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Intramolecular interaction between myosin heads, blocking key sites involved in actin-binding and ATPase activity, appears to be a critical mechanism for switching off vertebrate smooth-muscle myosin molecules, leading to relaxation. We have tested the hypothesis that this interaction is a general mechanism for switching off myosin II–based motile activity in both muscle and nonmuscle cells. Electron microscopic images of negatively stained myosin II molecules were analyzed by single particle image processing. Molecules from invertebrate striated muscles with phosphorylation-dependent regulation showed head–head interactions in the off-state similar to those in vertebrate smooth muscle. A similar structure was observed in nonmuscle myosin II (also phosphorylation-regulated). Surprisingly, myosins from vertebrate skeletal and cardiac muscle, which are not intrinsically regulated, undergo similar head–head interactions in relaxing conditions. In all of these myosins, we also observe conserved interactions between the ‘blocked’ myosin head and the myosin tail, which may contribute to the switched-off state. These results suggest that intramolecular head–head and head–tail interactions are a general mechanism both for inducing muscle relaxation and for switching off myosin II–based motile activity in nonmuscle cells. These interactions are broken when myosin is activated.

INTRODUCTION

Myosin II is the motor protein that interacts with actin-containing thin filaments, using the chemical energy of ATP to produce muscle contraction and many forms of cell motility (Alberts et al., 2007). It is composed of two globular heads attached to a long, α-helical, coiled-coil tail. Each head has two functional domains (see Figure 1, A and B), a motor domain, containing actin-binding and ATP hydrolysis sites, and a regulatory domain (also called the light-chain domain or lever arm), containing two light chains (an essential light chain [ELC], and a regulatory light chain [RLC]), which amplifies structural changes in the motor domain that drive contraction (Sweeney and Houdusse, 2004; Geeves and Holmes, 2005). A property that distinguishes myosin II from other members of the myosin superfamily is its long tail, which self-associates to form myosin filaments (Craig and Woodhead, 2006). In muscle and nonmuscle cells, such myosin filaments slide past actin filaments to generate muscle shortening or cell motility (Geeves and Holmes, 2005; Alberts et al., 2007).

In the muscles of most species, as well as in nonmuscle cells, myosin is intrinsically regulated and must be phosphorylated on its ELC (vertebrate smooth muscle, many invertebrate striated muscles, and nonmuscle cells) or bind Ca<sup>2+</sup> via its ELC (molluscan muscle) in order to turn over ATP and interact with actin (Sellers, 1981, 1991; Szent-Gyorgyi et al., 1999; Perry, 2004). Such myosin-linked regulation is thought to be absent from vertebrate striated muscles (Lehman and Szent-Gyorgyi, 1975), although RLC phosphorylation does modulate activity (Sweeney et al., 1993).

In the inactive (relaxed) state of muscle, the heads of both regulated and unregulated myosin filaments are ordered, turn over ATP slowly, and undergo minimal interaction with actin filaments (Lynn and Taylor, 1971; Xu et al., 1996; Craig and Woodhead, 2006). Activation leads to disordering of the head array (Huxley and Brown, 1967; Zhao and Craig, 2003), increase in ATPase activity, and interaction with actin. In nonmuscle cells, inactivated myosin filaments disassemble into individual molecules, which have a folded tail (Craig et al., 1983). In this resting (or “storage”) form, ATPase and actin-binding activity are extremely low (Kendrick-Jones et al., 1987), and the compact molecules are well suited for transport to the appropriate cellular site when needed for motility. On activation by RLC phosphorylation, these molecules unfold and assemble back into filaments, which can then interact with actin.

Electron microscopic (EM) studies of vertebrate smooth-muscle myosin molecules reveal that the two myosin heads interact with each other intramolecularly in the inactive (dephosphorylated) state (Wendt et al., 2001; Burgess et al., 2007). The actin-binding region of one motor domain contacts the converter region of the other, in an asymmetric arrangement (Figure 1B). It has been suggested that in this way, actin binding is blocked on one head (the “blocked” head) by its binding to the other (“free”) head and that ATPase activity is inhibited on the free head, by binding of its converter domain to the blocked head (Wendt et al., 2001). Thus both heads would be inactivated, but by different mechanisms. Head–head interaction is also observed in native myosin filaments from tarantula striated muscle studied by cryo-electron microscopy (Woodhead et al., 2005). It is thus not an artifact of myosin isolation. The dramatic similarity between the head conformations and interactions in...
myosin molecules from vertebrate smooth muscle and myosin filaments from invertebrate striated muscle, separated by more than 600 million years of evolution, suggests that head–head interaction is highly conserved and may represent a common mechanism for switching off all intrinsically regulated myosin IIs, both muscle and nonmuscle (Woodhead et al., 2005; Jung et al., 2008).

Additional interactions may also contribute to the activated state of regulated myosin. Interaction of the blocked head and the first section of the myosin tail (myosin subfragment 2, or S2) is implied by their apparent contact in head and the first section of the myosin tail (myosin subvated state of regulated myosin. Interaction of the blocked positively charged residues in the actin-binding “loop 2” of myosin in the off-state (Blankenfeldt et al., 2006), built from atomic models of heads (Liu et al., 2003) and S2 (Blankenfeldt et al., 2006).

**EM and Single-Particle Image Processing**

For negative staining, ATP was added to the column-purified myosin (200–400 nM in high salt) to a final concentration of 0.1 M. The mixture was then diluted 10-fold with “relaxing” buffer (0.15 M Na acetate, 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl2, 10 mM MOPS, and 40 μM ATP, pH 7.5). For cross-linking, the myosin was diluted 10-fold with relaxing buffer containing 0.1% glutaraldehyde and left for 1 min before grid preparation. After dilution, 5 μl of the final mixture were immediately (~5 s) applied to a carbon-coated grid that had been glow-discharged (Harrick Plasma, Ithaca, NY) for 3 min in air, and the grid negatively stained using 1% uranyl acetate.

For metal shadowing, the column-purified myosin preparation was diluted about threefold with 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl2, 10 mM MOPS, 40 μM ATP, and 0.1% glutaraldehyde, pH 7.5, to give a final concentration of ~100 nM. This was mixed with an equal volume of glycerol, and the resulting mixture was sprayed onto freshly cleaved mica and then rotary shadowed with platinum at an angle of 6° (Stafford et al., 2001).

**RESULTS**

1. **Head–Head Interaction in Relaxing Conditions**

We used negative staining EM to study the structure of inactive (unphosphorylated) myosin molecules from two invertebrate species having phosphorylation-regulated myosin. For both tarantula and Limulus, almost half of the molecules appeared to show the two heads in contact with each other and had a tail that was folded twice, creating three closely apposed segments (Figure 2, A and B; Table 1). We refer to this as the “compact” conformation. These head and tail features are similar to those in vertebrate smooth-muscle myosin molecules in relaxing conditions (Trybus et al., 1982; Wendt et al., 2001; Burgess et al., 2007).

The molecules showed two main views, which were approximately “mirror images” of each other and were distinguishable by the orientation of the tail with respect to the heads. The orientation depended on whether the molecules adhered to the grid surface with their heads facing toward or away from the carbon substrate (Figure 2, A and B, galleries, right and left views). Within these orientations, molecules with similar appearances were grouped into classes, and each class was averaged (Burgess et al., 2004), improving the structural detail visible (Figure 2, C–J). Image averages of each class, as well as global averages containing all classes, clearly showed the disposition of the heads. One head (black, Figure 2, C–J, diagrams) curved toward and appeared to contact the other head (white), which appeared to be straighter. This appearance is essentially identical to that seen in inactive vertebrate smooth-muscle myosin molecules (Burgess et al., 2007). The black head corresponds to the “blocked” head described previously (Wendt et al., 2001; Burgess et al., 2007) and the white head to the “free” head,

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation of Myosins**

Muscle was dissected from scallop (Placopecten magellanicus) striated adductor muscle, tarantula (Aphonopelma spp.) leg muscle, horseshoe crab (Limulus) telson muscle, and mouse back and cardiac muscle and placed in rigor solution (0.1 M NaCl, 2 mM EGTA, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM PIPES, 5 mM NaH2PO4, 1 mM Na2SO4, pH 7.0) on ice. Muscles (0.5–2 g tissue) were teased into thin strips and permeabilized with 0.5% saponin in rigor solution. Muscles were then finely chopped and homogenized using a Polytron (Brinkmann Instruments, Westbury, NY) homogenizer (Hidalgo et al., 2001). The homogenate was used to prepare myosin as described by Persechini and Hartshorne (1983) and modified by Ikebe and Hartshorne (1985). Crude myosin, 0.5–1 ml at –1–5 mg/ml was purified on a 1 x 25 cm Sepharose 4B column equilibrated with 0.5 M KCl, 0.1 mM MgCl2, 2 mM EGTA, 0.1 mM DTT, 10 mM imidazole, and 5 mM ATP, pH 7.5, to remove contaminating actin and other proteins. Nonmuscle myosin IIA was expressed using the Baculovirus system. Myosins were used within 24 h of purification.
This asymmetric head arrangement, with apparent contact between the motor domains, is seen in all the class averages (Figure 2, D, F, H, and J). For both tarantula and *Limulus*, there were fewer right than left views of the molecules (Figure 2, C–J). This suggests that the compact structure may be more stable (or bind more readily) in one orientation on the carbon film than in the other. The possibility that head structure can be perturbed by binding to substrates used in EM specimen preparation is suggested by previous EM studies of myosin (Trinick and Elliott, 1982; Craig *et al.*, 1983; Knight and Trinick, 1984; Trybus and Lowey, 1984; Stafford *et al.*, 2001).

To reduce such effects, we tried cross-linking the molecules in solution to stabilize their structure before applying to the grid. After cross-linking, the total number of molecules seen was significantly greater than without cross-linking, suggesting that cross-linking at low concentration (0.1% glutaraldehyde for 1 min) was intramolecular, rather than intermolecular, and that it trapped molecules in the compact state (Figure 3 legend), so that they were less able to form filaments on dilution with relaxing buffer (see Materials and Methods). For both myosins, the percentage of compact molecules was ~50% greater than in unfixed specimens (Table 1). Average images showed that the detailed shape and asymmetric appearance of the two heads were well preserved (Figure 3, B, C, E, and F), and remarkably similar to unfixed molecules (cf. Figure 2). This suggests that fixation does not induce large changes in the overall structure of the compact molecules, especially the closely packed head region, but rather helps to stabilize the native compact structure present in solution. We conclude that fixation can be a useful tool for stabilizing the solution structure of single myosin molecules for EM.

**2. Head–Head Interaction in Nonmuscle Myosin II in Relaxing Conditions**

Smooth muscle myosin and nonmuscle myosin II have very similar biochemical and structural properties (Craig *et al.*, 1983).

### Table 1. Percentage of molecules showing compact conformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Native (%)</th>
<th>Crosslinked (%)</th>
<th>Native + blebbistatin (%)</th>
<th>Blebbistatin + crosslinking (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tarantula</strong></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limulus</strong></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vertebrate</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The number of molecules showing the compact conformation (apposed heads) in relaxing conditions following different treatments (Figure 5 legend), were counted from five micrographs (each of a 0.75 μm x 0.75 μm region of the EM specimen), in each case. The number of compact molecules is shown as a percentage of the total number of molecules (about 100–150 total in each condition). ND, not determined.

![Figure 2. Compact head conformation of inactive (unphosphorylated) myosin molecules in relaxing conditions.](image-url)
Both are regulated by RLC phosphorylation, and both form a folded (10S) conformation in the dephosphorylated (switched-off) state in vitro. We therefore sought to determine whether nonmuscle myosin, like smooth-muscle myosin (Wendt et al., 2001; Burgess et al., 2007), exhibited head–head interaction in the dephosphorylated state. Figure 4, A and B, show that nonmuscle myosin IIA molecules have a compact structure similar to that seen with smooth-muscle myosin (Burgess et al., 2007), and with tarantula and Limulus myosins in this study (Figures 2 and 3). Image classification and averaging reveal clear head–head interaction, indistinguishable from that seen with the other myosins (Figure 4, C and D). We conclude that the structural mechanism that switches off nonmuscle myosin II is similar to that in smooth-muscle myosin.

3. Head–Head Interaction in Unregulated (Vertebrate Striated) Muscle Myosin in Relaxing Conditions

It has been suggested that the head–head interaction we have been describing is the main mechanism that inactivates the motor function of myosin II molecules regulated by phosphorylation (Wendt et al., 2001; Woodhead et al., 2005; Burgess et al., 2007), thus bringing about muscle relaxation. We tested this hypothesis by studying the structure of freshly isolated vertebrate striated muscle myosin (both skeletal and cardiac), which is thought to have no intrinsic regulation and therefore to lack an off-state (Lehman and Szent-Györgyi, 1975). In relaxing solution, most skeletal muscle myosin molecules showed heads with varying orientations (Figure 5A, white arrows), and only a few (~6%) had closely apposed heads (Figure 5A, black arrow; Table 1). When the molecules were cross-linked before negative staining, as described for tarantula and Limulus, the number with closely apposed heads rose to 37% (Figure 5B, black arrow; Table 1); however, most still had separated heads, with variable orientations (Figure 5B, white arrows). These observations suggest that unregulated myosin can also adopt the interacting-head structure in relaxing conditions, but that the head interaction is weaker, and therefore less common in solution, than for regulated myosins.

Regulated myosins (vertebrate smooth and scallop striated muscle) are known to “trap” ADP.Pi in the active site, thereby reducing ATPase activity to extremely low levels in the inactive state (Cross et al., 1986; Ankrett et al., 1991). Although vertebrate striated muscle myosin is also mostly in the ADP.Pi state in relaxing conditions, ADP.Pi is not trapped, and ATP turnover still occurs at an appreciable rate (Katoh et al., 1998; Takahashi et al., 1999). This may contribute to the variable conformations seen. The ADP.Pi state can be prolonged, however, by addition of the myosin inhibitor, blebbistatin, which favors closing of the “switch 2” element of the ATP-binding site, inhibiting Pi release (Kovacs et al., 2004). In this condition, the number of molecules showing closely packed heads was 42%, even without cross-linking, similar to the levels seen with untreated tarantula and Limulus myosin molecules (Figure 5C). This increased further (to
65%), when blebbistatin-treated molecules were cross-linked, similar to the cross-linked regulated myosins without blebbistatin treatment (Figure 5D; Table 1). Thus vertebrate skeletal muscle myosin can adopt the compact head conformation in the ADP.Pi state, like regulated muscle myosin under relaxing conditions.

Image classification and averaging of blebbistatin-treated skeletal myosin molecules showed that the compact structure was remarkably similar to that of regulated myosin (Figure 5, E–H), with one head (blocked) bent toward the other head (free, indicated by white arrows in Figure 5, E and G). This asymmetric interaction between the motor domains was consistently seen in class averages. The same head–head interaction was also seen in average images of cross-linked, blebbistatin-treated molecules (Figure 5, I and J).

Vertebrate cardiac muscle myosin showed a similar, although weaker, tendency to form the same compact structure (Figure 6A). Although relatively few untreated molecules had this conformation, the number after blebbistatin treatment and cross-linking (63%) was similar to skeletal myosin. As with cross-linked nonmuscle and skeletal muscle myosin II (Figures 4 and 5), only left-view molecules aligned well enough to show detailed structure after averaging (Figure 6, B and C). Class averages consistently showed head–head interaction (Figure 6C), similar to that seen with relaxed regulated myosins.

The visual similarity of the interaction seen in regulated (Figures 2–4) and unregulated (Figures 5 and 6) myosins was striking. It was supported by two-dimensional (2D) fitting of the atomic model of regulated HMM (PDB 1i84; Wendt et al., 2001) to image averages of negatively stained skeletal myosin molecules (Figure 7, A–C). Although the shape of the regulatory domain, mainly associated with the regulatory light chain, does not match perfectly, the motor domain and ELC fit well. A similar 2D fit is obtained with cardiac myosin (Figure 7, D–F). We conclude that the heads of unregulated myosin (vertebrate skeletal and cardiac) in the ADP.Pi (relaxed) state can undergo head–head interactions similar to those of inactivated heads of regulated myosins.

4. Intramolecular Interaction between the Blocked Head and S2 in Relaxed Myosins

Although the heads of regulated and unregulated myosins in the relaxed state appear similar, there are differences in the folding of the tail. The difference is especially clear in shadowed specimens (Figure 8, C and D; cf. Figure 8, A and B), in which the tails are contrasted most clearly, but is also seen in negatively stained molecules (Figure 8, E and F; cf. Figures 2–4). In the case of regulated myosins, the tail folds into three similar length segments that pack closely together, giving the appearance of a short but wide tail (Figures 2–4, 8A and B; Burgess et al., 2007). In contrast, unregulated myosin molecules fold only once (black arrows, Figure 8, C and D), at a position similar to the first fold seen in regulated molecules, creating one short and one long segment, as found previously (Katoh et al., 1998; Takahashi et al., 1999).

Despite these differences in folding, the first segment, comprising most of S2, appears to follow the same path in averaged images of all the regulated and unregulated myosins that we have studied. Emanating from the junction of the two light-chain domains, the tail crosses the hole between the two heads and emerges from the middle of the far side of the blocked head (Figure 8, G–K). We interpret this segment as S2, rather than one of the other tail segments, because it has a similar appearance in heavy meromyosin (HMM; Burgess et al., 2007), which lacks the other segments, and in myosin molecules in thick filaments (Woodhead et al., 2005). Furthermore, in intact, regulated myosins, the other two segments follow a different path, distinct from S2, around the outside of the heads (Burgess et al., 2007; Jung et al., 2008). In the unregulated myosins, the tail shows significant flexibility beyond its point of emergence from the

Figure 6. Head–head interaction in vertebrate cardiac muscle myosin. (A) Field of blebbistatin-treated, cross-linked molecules; arrows indicate molecules with compact head appearance. (B and C) Global (B) and class averages (C) from 144 left view images. Each class average contains 20–40 images. White arrow indicates free head motor domain.

Figure 7. Fitting of interacting-head atomic model (PDB: 1i84) to vertebrate skeletal and cardiac myosin average images. (A) Selected average of blebbistatin-treated skeletal myosin (left view, from Figure 5H). (B) Superposition of equivalent view of atomic model (C; color scheme as in Figure 1) on A. (D) Global average of blebbistatin-treated and cross-linked cardiac myosin (left view, from Figure 6B). (E) Superposition of equivalent view of atomic model (F) on D.
heads, demonstrated by comparing selected individual images (Figure 8E) and by the loss of tail density beyond the emergent point in image averages (Figure 8F).

The consistent path of the first segment of the tail in all the myosins suggests that, in addition to the head–head interaction, there is also interaction between the blocked head and S2. This may help to stabilize the interacting head structure in both regulated and unregulated myosins.

5. Activation Breaks the Head–Head Interaction

Previous studies of vertebrate smooth and invertebrate striated muscle myosin have suggested that the heads act independently of each other when activated, consistent with breaking of the head–head interaction on activation (Staf ford et al., 2001; Wendt et al., 2001). However, structural observations of activation in single molecules did not clearly resolve the head–head interaction in the relaxed state (Stafford et al., 2001). We tested whether head interaction is indeed lost on activation by comparing scallop myosin in relaxing (low Ca2+) and activating (high Ca2+) conditions.

In relaxing conditions, many scallop myosin molecules showed a folded tail and a compact arrangement of interacting heads (Figure 9A; Jung et al., 2008), as found in tarantula, Limulus, nonmuscle myosin IIA, and smooth-muscle myosin. In high Ca2+, the heads were generally separate from each other with varying angles between them (Figure 9B), implying breaking of the intramolecular interaction between heads on activation.

DISCUSSION

Head–Head Interaction in Regulated Myosin

Intramolecular interaction between motor domains has been shown to occur in vertebrate smooth-muscle myosin II molecules in the inactive (dephosphorylated) state (Wendt et al., 2001; Burgess et al., 2007). This interaction suggests a simple model for inactivating the heads, by blocking the actin-binding site of one and the ATP hydrolysis site of the other. We have shown here that this structure, and therefore presumably the proposed blocking mechanism, extends beyond smooth-muscle myosin to include different striated muscle and nonmuscle myosin IIs as well. Its presence in tarantula and Limulus striated muscle myosin (in addition to smooth muscle; Figures 2 and 3) suggests that this is conserved across phosphorylation-regulated muscles. Observation of essentially the same motif in striated muscle myosin regulated by Ca2+ binding to the essential light chain implies a similar off-state structure in this distinctly different regulatory system (Jung et al., 2008). Its presence in nonmuscle myosin IIA (phosphorylation-regulated; Figure 4) suggests that this model also encompasses nonmuscle systems.

Three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of native myosin filaments from tarantula (Woodhead et al., 2005) shows that essentially the same head–head interaction also occurs in vivo. Our observation of this structure in tarantula myosin molecules provides the first direct correlation between molecule and filament in the same species. This suggests that extrapolation of observations from molecule to filament in other species is justified. 3D reconstructions of native filaments from Limulus and scallop muscle show similar interacting head features (Zhao et al., 2008), supporting this view.

We conclude that head–head interaction is a common feature of most, if not all, regulated myosin II molecules and filaments under relaxing conditions.

Head–Head Interaction in Unregulated Myosin

Our results suggest that a substantial proportion of vertebrate striated muscle myosin molecules, which are thought
to lack an intrinsic regulatory mechanism (Lehman and Szent-Gyorgyi, 1975), undergo similar head–head interaction in solution, but that the interaction is weak (and/or short lived), and easily broken (see also Harris et al., 2003). The lability of this structure in unregulated myosins may explain why it has not been observed previously (Walker et al., 1985; Katoh et al., 1998; Takahashi et al., 1999).

The presence of head–head interaction in vertebrate striated muscle myosin molecules under relaxing conditions suggests that relaxed vertebrate thick filaments may also have this head arrangement. This is supported by the excellent fit of the interacting-head atomic model (PDB 1I84) to the myosin heads in 3D reconstructions of mouse cardiac thick filaments (Zoghbi et al., 2008). Thus unregulated myosin filaments and molecules from vertebrate striated muscle both exhibit head–head interaction in the relaxed state. The closing of the switch II element of the nucleotide binding site in relaxed muscle, inhibiting Pi release, may allow bending of the head at the so-called “pliant region” in the head (Houdusse et al., 2000; see Supplemental Discussion), enabling head–head interaction, which may in turn be necessary for the helical ordering of the heads that characterizes relaxed filaments. This view is supported by the findings that the closing of switch II is required for helical ordering (Zoghbi et al., 2004), and that blebbistatin, which promotes this closing, enhances both the number of interacting-head molecules (Table 1), and the ordering of myosin heads in thick filaments (Zhao et al., 2005; Zoghbi et al., 2008).

We conclude that head–head interaction is a highly conserved motif present in both regulated and unregulated myosin II systems in the relaxed state. Head–head (and other) interactions apparently serve to fully switch off activity in regulated myosins. In unregulated myosins they may serve to “park” the myosin heads in an ordered array near the thick filament surface in relaxed muscle, minimizing their interaction with thin filaments. Such parked, intramolecularly interacting heads would offer minimal resistance to re-extension of muscles after shortening, minimizing the energy cost of the contraction–relaxation cycle (Zoghbi et al., 2004). Our results on unregulated molecules and filaments imply that head–head interaction per se is insufficient to switch myosin off biochemically. In regulated myosins, additional interactions (e.g., involving the RLC or the myosin tail) may strengthen the head–head interaction, causing activity to be switched off.

Putative Ionic Interactions at the Head–Head and Head–Tail Interfaces

Scallop myosin is known to switched on by high salt in the absence of Ca++, implying that ionic attraction plays a key role in stabilizing the off-state (Niyitrai et al., 2003). We have used comparative sequence analysis and molecular modeling to search for possible ionic interactions that may be important in stabilizing the head–head interaction (see Supplemental Discussion; Figures S1–S3). Our analysis suggests that D748 in the converter domain of the free head may interact with K368 of the blocked head and that R406 or K416 of the blocked head may interact with E169 of the free head. Mutational analysis shows that charge attraction is also critical to the switched off-state of myosin V: in this case attraction appears to occur between single charged residues in the myosin head and the globular tail domain (Li et al., 2008). Similar mutational analysis could test the significance of the charge interactions we have proposed for myosin II.

Interestingly, mutation of R406 (R403 in cardiac myosin) causes significant changes in motor activity of myosin, leading to familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (FHC; Palmiter et al., 2000; Yamashita et al., 2000). This mutation has been suggested to affect cardiac contractility through alteration in myosin kinetics. Our analysis suggests that changes in contractility could additionally relate to a change in the stability of the head–head interaction when cardiac muscle relaxes. Mutation of R731 (R719 of cardiac myosin), close to the putative D748/K368 charge interaction (Supplemental Figure S2B) also causes FHC. When mutated to glutamine, smooth-muscle myosin can move actin even in the unphosphorylated state (Yamashita et al., 2000; Kohler et al., 2002), consistent with breaking of the head–head interaction. Thus, although R731 may not be an integral part of the motor domain interaction, it may influence the putative nearby K368–D748 ionic interaction.

The possibility that interaction of the blocked head with S2 was involved in the off-state was first suggested in studies of tarantula filaments (Woodhead et al., 2005) and is supported by images of smooth and scallop HMM molecules (Jung et al., 2008). Our observations add further weight to this concept (Figure 8 and Supplemental Discussion) and demonstrate that it also applies to unregulated myosins. The physiological importance of S2–head interaction is implied by the loss of regulation that occurs when smooth-muscle myosin S2 is truncated so that it can no longer reach the blocked head (Trybus et al., 1997). We conclude that interaction of S2 with the blocked head is an important contributor to the relaxed state of myosin. It has been suggested that this interaction occurs between a patch of negative charge on S2 (E894–D906) and a conserved patch of positively charged residues in the actin-binding “loop 2” (residues 627–646) of the blocked head (Blankenfeldt et al., 2006). The point mutation L908V in cardiac S2 alters the kinetics of actin-myosin interaction, and results in FHC (Palmiter et al., 2000; Yamashita et al., 2000). One interpretation of this effect is that L908, only two residues from D906, in some way influences the interaction between S2 and the blocked head and that this interaction occurs transiently during the crossbridge cycle, not only in the relaxed state.

Intramolecular Interaction: A Common Theme for Switching Off Molecular Motors

Intramolecular interaction appears to be a common mechanism for switching off other motor proteins as well as myosin II. Kinesin is switched off by interaction of the heavy chain tail with the motor domains (Friedman and Vale, 1999). Similarly, myosin V is inactivated by intramolecular interaction between the globular tail domain and the heads (Li et al., 2006; Thirumurugan et al., 2006). In both cases, activity is switched on by binding of cargo to the tail domain, displacing it from the heads. In the case of regulated myosin II, switching on breaks the head–head interaction, switching on ATPase activity and enabling the heads to extend from the filament backbone and bind to actin. Although vertebrate striated muscle myosin is not regulated, we have shown that it can still form head–head interactions (probably weak), which may be required for “parking” the heads in their ordered array on relaxed thick filaments. Phosphorylation in this case enhances muscle contractility (Sweeney et al., 1993), presumably by further weakening the head–head interaction, facilitating extension of the heads from the thick filament and thus binding to actin (Levine et al., 1996).
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