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Heterochromatin is a specialized chromatin structure that is central to eukaryotic transcriptional regulation and genome stability. Despite its globally repressive role, heterochromatin must also be dynamic, allowing for its repair and replication. In budding yeast, heterochromatin formation requires silent information regulators (Sirs) Sir2p, Sir3p, and Sir4p, and these Sir proteins create specialized chromatin structures at telomeres and silent mating-type loci. Previously, we found that the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling enzyme can catalyze the ATP-dependent eviction of Sir3p from recombinant nucleosomal arrays, and this activity enhances early steps of recombinational repair in vitro. Here, we show that the ATPase subunit of SWI/SNF, Swi2p/Snf2p, interacts with the heterochromatin structural protein Sir3p. Two interaction surfaces are defined, including an interaction between the ATPase domain of Swi2p and the nucleosome binding, Bromo-Adjacent-Homology domain of Sir3p. A SWI/SNF complex harboring a Swi2p subunit that lacks this Sir3p interaction surface is unable to evict Sir3p from nucleosomes, even though its ATPase and remodeling activities are intact. In addition, we find that the interaction between Swi2p and Sir3p is key for SWI/SNF to promote resistance to replication stress in vivo and for establishment of heterochromatin at telomeres.

Significance

Heterochromatin is a repressive mode of genetic storage that prevents cellular machineries from accessing DNA sequences. Here, we investigate how a protein machine, called SWI/SNF, can disrupt these heterochromatin structures and facilitate nuclear processes. We identify physical interactions between SWI/SNF and a key heterochromatin protein, Sir3p, and we find that the SWI/SNF–Sir3p interaction surfaces are required for SWI/SNF to evict Sir3p from nucleosomal arrays in vitro. Furthermore, in vivo studies indicate that SWI/SNF–Sir3p interactions are important both for replication stress in vivo and for establishment of heterochromatin at telomeres.
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All eukaryotic genomes are stored within the nucleoprotein structure of chromatin, the core subunit of which, the nucleosome, consists of 147 base pairs (bp) of DNA wrapped ∼1.7 times around an octamer of histone proteins (1). Over millions of years, eukaryotes have incorporated chromatin structure into the regulation of many aspects of DNA metabolism, from simple nuclear packaging to transcriptional control (2). This diversity of purpose is reflected in two general types of chromatin structures within the nucleus— euchromatin, which is decondensed and transcriptionally active, and heterochromatin, which is typically localized to the nuclear periphery and repressive for DNA recombination and transcription. Heterochromatin structures are commonly associated with centromeres and telomeres, and these domains package much of a genome’s repetitive DNA (3). Consequently, the maintenance of heterochromatin is key for genomic integrity, because it prevents illicit recombination among DNA repeats and promotes chromosome segregation during mitosis (4, 5).

On a molecular level, heterochromatic loci are marked by specific chromatin posttranslational modifications, which are recognized and bound by characteristic nonhistone proteins. In many vertebrates, heterochromatin is characterized by members of the heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) family of proteins, whereas in budding yeast, the silent information regulator (Sir) proteins, Sir2p, Sir3p, and Sir4p, create heterochromatin structures at telomeres and the silent mating-type loci (6, 7). Sir3p is believed to be the key structural component of yeast heterochromatin—Sir3p contains numerous protein–protein interaction motifs (8–10), including an N-terminal Bromo-Adjacent Homology (BAH) domain that interacts with the nucleosomal surface (11–13). BAH domains are found in many other chromatin-associated factors, including
resistance to replication stress and for establishment of silenced heterochromatic domains.

Results

SWI/SNF Interacts with Sir3p. To investigate the unique ability of SWI/SNF to displace Sir3p from nucleosomes, we began by asking whether SWI/SNF and Sir3p physically interact. First, Sir3p–FLAG was affinity-purified from yeast and immobilized on anti-FLAG antibody resin. Purified SWI/SNF and RSC remodeling enzymes were incubated with Sir3p-bound beads, and bound and free fractions were analyzed by Western bloting (Fig. 1A). Strikingly, SWI/SNF, but not the highly related RSC complex, was able to interact with bead-bound Sir3p (Fig. 1A). This interaction was also apparent if SWI/SNF was immobilized on beads and incubated with purified Sir3p (Fig. S1A). To confirm the interaction and to gain insight into which SWI/SNF subunit might be involved, we used far Western analysis. Purified SWI/SNF, RSC, and Sir2p/Sir4p complexes were separated on an SDS/PAGE gel and transferred to a membrane. The membrane was incubated in buffer to stimulate protein renaturation and then incubated with purified Sir3p (Fig. 1B). Proteins bound to Sir3p were then detected by Western blotting, using antisera to Sir3p. As expected, Sir3p interacted strongly with Sir4p in this assay, but little interaction was detected with subunits of RSC (Fig. 1B, Right). In contrast, Sir3p interacted well with two polypeptides from SWI/SNF. The largest species comigrated with the Swi2p ATPase subunit (~250 kDa), and the smaller species is either a proteolytic fragment of Swi2p or the Swi1p subunit (~150 kDa).

To directly monitor interactions between Swi2p and Sir3p, each protein was divided into several domains, expressed as GST fusion proteins in bacteria, and used in interaction studies (Fig. 2). First, GST–Swi2p fusions were tested for binding to full-length, purified Sir3p (Fig. 2A). Two regions of Swi2p were found to interact with Sir3p, the HSA domain and the central ATPase domain (20). Likewise, two regions of Sir3p bound to SWI/SNF complex, the N-terminal BAH domain and a region at the C terminus of the AAA+ domain (Fig. 2B). Each domain was then expressed as a FLAG fusion protein and used in GST interaction assays. Interestingly, these domains were found to interact in a pairwise manner—the Swi2p ATPase domain bound the Sir3p BAH domain, and the Swi2p HSA domain bound the Sir3p AAA+ domain (Fig. 2C). Progressive N- and C-terminal truncations of the GST–HSA fusion protein (Fig. S1D) defined a region of 10 amino acids in the Swi2p HSA domain that is required for interaction with Sir3p (Fig. 2D).

SWI/SNF and RSC Interact with Core BAH Domains. Progressive C-terminal truncations were used to delimit the SWI/SNF-interacting sequences within the Sir3p BAH domain (Fig. 3A). Each deletion construct retained SWI/SNF binding, and a GST fusion that contained only the 97-amino-acid core BAH domain was sufficient to interact with SWI/SNF. Surprisingly, this core BAH domain also interacted strongly with the RSC remodeling enzyme, whereas larger BAH-containing fragments were either unable to interact or interacted only weakly with RSC (Fig. 3A). To test whether a BAH core domain might generally be sufficient for interaction with SWI/SNF-like enzymes, the core BAH domain of Rsc2p was assayed for interactions. Indeed, both SWI/SNF and RSC interacted well with the Rsc2p BAH core domain; however, inclusion of the conserved C-terminal (CT-1) domain eliminated interactions with both SWI/SNF and RSC (21). Furthermore, SWI/SNF also bound to the BAH domain from Orc1p, a subunit of the ORC (Fig. 3B). The RSC remodeling enzyme was also able to bind to the Orc1p BAH, despite being unable to interact with Sir3p BAH. Both SWI/SNF and RSC were also competent to bind to the human ORC1 BAH (Fig. S1D). In contrast, the Swi2 remodeling enzyme did not interact at detectable levels with either the Sir3 or yORC1 BAH domain, suggesting that BAH interactions may be a general feature of only the SWI/SNF subfamily of chromatin-remodeling enzymes (Fig. 3B). These data also suggest that sequences C-terminal to BAH core domains may govern the specificity of remodeling enzyme interactions.

Swi2/Snf2–Sir3p Interactions Are Required for Sir3p Eviction in Vitro. Having identified Sir3p-interaction domains within Swi2p, we asked whether they were required for the ATP-dependent eviction of Sir3p by SWI/SNF. To this end, a Swi2Δ10 region was created that contains a 10-amino-acid deletion within the HSA domain (Δ10) as well as a 197-amino-acid swap between the Sir4p and Swi2p ATPase domains (Sth1[R]) (termed swi2Δ10R; Fig. 2D). This region of Sth1p encompasses the first RecA-like lobe of the ATPase domain. This region is nearly homologous to that of Swi2p, with the exception of a central, 52-amino-acid divergent region. A C-terminal, TAP-tagged version of Swi2p, with the exception of a central, 52-amino-acid divergent region. A C-terminal, TAP-tagged version of Swi2p, with the exception of a central, 52-amino-acid divergent region.

To test whether the Sir3p-interaction regions were required for eviction, deletions of the Sir3p BAH domain were performed. The Δ10R complexes were assayed for interactions with SWI/SNF and RSC as well as TAP-tagged versions of Swi2p. These interactions were significantly reduced compared with the wild-type Sir3p (Fig. 2D). Conversely, inclusion of the conserved C-terminal (CT-1) domain fully restored the interaction between Swi2p and Sir3p (Fig. 2D). These data suggest that sequences C-terminal to BAH core domains may govern the specificity of remodeling enzyme interactions.
The Δ10R derivative removes Swi2p residues 613–623; the Sth1(R) derivative replaces Swi2p residues 836–885 with the homologous region from Sth1 (residues 539–588). GST–Swi2 fusions harboring the indicated alterations were used in GST pull-downs with full-length Sir3p. Note that these binding assays used the individual HSA and ATPase regions of Sir3p.

was nearly identical to that of wild-type SWI/SNF, with the exception of an approximately twofold depletion of the Arp7p and Arp9p subunits (Fig. 4A). Because Arp subunits have been implicated in the regulation of ATPase kinetic parameters (22), we characterized the ATPase activity of the SWI/SNF–Δ10R complex. Importantly, the SWI/SNF–Δ10R complex exhibited kinetic parameters for DNA-stimulated ATPase activity indistinguishable from the wild-type complex (Fig. 4B).

The activity of the SWI/SNF–Δ10R complex was also monitored in several chromatin-remodeling assays. First, equal ATPase units of wild-type and SWI/SNF–Δ10R complexes were incubated with mononucleosomes positioned in the center of a radiolabeled 282-bp DNA fragment by a 601-nucleosome positioning sequence. The ATP-dependent movement of the nucleosome toward the DNA ends leads to faster mobility on native PAGE, and in this assay, the SWI/SNF–Δ10R enzyme showed equivalent activity compared with the wild-type complex (Fig. 4A).

Finally, we assayed the ability of the SWI/SNF–Δ10R enzyme to catalyze the ATP-dependent eviction of Sir3p protein from nucleosomal arrays (Fig. 4D). In this assay, 12-mer nucleosomal arrays were assembled with recombinant histone octamers, and ~15% of the octamers contained histone H2A biotinylated at an engineered cysteine within the exposed C-terminal domain (18). Purified Sir3p protein was bound to these arrays at a ratio of two Sir3p monomers per nucleosome (24) and then incubated with chromatin-remodeling enzyme in the presence of ATP. Reaction products were captured on streptavidin-coated magnetic beads, and chromatin-bound (B) and unbound (U) fractions were subjected to Western blotting, probing for both histone H3 and Sir3p. In these reactions, wild-type SWI/SNF was able to evict ~35% of the Sir3p into the unbound fraction, whereas the SWI/SNF–Δ10R complex was defective at Sir3p eviction (Fig. 4E). Indeed, the SWI/SNF–Δ10R complex resembled the activity of RSC, in that it only evicted small amounts of Sir3p at high concentrations (Fig. 4E). We conclude that the Sir3p interaction surfaces within Swi2p are dispensable for chromatin remodeling, but they are required for Sir3p eviction.

**SWI/SNF–Sir3p Interactions Are Important in Vivo.** To identify potential phenotypes for the swi2–Δ10R allele that might be linked to Sir3p function, a plasmid-borne copy of swi2–Δ10R was introduced into swi2Δ and swi2Δ sir3Δ strains, and growth was assayed by spot dilution on several media. In the absence of SWI2, cells grow poorly on rich medium or on medium containing galactose or raffinose as carbon sources (25). In these cases, the swi2–Δ10R allele fully complemented these phenotypes, behaving like a wild-type strain (Fig. 5A). In contrast, the swi2–Δ10R allele showed a marked sensitivity to the replication stress agent hydroxyurea (HU; Fig. 5A). Previous studies have suggested that the HU" phenotype of swi2/ sir3 mutants may be due to a defect in transcriptional induction of ribonuclease reductase (RNR) genes (26); however, the swi2–Δ10R strain exhibited wild-type levels of RNR3 transcriptional induction (Fig. S3A). Indeed, no significant changes in RNA expression were observed between wild-type and swi2–Δ10R strains when assayed by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Fig. S3B and C and Dataset S1). Consistent with previous work (27), swi2–Δ10R did not affect SIR2 or SIR3 expression (Fig. S3D and Dataset S1).

Interestingly, the HU" phenotype of the swi2–Δ10R was suppressed by deletion of SIR3, consistent with a functional interaction between SWI/SNF and Sir3p during replication stress.

To test whether SWI/SNF regulates the dynamics of heterochromatin assembly, wild-type and swi2–Δ10R strains were assayed in a transcriptional silencing establishment assay (28). This assay was performed in strains with a URA3 gene integrated adjacent to the telomere on right arm of chromosome V (TELVR::URA3). In this location, URA3 expression is repressed by the spreading of adjacent subtelomeric heterochromatin, creating a biphasic population of Ura" and Ura+ cells. To monitor the establishment of the silenced state, cells were first grown in medium lacking uracil, to enrich for cells in which URA3 is in the ON state (Ura+). Cells were then grown in the presence of uracil for increasing time and then plated onto plates that contain 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA), scoring for cells that have silenced URA3 (Ura-). Compared with the wild type, the swi2–Δ10R mutant had a delayed onset of silencing and achieved a lower final level of silencing (Fig. 5D). Furthermore, the swi2–Δ10R strain formed much smaller colonies, suggesting that silencing was inherited less stably (Fig. 5D).

Thus, these results suggest that interactions between SWI/SNF and Sir3p impact heterochromatin dynamics in vivo.

**SWI/SNF Is Not Required for Heterochromatin Recombinational Repair.** Yeast mating-type switching requires that a double-strand break (DSB) induced at the MAT locus is repaired by homologous recombination with sequences from a heterochromatic HM locus (29). Previously, in vivo studies suggested that SWI/SNF is essential for mating-type switching and that SWI/SNF promotes repair only when the donor sequences are heterochromatic (19, 30). As an initial test for whether the swi2–Δ10R allele impacts heterochromatic mating-type switching, a plasmid expressing a galactose-inducible homothallic (HO) endonuclease was introduced into isogenic wild-type, swi2Δ, and swi2–Δ10R strains. The strand-invasion step of mating-type switching was then assayed by a PCR-based assay following a switch to galactose medium.
strains were competent to switch SWI/SNF ATPases interact with BAH core domains. (Fig. S4A). Multiple swi2A segregants from independently created diploids showed severe growth defects (Fig. S4B) and delayed galactose induction kinetics that precluded kinetic analyses of strand invasion. However, after growth for 4 h in galactose medium, swi2A strains were competent to switch mating types with efficiencies similar to the wild-type strain (Fig. S4C). To circumvent the galactose induction defects of a swi2A and to study the kinetics of strand invasion, an auxin-inducible SWI/SNF, RSC, or Isw2 Δ10R complexes, were incubated with GST–BAH fusions from yeast Orc1p or Sir3p. Bound fractions were assayed by Western to the indicated subunits. Lower shows Ponceau-stained membrane, depicting levels of GST fusions.

![Diagram of SWI/SNF ATPases interact with BAH core domains.](image)

**Fig. 3.** SWI/SNF ATPases interact with BAH core domains. (A) Schematic shows C-terminal truncations within the Sir3p BAH domain. The indicated GST–BAH fusion proteins were incubated with either SWI/SNF or RSC, and bound fractions were assayed by Western. The Rsc2p BAH fusion contains only the core BAH domain; the BAH–CT-1 fusion also contains the C-terminal conserved CT-1 domain from Rsc2p. Western analyses used sera to the Arp9p subunit, common to both remodeling enzymes. (B) SWI/SNF, RSC, or Isw2 Δ10R complexes were incubated with GST–BAH fusions from yeast Orc1p or Sir3p. Bound fractions were assayed by Western to the indicated subunits. Lower shows Ponceau-stained membrane, depicting levels of GST fusions.

(31). Surprisingly, neither the swi2AΔ10R nor swi2AΔ10R strains showed a significant defect in strand invasion (Fig. S4A).

To confirm this observation, a swi2A strain was created by tetrad dissection in a strain harboring a chromosomal, galactose-inducible HO gene. Notably, this is the same background as used in previous studies (30). Multiple swi2A segregants from independently created diploids showed severe growth defects (Fig. S4B) and delayed galactose induction kinetics that precluded kinetic analyses of strand invasion. However, after growth for 4 h in galactose medium, swi2A strains were competent to switch mating types with efficiencies similar to the wild-type strain (Fig. S4C). To circumvent the galactose induction defects of a swi2A and to study the kinetics of strand invasion, an auxin-inducible SWI/SNF, RSC, or Isw2 Δ10R complexes, were incubated with GST–BAH fusions from yeast Orc1p or Sir3p. Bound fractions were assayed by Western to the indicated subunits. Lower shows Ponceau-stained membrane, depicting levels of GST fusions.

**Fig. 4.** Swi2p–Sir3p contacts are required for eviction of Sir3p from nucleosomes. (A) SDS/PAGE analysis of SWI/SNF and SWI/SNF–Δ10R complexes, visualized by silver staining. Equal levels of ATnPase activity were loaded for each enzyme. (B) DNA-stimulated ATPase kinetics of SWI/SNF and SWI/SNF–Δ10R are equivalent. ATPase reactions were performed with varying concentrations of DNA cofactor, and hydrolysis rates were fit to Michaelis–Menten kinetic parameters. (C) Mononucleosome mobilization by SWI/SNF and SWI/SNF–Δ10R enzymes is equivalent. Varying concentrations of enzymes were incubated with a mononucleosome positioned in the center of a radiolabeled, 282-bp DNA fragment harboring a 601 positioning sequence. Predicted positions of mononucleosomes are indicated to the left. (Upper) Gel. (Lower) Quantification (error bars reflect SD). (D) Schematic of the chromatin capture assay. Biotinylated nucleosomal arrays are bound to Sir3p, incubated with chromatin-remodeling enzyme and ATP and captured on streptavidin-coated magnetic beads. Chromatin-bound B and unbound U are assayed by Western blotting. (E) SWI/SNF–Δ10R is defective for Sir3p eviction from nucleosomes. Increasing amounts of chromatin-remodeling enzyme were incubated with Sir3p-bound nucleosomal array, and Sir3p eviction into the chromatin-unbound fraction U was measured by Western blotting. (Left) Representative blots. (Right) Quantification.

**Discussion**

Here, we have defined two distinct protein–protein interfaces between the Sir3p heterochromatin protein and the Swi2p subunit of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling enzyme. The HSA domain from Swi2p interacts with a region of Sir3p that contains its AAA+ domain, and an N-terminal portion of the Swi2p ATPase domain interacts with the nucleosome-binding, BAH domain of Sir3p. Intriguingly, Sth1p, the related ATPase from the RSC remodeling enzyme, can also bind to the Sir3p BAH domain, but only after elimination of flanking sequence elements. Furthermore, both Swi2p and Sth1p are able to bind to the central core of the Rsc2p and Orc1p BAH domains, suggesting that SWI/SNF-like ATPase domains may harbor a general affinity for BAH domains. Importantly, elimination of Sir3p interaction surfaces within Swi2p (Swi2p–Δ10R) disrupts the ability of SWI/SNF to catalyze the ATP-dependent eviction of Sir3p from nucleosomal arrays in vitro, without impairing its ATPase or more canonical chromatin-remodeling activities. Furthermore, these alterations led to specific phenotypes in vivo, consistent with functional interactions between SWI/SNF and Sir3p-dependent heterochromatin structures.

What is the functional role for Sir3p eviction by SWI/SNF? A previous study from Laurent and colleagues (30) was consistent with this activity playing an essential role in recombinational repair events that involve heterochromatin. Specifically, they used strains harboring a galactose-inducible HO endonuclease to create a single DNA DSB at the euchromatic MAT locus. The recombinational repair of this DSB requires a successful homology search and strand invasion of a homologous, but heterochromatic, HM locus. In these assays, they reported that inactivation of the Snf5p subunit of SWI/SNF had no effect on early steps of HR, but that snf5Δ eliminated capture of the heterochromatic donor sequences, and repair was blocked (30). Subsequently, we showed that SWI/SNF is not required for
recombinational repair of these same sequences when they are euchromatic, suggesting that this role for SWI/SNF might be specific for the heterochromatic context (19). To our surprise, however, our studies presented here do not support this key role for SWI/SNF in heterochromatic recombinational repair. We created swi2Δ strains that harbor a GAL–HO gene by tetrad dissection, and we found that these strains are competent to repair an HO-induced DSB, leading to mating-type switching with efficiencies similar to wild type. Furthermore, we used an inducible degron strategy to remove Swi2p from these GAL–HO strains, but in this case as well, the loss of Swi2p, and thus SWI/SNF, had no impact on repair of a DSB at the MAT locus. Why our results differ from those of Laurent and colleagues in not clear. Unfortunately, the original snf5Δ strain is no longer available. The most likely explanation is that the previously observed phenotype was specific to this particular snf5Δ isolate that was created by direct cell transformation, rather than tetrad dissection. Alternatively, it could represent a phenotype that is unique to a snf5Δ mutant and does not reflect a role for SWI/SNF per se.

Yeast strains that lack SWI/SNF show a variety of phenotypes, including growth defects on rich medium or medium containing alternative carbon sources (e.g., galactose or raffinose), inositol auxotrophy (25, 33) and sensitivity to DNA-damaging and replication stress agents (26, 30). Consistent with the intact chromatin-remodeling activities of the SWI/SNF–Δ10R enzyme, strains harboring the swi2–Δ10R allele showed normal growth on nearly every condition tested. The lone exception, however, was sensitivity to the replication stress agent HU. Furthermore, this phenotype was suppressed by deletion of the SIR3 gene, consistent with a role for ATP-dependent Sir3p eviction during replicative stress. This phenotype was not due to a defect in transcriptional induction of the RNR genes, and the swi2–Δ10R allele did not lead to significant transcriptional changes that could be detected by RNA-seq. Thus, this HU phenotype is likely to reflect a transcription-independent role of SWI/SNF action in antagonizing Sir3p during DNA replication. One simple model posits that SWI/SNF is required for efficient replication through Sir heterochromatin and that HU-induced fork stress heightens the need for SWI/SNF to remove Sir3p. Alternatively, Taddei and colleagues have shown that Sir proteins can be recruited to stalled replication forks (34). Perhaps SWI/SNF plays a role in removing Sir proteins from stalled forks, alleviating the negative consequences of this Sir recruitment. This model may also provide an explanation for the defect in heterochromatin establishment observed in the swi2–Δ10R strain, because an accumulation of Sir3p at stalled forks may titrate Sir proteins from heterochromatic domains, interfering with heterochromatin assembly.

The ATP-dependent eviction of Sir3p from chromatin is reminiscent of the ability of the yeast Mot1p ATPase to catalyze the eviction of the general transcription factor TATA-binding protein (TBP) from DNA. Mot1p is a member of the Swi2p/Snf2p family of DNA-stimulated ATPases and DNA translocases, and the ability of Mot1p to disrupt TBP–DNA interactions appears to be key for redistributing TBP from TATA-containing binding sites to less-preferred, TATA-less promoter elements (35, 36). Similar to the SWI/SNF-dependent eviction of Sir3p from nucleosomes, Mot1p evicts TBP from a preformed TBP–DNA complex in an ATP-dependent reaction. Mot1p binds to TBP using two distinct interaction domains—a region containing multiple HEAT domains binds to the convex surface and

---

**Fig. 5.** SWI/SNF–Sir3p interactions regulate resistance to replication stress and the establishment of telomeric silencing. (A) Growth assays. CEN/ARS plasmids containing SWI2 (CP1410), swi2Δ–Δ10R (CP1413), or no insert (CP1250; pRS410) were introduced into swi2Δ or swi2Δ sir2Δ strains. WT and swi2Δ–Δ10R complement swi2Δ growth and transcriptional defects, but swi2Δ–Δ10R does not complement HU sensitivity. Fivefold serial dilutions of yeast cultures were spotted onto the indicated plates and allowed to grow for 3 d [yeast extract/peptone/dextrose (YPD)] or 6 d (all others) at 30 °C. (B, Left) Schematic of the subtelomeric silencing establishment assay. CY1755 (L1088; swi2Δ TELVR::URA3) was transformed with plasmids containing either SWI2 (CP1410) or swi2Δ–Δ10R (CP1413), and transformant colonies were grown on SD–URA G418 plates to select for Ura+ cells. Colonies were then cultured in medium lacking uracil for the indicated times and plated on 5-FOA plates to score establishment of silencing (Ura−). (Right) Representative 5-FOA plates after 24 h of growth on 5-FOA. (C) Quantitation of the assay from B. Five independent transformants were analyzed; error bars reflect SD.

---

**Fig. 6.** Model for eviction of Sir3 from nucleosomes by SWI/SNF. See text for description. Sir3 is in red; Swi2 is in blue.
of the TBP–DNA complex, a distinct “latch” domain interacts with the surface of TBP that is bound to DNA (37). These structural studies have led to a model in which Mot1p binds to DNA adjacent to the TBP–DNA complex, allowing its HEAT domain to make extensive contacts with the exposed, convex surface of TBP. As Mot1p hydrolyzes ATP, DNA translocation leads to the removal of TBP from DNA, and the latch domain of Mot1p interacts with the DNA-binding surface of TBP, preventing reassociation with promoter DNA (37). By analogy, we propose that the HSA domain of Sir2p may interact with the Sir3p–nucleosome complex, facilitating Sir3p removal during the DNA translocation reaction. Likewise, sequences within the N-terminal lobe of the ATPase domain may function as latches that bind the Sir3 BAH domain, preventing reassociation with the nucleosome (Fig. 6).

Although the Sir2 ATPase domain is uniquely able to interact with the Sir3p BAH, the ATPase domains from both Sir2p and Sir1p can interact with the yeast Orc1p BAH domain. Likewise, both the SWI/SNF and RSC complexes can bind to the BAH domain of human Orc1. These latter interactions are surprising given that the primary sequence of the yeast and human Orc1 BAH domains have diverged considerably, although the overall structures are homologous (Fig. S5A). Orc1p is a highly conserved subunit of the ORC that is essential for cell viability and important for DNA replication (38–40). Orc1p and Sir3p are paralogs, and as such they display domain and primary sequence conservation, particularly in their N-terminal BAH domains (47% identical sequence). In Kluyveromyces lactis, Orc1p has been shown to function analogously to the role of Sir3 in heterochromatin formation, in addition to its traditional role in replication (41). We postulate that the binding interaction between SWI/SNF-family enzymes and Orc1-like BAH domains is ancestral and that specificity for Sir3p and Sir2p arose following the silencing subfunctionalization of Sir3p. Indeed, the sequences within the Sir2p ATPase domain that diverge from Sir1p and that appear to provide specificity for Sir3p are not well conserved in mammalian Sir2p/Sir3p homologs (Fig. S5B). The specificity for different BAH domains seems to be imparted by interactions within BAH and, in some cases, is mediated by additional conserved elements within the core BAH fold. In line with this hypothesis, we found that the truncated, core BAH domains of Rsc2p and Sir3p were able to interact with both the SWI/SNF and RSC enzymes, but inclusion of C-terminal regions that wrap about the folds inhibited RSC and SWI/SNF binding. Given the plethora of BAH domains associated with chromatin (14), this theme of BAH accessibility and gating might help regulate ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling enzyme activities in a context-dependent manner.

Materials and Methods

Detailed information on reagent preparation, biochemical assays, and yeast culture work is located in SI Materials and Methods. Oligonucleotides, plasmids, and yeast strains used in this study are listed in Tables S1, S2, and S3, respectively.
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