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SUMMARY

Recycling of neurotransmitters is essential for sustained neuronal signaling, yet recycling pathways for various transmitters, including histamine, remain poorly understood. In the first visual ganglion (lamina) of Drosophila, photoreceptor-released histamine is taken up into perisynaptic glia, converted to carcinine, and delivered back to the photoreceptor for histamine regeneration. Here, we identify an organic cation transporter, CarT (carcinine transporter), that transports carcinine into photoreceptors during histamine recycling. CarT mediated in vitro uptake of carcinine. Deletion of the CarT gene caused an accumulation of carcinine in laminar glia accompanied by a reduction in histamine, resulting in abolished photoreceptor signal transmission and blindness in behavioral assays. These defects were rescued by expression of CarT cDNA in photoreceptors, and they were reproduced by photoreceptor-specific CarT knockdown. Our findings suggest a common role for the conserved family of CarT-like transporters in maintaining histamine homeostasis in both mammalian and fly brains.

INTRODUCTION

Neurons communicate at synapses by releasing neurotransmitters. To maintain stable levels of transmitters for sustained signal transmission, neurons need to recycle various neurotransmitters (Bröer and Brookes, 2001; Edwards and Meinertzhagen, 2010), either directly or indirectly. In direct mechanisms, upon release, neurotransmitters such as acetylcholine, dopamine, and glycine are immediately taken back into the presynaptic neuron by specific transporters in the axon membrane (Freeman and Doherty, 2006). In indirect pathways, transmitters including glutamate and histamine are cleared from the perisynaptic space by astrocytes and other types of glial cells (Borycz et al., 2012; Bringmann et al., 2013; Freeman and Doherty, 2006) and then inactivated before being delivered back to the neuron for regeneration (Bringmann et al., 2013). Currently, metabolic enzymes in the recycling process of glutamate, GABA, and histamine have been identified (Borycz et al., 2002; Bringmann et al., 2013; Roth and Draguhn, 2012). In addition, a variety of membrane transporters for glutamate, GABA, and their recycling metabolites have also been found in glial and neuronal membranes (Blakely and Edwards, 2012; Elsworth and Roth, 1997; Gadea and López-Colomé, 2001; Roth and Draguhn, 2012). Although there have been studies (Huszti et al., 1998; Yoshikawa et al., 2013) on how histamine, a neurotransmitter crucial for the maintenance of wakefulness, the sleep-wake cycle, and the regulation of cognitive functions (Panula and Nuutinen, 2013), is cleared from the neuronal environment, the mechanism underlying the recycling of histamine remains unknown.

The Drosophila visual system has been used as a model for the investigation of histamine recycling. All peripheral photoreceptors in each ommatidium, the unit of the Drosophila compound eye (Montell, 2012), project axons to the first layer of the visual neuropil, the lamina (Edwards and Meinertzhagen, 2010). Upon light stimulation, photoreceptor axons release histamine to hyperpolarize laminar projecting neurons, i.e., large monopolar cells (LMCs) (Sarthy, 1991). All neuronal processes in the lamina, including photoreceptor axon terminals, are wrapped laterally by epithelial glial cells (Meinertzhagen and O’Neil, 1991). Although the proximal edge of the lamina is sealed with marginal glia, the distal edge of the laminar neuropil is separated from the retina by four glia layers as follows: two surface glia underneath the retina and distal and proximal satellite glia that wrap around cell bodies and initial axon segments of LMCs, respectively (Edwards and Meinertzhagen, 2010). Photoreceptor-released histamine is removed from the extracellular space, mostly by epithelial glia (Edwards and Meinertzhagen, 2010). In these glia, an N-β-alanyl-biogenic amine synthetase termed Ebony conjugates histamine to β-alanine to form the inactive metabolite carcinine for storage and transport (Richardt et al., 2002; Ziegler et al., 2013). Carcinine can be released into the laminar neuropil region and directly transported back into photoreceptor axons. Alternatively, it can be transported to the retina via the gap-junctional glial network (Saint Marie and Carlson, 1985) and delivered into the cell bodies of photoreceptors (Chaturvedi et al., 2014). In the photoreceptor, carcinine is cleaved by the peptidase Tan to regenerate histamine and β-alanine (Borycz et al., 2002; Gavin et al., 2007). Because the recycling of histamine is more energy efficient compared to de novo synthesis through photoreceptor histidine decarboxylase (HDC), it is considered a dominant pathway in the maintenance of an adequate histamine level (Borycz et al., 2000; Burg et al., 1993).
Although both metabolic enzymes in the recycling of histamine have been identified in the fly visual system, the transporters that carry histamine, carcinine, and β-alanine across the membranes of glia and photoreceptor neurons remain unknown. Here we identified an organic cation transporter (OCT), named carcinine transporter (CarT), that functions in the photoreceptor.

RESULTS

CarT Is Essential for Synaptic Transmission between the Photoreceptor and Laminar Neurons

In the fly electroretinogram (ERG), transient spikes at the onset and offset of a light flash correspond to the postsynaptic potentials of laminar neurons, whereas a sustained potential during light stimulation results mainly from the depolarization of photoreceptor cells in the retina (Alawi and Pak, 1971; Belusic, 2011; Hardie and Raghu, 2001; Heisenberg, 1971). In an RNAi-based screen for vision-related genes, we found that when the gene CG9317, i.e., CarT, was knocked down ubiquitously using a tubulin-Gal4 driver, both ON and OFF transients of the ERG were missing (Figures 1A–1C), suggesting that synaptic transmission from photoreceptors to laminar neurons was impaired. This visual function of CarT is coherent with the expression data in Fly-atlas, which shows 17-fold enrichment of CG9317 in the eye.

To determine the functional site for CarT, we generated tissue-specific knockdowns in glia and neurons using pan-glia (repo, for reversed polarity) and pan-neuronal (elav, for embryonic lethal abnormal vision) Gal4 drivers, respectively (Figures 1A–1C). Interestingly, neuronal knockdown of CarT removed both ON and OFF transients from the ERG, whereas glial knockdown had no significant effect (Figures 1A–1C), suggesting that CarT is present in neurons. To identify the specific neuronal type that expresses CarT, we knocked down CarT in photoreceptors and lamina neurons using GMR-Gal4 and L1L2-Gal4 drivers, respectively (Figure 1A). Notably, CarT knockdown in photoreceptors reproduced the ERG phenotype with loss of ON and OFF transients, whereas knockdown of CarT in laminar neurons did not result in any abnormal ERG (Figures 1A–1C). However, morphology of the retina and lamina remained intact in CarT knockdown flies (Figures S1A and S1B). These results suggest that CarT functions in photoreceptors and is essential for synaptic transmission to downstream laminar neurons.

To confirm that loss of CarT in photoreceptors impairs visual transmission, we generated a CarT mutant with the gene deleted in the whole animal using the CRISPR/CAS9 approach (Bassett and Liu, 2014; Gratz et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2014; Figure 1D). Cutting at both sites of two guide RNAs (gRNAs) in a conserved domain sequence of CarT resulted in a deletion allele, which we further confirmed by sequencing. The deletion of 1,132 nt resulted in a frameshift with the introduction of a stop codon and translated a small peptide with a 13-amino acid sequence (MetSDIEDKSTRYHLSL) instead of the CarT protein. Flies with this deletion allele, CarTRNAi, showed a non- transient ERG phenotype similar to CarT knockdown in flies (Figures 1E–1G). Furthermore, expression of a wild-type (WT) CarT cDNA specifically in photoreceptors using GMR or trp (transient receptor potential)-Gal4 rescued the ERG phenotype of the CarTRNAi fly (Figures 1E–1G). Thus, the expression of CarT in photoreceptors is both sufficient and essential for synaptic transmission from the photoreceptor to laminar neurons.

In control experiments, each Gal4 driver line alone did not cause any ERG abnormality in the absence of the UAS-CarT and UAS-CarTRNAi transgenes (Figure S1C). Conversely, the UAS-CarT and UAS-CarTRNAi transgenes alone had no effect on the fly ERG either (Figure S1C).

Deletion of CarT Causes Accumulation of Carcinine Outside of Photoreceptors

Bioinformatic analyses indicated that CarT has strong homology with human OCTs in the SLC22 transporter family (Farthing and Sweet, 2014; Koepsell, 2013) at both primary and structural levels, as indicated by blast results. CarT showed 23%–32% identity with human SLC22A family members and also showed similarity in their conserved motifs, i.e., major facilitator superfamily (MFS-1) and sugar transporter motifs (Figures S2 and S3). We therefore hypothesized that CarT may be a membrane transporter involved in histamine recycling, which is essential for fly visual signal transmission. If so, CarT could either uptake carcinine from outside into photoreceptors or transport β-alanine from photoreceptors to the glia. If CarT transports carcinine from surrounding glia into photoreceptors, the loss of CarT would alter the carcinine distribution pattern. Additionally, because carcinine is metabolized into histamine and β-alanine within the photoreceptors by the enzyme Tan, blocking the transport of carcinine into photoreceptors should result in the accumulation of carcinine in the extracellular space or surrounding glia and a reduction of its metabolites, i.e., histamine and β-alanine. By contrast, if CarT functions as a β-alanine transporter, β-alanine should accumulate in the photoreceptors of CarTDel flies and the overall carcinine level should be reduced. To differentiate between these two possibilities, we immunostained carcinine and its metabolites in the visual system of CarTDel flies (Figures 2A–2D) and in rescued flies with expression of CarT (Figures 1A–1D). We observed the accumulation of carcinine in the lamina of CarTDel flies (Figures 2A–2D), but no β-alanine accumulation was detected in the photoreceptors (Figures 2A and 2B). However, the overall levels of β-alanine and histamine were actually reduced in CarTDel flies (Figures 2A and 2B). Therefore, our study suggests that CarT functions as a carcinine transporter in photoreceptors.

CarT Is a Carcinine Transporter and Uptakes Carcinine into S2 Cells

To confirm that CarT transports carcinine, we overexpressed V5- and His-tagged CarT in S2 cells (Figures 3A and 3B). After confirming the expression of CarT by antibody staining against the V5 tag peptide (Figure S4B), we separately incubated the cells with carcinine, β-alanine, and histamine (Figure 3), and then we checked the levels of carcinine, β-alanine, and histamine within the cell over a time period of 0–8 hr by immunostaining (Figure 3B). The carcinine level in CarT-overexpression S2 cells was significantly higher than in control cells (Figures 3A and 3B). The immunostaining intensity of carcinine was 47% after 8 hr of carcinine treatment in cells expressing CarT, whereas it was only 12% in the control cells (Figure 3). By contrast, the
immunostaining intensities of β-alanine and histamine in CarT-expressing cells were comparable to those of the controls after 8 hr of incubation (Figure 3B). These in vitro results suggest that CarT is a carcinine transporter.

Deletion of CarT Impairs Fly Vision

Because we hypothesize that CarT is important for histamine recycling in the fly visual system, the loss of CarT should disrupt the histamine recycling, leading to impaired fly vision at a behavior level due to abnormal histaminergic synaptic signaling. We employed three different visual behavior assays to test whether the vision of CarTDel flies was impaired (Figures 4A–4C). In the visual alert response (VAR) assay (Figure 4A), which measures the visual alertness in the fly toward a moving object, we found that 90% of CarTDel flies were non-responsive and that 10% of flies showed delayed alert responses. This visual defect of CarTDel was at least as severe as that in a blind mutant hdcjk910 (Figure 4A), which lacks the enzyme HDC. Expression of CarT in

Figure 1. Identification of CarT as a Photoreceptor Transporter Indispensable for the Signal Transmission to Laminar Neurons

(A) Electrotretinogram (ERG) recordings of Canton-S (WT, for wild-type) and CarT knockdown (KD) flies indicating loss of photoreceptor synaptic transmission in pan-neuron KD and retinal cell-specific KD flies. As the only neuron in the retina, the photoreceptor is highly likely to be the cell type where CarT functions. The Gal4 lines tub (tubulin-Gal4 + dicer), elav, repo, GMR, and L1L2 drove RNAi, respectively, in all cell types, neurons, glia, retinal cell, and L1 and L2 cells (two major laminar neurons postsynaptic to photoreceptors). Red arrows indicate ON and OFF transients, which are electric activities derived from the primary visual transmission. See also Figure S1.

(B and C) Quantitations of the ON and OFF transient amplitudes, respectively, show that the transients are absent when CarT is knocked down in all cell types, all neurons, or retinal cells, but are similar to WT when CarT is knocked down in glia cells or L1 and L2 laminar neurons. The data are presented as mean ± SD (\(p < 0.05\) compared with WT in two-tailed test; ten flies were measured for each genotype, i.e., \(n = 10\)).

(D) CRISPR-Cas9-based gene knockout with two gRNAs deleted 1,132 nt from the CarT gene, starting from the nt21 (with the first nucleotide of the start codon as nt1) in exon 1 to nt1152 in exon 4, and shifted the coding frame. As a consequence, the translation was stopped after the synthesis of a 13-amino acid small peptide. Thus, the CarTDel mutant does not express any functional CarT protein.

(E) The CarTDel mutant lacks both ON and OFF transients, which were rescued by the expression of a WT CarT cDNA through either the GMR- or trp-Gal4 driver, indicating that the CarT function in photoreceptor is essential for photoreceptor-laminar neuron signal transmission.

(F and G) Quantitations of the ON and OFF transient amplitudes, respectively, confirm the rescue of the CarTDel mutant phenotype by specific expression of CarT in retinal cells (GMR) or in the photoreceptor (trp) (* and #\(p < 0.05\) compared with WT and the CarTDel mutant, respectively, in two-tailed test; \(n = 10\)).
photoreceptors using GMR-Gal4 recovered WT visual alert responses in 60% of flies, 25% of rescued flies showed brief pause, and only 15% of flies showed delayed alert responses. Expression of CarT through another photoreceptor-specific driver, *trp*-Gal4, also rescued the defect of *CarTDel*, with 50% of flies showing WT visual alertness, 40% showing a brief pause, and 10% having delayed alert responses. These results indicate that CarT in photoreceptors is essential for visual alertness in flies.

In an optomotor response assay (Figure 4B) that measures fly visual behavior in terms of motion detection, WT flies have an inherent tendency to move in the opposite direction of the moving black and white strips. However, if the fly is blind or visually impaired, it won’t respond to the moving strips and will keep moving randomly. In this experiment, only 1% of *CarTDel* flies showed a positive optomotor response in contrast to 81% of WT flies that showed a very strong optomotor response. This visual defect was rescued by the expression of CarT in photoreceptors through the GMR- and *trp*-Gal4 driver. Thus, CarT-mediated carcinine uptake into photoreceptors is important for the perception of motion in flies.

The phototaxis assay (Figure 4C) measures the light detection ability of flies and uses their inherent tendency to move toward light. The WT flies (80%) showed a strong positive response toward light. However, most of the *CarTDel* flies could not respond to light and only 2% of flies could respond to light. Expression of CarT in photoreceptors using GMR and *trp*-Gal4 significantly improved the phototaxis response of the *CarTDel* flies.
DISCUSSION

During light stimulation, fly photoreceptors constantly release histamine to hyperpolarize LMC neurons in the lamina neuropil. It is estimated that vesicular histamine in photoreceptor termini would be depleted within ~10 s in the absence of additional histamine supply (Borycz et al., 2005). The continuation of visual transmission depends on the recycling of histamine, in which the visual glia play a pivotal role. The released histamine is taken up by epithelial glia (Edwards and Meinertzhagen, 2010), converted into carcinine through Ebony (Richardt et al., 2002; Ziegler et al., 2013), and delivered back to photoreceptors either directly or indirectly through the laminar glia network (Chaturvedi et al., 2014; Romero-Calderón et al., 2008). In the photoreceptor, carcinine is cleaved by Tan to regenerate histamine (Borycz et al., 2002; Gavin et al., 2007). Although the metabolizing enzymes in both the glia and photoreceptors have been identified, transporter proteins that carry histamine and carcinine across the membranes of glia and photoreceptors have been previously unknown.

A transporter encoded by the gene inebriated (ine) was proposed to mediate clearance of carcinine from the extracellular space of the lamina (Gavin et al., 2007). However, the carcinine-transporter activity of the Ine protein has never been demonstrated in vitro (Chiu et al., 2000). In ine mutants, we could not detect any significant changes in either the distribution pattern or the level of carcinine (R.C., Z.L., and H.-S.L., unpublished data). The mutant flies behaved similarly to WT flies according to the visual alert and optomotor assays, indicating normal visual transmission. Thus, Ine may function in a process irrelevant to histamine recycling.

Our data show that CarT mediates the uptake of carcinine in photoreceptors, revealing the identity of the membrane transporter involved in the recycling of histamine in the visual system. The carcinine-transporter activity of CarT was demonstrated in transfected S2 cells, and it was supported by the accumulation of carcinine in the laminar glia of CarTDel flies. In addition, the CarTDel flies have almost the same visual defects as ebony and tan mutants (Borycz et al., 2002), including a reduced level of visual histamine, disrupted visual transmission according to the ERG phenotype, and impaired vision as revealed in behavioral assays, which suggests that CarT and the enzymes Ebony and Tan function in the same pathway of histamine recycling. This visual function of CarT is further confirmed by findings from two other studies (Stenesen et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015) that were published during the revision of our work.

Given that knockdown of CarT in the glia does not affect visual transmission and that the expression of CarT in photoreceptors alone is sufficient to rescue the CarTDel phenotype, CarT may not mediate the release of carcinine from visual glia. It is likely that laminar glia express a different membrane transporter to release carcinine. ABC transporters encoded by the genes white, brown, and scarlet in pigment cells appear to modulate the level of visual histamine through unknown mechanisms (Edwards and Meinertzhagen, 2010; Mackenzie et al., 2000). Whether any of these transporters participates in carcinine/histamine trafficking in laminar glia remains to be investigated. Alternatively, carcinine could be released from laminar glia through vesicular exocytosis. A vesicular monoamine transporter VMAT-B in subretinal fenestrated glia, the distal surface glia of the lamina, is required to maintain the overall level of visual histamine (Romero-Calderón et al., 2008). Although the proposed substrate of VMAT-B is histamine, this transporter also could pack carcinine into intracellular vesicles for exocytosis due to the structural similarity of histamine and carcinine.

Further study of the subcellular localization of CarT in fly photoreceptors will help reveal the exact pathway of histamine/carcinine trafficking. For instance, whether carcinine is sent to the cell body/soma or the axon of photoreceptors for re-uptake and whether the re-uptake involves capitate projection, a special membrane invagination of epithelial glia formed within photoreceptor axons (Meinertzhagen and O’Neil, 1991; Stark and Carlson, 1986), remain unknown.

Figure 3. CarT Transports Carcinine in Transfected S2 Cells

(A) Staining with the carcinine antibody shows the time course of carcinine uptake into S2 cells transfected with either a pAc5.1-CarT-V5-His DNA construct or the empty vector (as control). The cells were fixed for staining 0–8 hr (0, 2, 4, and 8 hr) after the carcinine incubation. Carcinine was gradually accumulated in the CarT-expressing cells. Each image shows a single S2 cell with the cell boundary marked by the dashed-line circle. The construct/vector transfection in all examined cells was confirmed by staining with antibodies against the V5 tag peptide (see Figure S4).

(B) Quantitation of immunofluorescence intensities for carcinine, histamine, and β-alanine in CarT-expressing and control S2 cells, over the time period of 8-hr incubation with the respective molecules. The results indicate that the expression of CarT significantly increased the uptake of carcinine (*p < 0.05 compared with the control, two-tailed test; n = 25 cells), but not that of histamine and β-alanine.

Therefore, loss of CarT in the photoreceptors of flies causes virtual blindness in all three visual behavioral assays, highlighting the importance of CarT-mediated carcinine uptake in photoreceptors and the entire histamine recycling pathway in fly vision.
The identification of carcinine transporters in Drosophila is potentially of great significance to the study of histamine homeostasis in mammalian brains. As an important neurotransmitter, histamine regulates diverse brain functions through G protein-coupled receptors, such as promoting attentive wakefulness and regulating cognitive functions and food intake (Panula and Nuutinen, 2013; Passani et al., 2000; Thakkar, 2011). Various sleep disorders, cognitive dysfunction, motor disorders, and schizophrenia are associated with abnormal histamine signaling (Ito, 2000; Nuutinen and Panula, 2010; Panula and Nuutinen, 2013). How the brain maintains histamine homeostasis for normal function, however, remains to be investigated. It has been reported that glial cells including astrocytes clear histamine from the neuronal environment, probably through OCT3 or plasma membrane monoamine transporter (PMAT), and inactivate it through histamine-N-methyltransferase (Huszti et al., 1998; Yoshikawa et al., 2013). This inactivation mechanism leads to the degradation of histamine and is not beneficial to the maintenance of histamine levels. Interestingly, high levels of carcinine have been detected in most histamine-abundant tissues of humans and rodents, including the brain (Chen et al., 2004; Flancbaum et al., 1990). The concomitance of these two molecules suggests the existence of carcinine-mediated recycling of histamine in mammals. Confirmation of the glia-mediated histamine/carcinine recycling in the brain requires the identification of carcinine transporters in the membrane of neurons. Because CarT belongs to the OCT family, OCTs will be top candidates in the search for neuronal carcinine transporters in mammals.

Carcinine transporters also may regulate physiological functions in mammalian peripheral tissues. The co-existence of carcinine and histamine has been observed in the heart, kidney, stomach, and intestines (Flancbaum et al., 1990). In combination with histamine-/carcinine-converting enzymes, carcinine transporters may control the distribution and level of histamine and, ultimately, regulate histamine-mediated peripheral functions, such as vasodilation (Greaves and Sabroe, 1996), inflammation (Jutel et al., 2009), and gastric acid release (Schubert and Peura, 2008; Schubert, 2010). In addition, because carcinine is a natural antioxidant with hydroxyl radical-scavenging activity (Babizhayev et al., 1994; Babizhayev and Yegorov, 2010; Marchette et al., 2012), carcinine transporters may distribute carcinine for the protection of cells against oxidative stress. Thus, the identification and location of carcinine transporters will aid the understanding of the regulatory mechanisms of both histamine- and carcinine-mediated physiological functions.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Please see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures for the sources of fly lines and methods of CarT gene deletion, ERG recording, immunostaining, and the S2 carcinine uptake assay. All the strains with white eyes were crossed into a Canton-S background with red eyes before functional tests.

**VAR Assay**

The VAR assay was performed as described previously (Chaturvedi et al., 2014). An overnight starved fly was placed into a vertical white chamber (5.5 x 2 x 0.5 cm) covered with a thin glass slide from one side. The fly was allowed to acclimatize for 30 min inside the chamber and then a drop of
molasses was placed on the upper side of the chamber to induce the starved fly to climb up. As the fly reached the middle of the chamber, it was challenged with a manually controlled moving block (a black-colored 0.4-cm cube) from left to right, across the visual field of the fly. The response of the fly was recorded with a video camera connected to a computer. The result for each genotype (n = 20) is the mean of the percentages of flies showing various responses (freezing, brief pause, delayed response, and no response).

Optomotor Assay
The walking optomotor responses in flies were assayed as described previously (Rendahl et al., 1992; Zhu et al., 2009), with modifications. Groups of 50 flies were enclosed onto the surface of a 7-in. light-emitting diode (LED) monitor using a clear, rectangular plastic cover of 0.5-in. height. When computer-generated black vertical strips moved in a bright background (~220 lux) from one side to the other, most flies with normal vision migrated in the opposite direction. During the test, flies were kept started by gentle shaking of the chamber to evoke optimal locomotor performance. The response index was calculated as (n1 - n2)/(n1 + n2), where n1 is the number of flies moving to the right side and n2 is to the wrong side.

Phototaxis Assay
Phototaxis assays were carried out in a dark room following the counter-current procedure as described previously (Benzer, 1967; Luan et al., 2014), with modifications. Groups of ten flies were placed in a long transparent tube (20 cm), and, after 5 min of dark adaptation, the tube was gently patted to place the flies at one end. The opposite end of the tube was then attached to a white light source, and flies that moved across the middle line within 30 s were counted as responsive. The test also was performed in the dark, and the performance index for phototaxis was calculated by subtracting the number of responsive flies in the dark from the light-responsive flies and divided by the total number of flies (n = 10) in the tube.

Statistical Analysis
Values are represented as the mean ± SD, and statistical tests of the significance of difference between values were assessed using two-tailed Student’s t test, where p < 0.05 was considered significant.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and four figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.02.009.
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