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). RNA quantity and purity were measured using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. cDNA was made from 5 μg of total RNA using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen) kit and the Oligo(dT)20 primer. To confirm whether there was DNA contamination in each RNA sample, a no-templatescriptase control was made and verified by RT-qPCR. For use in RT-qPCRs, cDNA was diluted 100-fold. cDNA preparations for each RNA sample were stored at −20°C.

**RT-qPCR**

Reference gene (act-1, cdc-42, tba-1) primers were obtained from previously published data (Zhang et al., 2012). The zen-4 (AGACGCCAGAATGGAGTATTG forward, CGCTTTCGAGACACCTCAACA reverse), atx-2 (AGACGCCCTGCAAGTATTTC forward, CAGATGCTGCCTCATAAAG reverse), and par-5 (CCGGTCATCGTGGAAGGTTATC forward, TCTTGGCAGATGTCGTTTAG reverse) primers were designed using the RealTime PCR design tool (IDT). tba-1 was chosen as the reference gene using NormFinder. RT-qPCR was performed in triplicate 10 μl/well reactions (5 μl of SYBR Green [Roche, Basel, Switzerland], 2 μl of cDNA, 0.5 μl of forward primer, 0.5 μl of reverse primer, and 2 μl of RNase-free water) on the cDNA samples prepared as described. All reactions were analyzed with a Roche Light Cycler 480. Target transcripts were normalized to tba-1 to obtain a relative expression ratio of each target mRNA, and each 24°C sample was compared with its respective 15°C control. RT-qPCR protocols followed the Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Real-Time PCR Experiments Guidelines (Bustin et al., 2009).

**Statistical analysis**

To determine the statistical significance of quantified values, control and ATX-2–depleted embryos were compared using unpaired t tests. First, the variances of the two sample values were compared using an F test. In our case, all variances were found to be unequal between control and ATX-2–depleted embryos. Therefore we compared the groups using a Welch’s t test (unpaired, two-tailed). The statistical calculations were performed using Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA). The statistical significance cutoff was p < 0.05.
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