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Glial Wingless/Wnt Regulates Glutamate Receptor Clustering and Synaptic Physiology at the Drosophila Neuromuscular Junction

Kimberly S. Kerr,1 Yuly Fuentes-Medel,1 Cassandra Brewer,1 Romina Barria,1 James Ashley,1 Katharine C. Abruzzi,3 Amy Sheehan,1 Ozge E. Tasdemir-Yilmaz,1 Marc R. Freeman,1,2 and Vivian Budnik1

1Department of Neurobiology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts 01605, 2Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Worcester, Massachusetts 01605, and 3National Center for Behavioral Genomics, Department of Biology, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02454

Glial cells are emerging as important regulators of synapse formation, maturation, and plasticity through the release of secreted signaling molecules. Here we use chromatin immunoprecipitation along with Drosophila genomic tiling arrays to define potential targets of the glial transcription factor Reversed polarity (Repo). Unexpectedly, we identified wingless (wg), a secreted morphogen that regulates synaptic growth at the Drosophila larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ), as a potential Repo target gene. We demonstrate that Repo regulates wg expression in vivo and that local glial cells secrete Wg at the NMJ to regulate glutamate receptor clustering and synaptic function. This work identifies Wg as a novel in vivo glial-secreted factor that specifically modulates assembly of the postsynaptic signaling machinery at the Drosophila NMJ.
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Introduction

Glial cells are intimately associated with neurons and exert significant control over neuronal development and function. Glia secrete a number of factors that potently modulate the formation and maturation of synapses (Ullian et al., 2004; Barres, 2008). Excitatory glutamatergic synapse formation is promoted by glia-derived thrombospondins (TSPs; Christopherson et al., 2005) and glypicans 4 and 6 in vivo (Allen et al., 2012). Glia can also regulate the formation of inhibitory synapses in vitro by acting on the assembly of postsynaptic GABA_A receptors (Elmariah et al., 2005; Hughes et al., 2010). In vitro studies suggest that glial control of synapse formation is complex, requiring multiple factors that can differentially affect the assembly of presynaptic and postsynaptic structures (Christopherson et al., 2005; Elmariah et al., 2005; Hughes et al., 2010), and at least a subset of these proteinaceous factors remain to be identified (Hughes et al., 2010).

The Drosophila nervous system is an attractive model to explore early aspects of glial cell fate induction, maturation, and control of neural circuit connectivity (Freeman and Doherty, 2006; Stork et al., 2012). The vast majority of newly born Drosophila glia express glial cells missing (gcm) gene, which encodes a transcription factor necessary and sufficient to induce the glial developmental program in the embryo (Hosoya et al., 1995; Jones et al., 1995; Vincent et al., 1996). Gcm transcriptionally activates a number of important glial genes including reversed polarity (repo; Akiyama et al., 1996), which encodes a homeodomain-containing transcription factor critical for both maturation of glial cell fate and active repression of neuronal fate (Campbell et al., 1994; Xiong et al., 1994). Null alleles of repo cause embryonic lethality, although most glia are initially specified and positioned correctly (Campbell et al., 1994; Halter et al., 1995). Interestingly, null repo mutant animals fail to activate a number of terminal differentiation genes in glia, including the EAAT1 and EAAT2 transporters that mediate reuptake of the neurotransmitter glutamate from synapses (Soustelle et al., 2002), and the regulator of G protein signaling locomotion defective (loco; Yuasa et al., 2003), a key mediator of blood–brain barrier formation (Granderath et al., 1999; Schwabe et al., 2005). Although the precise molecular basis for defects remains unclear, repo mutants exhibit profound alterations in neuronal physiology: in the repo⁻¹ mutant, retinal photoreceptor field potentials are completely reversed (Xiong et al., 1994).

Together, these observations argue that Repo plays a central role in activating programs essential for late steps in glial cell fate and neuron–glia signaling. We reasoned that the identification of direct targets of Repo would shed significant light on the signaling pathways activated during glial differentiation and early neuron–glia signaling events. In this study we used chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) with Repo to...
identify an extensive collection of potential Repo target genes. This collection included many previously known glial genes and, unexpectedly, multiple components of the Wingless (Wg)/Wnt signaling pathway. Using the Drosophila neuro-muscular junction (NMJ), we demonstrate that Repo can indeed modulate Wg levels in vivo and that glial-released Wg is a critical regulator of glutamate receptor (Glur) clustering and synaptic function.

Materials and Methods

Flies strains and constructs. Flies of either sex were raised on standard Drosophila media at 25°C. For RNAi experiments, RNAi lines and controls were raised at 29°C. The following fly strains were used in this study: wild type (Canton-S); r824-Gal4 (Sepp and Auld, 1999), repo-Gal4 (Lee and Jones, 2005), C380-Gal4 (Budnik et al., 1996), OK6-Gal4 (Marqués et al., 2002), moody-Gal4 (Bainton et al., 2005), PG-Gal4 (NP6293-Gal4) (Awasaki et al., 2008), nervana2-Gal4 [Nvr2-Gal4; Bloomington Stock Center (BSC), stock #66800; Sun et al., 1999], UAS-Wg-RNAi [stock #13532; Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center (VDRC)], UAS-Porc-RNAi (stock #47864; VDRC), UAS-Repo-RNAi (stock #10424; VDRC), UAS-mCD8-GFP (stock #5137; BSC), wg-cytl (stock #2987; BSC), repo+ (stock #4162; BSC), w1118, ry;S6;P[ray127] [referred to here as repo+]; stock #11604, BSC).

Immunolabeling, antibody source, and concentration. Third instar Drosophila larvae were dissected in calcium-free saline (Jan and Jan, 1976) and fixed for 10 min with nonalcoholic Bouin’s solution (5% acetic acid, 9% formaldehyde, 0.9% picric acid). Primary antibodies were used at the following dilutions: rabbit anti-Wg, 1:400 (Reichmann et al., 1996); mouse anti-GFP, 1:200 (Invitrogen); mouse anti-glutamate receptor II A (anti-GluRIIA), 1:3 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank); FITC or Texas Red conjugated anti-HRP, 1:200 (Jackson Immunoresearch). Secondary antibodies conjugated to FITC, Texas Red, or Cy5 (Jackson Immunoresearch) were used at a concentration of 1:200.

Image quantification. Samples were imaged using an Intelligent Imaging Innovations Everest spinning disc confocal system using a Zeiss Plan-Apochromat 63x 1.4 numerical aperture oil objective. Different genotypes were processed simultaneously and imaged using identical confocal acquisition parameters for comparison. Bouton number was quantified in larval abdominal segment A3, muscles 6 and 7, of wandering third instar larvae.

Fluorescence signal intensity was quantified by volumetric measurements of confocal stacks using Velocity 6.0 software (Improvision). For measurements of synaptic intensity, single boutons were selected from the following dilutions: rabbit anti-Wg, 1:400 (Reichmann et al., 1996); mouse anti-GFP, 1:200 (Invitrogen); mouse anti-glutamate receptor II A (anti-GluRIIA), 1:3 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank); FITC or Texas Red conjugated anti-HRP, 1:200 (Jackson Immunoresearch). Secondary antibodies conjugated to FITC, Texas Red, or Cy5 (Jackson Immunoresearch) were used at a concentration of 1:200.

Results

Repo regulates multiple genes involved in neuron–glia signaling and specification of glial fate

We sought to identify novel potential transcriptional targets for Repo and explore their in vivo roles in glial cell development and function. We generated two constructs designed for expression of either an N- or C-terminal Myc-tagged version of Repo (Myc::Repo and Repo::Myc, respectively) in Drosophila S2 cells. We transfected S2 cells with either Myc::Repo or Repo::Myc and performed ChIPs using anti-Myc antibodies, and Repo-bound
genomic regions were then identified by hybridization of isolates to Drosophila v2.0 tiling arrays (Affymetrix; Fig. 1A). Genomic regions exhibiting significant binding in ChIP assays were identified using the model-based tiling array (MAT) algorithm (Johnson et al., 2006) for both Myc::Repo and Repo::Myc separately. Data sets were cross-compared, and only those loci found to be significantly enriched in both experiments were selected. This approach led to the identification of 2041 loci exhibiting significant binding by Repo, which were defined as significant by having a \( p \) value of \( <10^{-4} \) in each ChIP experiment.

Intriguingly, analysis of Repo-bound genomic fragments led to the identification of 16 genes already known to be glial genes, including loco, pointed, EAAT1, Glutamine synthetase 2, akap200, distalless, gliotactin, and dead ringer/retained (Fig. 1C; Freeman et al., 2003). Each of these genes exhibited binding in either 5', intronic, and/or 3’ regions (Fig. 1B), and thus represented potential direct targets for Repo-dependent transcriptional activation or repression in vivo. At least two of these genes, EAAT1 and loco, have been shown previously to be positively regulated in vivo by Repo (Soustelle et al., 2002; Yuasa et al., 2003). To determine whether any of the new potential Repo targets were in fact regulated by Repo in vivo, we performed RNA in situ hybridizations for a number of genes to wild-type control and repo mutant embryos, including glutamine synthetase 2 (gs2) and Cysteine proteinase 1 (Cp1). Whereas control animals expressed gs2 in longitudinal glia from embryonic stage 14 onward, we could not detect expression of gs2 in glia at any stage in repo mutants (Fig. 1D). Similarly, whereas stage 13 embryos expressed Cp1 in the majority of glial cells, repo mutants exhibited a dramatically reduced pattern of Cp1 expression. Thus, gs2 and Cp1 represent new in vivo regulatory targets of Repo.

Wg expression in peripheral glia is regulated by Repo, and both neurons and glia are in vivo sources for NMJ Wg

Interestingly, in the above analysis we also found genes not previously associated with glia, such as members of Wnt signaling pathways (Fig. 1C). Wnt-1/Wingless is known to be released by motor neuron terminals at the larval NMJ and to regulate the development of both presynaptic and postsynaptic compartments through DFrizzled2 (DFz2) receptors localized at both sites (Packard et al., 2002; Mathew et al., 2005; Ataman et al., 2006; Miech et al., 2008). In the absence of Wnt signaling, the number of synaptic boutons is reduced, GluR subunits become distributed in abnormally broad clusters (Packard et al., 2002; Ataman et al., 2006), and a subset of boutons (termed ghost boutons) lack postsynaptic proteins, postsynaptic structures, and presynaptic active zones (Packard et al., 2002; Ataman et al., 2006).

Given our previous studies demonstrating that glial engulfment function is required for normal NMJ development (Fuentes-Medel et al., 2009), we explored potential roles for glia in regulating NMJ development through release of Wg.
We first used anti-Wg antibodies to label larval body wall muscle preparations and examined the segmental nerves, where peripheral glial cell bodies are located. Glial membranes were labeled by driving membrane-tethered GFP (mCD8-GFP) in all glia using repo-Gal4. Transcript fold changes were determined using the ΔΔCt method. To determine whether Repo altered wg transcript levels, we overexpressed Repo in peripheral glia using repo-Gal4 and extracted RNA from dissected third instar larval segmental nerves, as peripheral glial cell bodies are the only cell bodies found along these nerves. Quantitative PCR revealed that overexpressing Repo in peripheral glial cell bodies increased wg transcript levels.

Figure 2. Repo regulation of Wg in peripheral glia. A, Third instar larval segmental nerves expressing mCD8-GFP in glia and labeled with anti-HRP, anti-GFP, and anti-Wg. n, glial nucleus; sn, segmental nerve; vg, ventral ganglion. B, C, Real-time PCR from larval segmental nerve RNA showing that repo (B) and wg transcript (C) levels are increased when Repo is overexpressed in peripheral glia using repo-Gal4. Transcript fold changes were determined using the ΔΔCt method. D–I, Confocal images of third instar larval NMJ branches in preparations double labeled with anti-HRP and anti-Wg in wild-type controls (D, F), upon overexpressing Repo in peripheral glia (E), repo1 mutants (G), upon expressing Repo-RNAi in peripheral glia (H), and in wg1 mutants (I). J, Quantification of total Wg signal intensity divided by bouton volume in each of the indicated genotypes normalized to wild type. Gray and black bars indicate experiments performed at 29°C, to maximize RNAi expression, and 25°C, respectively. Error bars represent SEM. ***p < 0.001. Scale bar: (in I) A, 8 μm; D–I, 5 μm. The numbers of arbors quantified for normalized endogenous Wg levels are as follows: 25°C, wild type, 10; UAS-Repo/ (Repo control), 10; rl82-Gal4/ (driver control), 10; repo1repo1; 10; wg1, 10; 29°C, wild type, 47; rl82-Gal4/ (driver control), 10; UAS-Repo-RNAi/ (Repo-RNAi control), 10; UAS-Wg-RNAi/ (Wg-RNAi control), 10; rl82-Gal4->Repo-RNAi (Repo-RNAi-glia), 10; repo1repo1; 10; wg1, 10; 29°C, wild type, 47; rl82-Gal4/ (driver control), 10; UAS-Repo-RNAi/ (Repo-RNAi control), 10; r482-Gal4->Repo-RNAi (Repo-RNAi-glia), 10; UAS-Wg-RNAi/ (Wg-RNAi control), 10; rl82-Gal4->Wg-RNAi (Wg-RNAi-glia), 24; C380-Gal4/ (driver control), 10; C380-Gal4->Wg-RNAi (Wg-RNAi-neuron), 13; OK6-Gal4/ (driver control), 10; and OK6-Gal4->Wg-RNAi (Wg-RNAi-neuron), 21.

We first used anti-Wg antibodies to label larval body wall muscle preparations and examined the segmental nerves, where peripheral glial cell bodies are located. Glial membranes were labeled by driving membrane-tethered GFP (mCD8-GFP) in all glia using the repo-Gal4 driver. Endogenous Wg signal was found throughout glial cell bodies, but was excluded from glial cell nuclei (Fig. 2A). To determine whether Repo altered wg transcript levels, we overexpressed Repo in peripheral glia using rl82-Gal4 and extracted RNA from dissected third instar larval segmental nerves, as peripheral glial cell bodies are the only cell bodies found along these nerves. Quantitative PCR revealed that overexpressing Repo in peripheral glia increased Wg transcript levels. This suggests that Repo negatively regulates Wg expression in peripheral glia.
Repo in glia by RNAi (in the repo locus) served in a hypomorphic effect, a reduction in Wg protein level at the NMJ, was also observed in the hypomorphic endogenous Wg signal at the NMJ, which was comparable to that in neurons also led to a significant decrease in the intensity of the pool of NMJ Wg is derived from glial cells. Expressing Wg-RNAi regulates quantitative PCR results; these data strongly suggest that Repo nerve.

Peripheral glial cells resulted in a significant increase in repo and wg transcript levels (Fig. 2 B, C).

Overexpressing Repo in peripheral glia resulted in an increase in Wg protein levels at the NMJ (Fig. 2 D, E, J). The opposite effect, a reduction in Wg protein level at the NMJ, was also observed in a hypomorphic repo allele, repo*, over another mutation in the repo locus (repo*repo**), as well as by downregulating Repo in glia by RNAi (Fig. 2F–H, J). Combined with the ChIP and quantitative PCR results, these data strongly suggest that Repo regulates wg expression and raise the intriguing possibility that a pool of NMJ Wg is derived from glial cells. Expressing Wg-RNAi in neurons also led to a significant decrease in the intensity of the endogenous Wg signal at the NMJ, which was comparable to that observed in the hypomorphic wg' allele (Fig. 2I, J). This suggests that NMJ Wg is derived from two sources, peripheral glia and presynaptic motor neurons.

Subperineurial glia can deliver Wg to the NMJ

If glial Wg contributes directly to the NMJ Wg protein pool, then glial-expressed Wg should be delivered to the NMJ. To test this hypothesis, we expressed Wg-GFP in glia, using the pan-glial driver repo-Gal4, and examined the localization of Wg-GFP at the NMJ. Driving an mCD8-GFP reporter using repo-Gal4 resulted in Wg-GFP labeled glial membrane extensions that associated with proximal regions of the NMJ, but, consistent with our previous observations (Fuentes-Medel et al., 2009), glial processes did not deeply infiltrate the NMJ (Fig. 3A1, A2, arrowheads). In contrast, expressing Wg-GFP with the repo-Gal4 driver led to Wg-GFP signal localizing to all synaptic boutons of the NMJ, both presynaptically and postsynaptically (Fig. 3F). Thus, glial-expressed Wg-GFP can be found throughout the NMJ despite the fact that glial membranes show only modest association with the NMJ. This observation indicates that peripheral glia are indeed able to deliver Wg to all synaptic boutons of the NMJ.

Peripheral glia can be subdivided into three categories: the perineurial glial (PGs) reside on the surface of the nerve; beneath these are the subperineurial glia (SPGs), which establish the blood–brain barrier; and finally, wrapping glia (WPGs) are found in the deepest region of the peripheral nerve, directly associating with axons of motor and sensory neurons (Stork et al., 2008). Several strains that express Gal4 in each peripheral glial subtype have been isolated (Stork et al., 2012), and we used these to determine precisely which subsets of peripheral glia interact with the NMJ and which were capable of delivering Wg-GFP to synaptic boutons. SPGs labeled by driving mCD8-GFP with rlb2-Gal4 or moody-Gal4 (Schwabe et al., 2005) were found to elaborate frequent membrane extensions that associated with primarily proximal regions of the NMJ (Fig. 3B1–C2, arrowheads; note that the moody-Gal4 driver is also expressed in tracheal cells along the body wall, Fig. 3C1, C2, arrow). As was the case with repo-Gal4, expression of Wg-GFP using rlb2- or moody-Gal4 resulted in Wg-GFP localization surrounding all synaptic boutons of the NMJ (Fig. 3G, H). These data are consistent with the notion that SPGs can release Wg to the NMJ.

Examination of PG-Gal4 (Awasaki et al., 2008), which expresses Gal4 in PGs, revealed that membrane extension from perineurial glia also reached the proximal region of the NMJ and became associated with a few boutons (Fig. 3D1, D2, arrowheads). However, unlike with SPGs, driving Wg-GFP in PGs did not result in Wg-GFP signal at the NMJ (Fig. 3I). Finally, we
examined the distribution of WPG membranes by driving mCD8-GFP with Nrv2-Gal4 (Sun et al., 1999). Although bright mCD8-GFP signal was observed in the segmental nerves (Fig. 3E1), we never observed GFP positive membrane extensions associated with the NMJ (Fig. 3E2). In addition, Wg-GFP was not present at the NMJ when expressed with Nrv2-Gal4 (Fig. 3J).

Together, these results provide compelling evidence that both SPGs and PGs, but not WPGs, extend membranes that interact with the NMJ. Furthermore, they suggest that SPG cells are uniquely specialized among the peripheral nerve glial subtypes to serve as a source of glia-derived Wg at the NMJ.

Subperineurial glia function is required for normal GluRIIA receptor cluster formation

The finding that SPG cells can deliver Wg to the NMJ raised the possibility that glia are responsible for some of the structural and functional abnormalities observed upon interfering with Wnt signaling at the NMJ (Packard et al., 2002; Ataman et al., 2006). Consistent with this view, repo' mutants (B), and upon expressing Repo-RNAi RNA in SPGs (C). D, Quantifications of GluRIIA volume divided by bouton volume and mean GluRIIA signal intensity in each of the indicated genotypes normalized to wild type. E–G, Confocal images of third instar larval NMJ arbors labeled with anti-HRP in wild type (E), repo' mutants (F), and upon expressing Repo-RNAi RNA in SPGs (G). H, Quantification of total bouton number for each of the indicated genotypes. Gray and black bars indicate experiments performed at 29 and 25°C, respectively. Error bars represent SEM. *p ≤ 0.05; **p < 0.001. Scale bar: (in C A–C), 2 μm; E–G, 18 μm. The numbers of arbors quantified for GluRIIA parameters are as follows: 25°C, wild type, 10; repo', 10; repo'/repoPZ, 10; 29°C, wild type, 32; repo'/repo+/C380-Gal4/+/Repo-RNAi (Repo-RNAi-glia), 10. The numbers of samples for total bouton number are as follows: 25°C, wild type, 42; repo', 23; repo'/repoPZ, 14; 29°C, wild type, 166; driver control, 19; Repo-RNAi control, 19; and Repo-RNAi-glia, 18.

In contrast to Wnt signaling mutants, repo' mutants or glial expression of Repo-RNAi did not change NMJ size, as determined by labeling body wall muscle preparations with anti-HRP antibodies and counting the number of synaptic boutons at the third instar larval stage (Fig. 4E–H).

To determine whether the above phenotype in GluRIIA clustering was due to Wg function in SPGs, we examined NMJ size and organization of GluRIIA clusters upon selective downregulation of Wg in SPGs. Unlike the wg' hypomorph, or expression of Wg-RNAi in neurons with C380-Gal4, in which a small but significant decrease in bouton number was observed (Fig.
no change in bouton number was apparent when we expressed Wg-RNAi in SPGs (Fig. 5B, G). However, downregulating Wg in these glia led to a substantial increase in GluRIIA cluster size, similar to the repo mutant phenotype, and a significant increase in GluRIIA mean intensity (Fig. 5D, E, H). To determine whether the increase in GluRIIA cluster size/intensity was exclusively derived from Wg function in glia, we also downregulated Wg in neurons with C380-Gal4. Notably, expressing Wg-RNAi in neurons also resulted in a significant increase in the size and mean intensity of GluRIIA clusters (Fig. 5F, H). Although wg1 mutants showed a similar increase in GluRIIA cluster size, there was a small decrease in mean intensity (Fig. 5H). Thus, Wg operates in both glia and neurons to promote normal organization of GluRIIA clusters, and the expression of Wg in either
of these cell types alone is not sufficient for normal assembly of GluRIIA clusters.

To obtain further evidence for a role of SPG-derived Wg in the formation of normal GluR clusters, we downregulated Porcupine (Porc), an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) resident protein required for post-translational Wg modifications that are essential for Wg exit from the ER (van den Heuvel et al., 1993; Kodawaki et al., 1996; Tanaka et al., 2002). Downregulating Porc with r82-Gal4 resulted in a significant increase in the size, but not the mean intensity, of GluRIIA clusters (Fig. 5I), reinforcing the idea that Wg secretion by glia is required for normal GluRIIA clustering. Similarly, downregulating Porc with C380-Gal4 resulted in an increase in GluRIIA size, but not intensity. These results provide strong evidence that both glial and motor neuron Wg are critical for correct NMJ development. In addition, our data argue that the functions of Wg in neurons versus glia are distinct: neuronal Wg is required to establish both normal NMJ size and GluRIIA clustering, whereas glial Wg regulates GluRIIA clustering, but does not appear to influence NMJ size.

**Discussion**

In the past decade, glial cells have emerged as important regulators of neural circuit assembly and function. In particular, glia have been shown to exert significant control over synapse formation, growth, and plasticity, but glia-derived factors capable of regulating neural development and physiology in vivo are only beginning to be defined (Christopherson et al., 2005; Barres, 2008; Eroglu et al., 2009; Eroglu and Barres, 2010; Allen et al., 2012; Fuentes-Medel et al., 2012). By initiating the discovery of transcriptional targets of the glial transcription factor Repo, we identified the Wnt family protein Wg as a glia-derived factor promoting synapse growth. We showed that Repo can bind the wg locus in cultured cells, and that Repo can regulate synaptic levels of Wg in vivo. Furthermore, we demonstrated that glia-derived Wg is an important in vivo regulator of synapse formation and physiology: downregulation of glia-derived Wg at the NMJ leads to defects in the distribution of glutamate receptor clusters, an increase in mEJP amplitude and frequency, and a decrease in evoked EJPs. Wg is thus a novel prosynaptomorphin molecule released by glia that modulates the organization of postsynaptic structures and NMJ function.

**Repo regulates a broad class of genes involved in neuron–glia signaling**

The diversity of genes directly regulated by Repo—a critical transcriptional regulator of glial cell development in **Drosophila**—has not been thoroughly explored. Our ChIP studies from **Drosophila** S2 cells identified several potential Repo targets that have been shown to govern fundamental aspects of glial development or function. For example, known targets were identified that actively promote glial cell fate specification (e.g., pointed, distalless; Klaes et al., 1994; Freeman et al., 2003), blood–brain barrier formation (e.g., gliotactin, loco, coracle, Nrv1; Granderath et al., 1999; Banerjee and Bhat, 2007), engulfment activity (e.g., dCed-6; Awasaki et al., 2006), neurotransmitter metabolism (e.g., EAAT1, GS2; Freeman et al., 2003; Rival et al., 2004), and neuron–glia signaling during nervous system morphogenesis (e.g., Per; Learte et al., 2008). For at least two potential targets, gs2 and Cpl, we demonstrated a key requirement for Repo in their transcriptional activation during development.

Given the broad roles of this collection of genes in glial cell biology, our work supports the hypothesis that Repo transcriptionally regulates a diverse class of genes that modulate many aspects of glial cell development. For instance, Pointed, which is now a predicted Repo target, is a key glial factor that activates glial fate at very early developmental stages (Klaes et al., 1994). Likewise, Repo appears to regulate Gliotactin, Coracle, and Nrv1, which are molecules essential for formation of the pleated septate junction-based blood–brain barrier at mid to late embryogenesis in **Drosophila** (Schwabe et al., 2005; Banerjee and Bhat, 2007). At the same time, EAAT1 and GS2 are activated late in the embryonic glial program, with expression being retained even in fully mature glia, and these transporters are critical for synaptic neurotransmitter recycling (Freeman and Doherty, 2006). Since EAAT1 and GS2 are both activated by Repo, and primarily expressed in CNS glia, our data argue that Repo is directly upstream of multiple key glial factors required for glutamate clearance from CNS synapses.

**Glia to synapse signaling through Wingless/Wnt**

Mammalian excitatory glutamatergic synapse formation is modulated by multiple soluble glia-derived factors including TSPs (Christopherson et al., 2005), Hevin/Sparc (Kucukdereli et al., 2011), and glycicans 4 and 6 (Allen et al., 2012). These factors, along with other secreted glial factors that remain to be identified, are essential for initial synapse formation and (with the exception of TSPs) can promote postsynaptic differentiation through membrane insertion and clustering of AMPA receptors (Kucukdereli et al., 2011). In this study, we identified Wg as a novel glia-derived factor essential for postsynaptic structure and function in vivo at the **Drosophila** glutamatergic NMJ. Combined with our previous findings that NMJ glia can also release a TGF-β family member to regulate presynaptic growth in a retrograde manner (Fuentes-Medel et al., 2012), these studies provide compelling evidence that **Drosophila** glia function as a major integrator of synaptic signals during development.

Previous work has demonstrated that Wg/Wnt signaling potentially modulates the coordinated assembly of both presynaptic
and postsynaptic structures at the *Drosophila* NMJ (Speese and Budnik, 2007). Loss of Wg, or its receptor DFz2, leads to a dramatic decrease in synaptic boutons and disrupted clustering of postsynaptic glutamate receptors (Packard et al., 2002). Although previous studies supported evidence implicating motor neurons in Wg release (Packard et al., 2002), the presence of alternative cellular sources remained an open and important question. Our surprising discovery of Wg as a candidate Repo target gene by ChIP led us to explore the possibility that NMJ glia could act as an additional in vivo source of NMJ Wg. Consistent with this idea, we found that peripheral glia expressed Wg, SPGs were able to deliver Wg:GFP to the NMJ, and knockdown of SPG Wg significantly reduced NMJ Wg levels and led to a partial phenocopy of *wg* mutant phenotypes.

Interestingly, we found that loss of glia-derived Wg could account for some, but not all, *wg* loss-of-function phenotypes. For example, whereas depletion of glia-derived Wg disrupted clustering of postsynaptic glutamate receptors, it had no effect on the

---

**Figure 6.** Synaptic transmission is altered in repo mutants and upon Wg decrease in glia or neurons. A, B, Representative mEJP (A) and evoked EJP traces (B) in the indicated genotypes. C–F, Quantification of mEJP amplitude (C), mEJP frequency (D), evoked EJP amplitude (E), and quantal content (F). Error bars represent SEM. *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01; ***p < 0.001. The numbers of animals quantified are as follows: wild type, 23; repo1/ repoPZ, 5; rl82-Gal4/ (driver control), 8; C380-Gal4/ (driver control), 7; UAS-Wg-RNAi/ (Wg-RNAi control), 12; rl82-Gal4 > Wg-RNAi (Wg-RNAi-glia), 30; and C380-Gal4 > Wg-RNAi (Wg-RNAi-neuron), 11.
formation of synaptic boutons. In contrast, depletion of neuronal Wg led to defects in both glutamate receptor clustering as well as bouton formation. Although only neuronal Wg regulated bouton growth, these data argue that both glial and neuronal Wg are capable of modulating the assembly of glutamate receptor complexes. Thus, we have identified two in vivo sources of Wg at the NMJ: the presynaptic neuron and local glial cells.

Regarding the modulation of neurotransmission, we found that both glial and neuronal Wg had important roles, which, as in the case of the development of synaptic structure, were only partially overlapping. Loss of glial or neuronal Wg resulted in post-synaptic defects in neurotransmission, including increased mEJP amplitude (apostysynaptic property), decreased nerve-evoked EJPs, and decreased quantal content. Consistent with Repo regulating GluR expression, these phenotypes were mimicked by loss of repo function. The most notable difference in functional requirements for glial versus neuronal Wg is in mEJP frequency (a presynaptic function): depletion of glial Wg resulted in a dramatic increase in mEJP frequency, whereas manipulating neuronal Wg had no effect. Thus both glial and neuronal Wg are critical regulators of synaptic physiology in vivo, likely modulating NMJ neurotransmission in a combinatorial fashion, although glial Wg has the unique ability to modulate presynaptic function.

The increase in mEJP amplitude is consistent with our findings that GluR cluster size was increased upon loss of glia- or neuron-derived Wg, and that in general this was accompanied by minor changes in GluRIIA signal intensity. A potential explanation is that neuron- and glia-derived Wg regulate the levels of GluRIIA subunits. Previously, it was demonstrated that downregulation of the postsynaptic Frizzled Nuclear Import (FNI) pathway also increased GluRs at the NMJ (Speese et al., 2012). This suggests that glia- and neuron-derived Wg may act in concert via the FNI pathway to stabilize the synapse by regulating GluR expression.

An important property of the larval NMJ is the ability to maintain constant synaptic function throughout development via structural and functional modifications. The combined functions of glial and neuronal Wg likely contribute to this mechanism, as together they positively regulate synaptic growth and function as well as organize postsynaptic machinery. However, a previous study suggested that the transcription factor Gooseberry (Gsb), in its role as a positive regulator of synaptic homeostasis in neurons, may be antagonized by Wg function (Marie et al., 2010). Mutations in gsb block the increase in neurotransmitter release observed when postsynaptic GluRs are downregulated. Furthermore, Marie et al. (2010) showed that the gsb mutant defect can be rescued by a heterozygous wg mutant allele. However, the specific role of Gsb in this process is unclear, as rapid synaptic homeostasis was normal in the mutant, and defects appeared restricted to a long-term decrease in GluR function (Marie et al., 2010). It will be important to define the specific role of Gsb in synaptic homeostasis and to manipulate Wg function in alternative ways before a clear relationship between Wg and Gsb can be established.

How could neuronal versus glial Wg differ in regulating NMJ development and physiology? One possibility is that the level or site of Wg delivery by each cell type is different. For example, since SPGs invade the NMJ only intermittently (Fuentes-Medel et al., 2009), it is possible that they release most of their Wg outside of the NMJ, whereas the presynaptic neuron, which is embedded in the muscle cell, delivers it more efficiently and directly to the postsynaptic muscle cell. Alternatively, the Wg morphogen released by glia versus that released by neurons could be qualitatively different through alternative post-translational modifications such as glycosylation. Either mechanism would allow for glia to modulate specific aspects of NMJ physiology independently from neuronal Wg, perhaps in an activity-dependent manner.

Although glia-derived Wg does not modulate NMJ growth, Drosophila glia can indeed regulate synaptic growth at the NMJ in vivo. We demonstrated previously that Drosophila glia release the TGF-β ligand Maverick to modulate TGF-β/BMP retrograde signaling at the NMJ and thereby the addition of new synaptic boutons (Fuentes-Medel et al., 2012). Our discovery that glia-derived Wg can exert significant control over the physiological properties of NMJ synapses expands the mechanisms by which Drosophila glia can control NMJ synapse development and function. In the future it will be important to understand how glial Wg and TGF-β signaling integrate to promote normal NMJ growth, physiology, and plasticity.
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