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ABSTRACT

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a neurodegenerative disease characterized by a loss of voluntary movement over time, leading to paralysis and death. While 10% of ALS cases are inherited or familial (FALS), the majority of cases (90%) are sporadic (SALS) with unknown etiology. Approximately 20% of FALS cases are genetically linked to a mutation in the anti-oxidizing enzyme, superoxide dismutase (SOD1). SALS and FALS are clinically indistinguishable, suggesting a common pathogenic mechanism exists for both types. Since such a large number of genetic mutations in SOD1 result in FALS (>170), it is reasonable to suspect that non-genetic modifications to SOD1 induce structural perturbations that result in ALS pathology as well. In fact, misfolded SOD1 lacking any genetic mutation was identified in end stage spinal cord tissues of SALS patients using misfolded SOD1-specific antibodies. In addition, this misfolded WT SOD1 found in SALS tissue inhibits axonal transport in vitro, supporting the notion that misfolded WT SOD1 exhibits toxic properties like that of FALS-linked SOD1. Indeed, aberrant post-translational modifications, such as oxidation, cause WT SOD1 to mimic the toxic properties of FALS-linked mutant SOD1. Based on these data, I hypothesize that modified, misfolded forms of WT SOD1 contribute to SALS disease progression in a manner similar to FALS-linked mutant SOD1 in FALS. The work presented in this dissertation supports this hypothesis. Specifically, one common misfolded form of SOD1 is defined and exposure of this toxic region is shown to enhance SOD1 toxicity. Preventing
exposure, or perhaps stabilization, of this “toxic” region is a potential therapeutic target for a subset of both familial and sporadic ALS patients. Further, the possibility of exploiting this misfolded SOD1 species as a biomarker is explored. For example, an over-oxidized SOD1 species was identified in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from SALS patients that is reduced in controls. Moreover, 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis revealed a more negatively charged species of SOD1 in PBMCs of healthy controls greatly reduced in SALS patients. This species is hypothesized to be involved in the degradation of SOD1, further implicating both misfolded SOD1 and altered protein homeostasis in ALS pathogenesis.
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CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)

Clinical Overview

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) is a debilitating neurodegenerative disease with 5,000 new cases diagnosed each year in the United States ([http://wwwn.cdc.gov/ALS/](http://wwwn.cdc.gov/ALS/)). Conditions begin mildly, with onset occurring either as bulbar or limb with a slight male preference (1.5:1, (Yoshida et al. 1986)). Bulbar onset starts with difficulty swallowing and/or chewing as where limb onset tends to be realized when the patient trips and/or falls from muscle weakness in the legs (Kiernan et al. 2011). The mild muscle weakness quickly progresses to a gross loss of voluntary muscle movement (e.g., walking, speaking, breathing). Like Alzheimer’s disease, ALS primarily effects the aging population with an average onset of 60, but there are also earlier documented cases with an onset as young as 25 years of age. Some patients can live for decades with this disease, but typically ALS progresses fast, with death occurring within 3-5 years of diagnosis.

An overlooked aspect of the disease is the intense pain that the majority of patients experience during progression. This pain is a side effect of the neuronal death and muscle wasting known to occur in ALS pathogenesis and is caused by such symptoms as joint inflammation and muscle cramping (Handy et al. 2011). Medication directed at calming muscle spasms, such as Baclofen are prescribed in some cases. Unfortunately, even though medications are prescribed to deal
with many of the symptoms associated with ALS, such as depression, sialorrhea, muscle cramping and stiffness, studies directed at finding better treatments for these symptoms are lacking (Radunovic et al. 2007, Nakamura et al. 2012). The only FDA approved drug aimed directly at slowing the progression of ALS is Riluzole, extending survival by a mere 3 months (Miller et al. 2012). The ALS community is starving for more effective treatments of this devastating disease.

**Genetic and Environmental Factors**

Approximately 10% of cases are inherited, or familial (FALS), while the remaining 90% are sporadic (SALS), the majority with unknown etiology. However, the genetic causes of FALS cases are largely known. These FALS-linked genetic mutations are found in genes such as **C9ORF72** (40%, (Dejesus-Hernandez et al. 2011, Renton et al. 2011)), **SOD1** (15%, (Rosen et al. 1993)), **TARDBP** (4%, (Sreedharan et al. 2008)), and **FUS** (4%, (Kwiatkowski et al. 2009, Vance et al. 2009, Renton et al. 2014)). The first genetic link to ALS, discovered in 1993, were mutations in **SOD1** on chromosome 21. Currently, the most prevalent genetic mutation that results in FALS pathology is hexanucleotide expansions in **C9ORF72**, which is thought to contribute to ~40% of FALS cases (Dejesus-Hernandez et al. 2011, Renton et al. 2011). This mutation is an intronic repeat with multiple modes of disease pathogenicity proposed, such as an unknown loss of function mechanism, unconventional repeat associated non-ATG (RAN) translation of the expansion and toxicity of the dipeptide product, and RNA toxicity via RNA foci sequestering RNA binding proteins (Rohrer et al.
C9orf72 hexanucleotide expansions are also the cause of a subset of frontotemporal lobar dementia (FTLD) cases. Why in some cases this expansion results in ALS, some FTLD, and some both is unknown at this time (Cruts et al. 2013). Even within the same family, some members can develop FTLD while others present with ALS, highlighting the complexity of disease pathogenesis.

A “two hit” hypothesis has been put forward for the development of both SALS and FALS. This hypothesis proposes that some individuals have a predisposition to develop ALS, but require a “second hit” such as brain injury, environmental toxins, etc. to develop disease. A debated issue in the field is whether or not traumatic brain injury increases the likelihood of developing ALS in the future (Pearce et al. 2015). Multiple studies have shown a link between repeated brain injury in sports, e.g., football, soccer and boxing, and the development of ALS (Lehman et al. 2012, Kuwahara and Sato 2013). There have also been contradicting reports that show no correlation between brain injury and ALS (Beghi et al. 2010, Turner M. R. et al. 2010). In fact, it has been postulated that the link between professional athletes and ALS may be more tightly linked to the performance enhancing drugs frequently used among them and not the head injury itself (Pearce et al. 2015).

Supporting the “two hit” hypothesis, there are subpopulations with higher frequency of ALS occurrence, likely due to exposure to environmental chemical toxins. One such example is the Gulf War veterans deployed in the Gulf Region
who had a 2-fold increase in the likelihood of developing ALS (Haley 2003a, Horner et al. 2003). The increased susceptibility of Gulf War veterans to develop disease extended far beyond ALS, with 21% experiencing some type of military-linked disease (Haley 2003b). One theory proposed for this increase is exposure to chemical warfare agents such as sarin, an organophosphate nerve agent (Haley et al. 1999, Haley 2003b). This theory has been supported by data showing altered concentrations of paraoxanases in sera of these veterans, which are a group of enzymes involved in hydrolysis of organophosphates (Haley et al. 1999).

**Insights into Disease Pathogenesis and Mechanism**

ALS is a multifaceted disease involving among others, mitochondrial dysfunction, protein aggregation, protein clearance deficits, chronic inflammation, oxidative stress and excitotoxicity (Barber and Shaw 2010, Sreedharan and Brown 2013). Pinpointing the aspects that are causative in disease, and not simply a byproduct or coping mechanism, has proved challenging. ALS is characterized by severe neuronal loss in the spinal cord and motor cortex (Kawamata et al. 1992). Ubiquitinated inclusions are typically found in end-stage neuronal tissue, specifically in neurons and surrounding glia (Nakano et al. 2004, Mackenzie and Feldman 2005, Mendonca et al. 2006). P62, a ubiquitin binding protein, localizes to these inclusions as well (Nakano et al. 2004, Maekawa et al. 2009, Braak et al. 2010). The presence of both ubiquitin and p62 implicate defective protein clearance mechanisms in disease, as both proteins are
associated with targeting proteins for degradation. Another common component of these inclusions found in ALS is TDP-43 (Maekawa et al. 2009). TDP-43-containing inclusions are not unique to ALS, but are also found in the closely linked FTLD. In fact, approximately 5% of ALS patients have FTLD as well (Neumann et al. 2006, Maekawa et al. 2009), with evidence of some level of cognitive impairment seen in 50% of ALS patients (Ringholz et al. 2005, Rusina et al. 2010, Rohrer et al. 2015).

A chronic state of inflammation is evident both in biofluids, such as CSF and blood (Zhang R. et al. 2005, Kuhle et al. 2009, Zhang R. et al. 2009, Fiala et al. 2010) as well as in the CNS of patients (Turner M. R. et al. 2004). For example, spinal cord tissue from end-stage ALS patients show enhanced microglia activation (Kawamata et al. 1992). In fact, PET imaging technologies are able to detect an increase in microglial activation in the brain of living ALS patients (Turner M. R. et al. 2004). Further, CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes are increased in the brain of ALS patients (Kawamata et al. 1992). In the CSF, the proinflammatory cytokines MCP-1 and IL-8 are upregulated (Kuhle et al. 2009). In sera, the proinflammatory cytokine IL-17A is upregulated (Fiala et al. 2010). The cause of this state of chronic inflammation is unknown, but there is evidence for an increase in the endotoxin level in the plasma of ALS patients (Zhang R. et al. 2009), which is a potent activator of the immune system. Interestingly, the chronic injection of endotoxin decreases survival in an ALS mouse model (Nguyen et al. 2004), suggesting the presence of endotoxin in ALS patients may
exacerbate disease. Moreover, FALS-linked proteins are prone to misfold and aggregate which, in turn, induces pro-inflammatory responses both in vitro and in ALS mouse models (Di Giorgio et al. 2008, Zhao et al. 2010, Haidet-Phillips et al. 2011, Butovsky et al. 2012). These data suggest that FALS-linked proteins may directly induce the chronic neuroinflammation observed in patients.

ALS mouse models show increased survival when treated with inflammatory modulators, arguing for a link between chronic inflammation and ALS progression (Tikka et al. 2001, Drachman et al. 2002, Kriz et al. 2002, Van Den Bosch et al. 2002). Minocycline, for example, inhibits microglia activation and proliferation and extends survival in ALS mouse models (Tikka et al. 2001, Kriz et al. 2002, Van Den Bosch et al. 2002). Disheartening clinical trials of minocycline treatment in patients, however, failed to recapitulate the mouse models and, in contrast, seemed to exacerbate disease (Gordon et al. 2007). Nonetheless, glial cells, such as astrocytes and microglia, activated by ALS-linked proteins have proved toxic to motor neurons in vitro (Di Giorgio et al. 2008, Zhao et al. 2010, Haidet-Phillips et al. 2011), supporting the notion that gliosis is involved in pathogenesis. Alternatively, the state of neuroinflammation in ALS could be a protective mechanism. Astrocytes are involved in supporting and maintaining the extracellular environment of the CNS (Rothstein et al. 1996). Microglia are thought of as the “macrophage” of the CNS, where they protect the neuronal network through constant perusal and clearance of cellular debris and/or foreign material through phagocytosis. In their activated state, microglia
function as antigen-presenting cells that jumpstart the immune response and even promote repair of neuronal tissue in their post-inflammatory state (Graeber 2010). In support of this, wild-type bone marrow transplanted into ALS mouse models lacking myeloid and lymphoid cell lineages extends survival by providing functional microglia (Beers et al. 2006). In fact, it is quite likely that the initial state of neuroinflammation is protective and switches to neurotoxic at later stages of disease (Liao et al. 2012).

It is becoming increasingly clear that ALS occurs via a non-cell autonomous mechanism where non-neuronal cell types, such as glia, are implicated in pathogenesis. Transgenic mouse models with selective expression of ALS-linked SOD1 in different cell types elucidate the non cell-autonomous nature of ALS pathogenesis. A huge limit of developing mouse models with cell type-specific expression, however, is the dynamic nature of SOD1. SOD1 is a secreted protein, and as such, expression in a single cell type does not translate to mutant SOD1 being present only in that cell type (Mondola et al. 1996, Mondola et al. 1998, Cimini et al. 2002, Mondola et al. 2003). Conversely, excluding a specific cell type from SOD1 expression does not necessarily mean that the cell type is not exposed to SOD1. In the case of SOD1, this is exceedingly clear since multiple cell types have the ability to both secrete and uptake extracellular SOD1 (Mondola et al. 1996, Mondola et al. 1998, Cimini et al. 2002, Mondola et al. 2003, Turner B. J. et al. 2005, Grad et al. 2011, Munch et al. 2011, Sundaramoorthy et al. 2013). This could be one reason exclusive
expression in the skeletal muscle, for example, is adequate for inducing muscle atrophy, neuron degeneration and oxidative stress (Dobrowolny et al. 2008, Wong M. and Martin 2010). However, sole expression of ALS-linked mutant SOD1 in astrocytes of transgenic mice does not induce an ALS-like phenotype, although mild astrogliosis is observed (Gong et al. 2000). In contrast, when ALS-linked SOD1 expression is reduced in the astrocytes of ALS mouse models, survival is extended by 60 days (Yamanaka et al. 2008). The protection observed appears to be through the reduction of microgliosis, as astrogliosis is unaltered in this model. Astrocytes and microglia expressing ALS-linked proteins have been shown to be toxic to cultured neurons \textit{in vitro} (Di Giorgio et al. 2007, Nagai et al. 2007). These data support that glia are not the only culprit in this complex disease, but are actively involved in disease progression (Rossi et al. 2008, Yamanaka et al. 2008).

In the healthy CNS, a protective role for astrocytes in neuronal survival has been well established (Rothstein et al. 1996). Astrocytes are actively involved in protection from neuronal death by excitotoxicity (Rothstein et al. 1996, Schousboe and Waagepetersen 2005, Yi and Hazell 2006), a function that might be lacking in ALS-linked astrocytes. Excitotoxicity, which is a toxic calcium influx caused by the overstimulation of cells by excessive glutamate, is heavily implicated in ALS pathogenesis. GLT-1 (a.k.a. EAAT2), a glutamate transporter preferentially expressed by astrocytes in the CNS that is responsible for the majority of glutamate uptake (Danbolt et al. 1992, Rothstein et al. 1995), is
involved in maintaining neuronal integrity and survival (Rothstein et al. 1996, Tanaka et al. 1997). Animal models lacking GLT-1 have severe neurological deficits (Rothstein et al. 1996, Tanaka et al. 1997). Reduced levels and activity of GLT-1 are seen in ALS patients, likely through a mechanism involving aberrant RNA processing (Rothstein et al. 1992, Rothstein et al. 1995, Lin et al. 1998). Moreover, an increase of glutamate, a side effect of reduced GLT-1 expression, has been found in the CSF of a subset of ALS patients (Shaw P. J. et al. 1995, Spreux-Varoquaux et al. 2002), supporting the notion that excitotoxicity is involved in disease.

**Cu, Zn-Superoxide Dismutase (SOD1)**

**Structure and Function of the SOD1 Holoenzyme**

Long before SOD1 was identified as a causative factor in FALS (Rosen et al. 1993), the anti-oxidizing and catalytic properties of native SOD1 were being investigated (McCord and Fridovich 1969). While the role of SOD1 as an anti-oxidizing enzyme is well known and accepted, the role of SOD1 as a signaling molecule has been relatively underappreciated. Herein we discuss what is known regarding the normal functions of SOD1 in the context of both anti-oxidation and signaling. The normal physiological properties of SOD1 are discussed in order to establish a foundation for the following sections that describe how both FALS-linked mutations and post-translational modifications alter the structure and function of the protein.
Copper, zinc superoxide dismutase-1 (SOD1) is a member of the human SOD family of proteins, which also includes SOD2 and SOD3. While all three proteins function as anti-oxidizing enzymes that catalyze the dismutation of superoxide radicals \( \text{O}_2^- \) to hydrogen peroxide \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), they are distinct proteins with unique characteristics (Zelko et al. 2002). SOD1 is highly abundant, comprising ~1% of total protein in the cell (Pardo et al. 1995), and resides mainly in the cytosol with some degree of localization in the mitochondrial inner membrane space (Fukai and Ushio-Fukai 2011). The mitochondrion is also home to SOD2, which is localized to the mitochondrial matrix. In contrast to both SOD1 and SOD2, SOD3 is predominately located outside the cell in the extracellular matrix. Other key differences amongst the SOD proteins include their quaternary structures and mechanism of superoxide dismutation: SOD1 is a homodimer while SOD2 and SOD3 are homotetrameric proteins; SOD1 and SOD3 catalyze the dismutation of \( \text{O}_2^- \) through the alternate reduction and reoxidation of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \), whereas SOD2 utilizes manganese (Mn) as a redox active transition metal for this purpose. The role of SOD in FALS, which will be discussed in detail below, is specific to the SOD1 isoform as there is no compelling evidence supporting the involvement of either SOD2 or SOD3 in FALS pathogenesis (Tomkins et al. 2001).

**Normal Post-translational Modifications of SOD1**

While coordination of copper to SOD1 is required for dismutation of \( \text{O}_2^- \), other post-translational modifications, such as \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) coordination (Kayatekin et al. 2008)
and disulfide oxidation, help create a mature and structurally stable protein. The 32 kDa homodimeric SOD1 protein adopts an eight-stranded Greek key beta-barrel structural motif (Fig. I-1). Two functional loops are present in SOD1: the electrostatic loop that guides superoxide into the redox active site where Cu$^{2+}$ is located and the zinc-binding loop. All told, each SOD1 molecule coordinates two copper and two zinc atoms, one of each per subunit. A unique functional feature of SOD1 is the presence of an intrasubunit disulfide bond between Cys57 and Cys146 (C57-C146), which is unusual for proteins that reside in the highly reducing environment of the cytosol. Both copper coordination and formation of C57-C146 is facilitated by the cytosolic copper carrier protein CCS (copper chaperone for SOD1) (Furukawa et al. 2004, Seetharaman et al. 2009). A recent study utilizing both electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy support a step-wise model for SOD1 maturation: i) SOD1 is loaded with Zn, ii) heterodimerization between SOD1 and CCS, iii) Cu is transferred from CCS to SOD1, iv) C57-C146 is formed and v) SOD1 homodimerization (Banci et al. 2012b). Together these post-translational modifications produce a highly stable protein, as evidenced by a high melting temperature ($T_m$) of $\sim$92°C and resistance to denaturation in both 6 M GdmCl and 4% SDS (Forman and Fridovich 1973, Bartnikas and Gitlin 2003, Chattopadhyay and Valentine 2009). Demetallation of SOD1 and/or reduction of C57-C146 destabilizes the protein and drastically decreases the melting
Figure I-1. Structural features of SOD1. (A,B) SOD1 is a homodimer with 1 copper (orange sphere) and one zinc (blue sphere) per subunit (PDB:2C9V). SOD1 consists of 8 beta sheets that form the beta barrel core. An intramolecular disulfide bond (C57-C146; green) stabilizes the protein structure. (A) The major functional loops are the zinc binding loop (purple; residues 49-81) and electrostatic loop (yellow; residues 124-139). (B) Additional modifications that have been identified within native human WT SOD1 include phosphorylation (yellow), deamidation (blue), and cysteinylation/glutathionylation (red).
temperature (Forman and Fridovich 1973, Potter and Valentine 2003, Furukawa and O'Halloran 2005, Chattopadhyay and Valentine 2009). As will be discussed below, these post-translational modifications (PTMs) are compromised by both FALS-linked mutations and oxidation, which in turn destabilize SOD1 in the context of disease.

In addition to PTMs that are directly linked to a mature, functional protein, other modifications have been observed within subpopulations of wild-type SOD1, although their functional importance has not been well established. These PTMs include phosphorylation, glutathionylation, cysteinylation, and deamidation (Fig. I-1B). Phosphorylation, a modification typically involved in switching a protein from an “active” to “inactivate” state, or vice versa, has been observed in SOD1 isolated from erythrocytes (Wilcox et al. 2009). Although the downstream effects of this modification have yet to be established, the history of phosphorylation events in the context of other proteins suggests a functional role.

Evidence supports a destabilization effect with the introduction of glutathionylation onto the side-chain of Cys111 of SOD1 (Wilcox et al. 2009). Glutathionylation promotes monomerization, which is thought to be a precursor of aggregation (Rakhit et al. 2004, Svensson et al. 2010, Redler et al. 2011). Nonetheless, it is found on WT SOD1 isolated from human erythrocytes from non-diseased individuals (Wilcox et al. 2009). In contrast, cysteinylation of Cys111, a modification identified within SOD1 isolated from human spinal cord
homogenates, protects SOD1 from subsequent oxidation (Auclair et al. 2013b). Although the effect of cysteinylation on inducing SOD1 aggregation has yet to be determined, the seemingly protective effects of cysteinylation are likely due to the smaller size of cysteine (121 Da), compared to the larger glutathione (307 Da) that impedes the beta-barrel fold and/or the dimer interface.

**A Role for SOD1 in Cell Signaling**

The physiological relevance of SOD1 catalysis extends beyond oxidative stress protection (as discussed in (Rotunno and Bosco 2013)). In a zinc deficient environment, for example, SOD1 is implicated in regulating the ER stress response through a mechanism involving Derlin-1 (Homma et al. 2013). Moreover, SOD1 catalysis plays a key role in signal transduction, a function that is largely underappreciated compared to its role as an anti-oxidizing enzyme (Fig. I-2, Reviewed in (Rotunno and Bosco 2013)). For instance, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) generated by SOD1 can reversibly and specifically react with proteins, generally by oxidizing Cys residues. Cys oxidation in turn alters the biochemical and functional properties of those proteins in a redox dependent manner (Georgiou 2002). A variety of signal transduction pathways are modulated by \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), including but not limited to gene expression, cell proliferation, differentiation and death (Rhee 2006, Brown D. I. and Griendling 2009), implicating SOD1 in these pathways as well. NADPH oxygenases (Nox) function as upstream regulators of these signal transduction pathways through the production of \( \text{O}_2^{-} \), which is either converted to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) spontaneously or catalytically by SOD1. SOD1 comes into
Figure I-2. The putative normal function of the native WT SOD1 protein. (1) SOD1 is responsible for converting the toxic superoxide anion (O$_2^•$) into oxygen (O$_2$) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), the latter of which is involved in the modulation of multiple pathways through oxidation of exposed thiols. (2) SOD1 binds and stabilizes Rac1 in its active, GTP bound state, resulting in Nox2 (Nox) activation and superoxide production. The hydrogen peroxide by-product of the dismutase reaction of SOD1 and superoxide anion promotes the disassociation of SOD1 from the Rac1 complex, resulting in Nox inactivation. (3) Secreted SOD1 plays a role in extracellular signaling processes. The presence of extracellular SOD1 leads to an increase in intracellular calcium via a mechanism involving the phospholipase C/protein kinase C pathway. (4) In a zinc deficient environment, SOD1 is involved in activating the ER Stress response (reviewed in (Rotunno and Bosco 2013)).
close proximity with Nox2-derived $O_2\cdot^-$ at the surface of endosomes in response to proinflammatory cytokines (Harraz et al. 2008). A report by Harraz et al. demonstrated that SOD1 not only acts downstream of Nox2 but can also modulate Nox function through an interaction with Rac1 (Harraz et al. 2008). SOD1 directly binds and stabilizes the active form of Rac1 in its GTP-bound state, leading to Nox2 activation and $O_2\cdot^-$ production. Interestingly, $H_2O_2$ generated by SOD1 serves as a negative feedback of Nox2 activity: $H_2O_2$ induces the dissociation of the SOD1/Rac1 complex, thereby inactivating Rac1 and Nox2 (Harraz et al. 2008). The mechanism for how $H_2O_2$ disrupts the interaction between SOD1 and Rac1 has not been elucidated. One possibility is that the $H_2O_2$ generated by SOD1, which is in close proximity to Rac1, oxidatively modifies Cys residues within Rac1 in such a way that disrupts the SOD1/Rac1 binding interaction.

**Mechanisms of SOD1 in Familial ALS**

**Evidence of Misfolding in FALS-linked Mutant SOD1**

Mutations in the SOD1 gene, located on chromosome 21, are linked to an estimated 20% of FALS and 3% of SALS cases. Amino acid substitutions in the translated protein, Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD1) are the most common consequence of these genetic mutations (http://alsod.iop.kcl.ac.uk/). Since the majority of ALS-linked mutant-SOD1 proteins maintain their dismutase activity, disease is thought to develop through a gain of a toxic function as opposed to loss of normal function. Support of this hypothesis is evident in mouse models
overexpressing mutant SOD1 that develop ALS-like phenotype in the presence of endogenous mouse SOD1 (Gurney et al. 1994, Dal Canto and Gurney 1995, Bruijn et al. 1997, Dal Canto and Gurney 1997, Watanabe et al. 2001). Moreover, mouse models lacking SOD1 do not develop an ALS-like phenotype, but do show signs of excessive sensitivity to neuronal damage (Reaume et al. 1996), reduced fertility (Ho et al. 1998), and liver cancer (Elchuri et al. 2005).

Currently, more than 170 mutations in SOD1 have been identified as causative in FALS pathology (http://alsod.iop.kcl.ac.uk/). These point mutations span the entire primary sequence of the SOD1 protein arguing for a global susceptibility of SOD1 to cause disease. The gain of toxic function involved in disease pathogenesis remains to be determined, but is likely a result of its inclination to misfold and aggregate due to the destabilization ALS-linked mutations impose on the protein. Molecular mechanisms involving the proteasome system and HDAC6 compete with accumulating aggregates in vivo, and in their absence, enhanced aggregation is detected (Gal et al. 2013, Kitamura et al. 2014).

Supporting the notion that FALS-linked SOD1 is destabilized, a reduction in T_m relative to WT SOD1 is observed (Stathopulos et al. 2006, Vassall et al. 2006). In fact, FALS-linked SOD1 mutants are further destabilized when normal post-translational modifications such as Cu/Zn coordination and C57-C146 oxidation are impaired (Furukawa and O'Halloran 2005, Rodriguez et al. 2005,
Kayatekin et al. 2010, Svensson et al. 2010), demonstrating interconnection between normal post-translational modifications and the stability of SOD1. Additionally, mutant SOD1 exhibits an altered tertiary structure, evidenced by enhanced hydrophobicity compared to WT SOD1 (Tiwari et al. 2005, Munch and Bertolotti 2010). While X-ray crystallography has failed to reveal significant structural differences between WT- and mutant-SOD1 proteins, (Hart et al. 1998, Elam et al. 2003, Hough et al. 2004, Cao et al. 2008, Galaleldeen et al. 2009), solution-based structural studies indicate that FALS-linked mutations induce some degree of SOD1 unfolding, or “misfolding” within the electrostatic and/or zinc loops. For example, NMR relaxation experiments reveal an overall increase in protein dynamics for SOD1 G93A compared to WT SOD1 (Shipp et al. 2003). The region proximal to the G93A mutation, termed the “β-barrel plug”, as well as residues within the zinc-binding loop exhibited the greatest increase in mobility, whereas the dynamics within the electrostatic loop were comparable between SOD1 G93A and WT (Shipp et al. 2003). Similarly, β-strands 3 and 4, which contain the β-barrel plug, displayed high deuterium exchange rates as assessed by mass spectrometry for several demetallated mutant forms of SOD1 (Durazo et al. 2009). In a separate study using similar methodology but with metallated forms of SOD1, only 1 out of the 13 mutants exhibited relatively high deuterium exchange rates in the β-barrel plug (Molnar et al. 2009). However, this study detected a 10-31% increase in deuterium exchange within the electrostatic loop for 7 out of 8 “WT-like” ALS-linked SOD1 mutants (i.e., mutant-SOD1 proteins
that coordinate Cu and Zn), including G93A. Deuterium exchange increased within both the electrostatic and zinc binding loops for those SOD1 mutants with impaired metal binding (Molnar et al. 2009).

**Toxic Functions of FALS-linked SOD1**

The structural perturbations present in FALS-linked SOD1 have been hypothesized to induce a more promiscuous protein, promoting aberrant protein-protein interactions. In fact, multiple proteins have been identified that uniquely bind or demonstrate enhanced binding for FALS-linked SOD1 variants over WT SOD1. These “toxic” interactions result in altered signaling pathways, neuroinflammation, apoptosis, axonal transport inhibition, ER stress, and calcium dysregulation.

As discussed above in “A Role for SOD1 in Cell Signaling”, WT SOD1 is involved in the regulation of Nox2 function (Harraz et al. 2008). Altered regulation of Nox2 is a consequence of FALS-linked SOD1 variants. SOD1 FALS-linked mutants (L8Q, G93A) interfere with this process by exhibiting a tighter association with Rac1-GTP thereby preventing GTP hydrolysis. The prevention of GTP hydrolysis results in constitutively activated Rac1 and an increase in Nox2 activation (Harraz et al. 2008). In turn, the cellular response to proinflammatory cytokines (Harraz et al. 2008) that utilizes this regulation mechanism, may be compromised.
Throughout the course of disease in SOD1 G93A transgenic mice, there is an increase in SOD1 associated with Bcl-2, an anti-apoptotic protein (Pasinelli et al. 2004). Moreover, overexpression of Bcl-2 in SOD1 G93A transgenic mice extends survival (Kostic et al. 1997). A study by Pedrini et al. demonstrated mutant SOD1-mediated mitochondrial damage only in the presence of Bcl-2 through exposure of the toxic BH3 domain (Pedrini et al. 2010). When the BH3 domain is exposed, Bcl-2 switches from its normal anti-apoptotic function to pro-apoptotic, an effect likely washed out by the presence of excess anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 as seen in the overexpressing rescue in the G93A mouse model (Kostic et al. 1997).

Transgenic mice expressing SOD1 G93A also exhibit alterations in axonal transport. These models have diminished fast (FAT) and slow axonal transport (SAT) with cargo specificity (Zhang B. et al. 1997, Williamson and Cleveland 1999, Kieran et al. 2005, Shi P. et al. 2010). These axonal transport defects are seen at very early stages, as early as 13 days of gestation (Kieran et al. 2005) arguing for an active role of transport inhibition in the neurodegeneration observed during disease progression. One study utilized time lapse microscopy to analyze FAT in motor neurons derived from SOD1 G93A transgenic mice and found an 80% increase in retrograde axonal transport of mitochondria along with a corresponding decrease in anterograde axonal transport. Additionally, the study showed an inhibition of FAT of membrane bound organelles in both anterograde and retrograde axonal transport (De Vos et al. 2007). The dynein
complex, responsible for retrograde transport, was found to interact with FALS-linked SOD1, and not the wild-type protein (Zhang F. et al. 2007). Moreover, isolated squid axoplasm perfused with mutant SOD1 (H46R, G93A, G85R) inhibits anterograde FAT through a mechanism involving p38 kinase (Morfini G. A. et al. 2013). An increase in phosphorylated p38 is evident in axoplasms exposed to mutant SOD1 (G93A, G85R) compared to normal WT SOD1 (Morfini G. A. et al. 2013). This phosphorylated p38 directly inhibits Kinesin-1, a motor known to be involved with anterograde transport (Morfini G. A. et al. 2013).

Neuronal cultures from SOD1 G93A transgenic mice show signs of UPR activation, a pathway activated in response to ER stress. These signs of UPR activation include activated ATF6α and spliced XBP1 (Prell et al. 2012). In addition, mammalian cells expressing mutant SOD1 (A4V, G93A, G85R) in vitro induce ER stress via an enhanced interaction with Derlin-1 and direct inhibition of ER-associated degradation (ERAD). This interaction traps protein substrates headed for degradation by the UPS in a complex with Derlin-1, which prevents both ubiquitination and translocation to the cytosol (Nishitoh et al. 2008). It should be noted that intracellular expression of mutant SOD1 is not required to cause ER Stress. Another study showed extracellular WT SOD1 and SOD1 G93A are taken up by cultured cells, the latter resulting in ER stress (Munch et al. 2011, Sundaramoorthy et al. 2013). The combination of mutant-SOD1 uptake and intracellular expression in neurons could exacerbate ER stress, thereby causing a switch from the UPR coping pathway to apoptosis in vivo (Mondola et
Another adverse side effect of misfolded, FALS-linked mutant SOD1 is altered calcium homeostasis. WT SOD1 has been shown to participate in calcium modulation in multiple cell culture models, even acting as a neuroprotectant in some instances (Carri et al. 1997, Mondola et al. 2004, Allen et al. 2011, Polazzi et al. 2012). Neuroblastoma cells exposed to FALS-linked SOD1 results in a greater increase in intracellular calcium levels compared to cells exposed to WT SOD1 (Carri et al. 1997, Allen et al. 2011). FALS-linked SOD1 has been proposed to modulate intracellular calcium by binding to the membrane surface and forming aberrant ion channels (Mondola et al. 2004, Allen et al. 2011). Moreover, in SOD1 G93A transgenic mice, spinal cord motor neurons have a higher level of intracellular calcium compared to non-effected neurons, such as oculomotor neurons (Siklos et al. 1998). Motor neurons are more sensitive to increased calcium levels in part because of their low cytosolic calcium buffering capacity coupled with a decrease in calcium binding proteins compared to neurons not affected throughout disease (reviewed in (von Lewinski and Keller 2005)). Since motor neurons are more sensitive to changes in calcium levels, and FALS-linked SOD1 alters these levels to a greater extent than WT SOD1, loss of calcium homeostasis could be responsible for the select vulnerability of motor neurons in ALS.

FALS-linked SOD1 has been implicated in inducing chronic neuroinflammation. Direct activation of microglia, the macrophage of the CNS,
via extracellular mutant SOD1 has been observed in vitro (Urushitani et al. 2006, Ezzi et al. 2007, Zhao et al. 2010). The mechanism of activation involves binding of mutant-SOD1 to the CD14/TLR receptor, the same pathway used by a known proinflammatory microglia activator, LPS (Zhao et al. 2010). This activation results in a proinflammatory activation state (i.e. increased levels of TNFα and IL-1β), and toxicity toward cultured motor neurons (Urushitani et al. 2006, Zhao et al. 2010).

SOD1 and Sporadic ALS

Evidence for a Role of SOD1 in SALS

Sporadic ALS has no known etiology and is likely caused by a complex interplay of both genetic and environmental factors. Familial (FALS) and Sporadic (SALS) ALS are clinically indistinguishable, a fact that could be explained by a similar toxic mechanism shared between them. Evidence in other neurodegenerative disease supports the crossover of familial linked proteins to sporadic cases such as phosphorylation of α-synuclein in Parkinson’s (Beyer and Ariza 2013) and phosphorylation of tau in Alzheimer’s disease (Mandelkow et al. 1996).

Since mutations in SOD1 account for such a large portion of FALS, 20% of cases, we hypothesize that SOD1 plays an active role in sporadic ALS pathology as well. The causative role of SOD1 in FALS has been well established, but its role in sporadic ALS remains controversial. Accumulating evidence from human patient samples, however, supports a role for WT SOD1 in
disease. SOD1 purified from spinal cord tissue of SALS patients, for example, inhibits axonal transport \textit{in vitro} (Bosco et al. 2010). SOD1 is also implicated by the presence of a hyperoxidized SOD1 species in patient derived lymphoblast cell lines (Guareschi et al. 2012). Further, elevated levels of antibodies toward anti-SOD1ox, have been detected in sera from a subset of SALS cases that directly correlates with survival (van Blitterswijk et al. 2011). Antibodies directed at aberrant forms of SOD1 would be expected to have a beneficial effect by neutralizing its toxic properties. Moreover, misfolded SOD1 has been detected in a subset of post-mortem spinal cord tissue slices from SALS patients lacking a mutation in SOD1 using misfolded-SOD1 specific antibodies (Table I-1, (Bosco et al. 2010, Forsberg et al. 2010, Pokrishevsky et al. 2012)). Many of these antibodies also recognize misfolded SOD1 induced by FALS-linked SOD1 mutations, suggesting a similar misfolded conformation is shared amongst them.

Multiple antibodies have been developed that selectively react with an altered tertiary structure present in misfolded SOD1 and not exposed in WT SOD1 (Fig. I-3, Table I-1). Such antibodies include C4F6 (Urushitani et al. 2006), SEDI (Rakhit et al. 2007), MS785 (Fujisawa et al. 2012), and D3H5 (Gros-Louis et al. 2010), the latter of which has extended survival in the G93A transgenic mouse model. Passive immunization therapies targeting select regions of SOD1 exposed upon misfolding have been shown to decrease the
Table I-1. Antibodies that recognize misfolded conformations of SOD1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>SOD1 epitope (aa)</th>
<th>Reactive for FALS SOD1</th>
<th>Patient Tissue</th>
<th>Reactive for SALS SOD1 Modified SOD1</th>
<th>Therapeutic Benefits</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B8H10*</td>
<td>57-78</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>(Gros-Louis et al. 2010, Pickles et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS785</td>
<td>6-16</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>+*</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>(Fujisawa et al. 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3H5</td>
<td>24-55</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>+ (apo)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(Gros-Louis et al. 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5C3*</td>
<td>80-118</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(Gros-Louis et al. 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USOD</td>
<td>42-48</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (ox)</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>(Kerman et al. 2010, Mulligan et al. 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSE2 (3H1)</td>
<td>125-142</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>(Vande Velde et al. 2008, Grad et al. 2011, Pokrishevsky et al. 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-20Ra-ab</td>
<td>4-20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>(Jonsson et al. 2004, Forsberg et al. 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57-72Ra-ab</td>
<td>57-72</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>(Stewart et al. 2006, Forsberg et al. 2010, Forsberg et al. 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131-153Ra-ab</td>
<td>131-153</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>(Jonsson et al. 2004, Forsberg et al. 2010, Forsberg et al. 2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Determined using similar methodology as in C4F6 epitope mapping (Bosco et al. 2010) (unpublished data, Bosco DA).
2. nd: Not Determined
3. Serum starvation in cultured cells results in SOD1 reactivity with antibody
4. Benefits observed from active immunization with SOD1 peptide recognized by SEDI
5. Commercially available
Figure I-3. Conformation specific antibodies highlight regions exposed in SOD1 upon misfolding. The primary sequence for WT SOD1 is shown with the secondary structure displayed below. The binding regions of 11 antibodies specific for misfolded SOD1 are depicted above the sequence (See Table I-1 for antibody details).
level of misfolded SOD1 present in the spinal cord of ALS mouse models and enhance survival (Gros-Louis et al. 2010). Understanding the binding interaction between such antibodies and SOD1 will further our understanding of how aberrant SOD1 is causative in disease by painting a clearer picture of the misfolded, toxic conformation. Further, the misfolded SOD1 species itself, once identified, has the potential to be a powerful biomarker for ALS. In fact, there is evidence that soluble misfolded WT SOD1 mimics the toxic functions and toxic conformation of FALS-linked SOD1 as discussed further below.

**Loss of native SOD1 PTMs Results in WT SOD1 Misfolding**

As discussed above, coordination of Zn, oxidation of the C57-C146 intrasubunit disulfide bond and homodimerization of SOD1 contribute to the structural stability of the molecule. A loss in integrity of any one of these normal post-translational modifications compromises the stability of WT SOD1 and contributes to its misfolding and aggregation (**Fig. I-1**). For example, a reduction of WT SOD1 stability upon demetallation is a widely documented observation (Stathopulos et al. 2003, Lynch et al. 2004, Furukawa and O’Halloran 2005, Ding and Dokholyan 2008). Interestingly, demetallation of WT SOD1 induces similar conformational perturbations within the zinc binding and the electrostatic loops (loops IV and VII, respectively; **Fig. I-1**) as do FALS-linked SOD1 mutations (Strange et al. 2003, Strange et al. 2007, Ding and Dokholyan 2008, Durazo et al. 2009, Molnar et al. 2009). A direct comparison of NMR backbone chemical shifts for apo dimeric WT SOD1 versus holo dimeric WT SOD1 revealed the
largest structural variations within the electrostatic loop (Banci et al. 2009). These structural alterations were accompanied by pronounced changes in backbone dynamics that further support demetallation-induced misfolding (Banci et al. 2009). Molecular dynamics (Ding and Dokholyan 2008) and H/D exchange by mass spectrometry (Durazo et al. 2009) also report enhanced flexibility within the aforementioned loops of apo WT SOD1 compared to holo SOD1, but in addition these studies also detect misfolding within the beta barrel of SOD1 upon demetallation (Ding and Dokholyan 2008, Durazo et al. 2009). Furthermore, the misfolding of WT SOD1 induced by demetallation leads to aggregation of the protein (Banci et al. 2007), which may be driven by the exposure of hydrophobic regions within misfolded SOD1 that are otherwise buried in the native protein (Tiwari et al. 2009).

In addition to demetallation, reduction of the C57-C146 disulfide bond also has a destabilizing effect on WT SOD1 that can lead to protein misfolding and aggregation in a manner similar to FALS-linked mutant SOD1 (Furukawa et al. 2008, Chan et al. 2013). Several studies have demonstrated that a reduction of C57-C146 in apo-SOD1 shifts the monomer-dimer equilibrium towards the monomeric state. Addition of zinc or oxidation of C57-C146 shifts the equilibrium back to the dimeric state, demonstrating interdependence between Zn coordination, C57-C146 bond integrity, and dimerization on the structural stability of SOD1 (Arnesano et al. 2004, Lindberg et al. 2004, Hornberg et al. 2007).
**Aberrant Post-Translational Modifications Induce WT SOD1 Misfolding**

In addition to alterations of the normal post-translational modifications of SOD1, WT SOD1 misfolding and aggregation can be induced by the formation of aberrant modifications. Oxidation of SOD1 side chains represents one such modification that has been postulated to play a significant causal role in both FALS and SALS (Kabashi et al. 2007). However, Shaw et al. demonstrated that FALS-linked SOD1 transgenic mouse models have miniscule amounts of modified SOD1 present in the spinal cord (Shaw B. F. et al. 2008). It should be noted that the high amount of DTT used in the analysis would likely preclude detection of certain modifications present on cysteine residues, such as cysteinylation, oxidation and glutathionylation (Shaw B. F. et al. 2008). In the context of WT SOD1, which has relevance to SALS, metal catalyzed oxidation with CuCl₂ and ascorbic acid leads to oxidative modification of SOD1 histidine residues and subsequent SOD1 aggregation (Rakhit et al. 2002, Rakhit et al. 2004). This mode of oxidation-induced SOD1 aggregation proceeds by way of SOD1 dimer dissociation/monomer formation, demonstrating interdependence between aberrant and normal SOD1 post-translational modifications (Rakhit et al. 2004). Cys111 within SOD1 is particularly susceptible to H₂O₂ induced oxidation (Fig. I-1B). Prolonged exposure of SOD1 to H₂O₂ results in the irreversible conversion of the Cys111 sulfhydryl group to sulfonic acid (Fujiwara et al. 2007, Bosco et al. 2010, Auclair et al. 2013b), which may be detrimental since WT SOD1 oxidized by H₂O₂ (hereafter referred to as SOD1ox) exhibits an enhanced
propensity to misfold and aggregate (Ezzi et al. 2007, Fujiwara et al. 2007, Bosco et al. 2010, Chen X. et al. 2012b). Glutathionylation on Cys111 has a similar destabilizing effect (McAlary et al. 2013). Interestingly, modifications such as β-mercaptoethanol (Fujiwara et al. 2007), persulfide (de Beus et al. 2004) and cysteinylation (Auclair et al. 2013b) on cysteine 111 protect SOD1 against oxidation and possibly against subsequent misfolding.

**Misfolded WT SOD1 Proteins are Toxic and Mimic FALS-linked SOD1**

In recent years, several reports have demonstrated a toxic effect of misfolded WT SOD1 in the context of ALS relevant pathways and processes (Fig. I-4). The observation that zinc depleted WT SOD1 exerts a toxic effect onto motor neurons by a mechanism involving nitric oxide provided one of the first clues that modified WT SOD1 species are toxic and may contribute to SALS pathogenesis (Estevez et al. 1999, Beckman et al. 2001). The fact that oxidation damages the zinc binding site of SOD1 and aging is known to result in increased protein oxidation, it is reasonable to suspect that zinc-deficiency plays a role in pathology (Smith C. D. et al. 1991, Sampson and Beckman 2001). In fact, zinc supplements extend survival in ALS mouse models (Ermilova et al. 2005). More recently, zinc- deficient SOD1 was shown to exhibit a toxic effect related to mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila* (Bahadorani et al. 2013). That metal deficient WT SOD1 can be induced to misfold and exhibit a toxic nature analogous to FALS-linked SOD1 is supported by the immunization trial in ALS mice reported by Takeuchi et al. Low copy SOD1 G93A transgenic mice
Figure I-4. The toxic properties shared by ALS-linked mutant SOD1 and modified WT SOD1. As shown, misfolded SOD1, resulting from mutations or aberrant post-translational modifications, causes the protein to engage in aberrant interactions. (1) Misfolded SOD1 is both secreted, possibly through chromogranins, and taken up from the extracellular environment. (2) Extracellular misfolded SOD1 activates microglia by binding to the CD14/TLR4 receptor, thereby elevating nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) activity as well as secretion of superoxide anion (\(O_2\cdot\)) and proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF\(\alpha\)). (3) Expression and uptake of misfolded SOD1 leads to ER stress, which elevates the pro-apoptotic CHOP protein and induces mitochondrial damage. (4) The presence of misfolded SOD1 in the axon results in axonal transport inhibition through a mechanism involving the phosphorylation of p38 and the kinesin motor. All these aberrant functions compromise the integrity of the motor neuron, and potentially contribute to both FALS and SALS pathogenesis.
vaccinated with the apo WT SOD1 immunogen exhibited delayed disease onset and prolonged survival compared to control mice injected with saline/adjuvant, and importantly, to a similar degree as mice vaccinated with apo SOD1 G93A (Takeuchi et al. 2010). This study also implicates WT SOD1 as a viable therapeutic target for SALS.

SOD1ox also acquires aberrant protein-interactions that are observed for FALS-linked SOD1 mutants. For example, SOD1ox interacts with the heat shock protein Hsc70 that plays a role in refolding misfolded proteins (Ezzi et al. 2007), the secretory protein chromogranin B that actively secretes misfolded forms of SOD1 (Urushitani et al. 2006, Ezzi et al. 2007), and the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 in a manner that induces mitochondrial damage (Pasinelli et al. 2004, Guareschi et al. 2012). SOD1ox was also shown to mimic FALS-linked SOD1 mutants in the inhibition of anterograde fast axonal transport (FAT) in squid axoplasm. These studies demonstrated that the inhibitory effect of SOD1ox and mutant SOD1 was mediated by activated p38 MAPK, indicating that misfolded SOD1 can trigger kinase-dependent signaling cascades (Morfini G. A. et al. 2009, Bosco et al. 2010, Morfini G. A. et al. 2013, Song et al. 2013). Extracellular derived WT SOD1 can also induce a toxic effect onto cells. SOD1ox applied to cell culture media activates immortalized microglia (Ezzi et al. 2007), which in turn may cause motor neuron death (Ezzi et al. 2007, Zhao et al. 2010). Furthermore, uptake of aggregated WT SOD1 species by
macropinocytosis in neuronal cells caused ER stress and seeded the aggregation of intracellular, endogenous SOD1 (Sundaramoorthy et al. 2013).

Studies in various SOD1 transgenic ALS mouse models have provided direct evidence for WT SOD1 mediated toxicity \textit{in vivo}. Intriguingly, an ALS-like phenotype was only observed in transgenic mice expressing the human SOD1 A4V variant, a mutation that corresponds to an aggressive ALS phenotype in humans, when these mice expressed the human WT version of SOD1 (Deng et al. 2006). This paradoxical result may be explained by heterodimerization of WT and A4V subunits, affording a ‘stabilized’ and thus more toxic version of SOD1 A4V that would otherwise be degraded (Witan et al. 2008, Witan et al. 2009). A similar phenomenon was observed in double-transgenic mice expressing human SOD1 WT and G85R, where disease onset was hastened relative to single-transgenic SOD1 G85R mice (Wang L. et al. 2009). Recently, a transgenic mouse model was developed that over-expresses human WT SOD1 at similar levels to the established high-copy SOD1 G93A mouse model (Gurney et al. 1994, Graffmo et al. 2013). Compared to transgenic mice expressing fewer copies of the human WT SOD1 gene, the mice generated by Graffmo et al exhibit an ALS-like phenotype that includes significant weight loss, SOD1 aggregation, neurodegeneration, gliosis, and a shortened life-span of approximately 360 days (Graffmo et al. 2013). The authors posit that the ALS-like phenotype is not a general effect of SOD1 overexpression per se, but rather a direct consequence of a substoichiometric population of misfolded SOD1.
Although the exact mechanism for WT SOD1 mediated toxicity is not well understood in these models, and the extent to which WT SOD1 is post-translationally modified has not been addressed, these studies clearly demonstrate a link between WT SOD1 and motor neuron degeneration characteristic of ALS.

**Summary**

Misfolding of SOD1 induced by post-translational modifications and FALS-linked mutations converge in a common pathway with overlapping toxic downstream events. These similarities support the hypothesis that misfolded WT SOD1 plays a causative role in a subset of sporadic ALS cases. In the following chapters, I will present one common, toxic misfolded conformation shared by FALS-linked SOD1 and PTM-linked SOD1 variants that I have identified using cross-linking and mutagenesis techniques. I have also identified a species of SOD1 that is present in control peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), but underrepresented in SALS-derived PBMCs. Further, an over-oxidized form of SOD1 was identified in SALS-derived PBMCs that was less abundant in controls. Together, these data implicate misfolded WT SOD1 in disease, suggesting therapeutics that target FALS-linked SOD1 may prove beneficial to sporadic patients lacking a genetic mutation in SOD1 as well.
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CHAPTER II: IDENTIFICATION OF A MISFOLDED REGION IN SOD1 THAT IS EXPOSED IN ALS

Introduction

ALS is a fast-progressing, fatal neurodegenerative disorder that primarily targets motor neurons. The complex nature of ALS represents a hurdle in developing effective therapies for this incurable disease. A growing list of genes have been linked to ALS, where mutations in SOD1, TDP-43, FUS, C9orf72 account for >50% of inherited, or familial ALS (FALS) (Sreedharan and Brown 2013). However, much less is known about the cause(s) of sporadic ALS (SALS) that account for the majority (90%) of ALS cases (Sreedharan and Brown 2013). FALS and SALS are clinically indistinguishable, suggesting similar mechanisms are at play for both forms of this disease.

SOD1 (Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase) represents a factor that is common to FALS and SALS. Mutations in SOD1 likely cause FALS through a gain-of-toxic mechanism induced by a misfolded conformation of the protein (Rotunno and Bosco 2013). Importantly, aberrant post-translational modifications cause wild-type (WT) SOD1 to adapt a similar misfolded conformation (Arnesano et al. 2004, Ezzi et al. 2007, Fujiwara et al. 2007, Ding and Dokholyan 2008, Banci et al. 2009, Durazo et al. 2009, Bosco et al. 2010, Chen X. et al. 2012b, Auclair et al. 2013b). These observations support an emerging, albeit controversial, hypothesis that WT SOD1 plays a pathogenic role in a subset of SALS, analogous to the role of mutant-SOD1 in FALS (Rotunno and Bosco 2013). Over the past several years, conformation specific antibodies have been generated
that are selective for misfolded SOD1 variants over the native, WT SOD1 protein (Rakhit et al. 2007, Urushitani et al. 2007, Vande Velde et al. 2008, Forsberg et al. 2010, Gros-Louis et al. 2010, Fujisawa et al. 2012), suggesting the epitopes for these antibodies represent pathogenic motifs within misfolded SOD1. C4F6 is one such conformation specific monoclonal antibody, which is reactive for several ALS-linked SOD1 variants (Urushitani et al. 2007, Prudencio and Borchelt 2011, Brotherton et al. 2012) including an oxidized form of WT SOD1 (SOD1ox) that serves as a model protein for SALS (Bosco et al. 2010). Importantly, C4F6 detected misfolded SOD1 species within human post-mortem FALS and SALS spinal cord tissues (Bosco et al. 2010, Brotherton et al. 2012) and C4F6 reactivity correlated with disease progression in the spinal cords of SOD1 G93A transgenic mice (Brotherton et al. 2012). That C4F6 blocked the inhibitory effect of misfolded SOD1 on fast axonal transport in squid axoplasm supports the notion that the C4F6 epitope with SOD1 confers toxicity (Bosco et al. 2010). Collectively, these observations indicate that the C4F6 antibody is a reliable reporter of pathogenic SOD1 species in ALS.

Despite the evidence that C4F6 is selective for pathogenic SOD1 species, very little is known about the amino acids and structural elements that comprise this epitope. Therefore, we developed a chemical cross-linking, site-directed mutagenesis and mass spectrometry approach to define the potentially toxic C4F6 epitope within misfolded SOD1 proteins. Our analyses reveal that the zinc binding (loop IV) and electrostatic (loop VII) loops within SOD1 mask the C4F6
epitope, and support a model where ALS-linked mutations destabilize loop IV and VII (Shipp et al. 2003, Molnar et al. 2009) thereby exposing the C4F6 epitope. In support of this model, WT SOD1 lacking loops IV and VII (SOD1\(^{ΔIV/ΔVII}\)) exhibits high reactivity with C4F6 while maintaining a relatively stable tertiary-fold (Danielsson et al. 2011, Danielsson et al. 2013). Exposure of the C4F6 epitope within SOD1\(^{ΔIV/ΔVII}\) directly correlates with SOD1-mediated microglia activation, indicative of enhanced SOD1 toxicity (Ezzi et al. 2007, Zhao et al. 2010). These findings put forth loops IV and VII as well as the C4F6 epitope itself as therapeutic targets for SOD1-mediated ALS.

**Results**

**C4F6 is selective for misfolded forms of SOD1 over native wild-type SOD1**

The C4F6/SOD1 binding interaction was quantified in non-denaturing conditions using the OCTET system, which utilizes Bio-Layer Interferometry technology to accurately determine binding affinities (Broering et al. 2013). No binding interaction was detected between C4F6 and WT SOD1; thus, the apparent dissociation constant (K\(_d\)) is below the limit of detection (Table II-1). C4F6 exhibited the tightest binding affinity for SOD1 G93A (K\(_d\) = 0.40 ± 0.29 µM), the immunogen for this antibody (Urushitani et al. 2007). C4F6 also recognized SOD1 A4V and G85R with K\(_d\) values of 1.46 ± 0.41 µM and 3.00 ± 3.83 µM, respectively. Oxidation of native WT SOD1 at Cys 111 (SOD1\(_{ox}\)) was also sufficient to produce a tight binding interaction with C4F6 (K\(_d\) = 1.13 ± 0.60 µM). These data suggest that C4F6 recognizes an aberrant conformation shared
Table II-1. Apparent dissociation constants (K_d) of the C4F6/SOD1 interaction as determined by the OCTET system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOD1 Variant</th>
<th>K_d (µM)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT SOD1</td>
<td>NBD*</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT SOD1&lt;sup&gt;ΔIV&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT SOD1&lt;sup&gt;ΔIV/ΔVII&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1ox</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.60</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1ox&lt;sup&gt;ΔIV&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1ox&lt;sup&gt;ΔIV/ΔVII&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 G93A</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.29</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 G93A&lt;sup&gt;ΔIV&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 G85R</td>
<td>3.00 ± 3.83</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 A4V</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.41</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

± standard deviation; NBD*, no binding detected; n denotes the number of independent experiments
amongst multiple misfolded SOD1 variants.

**Hot-spots for C4F6 cross-linking are located within the electrostatic and zinc binding loops of misfolded SOD1 variants**

We employed a chemical cross-linking strategy with native SOD1 proteins and C4F6 to identify the C4F6 epitope within misfolded SOD1. Both dithiobis[succinimidyl propionate] (DSP; cross-links lysines and has a 12Å spacer) and 1-ethyl-3-[3-dimethylaminopropyl]carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC; cross-links lysines with glutamic or aspartic acid residues and has a 0Å spacer) were used independently to cross-link SOD1 proteins to the Fab fragment of C4F6. In the presence of DSP ([Fig. II-1A](#)) and EDC ([Fig. II-1B](#)), C4F6 cross-linked to all tested ALS-associated SOD1 variants and SOD1ox, but not to WT SOD1. Although the sites of ALS-linked point mutations (e.g., A4V, G85R and G93A) and oxidation (e.g., C111) are in different regions of the tertiary structure ([Fig. II-2](#)), C4F6 binding indicates that these SOD1 variants are misfolding in a similar manner.

To identify the amino acids involved in SOD1/C4F6 binding, the band corresponding to the cross-linked species (SOD1/C4F6*) was excised from a Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gel and subjected to tryptic digestion followed by mass spectrometry (MS). The amino acid sequence for the C4F6 Fab fragment was determined using both mass spectrometry and RNA sequencing (see Methods). To aid in DSP cross-link identification, samples were prepared with deuterium-labeled DSP (d₈-DSP) in parallel, resulting in cross-linked peptides
Figure II-1. C4F6 specifically cross-links to misfolded SOD1 in the presence of DSP or EDC. (A) A non-reducing western analysis with pan-SOD1 (red) and anti-Fab (green) antibodies demonstrates the specific cross-linking with DSP between misfolded SOD1 variants and C4F6 Fab (SOD1/C4F6; yellow band at 66 kDa denoted by *). HC, heavy chain; LC, light chain. (B) A band corresponding to the SOD1/C4F6 complex cross-linked with EDC (denoted by *) was detected at ~70kDa for SOD1 (G93A, G85R, ox), but not wild-type (WT) SOD1. A similar SDS-PAGE and western analysis was performed as in (A), except a 12% gel was employed under reducing conditions. (C,D) Representative cross-linked peptides from a pooled (d$_8$-DSP + DSP) sample where a 4.03 m/z shift was observed between the monoisotopic peaks (C, inset;16.13 Da mass shift/+4 charge state = 4.03 m/z) 708.07 m/z (DSP) and 712.10 m/z (d$_8$-DSP). Both parent ions, 712.10 m/z (C, d$_8$-DSP) and 708.07 m/z (D, DSP), were subjected to MS/MS analysis and identified as residues 70-79 of SOD1 and residues 39-50 of C4F6.
Figure II-1 *continued*. C4F6 specifically cross-links to misfolded SOD1 in the presence of DSP or EDC. (C,D) Representative cross-linked peptides from a pooled (d8-DSP + DSP) sample where a 4.03 m/z shift was observed between the monoisotopic peaks (C, inset; 16.13 Da mass shift/+4 charge state = 4.03 m/z) 708.07 m/z (DSP) and 712.10 m/z (d8-DSP). Both parent ions, 712.10 m/z (C, d8-DSP) and 708.07 m/z (D, DSP), were subjected to MS/MS analysis and identified as residues 70-79 of SOD1 and residues 39-50 of C4F6. (E) Exemplar MS/MS data (59% sequence coverage) for an EDC cross-linked peptide comprised of C4F6 (residues 1-15) and SOD1 G93A (residues 123-136). (C-E) C4F6 (green) and SOD1 (blue) ions are depicted with the corresponding sequence displayed above. Peaks with identical ions in both C4F6 and SOD1 peptides are shown in red, where -18 corresponds to a loss of a water molecule for y1.
with an increased mass of 8.06 Da. Pooling both DSP and d₈-DSP samples allowed for further validation of cross-linked peptides due to a charge-state dependent shift in the observed $m/z$ of the parent ions. A representative cross-linked peptide from a pooled (d₈-DSP + DSP) SOD1 G93A/C4F6 sample is illustrated in Figure II-1C (inset) where a 4.03 m/z shift was observed between the monoisotopic peaks 708.07 m/z (DSP) and 712.10 m/z (d₈-DSP). This mass-shift corresponds to the theoretical mass shift of a cross-linked peptide containing two cross-linkers, one hydrolyzed dead-end cross-linker and one directly joining the peptides, with DSP compared to d₈-DSP (16.13 Da mass shift/+4 charge state = 4.03 m/z). Both parent ions, 712.10 m/z (d₈-DSP) and 708.07 m/z (DSP) were subjected to MS/MS analysis and identified as residues 70-79 of SOD1 and residues 39-50 of C4F6 (Fig. II-1C,D). A representative cross-linked SOD1 G93A/C4F6 peptide from an EDC treated sample is illustrated in Figure II-1E.

In total, 10 amino acids in SOD1 G93A were found to cross-link to C4F6, the majority of which fall in the zinc binding (loop IV, residues 49-81) and electrostatic (loop VII, residues 124-139) loops of SOD1 (Fig. II-2, Table II-2). Both DSP and EDC cross-link these loop regions within SOD1 G93A to C4F6 (Fig. II-2B,C, Table II-2), demonstrating that these cross-linkers detect “hot-spots” of C4F6 binding to SOD1 G93A. Interestingly, C4F6-binding hot-spots within loops IV and VII are also detected for other misfolded SOD1 proteins, including apo-SOD1 and SOD1 (G85R, A4V, ox) variants (Fig. II-2C, Table II-2).
Figure II-2. C4F6 cross-links to loops IV and VII within misfolded SOD1. (A) The structure of WT SOD1 (PDB ID: 2C9V; Cu, orange; Zn, yellow) with the sites of FALS-linked mutations (A4V, G85R, and G93A) and oxidation (C111). (B) Amino acids (red) that cross-link SOD1 G93A to C4F6 with DSP or EDC are highlighted onto the structure of SOD1 G93A (PDB: 2WKO). The edge strands of β5 and β6 exposed upon removal of loops IV and VII are shown in purple (right). All potential side chains (K,D,E) capable of crosslinking but that were not cross-linked to C4F6 are shown in gray.
Figure II-2 continued. C4F6 cross-links to loops IV and VII within misfolded SOD1. (C) The amino acid sequences for SOD1 variants from this study are shown with the secondary structural elements illustrated below. Amino acids are highlighted as in (B); loops IV (zinc binding) and VII (electrostatic) harbor a majority of cross-linked residues. SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$ is denoted by $ΔIV/ΔVII$ (loop IV, blue; loop VII, green).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOD1 Variant</th>
<th>SOD1 AA</th>
<th>C4F6 AA</th>
<th>% Coverage</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Cross linker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 G93A 94±12%</td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>K43 HC</td>
<td>&gt;59.1</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>D65 LC</td>
<td>&gt;67.6</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>K112 LC</td>
<td>&gt;60.0</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K75</td>
<td>K43 HC</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K75</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>&gt;55.6</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K75</td>
<td>D65 HC</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D76/E77</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E77/78</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E121</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E121</td>
<td>K67 HC</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K122</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D124/125</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>&gt;52.2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K128</td>
<td>E6 HC</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E132</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K136</td>
<td>E6 HC</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K136</td>
<td>D65 LC</td>
<td>&gt;53.8</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K136</td>
<td>K215 HC</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 G85R 97±4%</td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>D64 HC</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>D65 LC</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D76</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D76/E77</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E121</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E132</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K136</td>
<td>D65 LC</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>EDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 A4V 94%</td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K75</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>&gt;51.9</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K122</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K136</td>
<td>K43 HC</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K136</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>&gt;50.0</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 OX 94%</td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>K43 HC</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>&gt;70.4</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>K67 HC</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>K112 LC</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K75</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K136</td>
<td>K215 HC</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo WT SOD1 90%</td>
<td>K75</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>&gt;55.6</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K70</td>
<td>K43 HC</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1^av, vi 65%</td>
<td>K122</td>
<td>K54 HC</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K122</td>
<td>K43 HC</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>DSP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ^ DSP: Represents combined data from deuterated and nondeuterated DSP analyses. 

1 SOD1 sequence coverage of trypsin digestion (± standard deviation, where applicable). Sequence coverage for the light and heavy chain of the C4F6 fab fragment were found to be 90±11% and 67±7%. It is noted that the sequence coverage for the heavy chain is low, however the sequence coverage of the variable region was consistently 100% (amino acids 1-120).

^ Number corresponds to amino acid position in full-length SOD1.
**Misfolding of loops IV and VII within ALS-linked SOD1 variants exposes the C4F6 epitope**

Since loops IV and VII within SOD1 harbor the majority of amino acids involved in C4F6 binding (Fig. II-2), we hypothesized that the C4F6 epitope is located within these loops. It follows that removal of loops IV and VII within misfolded SOD1 should eliminate the C4F6/SOD1 interaction. Loop-deletion constructs were engineered to test this hypothesis. SOD1ΔIV represents WT SOD1 with loop IV replaced by a Gly-Ala-Gly linker. The SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII construct lacks loops IV and VII, both of which were replaced by Gly-Ala-Gly linkers (Fig. II-2C, ΔIV/ΔVII). SOD1ΔIV and SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII are both apo- (Fig. II-3) and monomeric proteins (Danielsson et al. 2011). SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII is a well-folded protein as determined by X-ray crystallography (Fig. II-4A) (Danielsson et al. 2013), NMR, protein stability (Danielsson et al. 2011, Danielsson et al. 2013) and circular dichroism (CD) (Fig. II-4B) analyses.

Surprisingly, removal of loops IV and VII within SOD1 strengthened the C4F6/SOD1 interaction. Octet (Table II-1) and native western (Fig. II-4C) analyses demonstrated that removal of these loops promoted a binding interaction between WT SOD1 and C4F6. WT SOD1ΔIV and WT SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII bound C4F6 with a $K_d$ of 0.72±0.24 and 0.46±0.24 (µM), respectively. Moreover, the affinity of SOD1ox for C4F6 increased 3-fold, from 1.13 ± 0.60 to 0.32 ± 0.03, upon deletion of loops IV and VII. Therefore, C4F6 affinity for SOD1 proteins lacking loops IV and VII is increased relative to the respective full-length protein.
Figure II-3. Metal analyses reveal deficient metal coordination in ALS-linked and loop-deletion SOD1 variants. The metal content of as-isolated SOD1 variants determined by ICP-OES. Full-length SOD1 (G93A, G85R, A4V, ox) contain lower levels of zinc compared to WT SOD1. SOD1 (apo, WT ΔIV, G93A ΔIV, WT ΔIV/ΔVII, and ox ΔIV/ΔVII) are deficient in both copper and zinc. (apo refers to demetallated (see methods); ΔIV, deletion of loop IV; ΔIV/ΔVII deletion of loops IV and VII).
Figure II-4. Deletion of loops IV and VII exposes the C4F6 epitope. (A) The structure of SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$ (PDB: 4BCZ; loop IV, blue; loop VII, green; Lys122, red). (B) CD spectra of WT SOD1 revealed a minimum ellipticity at 208 nm, indicative of a mixture of both β-sheets and α-helices within the secondary structure as previously reported (Greenfield 2006, Svensson et al. 2006). A similar trend is seen for SOD1$^{ΔIV}$. Due to the omission of alpha-helices within loops IV and VII, the minimum ellipticity is shifted towards a longer wavelength for SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$ relative to WT SOD1 and SOD1$^{ΔIV}$. (C) The indicated recombinant SOD1 protein (FL, full-length; ΔIV, deletion of loop IV; ΔIV/ΔVII deletion of loops IV and VII) was subjected to a native PAGE western analysis with C4F6 (top), revealing that C4F6 reactivity is enhanced when the functional loops are removed. A denaturing SDS-PAGE (stained with Coomassie) serves as a loading control. (D) WT SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$ cross-links to C4F6 Fab through Lys122 in the presence of DSP (as described for Figure II-2).
(Table II-1). This trend is supported by native western analyses, which show a robust increase in C4F6 reactivity for SOD1 G93A when loop IV is removed (G93A SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII could not be sufficiently expressed) and for both WT SOD1 and SOD1ox upon removal of loops IV and VII (Fig. II-4C). WT SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII also cross-linked with C4F6 in the presence of DSP (Fig. II-4D). Despite there being 7 potential lysines available for cross-linking with DSP (Fig. II-2C, 4A), cross-links were only identified at Lys122 (residue number corresponds to full-length SOD1). Lys122 is the only residue that cross-linked C4F6 in the context of full-length SOD1 G93A but was not removed by deletion of loops IV and VII (Fig. II-2C, 4A).

These results indicate that i) the remaining 6 Lys residues in WT SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII are either not proximal to the C4F6 epitope or are not sufficiently positioned for cross-linking by DSP, ii) the C4F6 epitope is not within, but rather is proximal to, loops IV and VII, iii) deletion of loops IV and VII within WT SOD1 creates and/or unmasks the C4F6 epitope and iv) deletion of loops IV and VII in the context of misfolded ALS-linked variants further exposes the C4F6 epitope. The latter two hypotheses seem likely given that enhanced flexibility of loop VII has been observed in a subset of FALS-linked SOD1 variants (Molnar et al. 2009).

**Exposure of the C4F6 epitope within SOD1 correlates with microglial activation**

If the C4F6 epitope does indeed confer toxicity, then SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII proteins are expected to exhibit heightened toxicity. Chronic activation of microglias is evident in both ALS patients and mouse models (Turner M. R. et al. 2004, Liao et
al. 2012) and is tightly linked to motor neuron death (Block et al. 2007, Zhao et al. 2010). Recombinant SOD1 G93A has been shown to activate microglia in vitro and, in turn, confer toxicity to cultured motor neurons (Zhao et al. 2010). We utilized this sensitive assay to determine whether exposure of the C4F6 epitope exerts a disease-relevant effect onto microglia. Cultured primary murine microglia (>95% pure, as determined by CD11b/c positive cells) were exposed to SOD1 for 48h, and their activation was assessed by both cellular morphology and TNFα secretion. Treatment with all misfolded SOD1 variants employed in this study, but not WT SOD1, resulted in a similar morphological change from ramified/resting microglia to amoeboid, indicative of activation (Graeber 2010) (Fig. II-5A). Consistent with these observations, elevated levels of secreted TNFα was detected in the media of microglia exposed to misfolded SOD1 proteins, but not WT SOD1, with the highest levels induced by WT SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII (Fig. II-5B,C). Consistent with previous studies using FALS-linked SOD1 proteins (Zhao et al. 2010), the addition of an anti-CD14 antibody that competes with misfolded SOD1 for the CD14/TLR4 receptor in microglia partially suppressed SOD1-induced microglia activation for all SOD1 variants tested here (Fig. II-5). We note that suppression of SOD1 G93A and WT SOD1ΔIV by the CD14 antibody did not achieve statistical significance, however, that an attenuation in TNFα signal was observed for all misfolded SOD1 proteins studied here indicates these proteins activate microglia through a common mechanism. Based on these data, we propose a model whereby the enhanced flexibility of
Figure II-5. Exposure of the C4F6 epitope correlates with elevated SOD1-mediated microglial activation. Primary murine microglia were incubated with the indicated SOD1 protein (2.5 µM) for 48h prior to analysis. (A) Immunofluorescence imaging with an anti-α tubulin antibody reveals the activation status of microglia (active: closed arrows; inactive: open arrows). Pre-incubation with anti-CD14 (+ anti-CD14) attenuated microglial activation (scale=50 microns).
Figure II-5 continued. Exposure of the C4F6 epitope correlates with elevated SOD1-mediated microglial activation. (B) Media harvested from cells treated as in (A) were probed for TNFα by western analysis (n=4); the highest signal was observed for WT SOD1ΔIV/VII treated cells. TNFα secretion was attenuated for the + anti-CD14 condition. SOD1 proteins added to the media were assessed by western analysis with a pan-SOD1 antibody (bottom) to ensure wells were exposed to equal amounts of protein; this antibody was less reactive for the loopless constructs. (C) Densitometry analysis of (B), all SOD1 variants are significantly increased compared to WT SOD1 (p<0.05) for the -anti-CD14 condition; statistically significant comparisons with SOD1ΔIV/VII (*, p<0.05) for the -anti-CD14 condition are indicated; SOD1 variants that exhibit a significant attenuation in microglia activation in the presence of CD14 compared to the -anti-CD14 condition are indicated (#, p<0.05).
loops IV and VII within ALS-linked variants (Shipp et al. 2003, Cao et al. 2008, Molnar et al. 2009) partially exposes a toxic domain that is recognized by C4F6, and this domain becomes fully exposed when these loops are removed.

**Enhancing the metal occupancy of SOD1 reduces exposure of the C4F6 epitope**

To gain further insight into the relationship between the functional loops of SOD1 and the C4F6 epitope, we assessed the effect of SOD1 metal binding on C4F6 reactivity. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) was used to quantify the mettallation status of our recombinant SOD1 proteins (**Fig. II-3**). The WT SOD1 dimer contained approximately 1.9 equivalents of zinc and only 0.2 equivalents of copper, consistent with previous measurements (Hayward et al. 2002). SOD1 variants exhibited a relatively reduced mettallation status with respect to zinc, especially SOD1 G85R (Hayward et al. 2002) (**Fig. II-3**). The dynamics within loops IV and VII are severely perturbed in metal-free SOD1 variants (Elam et al. 2003, Molnar et al. 2009), whereas coordination of copper and especially zinc stabilizes the tertiary and quaternary structures of SOD1 (Banci et al. 2003, Stathopulos et al. 2003, Arnesano et al. 2004, Lynch et al. 2004). Since the mettallation status of SOD1 correlates with its propensity to misfold, it may also influence C4F6 reactivity. In the absence of excess copper and zinc, a native western analysis of as-isolated recombinant SOD1 variants demonstrate C4F6 reactivity in the following rank order: SOD1 G85R> G93A> A4V~SOD1ox (**Fig. II-6A, left**). The relatively weak
Figure II-6. The metellation status of SOD1 inversely correlates with C4F6 reactivity. SOD1 incubated for 24 h without (-) or with (+) 4-fold molar excess copper and zinc (Cu$^{2+}$/Zn$^{2+}$) and subjected to a native-PAGE western analysis with the C4F6 antibody. A denaturing western blot probed with a pan-SOD1 antibody (bottom) serves as a loading control. (A) C4F6 reactivity is reduced for SOD1 G93A, G85R and A4V when these proteins are incubated with excess metals. (B) Removing metals from WT SOD1, thereby generating apo-SOD1, confers reactivity with C4F6. C4F6 reactivity is attenuated when apo-SOD1 is incubated with excess Cu$^{2+}$/Zn$^{2+}$. (C) Apo-WT SOD1 activates primary microglia as indicated by the TNF$\alpha$ western analysis (gels, bottom panels; quantification, top; n=2, performed as described in Figure II-5). Statistically significant comparisons are indicated (*, p<0.05).
reactivity of C4F6 for SOD1ox and A4V is consistent with the binding affinities measured by the OCTET, which showed a relatively tight binding interaction for the C4F6 antigen, SOD1 G93A (Table II-1). The strong reactivity for SOD1 G85R may stem from the low metallation status of this protein (Fig. II-3), as an apo-form of SOD1 G93A was used to create C4F6 (Urushitani et al. 2007). Although the binding of C4F6 for SOD1ox and A4V is weaker than SOD1 G93A and G85R, this antibody can still be used to detect these misfolded species with selectivity over the normally folded WT SOD1 protein (Table II-1, Figs. II-1 and II-6). In addition, C4F6 may exhibit enhanced reactivity for SOD1 A4V when this protein adopts a self-associated or aggregated form (Brotherton et al. 2012, Redler et al. 2014).

Upon incubation with excess copper chloride (II) and zinc sulfate (Cu²⁺/Zn²⁺) (Auclair et al. 2010), a change in the migration patterns of SOD1 variants (G93A, G85R, A4V) was observed, consistent with an increased positive charge and change in conformation for these proteins (Tiwari et al. 2009). A robust decrease in C4F6 reactivity was also observed by native western analyses when misfolded SOD1 species were incubated with metals (Fig. II-6A, right). Addition of excess metals did not have an effect on SOD1ox, and therefore an alternative strategy is necessary to “repair” this protein.

As expected, C4F6 did not recognize WT SOD1 in the absence or presence of excess Cu²⁺/Zn²⁺. However, the C4F6 epitope was exposed in full-length WT SOD1 when this protein was demetallated (Fig. II-6B). As observed
for the loopless constructs (Fig. II-4), exposure of the C4F6 epitope in apo WT SOD1 correlated with microglia activation (Fig. II-6C). C4F6 reactivity was attenuated when apo-SOD1 was incubated with excess metals (Fig. II-6B), however this attenuation was not sufficient to abolish SOD1-induced microglia activation (data not shown). Because the microglia assay is sensitive, even residual amounts of misfolded SOD1 that may have escaped remettallation are expected to induce microglia activation. An additional technical caveat of this experiment was that higher molarities of copper and zinc were used to remettallate apo-SOD1 for the microglia assay compared to the native gel analysis, potentially causing toxicity to the cells and/or causing aberrant modifications to the SOD1 protein itself (Steinebach and Wolterbeek 1993, Rakhit et al. 2002, Lemire et al. 2008). Nonetheless, the behavior of apo WT SOD1 in these experiments suggests that both C4F6 reactivity and SOD1-induced microglia activation can be modulated to some extent by the metallation status of SOD1.

Identification of key SOD1 residues required for C4F6 binding

The results of our crosslinking experiments revealed an important role for loops IV and VII in masking the C4F6 epitope, although the exact amino acids that comprise this epitope remained elusive. Therefore, a comprehensive site-directed mutagenesis strategy was employed to identify key residues within SOD1 that are involved in C4F6 binding. A series of amino acid substitutions were engineered throughout and proximal to the region corresponding to exon 4,
which was suggested to contain the C4F6 epitope in a previous study (Bosco et al. 2010). Experiments were initially performed in the SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII background, as this protein exhibits the strongest interaction with C4F6 (Fig. II-4C and Table II-1). Partially purified recombinant SOD1 mutants were assessed for C4F6 binding by native and denaturing (i.e. SDS) western analyses with pan-SOD1 and C4F6 antibodies (Fig. II-7). Of interest were those constructs that exhibited reactivity with the pan-SOD1 antibody, but little or no reactivity with C4F6. Initially, multiple mutations were engineered to efficiently target the region within SOD1 that mediated C4F6 binding. The triple mutations V97A/I99A/D101A, D90A/D92A/V94A and D96A/S98A/D101A abolished C4F6 reactivity under both denaturing and native conditions (Fig. II-7A). Next, single mutations were engineered into SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII to pinpoint residues that are required for the SOD1/C4F6 interaction. D92A and D96A mutations prevented C4F6 binding under both denaturing and native conditions for SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII, whereas V97A prevented binding only under native conditions (Fig. II-7B). However, circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy indicated that the V97A mutation severely altered the secondary structure of SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII (Fig. II-7C). Conversely, the secondary structures as determined by CD were comparable for SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII WT, D92A and D96A (Fig. II-7C). Further, V97A- SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII appeared severely destabilized based on the melting profile acquired by differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF, Fig. II-7D), and thus the loss of C4F6 reactivity likely reflects a significant structural perturbation arising from this mutation. In contrast, D92A and D96A
Figure II-7. Amino acids D92 and D96 in SOD1<sup>ΔIV/ΔVII</sup> are required for C4F6 binding. Mutagenesis pinpoints key amino acids in misfolded SOD1 responsible for C4F6 reactivity. (A) Western analyses of SOD1<sup>ΔIV/ΔVII</sup> with the indicated mutations (residue number corresponds to full-length SOD1). Pan-SOD1 (green) and C4F6 (red) antibodies were employed to assess partially purified SOD1 expression and reactivity with C4F6, respectively. Native western analysis (top) shows a loss of C4F6 reactivity for the following proteins: D90A/D92A/V94A, D96A/S98A/D101A, V97A/I99A/D101A and F45A/V47A. Denaturing western analysis (SDS) of SOD1<sup>ΔIV/ΔVII</sup> variants reveal a loss of C4F6 reactivity for the triple mutations V97A/I99A/D101A, D90A/D92A/V94A and D96A/S98A/D101A. (B) The same analyses described in (A) demonstrate the D92A and D96A mutations induce a loss of C4F6 reactivity for SOD1<sup>ΔIV/ΔVII</sup> under both native and denaturing conditions. (C) In contrast to D92A and D96A, the V97A mutation significantly perturbs the secondary structure of SOD1<sup>ΔIV/ΔVII</sup> as indicated by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy (MRE, mean residue ellipticity).
Figure II-7 continued. Amino acids D92 and D96 in SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$ are required for C4F6 binding. (D) Differential scanning fluorimetry in the presence of SYPRO Orange demonstrates an increased thermostability for D92A-SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$ and D96A-SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$ of approximately 10°C compared to WT SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$. (E) Immortalized microglia (BV-2) cells were treated with the indicated SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$ variant for 2 h and the media was subjected to western analysis (representative of n=3 independent experiments). In contrast to WT SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$ (control), microglia activation is not detected upon treatment with the SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$ (D92A and D96A) variants that show a loss of C4F6 binding (top gel). SOD1 input was analyzed by Coomassie stain as a loading control. (Control = WT SOD1$^{ΔIV/ΔVII}$).
increased the melting temperature ($T_m$) of SOD1$^{\Delta IV/\Delta VII}$ by at least 10 °C, indicative of a stabilizing effect for these mutations (Fig. II-7D). That these mutations abolish the interaction between C4F6 and mutant-SOD1 under denaturing conditions suggests residues D92 and D96 are directly involved in the binding between these proteins. For example, aspartic acid residues are charged and therefore have the potential to form ionic bonds between SOD1 and C4F6, whereas these bonds are eliminated by alanine substitutions.

Strikingly the activation of an immortalized BV-2 microglia cell line by SOD1$^{\Delta IV/\Delta VII}$ was eliminated by the D92A and D96A point mutations (Fig. II-7E). In contrast, a variant that did not exhibit altered C4F6 reactivity (e.g., D101A, Fig. II-7B) was still able to activate microglia. One possibility is that the D92A and D96A mutations alter the conformations of beta strands 5 and 6 within SOD1$^{\Delta IV/\Delta VII}$, thereby reducing the exposure of these strands and thus the toxic effects they mediate (Richardson and Richardson 2002, Elam et al. 2003, Valentine and Hart 2003).

The D92A and D96A mutations also prevented C4F6 binding under both denaturing and native conditions in the context of full-length ALS-linked SOD1 variants (G93A, G85R, and A4V) (Fig. II-8A). To assess the role of these residues in SOD1-induced microglia activation in the context of the full-length protein, the D96A mutation was introduced into ALS-linked SOD1 (G93A, G85R, A4V) proteins. All of the D96-containing variants maintained the ability to
Figure II-8. Amino acids D92 and D96 in full-length SOD1 variants are required for C4F6 binding. (A) The same analyses described in Fig. II-7A demonstrate the D92A and D96A mutations induce a loss of C4F6 reactivity for full-length ALS-linked SOD1 variants under both native and denaturing (SDS) conditions. 'Control' refers to the respective variant without the additional mutation. (B) ALS-linked proteins containing the D96A mutation retain the ability to activate microglia as indicated by TNFα western analysis (bottom, performed as described in Figure II-5). Quantification of TNFα is displayed above. Statistically significant comparisons between ALS-linked SOD1 variants and their D96A counterparts are indicated (*, p<0.05; n=2). (C) The insoluble/soluble ratio (I/S) is enhanced for full-length ALS-linked SOD1 variants when the D96A mutation is present. Graph represents results from a western blot and densitometry analysis of lysates prepared from E. coli expressing the indicated SOD1 protein (n=2).
Figure II-8 continued. Amino acids D92 and D96 in full-length SOD1 variants are required for C4F6 binding. (D) The melting temperature of SOD1 variants with the additional D96A mutation as indicated by differential scanning fluorimetry in the presence of Sypro Orange demonstrates a destabilization for the SOD1 G85R variant. The remaining variants have an increase in melting temperature in the presence of D96A, likely caused by their increased aggregation propensity (C). (E) The location of amino acids D92 and D96 mapped onto SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII (PDB ID: 4BCZ).
activate primary microglia (Fig. II-8B), indicating there is still a misfolded conformation within SOD1 despite abolishing the binding interaction between SOD1 and C4F6. This misfolded conformation is likely within the zinc binding and electrostatic loops themselves, since the truncated variant, SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII, lacking these loops no longer induces toxicity in the presence of the D96A mutation (Fig. II-7E). In fact, the D96A mutation may worsen the misfolded nature of full-length mutant SOD1 as indicated by the higher levels of TNFα for ALS-linked proteins with this mutation (Fig. II-8B), increased aggregation propensity (Fig. II-8C) and an alteration in the melting profiles as indicated by DSF (Fig. II-8D). While native SOD1 must be misfolded for C4F6 to bind, a loss of C4F6 binding does not require SOD1 to be properly folded. These data demonstrate that a single amino acid substitution is not sufficient to restore a wild-type like conformation in an ALS-linked SOD1 protein. Alternatively, strategies aimed at developing chemical chaperones to stabilize misfolded SOD1 and/or mask the C4F6 epitope could be pursued.

**Discussion**

The structural conformation(s) within misfolded SOD1 that trigger toxic events in ALS have not been defined. Based on the following observations, we posit that the C4F6 epitope represents a misfolded conformation that confers toxicity. First, C4F6 reactivity has been demonstrated in both SALS- (Bosco et al. 2010) and FALS-human spinal cord sections (Brotherton et al. 2012). Second, C4F6 preferentially binds both FALS-linked SOD1 (Urushitani et al. 2007, Bosco
et al. 2010, Prudencio and Borchelt 2011, Brotherton et al. 2012) and aberrantly modified WT SOD1 (Bosco et al. 2010) proteins (Table II-1). Third, C4F6 blocks the toxic effect of SOD1 derived from SALS-human spinal cord tissues in an axonal transport assay (Bosco et al. 2010). Fourth, C4F6 reactivity within spinal cord sections of SOD1 G93A transgenic mice directly correlates with disease progression (Brotherton et al. 2012).

In the present study, we quantified the disassociation constants between C4F6 and FALS-linked SOD1 mutants and demonstrated binding for all FALS-linked SOD1 variants tested. While C4F6 exhibited the lowest relative affinity for SOD1 A4V (Table II-1 and Fig. II-6), this antibody is still able to detect this variant, which is both aggressive and common in North America, with clear selectivity over normally folded WT SOD1. Further, Brotherton et al. detected C4F6-positive inclusions in human FALS spinal cord tissues expressing SOD1 A4V (Brotherton et al. 2012), suggesting that C4F6 reactivity is enhanced for aggregated forms of SOD1 A4V. Information regarding the residues and structural elements that comprise the C4F6 epitope have been lacking (Bosco et al. 2010). Unfortunately, attempts to assess the SOD1/C4F6 complex by H/D exchange and to gain high-resolution information from X-ray crystallography have been unsuccessful. We attempted to co-crystallize the SOD1 G93A/C4F6 Fab complex, but crystals repeatedly contained solely the SOD1 protein (data not shown). For H/D exchange of the C4F6/SOD1 complex, the amount of antibody required to shift the majority of SOD1 molecules to the bound state resulted in an
overlapping of SOD1 and C4F6 spectra. Differentiating the spectra of SOD1 and C4F6 is pivotal for the data interpretation, and therefore prohibited the analysis (data not shown). Both of these approaches were likely hindered by the low binding affinity of SOD1 and C4F6 (Table II-1). Alternatively, our chemical cross-linking and mass spectrometry strategy developed here was employed to define the C4F6 epitope, leading us first to loops IV and VII within SOD1 (Fig. II-2). To our surprise, all loop-less constructs tested herein exhibited significantly enhanced binding affinity for C4F6 relative to their full-length SOD1 counterparts (Fig. II-4C). These results demonstrate that DSP and EDC report on SOD1 residues proximal to, but not directly in, the C4F6 epitope. Nonetheless, using site-directed mutagenesis we were able to pin-point residues D92 and D96 as being critical for the SOD1/C4F6 binding interaction (Fig. II-7). These residues are likely engaged in chemical bonding with C4F6, such that their mutagenesis precludes a C4F6/SOD1 interaction but yet retains the misfolded nature of ALS-linked SOD1. The model that emerges from these studies is one where loops IV and VII modulate the exposure of the C4F6 epitope. FALS-linked mutations, oxidation and demetallation (Fig. II-1, II-2, and II-6) alter the stability and conformation of these loops (Elam et al. 2003, Molnar et al. 2009). These events contribute to the formation of the C4F6 epitope, and removal of these loops allows full exposure to this region (Fig. II-4).

While the toxicity of misfolded SOD1 proteins is well documented in the context of different assays and model systems (Rotunno and Bosco 2013), the
current study provides insight into one region within misfolded SOD1 that may confer toxicity. We demonstrate a direct correlation between exposure of the C4F6 epitope and SOD1-induced microglial activation (Fig. II-5). Chronic microglia activation is a hallmark of ALS pathogenesis, and SOD1-induced microglia activation leads to motor neuron death (Zhao et al. 2010). We also note that elements of β-strands 5 and 6, including residue D96, comprise the C4F6 epitope (Figs. II-2B and II-8E). Exposure of β-strands 5 and 6 mediate oligomerization of SOD1 and similar β-strands have been shown to mediate aberrant protein-interactions (Richardson and Richardson 2002, Elam et al. 2003, Valentine and Hart 2003). This is primarily because edge strands are poised and readily available to interact with other exposed edge strands (Richardson and Richardson 2002, Elam et al. 2003). Thus, these strands may contribute to the mechanism of toxicity induced by the C4F6 epitope. Other conformation specific antibodies with SOD1 epitopes overlapping with and unique to C4F6 have been described, indicative of additional misfolded regions within ALS-linked SOD1 proteins (Rakhit et al. 2007, Vande Velde et al. 2008, Forsberg et al. 2010, Gros-Louis et al. 2010, Fujisawa et al. 2012, Pokrishevsky et al. 2012, Pickles et al. 2013). Thus, it is plausible that other misfolded regions within SOD1 confer toxicity as well. It will be important to determine which epitope is most relevant to the toxic ALS-phenotypes induced by misfolded SOD1 in vivo.
Importantly, we show it is possible to convert the properly folded WT SOD1 to a microglia-activating, misfolded conformation recognized by C4F6 by stripping SOD1 of both copper and zinc (Fig. II-6). We further show the ability to revert the misfolded conformation of SOD1 to a more “WT-like” structure, as demonstrated by the reduced accessibility of the C4F6 epitope in misfolded SOD1 upon incubation with excess metals (Fig. II-6). A similar outcome could potentially be achieved with chemical chaperones, which have been used to mitigate the misfolding and toxicity of other amyloidogenic proteins (Coelho et al. 2013). Either with small molecules or alternative approaches, our results advocate for concealing the C4F6 epitope and/or stabilizing loops IV and VII as rational therapeutic strategies for SOD1-mediated ALS.

**Materials and Methods**

**SOD1 protein expression and purification**- The pET3d vectors containing human SOD1 and SOD1\(^{\Delta IV/\Delta VII}\) (amino acid substitutions: C6A, C111S, and C146A) were generous gifts from Dr. Jill Zitzewitz (UMMS). This vector was used to construct WT SOD1\(^{\Delta IV/\Delta VII}\) used in this study (amino acid substitutions: A6C, S111C and C146A). The SOD1\(^{\Delta IV}\) construct was generated by Genscript and inserted into the pET3d vector using standard cloning techniques. All mutations in this study were generated using standard site directed mutagenesis methods. pET3d-SOD1 was expressed in BL21(DE3) PlysS cells and protein expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG (Sigma) in the presence of 200 \(\mu\)M copper (II) chloride (Sigma) and 200 \(\mu\)M zinc chloride (Sigma) when the culture reached an
optical density (OD 600) between 0.6 and 0.8. The bacterial culture was induced for 3 h at 37°C (wild-type SOD1) or 30°C (FALS-linked SOD1 and SOD1$^{\Delta IV/\Delta VII}$ variants). Cells were harvested and SOD1 proteins fully purified as previously described (Hayward et al. 2002). Apo SOD1 and SOD1ox were generated from purified wild-type SOD1 as previously described (Svensson et al. 2006, Bosco et al. 2010). Protein concentrations for all subsequent analyses were quantified with the Pierce BCA Protein Assay kit (Thermo) and/or A280 using the appropriate extinction coefficients for monomeric SOD1.

For the mutagenesis screen in Figure II-7, SOD1$^{\Delta IV/\Delta VII}$ proteins were “partially purified” by ammonium sulfate precipitation of the post-sonication supernatant (Hayward et al. 2002). Ammonium sulfate precipitation was accomplished by adding 0.16 g ammonium sulfate per 500 µl of bacterial lysate, agitating the mixture on a rotating platform for 15 min at 4°C, and then pelleting the precipitate by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was collected and used as the source of SOD1 protein. The samples were subjected to a denaturing western analysis (see Immunoblots below) and probed with a pan anti-SOD1 antibody.

**Circular Dichroism**- SOD1 variants were analyzed at 10 µM for full-length proteins and 20 µM for loop-deletion constructs in phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.4. Circular Dichroism (CD) spectra were acquired from 195-260 nm using a 0.1 cm cuvette at 25°C. CD spectra of SOD1 variants (WT SOD1, SOD1$^{\Delta IV}$ and SOD1$^{\Delta IV/\Delta VII}$) were acquired with a Jasco J-810 spectrophotometer (5 scans). CD
spectra of SOD1 Variants (D92A- SOD1^{ΔIV/ΔVII}, D96A- SOD1^{ΔIV/ΔVII} and V97A-SOD1^{ΔIV/ΔVII}) were acquired with an AVIV Model 400 Spectrophotometer (10 scans). Mean residue ellipticity (MRE) was calculated as follows: MRE=({θ} / 100,000)/(A * [SOD1] * L), where θ represents raw data in millidegrees with buffer blank subtraction, A represents the number of amino acids in the SOD1 variant, and L represents the path length in cm.

**Metal Analysis**- SOD1 variants were prepared for quantitative metal analysis by dialysis with LC-MS grade water (Pierce) overnight at 4°C. SOD1 samples at concentrations ranging from 40 to 110 µM were then subjected to an elemental analysis in technical duplicate for copper and zinc using ICP-OES (Center for Applied Isotope Studies, University of Georgia). Post-dialysis LC-MS grade water was analyzed as a buffer control and subtracted from the SOD1 samples prior to analyses.

**Incubation of SOD1 proteins with excess copper and zinc**- SOD1 proteins (10.75 µM) were incubated in the presence of 4-fold molar excess copper (II) chloride and zinc sulfate for 24 h at 4°C prior to native and denaturing western analysis (see Immunoblots below for details).

**Immunoblots**- For denaturing western analyses, samples were diluted in 6x sample buffer (Boston Bioproducts, BP-111R). Samples were separated by PAGE with 15% Tris-acrylamide gels (unless otherwise noted) in 1% SDS, 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine and transferred to PVDF membrane (Millipore) in 25 M Tris, 192 mM glycine. Membranes were blocked for 1 h in Odyssey blocking
buffer (LI-COR). Primary antibodies were incubated at 4°C overnight at the following dilutions: (pan-SOD1 (Taylor et al. 2007), 1:500; C4F6, 0.3 µg/ml; anti-TNFα, 1:200 (Santa Cruz, sc-1351); and anti-Fab fragment, 1:1000 (Sigma, B0529)). The blots were incubated for 1h with fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies (LI-COR) prior to visualization by the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR). The Odyssey infrared imaging software (LI-COR) was used for densitometry calculations. For native western analysis, samples were diluted 1:1 with Native Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad, 161-0738), electrophoresed with a 7.5% Tris-acrylamide gel and transferred to PVDF in 25M Tris, 192 mM glycine at 4°C. Blots were processed as described above for denaturing western analyses.

**C4F6 expression, purification and Fab generation** - A hybridoma cell line expressing the C4F6 monoclonal antibody was a generous gift from Dr. Jean-Pierre Julien (Laval University) (Urushitani et al. 2007). C4F6 was purified with a Protein A agarose column (Pierce) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Purified C4F6 was buffer exchanged into 0.85% NaCl with PD10 G25 desalting columns (GE Healthcare). Fab fragments of C4F6 were generated from purified C4F6 antibody using immobilized papain (Thermo Scientific) in the presence of cysteine according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**OCTET binding studies** - Using the OCTET QK automated system (ForteBio) in a 96-well format at ambient temperature, C4F6 was immobilized onto anti-mouse IgG Fc Capture Biosensor tips (ForteBio). The extent to which SOD1 bound to C4F6 was determined using curves generated by Bio-layer Interferometry, which
is based on light interference on the biosensor tip in the presence of SOD1 compared to an internal reference. To generate binding curves, the C4F6-coated tips were immersed into wells containing 12, 15 or 25 µM of the respective SOD1 variant in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) until the binding reached equilibrium (~120s) followed by immersion into PBS-containing wells for dissociation as described (Broering et al. 2013). Dissociation constants (K_d) were determined by fitting the generated curves using the Forte Bio software with a “1:1” binding model. At least two independent experiments were averaged for each SOD1 variant.

**Sequencing the C4F6 antibody by mass spectrometry and RNA/DNA analysis** - For mass spectrometry sequencing of the C4F6 protein, tryptic fragments of C4F6 for mass spectrometry analyses were prepared as previously described (Shevchenko et al. 2006) and subjected to matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) Time-of-Flight (TOF) and liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analyses. For MALDI-TOF/TOF, the digested peptide samples prepared above were further purified using C18 Zip Tips (Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were co-applied to the MALDI sample target with alpha-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix (5 mg/ml). Samples were analyzed with an Axima-TOF2 MALDI mass spectrometer (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments) following external calibration. Data were acquired in the positive ion reflectron mode using both collision induced dissociation (CID) and post-source decay (PSD) fragmentation modes.
All spectra were processed and subjected to database search using Mascot (Matrix Science). For MS searches, the Peptide Mass Fingerprint program was used with a peptide mass tolerance of 100 ppm. For CID and PSD spectra the MS/MS Ion Search program was used with a Precursor tolerance of 100 ppm and a fragment tolerance of 1.5 Da.

For LC-MS/MS analysis, tryptic peptides were reconstituted in 25 µl 0.1% (v/v) TFA and a 3 µl aliquot was directly loaded onto a 100 µm ID custom packed trap column packed with 2 cm of 200Å, 5µ C18AQ particles (Michrom Bioresources).

Peptides were then separated on a 75 µm ID analytical column packed with 25 cm 100Å, 5µ C18AQ (Michrom) to a gravity-pulled tip. Peptides were eluted using a Proxeon Easy nanoLC (Thermo Scientific) with a linear gradient from 100% solvent A (0.1% (v/v) formic acid in 5% (v/v) acetonitrile) to 35% solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) over 35 min at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. Data were acquired in positive ion electrospray mode using a Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap Velos Pro mass spectrometer operating in the data dependent mode over the range \(m/z\) 350-2000. MS scans were acquired in the Orbitrap at a resolving power of 60,000 followed by 10 MS/MS spectra acquired in the LTQ ion trap. Raw data files were processed with Extract_MSN (Thermo Scientific) and were searched against the mammalian index of the NCBI nr database using Mascot (ver. 2.3). Parent mass tolerances were set to 10 ppm and fragment mass tolerances were set to 0.5 Da, and variable modifications of
acetyl (protein N-term), pyroglutamic for N-terminal glutamine, carbamidomethylation of cysteine and oxidation of methionine were considered.

Further sequencing of C4F6 was accomplished by extracting total RNA from C4F6 hybridoma cells using RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and PCR amplifying C4F6 Fab RNA for both heavy and light chains. PCR primers were designed by comparing the partial C4F6 Fab sequence obtained through mass spectrometry analyses (described above) with known antibody sequences for the same isotype, IgG2a, as C4F6 (http://www.imgt.org/). These primers were used in a OneStep RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen) reaction to generate corresponding cDNA, which was subjected to gel purification followed by DNA sequencing (Genewiz).

**Cross-linking of SOD1 proteins with C4F6 Fab** - For the DSP (dithiobis[succinimidylpropionate]; Thermo Scientific) and d<sub>8</sub>-DSP (ProteoChem) reaction, the respective SOD1 protein (20 µM) and the Fab fragment of C4F6 (5 µM) were combined in PBS and cross-linked according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The samples were then mixed 1:5 with 6x non-reducing SDS loading buffer (Boston Bioproducts), heated at 90°C for 5 min and subjected to SDS PAGE with a 10% Tris-acrylamide gel followed by coomassie blue staining (50 µl reaction/well) or western analysis (as described above; 15 µl reaction/well). Tryptic (Promega) in-gel digest was performed essentially as described (Shevchenko et al. 2006). Extracted peptides were concentrated to 30 µl and combined 1:1 (DSP:d<sub>8</sub>-DSP) prior to mass spectrometry analysis (as described below). For EDC (1-ethyl-3-[3-dimethylaminopropyl]carbodiimide hydrochloride;
Thermo Scientific) SOD1 and the Fab fragment of C4F6 were combined to a final concentration of 100 µM and 9 µM, respectively. EDC cross-linking was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The reaction was quenched by mixing samples 1:5 (v/v) with 6x reducing loading buffer and heating at 90°C for 5 min. Samples were analyzed as described above for DSP cross-linking.

Identification of DSP and EDC SOD1/C4F6 Fab cross-linked peptides by mass spectrometry - Tryptic digests were analyzed by LC-MS/MS as described above for sequencing the C4F6 antibody with several noted modifications. During the 120 minute gradient elution, data dependent acquisition acquired full MS scans from m/z 350-2000 in the Orbitrap Velos Pro (resolution 60000) followed by higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) scans on the 10 most intense parent ions acquired in the Orbitrap. Parent ions with charge states of 1+, 2+ or 3+ were excluded from the analysis. For database searching, a concatenated peptide database was generated by xComb (Panchaud et al. 2010) containing all possible EDC or DSP linked tryptic peptides (allowing up to 2 missed cleavages) of the intermolecular interactions of SOD1 and C4F6. The raw data was searched in Proteome Discoverer 1.3 against the concatenated database, with parent tolerance of 15 ppm and fragment ion tolerance of 0.05 Da. Additionally, spectra were verified manually with the use of GPMAW 8.20 software (Lighthouse Data, Denmark). To minimize false positives, only cross-linked
peptides with >50% sequence coverage were included in the list of identified cross-links.

**Microglial activation assays**- Primary Microglia were isolated from mouse embryonic cerebral cortices and maintained as previously described (Suzumura et al. 1987, Sasabe et al. 2007). Microglia were plated at 100,000 cells/ml in a non-tissue culture treated 96-well plate (Costar). Microglia were allowed to adhere to the plate for 48 h prior to treatment with SOD1 proteins. Purified recombinant SOD1 variants were added directly to culture medium of microglia at a concentration of 2.5 µM for 48 h prior to immunofluorescence and immunoblotting analyses. Where indicated, the anti-CD14 antibody (Cell Sciences, CPC401A) was added to wells at 1:200 dilution 24 h prior to SOD1 treatment. The animal protocol was approved by IACUC at the University of Massachusetts Medical Center in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act, U.S. Public Health Service Policy and the National Institute of Health guidelines. All statistics were determined with an unpaired, two-tailed T-test with Welch’s correction.

**Immunofluorescence**- Cells were fixed for 5 min with 4% paraformaldehyde followed by a 30 min block in PBS, 1% BSA, 0.5% Triton (PBSAT). Primary (anti-CD11 b/c, 1:200 (Pierce, PA1-46162); anti-α tubulin, 1:100 (Sigma, T9026)) and secondary antibodies (fluorophore-conjugated, 1:2000 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories)) were added for 1 h at ambient temperature in
PBSAT followed by nuclear staining with DAPI (1:300,000; Invitrogen, D1306) and mounting with FluorSave reagent (Fisher, D00060).

**PREFACE TO CHAPTER III**

Patient peripheral blood mononuclear cells and red blood cells were collected and isolated by Diane McKenna-Yasek, Catherine Ward, and Peter Sapp. Samples for mass spectrometry analysis were lyophilized and injected into the mass spectrometer by Kristin Boggio. Squid axoplasm isolation and perfusion was performed by Scott Brady and colleagues. All other work presented in this chapter was performed by Melissa S. Rotunno.
CHAPTER III: EXPLOITING SOD1 AS A POTENTIAL BIOMARKER

Introduction

Finding a reliable biomarker for ALS is critical for patient stratification for clinical trials and for early diagnosis. Multiple studies have found a large number of proteins that have a unique trend for ALS patients, but are unreliable for diagnosis when analyzing data from an individual out of the healthy population (reviewed in (Robelin and Gonzalez De Aguilar 2014)). This data, although powerful at shedding light on disease mechanism, lacks the clear-cut answer required for use as a biomarker. The ALS community would greatly benefit from a biomarker that can accurately differentiate ALS from other neurological disorders with similar clinical presentations. Early diagnosis is critical for early treatment intervention when effective drugs become available and for further classification of disease stages in clinical trials. Currently, diagnosis is through progression of paralysis, which requires approximately a year of observation from symptom onset (Radunovic et al. 2007). This method impedes opportunities for early treatment and, in turn, hinders chances of slowing progression, as early treatment has proved vital in ALS mouse models (Zhu et al. 2002, Kieran et al. 2004).

Misfolded SOD1 is present in end-stage SALS patient spinal cord tissue (Bosco et al. 2010, Forsberg et al. 2010, Pokrishevsky et al. 2012), but information regarding the extent of SOD1 misfolding in other biofluids and tissues as well as the post-translational modifications that induce this misfolding have
been lacking. In addition to the spinal cord, we identify and define differentially modified forms of SOD1 present in the brain and blood of SALS patients. The findings presented here put forward these differentially modified forms of SOD1 as a potential biomarker for sporadic ALS. Specifically, we demonstrate elevated SOD1 protein in CSF from ALS patients and misfolded SOD1 in a subset of sera samples. This study also identifies an over-oxidized SOD1 species in sporadic ALS peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) that is reduced in controls. Further, we found a species of SOD1 present in PBMCs of healthy controls that is significantly reduced in SALS patients. Together, these data support a role for altered SOD1 homeostasis in SALS, but whether SOD1 is actively involved in pathogenesis or is simply a modified bystander has yet to be determined. Either way, the differential expression of SOD1 species identified here has the potential to serve as a biomarker for ALS.

Results

C4F6 ELISA detects misfolded SOD1

C4F6 is an established tool for identifying misfolded SOD1 in mouse models, human tissue and recombinant SOD1 protein (Urushitani et al. 2006, Bosco et al. 2010, Prudencio and Borchelt 2011, Brotherton et al. 2012, Pickles et al. 2013). Since it is known that C4F6 reports on SOD1 misfolding (See Chapter II), we can exploit this antibody for large scale screening of biofluids for the presence of misfolded SOD1. The specificity of C4F6 binding to recombinant misfolded SOD1 variants (SOD1 G93A, G85R, A4V, and OX) over WT SOD1 in
a C4F6-based sandwich ELISA was tested. Briefly, the plates were coated with the C4F6 antibody, followed by the addition of the indicated SOD1 variant. Then, the plates were incubated with anti-SOD1 and the amount of misfolded SOD1 present was quantified with an additional HRP-conjugated secondary antibody. Consistent with native PAGE and OCTET studies described in Chapter II (Fig. II-6, Table II-1), SOD1 G93A exhibited the greatest reactivity with C4F6. SOD1ox displayed similar reactivity, while SOD1 A4V and G85R were barely differentiated from wild-type at concentrations in vivo in humans (200 ng/mL; Fig. III-1A). However, it should be noted that the reactivity of SOD1 A4V and G85R with C4F6 are easily distinguished from WT SOD1 in this C4F6-ELISA when concentrations nearing the apparent Kd (>2 µg/mL or 0.13 µM) are employed (Table II-1, Fig. AII-4).

To assess the specificity of C4F6 reactivity in a more complex system, HEK cells were transiently transfected with either GST-tagged WT SOD1 or SOD1 G93A at levels similar to that of endogenous SOD1 (Fig. III-1B). After 24 hours, the cell lysates were subjected to the C4F6-ELISA (Fig. III-1C). HEK cells transfected with WT SOD1 (HEK WT) had no detectable misfolded SOD1, as determined by C4F6 reactivity. Importantly, this data suggests that complex protein mixtures, such as cell lysates, do not increase the background inherent in the C4F6-ELISA protocol, demonstrating high specificity of C4F6 to misfolded SOD1. In contrast, HEK cells transfected with SOD1 G93A had high C4F6 reactivity confirming misfolded SOD1 can be identified in heterogeneous lysates.
Figure III-1. Detection of misfolded SOD1 utilizing the C4F6-based ELISA.

(A) The C4F6-based ELISA specifically reacts with a subset of ALS-linked SOD1 (G93A and A4V) and oxidized SOD1 (Ox) over wild-type (WT). Reactivity of ALS-linked mutant G85R has similar detection to that of WT. The graph is a representative of two independent experiments. Error bars represent SEM of a technical duplicate. (B) HEK cells were transiently transfected for 24 h with GST-tagged WT SOD1 or G93A followed by lysis with sonication. A total of 15 µg of lysate was loaded and analyzed by western blot with anti-GST (left) and anti-SOD1 (right). Both WT and G93A GST-tagged SOD1 were expressed at levels similar to endogenous SOD1 (end. SOD1). (C) A total of 150 µg HEK lysate described in (B) was analyzed with the C4F6-based ELISA. ALS-linked SOD1 G93A retains its high C4F6 reactivity when in a lysate (HEK G93A). WT SOD1 is not recognized by C4F6 when expressed transiently in HEK cells (HEK WT). Error bars represent SEM of technical duplicate. (WT SOD1, recombinant SOD1 at 100 ng/mL that serves as negative control; No SOD1, buffer control that serves as negative control; SOD1 G93A, recombinant protein at 100 ng/mL that serves as positive control).
**SOD1 protein is elevated in the CSF of ALS patients**

Both the C4F6-ELISA and a total-SOD1 ELISA were employed to assess the concentration and extent of misfolding of SOD1 in the CSF of ALS subjects. First, the sensitivity of the C4F6-ELISA was assessed in the context of CSF. CSF samples from i) ALS patients harboring mutations in SOD1 (FALS) and ii) control CSF with exogenous recombinant SOD1 G93A (spiked) were tested. The C4F6-ELISA was unable to detect mutant SOD1 in the SOD1 FALS-derived CSF (Fig. III-2A). This is not surprising, as the C4F6 reactivity to the mutations in these individuals have either not been established (I113T) or have been shown to be similar to WT SOD1 (A4V) at concentrations present in the CSF (200 ng/mL, see Fig. III-1A). The SOD1 G93A spiked control, however, is clearly distinguishable from that of control CSF, suggesting a subset of misfolded SOD1 species could be identified with this technique.

The C4F6-ELISA was also employed to assess the extent of SOD1 misfolding in 105 SALS patients, 39 healthy controls (HC), and 27 patients with non-ALS neurological disorders (disease controls, DC). The DC cohort included multiple sclerosis (n=9), lower motor neuron disease (n=1), peripheral neuropathy (n=3), Alzheimer’s disease (n=3), and the remaining 11 were characterized as “other” neurological disorder. Despite the fact that exogenous recombinant, misfolded ALS-linked SOD1 protein was clearly detected in the CSF, no misfolded SOD1 was detected in any of these cohorts (Fig. III-2B). This could be due to the detection limit of the assay, as a subset of misfolded SOD1
Figure III-2. Quantification of SOD1 in cerebrospinal fluid by ELISA. (A) The C4F6-based ELISA is unable to differentiate between CSF samples of SOD1-FALS patients harboring mutations (A4V, I113T, L9V) from controls (Ctl). Recombinant SOD1 G93A exogenously added to control CSF (Ctl+G93A) at 100 ng/mL is detectable with the C4F6-based ELISA. (B) Misfolded SOD1, as determined by the C4F6-based ELISA, is not detectable in CSF of ALS patients (SALS, n=105; Healthy Controls, n=39; Disease Controls, n=27. (C) Total SOD1 was quantified using a sandwich ELISA for samples described in (B). SALS and Disease Controls have a ~50% increase in total SOD1 in the CSF (****, p<0.0001; ***, p<0.001). No significant difference between SALS and disease controls was observed. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM).
variants are not detectable at concentration levels approaching that of CSF (200 ng/mL, Fig. III-1A). The total concentration of SOD1, however, in SALS patients was significantly elevated to 48% above the mean of the HC group (150 ng/mL, HC; 222 ng/mL, SALS, Fig. III-2C). DCs are also elevated by 49%, suggesting this could be a general response to the increased level of oxidative stress seen among these diseases. These data are consistent with Winer and colleagues who found a 30% increase in SOD1 relative to the total protein in both SALS patients and other neurological disease controls compared with healthy controls in the CSF (Winer et al. 2013).

A subset of SALS patients’ sera harbors misfolded SOD1

The C4F6-ELISA described above was employed to assess the extent of SOD1 misfolding in sera samples from SALS subjects. To establish the sensitivity of the assay in the context of sera, blood collected from FALS patients with an SOD1 mutation was employed as a positive control. As described above for CSF, we were unable to differentiate the FALS-linked SOD1 from the HC sera in this assay (Fig. III-3A), likely due to the mutations we were able to obtain (A4V and L9V) that are not conducive to binding the C4F6 antibody at concentrations present in sera (Fig. III-1A, III-2A). Next, a cohort of SALS sera samples was selected for testing in the C4F6-ELISA based on published data demonstrating that these samples had elevated levels of anti-oxidized SOD1 antibodies that in turn correlated with longer survival (van Blitterswijk et al. 2011). Two out of the 11 SALS sera samples tested showed misfolded SOD1 elevated from baseline
Figure III-3. Quantification of misfolded SOD1 in sera by ELISA. As described in Figure III-2 for CSF, the C4F6-based ELISA was employed to identify misfolded SOD1 in patient sera. (A) The C4F6-ELISA is unable to differentiate sera of SOD1-FALS patients harboring mutations (A4V, L9V) from controls (Ctl). (B) Two out of 11 SALS patients’ sera showed C4F6 reactivity above baseline compared to 0 out of 9 for the control cases. Error bars indicate SEM of the cohort. (recG93A, positive control; recWT SOD1, negative control).
(Fig. III-3B), suggesting sera may be a source of misfolded SOD1 in SALS. In contrast, no misfolded SOD1 was detected in control samples.

**SOD1 isolated from SALS patients displays toxic properties**

Previously published data demonstrates that SOD1 isolated from the spinal cord of sporadic ALS patients exhibits characteristics of FALS-linked mutant SOD1, such as inhibiting axonal transport (Bosco et al. 2010, Morfini G. A. et al. 2013). As an alternative approach to the C4F6-ELISA, we aimed to investigate whether or not SOD1 misfolding extended beyond the spinal cord of sporadic ALS patients using this same axonal transport assay. Briefly, this assay is performed by isolating the axoplasm of giant squid (*Loligo pealii*) and measuring fast axonal transport following the addition of SOD1 variants to the axoplasm. Previous studies have shown an inhibition of axonal transport in the presence of multiple ALS-linked SOD1 variants (Bosco et al. 2010, Morfini G. A. et al. 2013, Song et al. 2013). Here, we assessed the ability of human SOD1 (hSOD1) isolated from brain tissue to inhibit axonal transport, which would imply an aberrant form of SOD1 exists in these tissues. Although hSOD1 derived from CNS tissue cannot directly be utilized as a biomarker, determining which tissues harbor misfolded SOD1 in ALS patients will help to identify modes of SOD1 pathogenesis. To test this, hSOD1 was purified from the cortex of frozen post-mortem tissue. As a positive and negative control, hSOD1 was also purified from the spinal cord tissue of SALS patients as well as from healthy controls, respectively, in parallel with the purification of SOD1 from brain tissue. Isolated
squid axoplasm was perfused with pooled hSOD1 immunopurified from three sporadic ALS patient cortices and monitored using video microscopy. Consistent with previous results, SALS-linked hSOD1 isolated from the spinal cord inhibited axonal transport, while hSOD1 derived from control spinal cord tissue did not (Fig. III-4A,B). Interestingly, SALS-linked hSOD1 isolated from brain tissue also inhibited anterograde axonal transport (Fig. III-4C), extending the implications of SOD1 misfolding beyond the diseased spinal cord.

**No conformational differences in SOD1 from SALS patients detected by limited proteolysis**

Being that hSOD1 purified from spinal cord tissue in SALS, but not controls, inhibited axonal transport, we aimed to identify if this toxicity was due to an altered conformation of SALS-linked hSOD1 in human tissue. To assess the structural integrity of hSOD1 in SALS samples, we employed a limited proteolysis experiment with endoproteinase K, as less structured proteins are more susceptible to the proteolysis. To establish the limited proteolysis assay, recombinant proteins were analyzed after an hour incubation with endoproteinase K (0 to 125 ng/µL) followed by western analysis with an anti-SOD1 antibody. For WT SOD1, the protein bands were further digested with increasing enzyme concentrations (Fig. III-5A). For the ALS-linked proteins SOD1 G93A and SOD1 A4V, an initial decrease in the protein band is observed at the lowest concentration of endoproteinase K, but this protein band remains constant with increasing enzyme concentration. At first glance, one might
Figure III-4. hSOD1 immunopurified from brain and spinal cord of sporadic ALS patients inhibits axonal transport. Isolated squid axoplasm was perfused with 1.4 µM of the indicated SOD1 protein and the rates of vesicles transported across the axon were manually determined. (A) SOD1 immunopurified from control subjects has no effect on transport when perfused onto the squid axoplasm. Conversely, SOD1 isolated from both sporadic ALS spinal cord (B, SC) and brain (C) show transport inhibition.
Figure III-5. Unaltered digestion patterns of SOD1 purified from SALS and control human tissue. (A) A representative western analysis of recombinant SOD1 variants digested with endoproteinase K (0 to 125 ng/µL) for 1 h and probed with anti-SOD1 (n=2). An enhanced susceptibility to proteolytic digestion for both SOD1 G85R and SOD1 OX compared to WT SOD1 suggests a decrease in stability. Both SOD1 G93A and A4V have similar digestion patterns to WT SOD1. (B) Limited proteolysis analysis, as described in (A), was employed to assess the structural integrity of human SOD1 purified from spinal cord tissue of SALS (n=11) and Control (n=8) cases. (C) Quantification of the amount of SOD1 digested with endoproteinase K (62.5 ng/µL; B, column 3) normalized to undigested (B, column 1).
suspect that these mutants have an increased stability compared to WT SOD1, but more likely enhanced aggregation of FALS-linked mutant SOD1 is protecting the protein from digestion. In support of this hypothesis, limited proteolysis is a technique that has been frequently employed for the assessment of protease resistant SOD1 aggregates (Furukawa et al. 2010, Chan et al. 2013). For SOD1 G85R and SOD1ox, the protein is digested at the lowest concentrations of endoproteinase K used, 15 ng/µL (Fig. III-5A, column 2), while WT SOD1 persists. Based on these preliminary experiments, digestion conditions of 0, 15, and 62 ng/µL were selected for analysis of SOD1 purified from human tissue.

SOD1 purified from human spinal cord tissue was assessed with the limited proteolysis assay described above to evaluate structural integrity. Grad et al. found that treatment with proteinase K of spinal cord homogenates from SALS and FALS patients resulted in a decrease of misfolded SOD1. The misfolded SOD1 was quantified by subsequent immunoprecipitation with a misfolded SOD1-specific antibody, DSE2 (Table I-1, (Grad et al. 2014)). In the present study, we aimed to identify the effects of limited proteolysis on total hSOD1 purified from spinal cord homogenates. No significant differences, as determined by a t-test, in digestion patterns were observed in SALS hSOD1 compared to controls (Fig. III-5B,C). Similarly, Grad and colleagues were unable to detect a substantial decrease in total SOD1 following proteinase K treatment when employing a pan-SOD1 antibody in place of DSE2 (Grad et al. 2014). The reason for the discrepancy between pan-SOD1 and misfolded specific SOD1 antibodies
is unclear. One possibility is a subpopulation of misfolded hSOD1 that is indiscernible when assessing the entire hSOD1 pool. Additionally, the endoproteinase K concentration employed in both studies may require further optimization. The as-isolated recombinant SOD1 from *E. coli* used in this study to optimize the protease concentration has a lower metal occupancy, which is known to decrease the stability of the protein ([Fig. II-3](#), (Hayward et al. 2002, Stathopulos et al. 2006)). It is quite possible that a higher concentration of enzyme would be required to tease out the differences in total hSOD1 isolated from human spinal cords, which are known to be fully metallated in the presence of the copper chaperone for SOD1, CCS (Wong P. C. et al. 2000, Rae et al. 2001, Banci et al. 2012b). In fact, Grad and colleagues found that increasing the proteinase K treatment to 20 mg/mL in control spinal cord homogenates had no effect on levels of WT SOD1 (Grad et al. 2014).

**A subpopulation of SOD1 is greatly reduced in SALS**

Being that limited proteolysis reveals no conformational differences between SALS hSOD1 and controls, we next employed 2D-gel electrophoresis (2D-GE) to identify unique modifications that may induce misfolding. SOD1 isolated from healthy human erythrocytes has been shown to contain multiple SOD1 species, partly due to the long half-life of these cells. These modification include deamidation (Shi Y. et al. 2013), phosphorylation (Wilcox et al. 2009), and glutathionylation (Wilcox et al. 2009). Both glutathionylation and deamidation enhance the aggregation propensity of WT SOD1 (Wilcox et al. 2009, Redler et
al. 2011, Shi Y. et al. 2013). These data suggest a higher abundance of these modified species *in vivo* could be detrimental. Moreover, an ideal biomarker source would be in the blood, as it is relatively non-invasive and simple to obtain. In light of this, we aimed to identify differential modifications on SOD1 in red blood cells (RBCs) from sporadic ALS patients compared to that of controls. All SALS patients included in this study represent earlier stages of disease with ALS functional rating scores (ALSFR) above 30 (*Table III-1*). To identify modifications that induce a charge shift in SOD1, we employed 2D-GE on RBC lysates from both SALS and controls. 2D-GE separates proteins by both isoelectric point (pI) and molecular weight allowing for an assessment of the charged species present in each sample. By doing a western analysis of the 2D-GE probed with anti-SOD1, we were able to identify multiple species of SOD1 present in RBC lysates (*Fig. III-6*). The species that migrates at a pI of “5.6” and molecular weight of 16 kDa likely represents unmodified SOD1, as the theoretical pI of SOD1 is 5.7. As illustrated in *Figure III-6*, modifications on SOD1 from RBCs in both SALS and control groups demonstrated high variability. This is likely due to the high amount of salts and proteins, such as albumin, present in these samples that may interfere with the isoelectric focusing, the first step of 2D-GE. In future studies, it will be vital to increase the number of washes and employ harsher pelleting strategies to further purify the RBC pellet prior to lysis and analysis by 2D-GE.
Table III-1. Characteristics of participants providing blood for SOD1 analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Site of onset</th>
<th>sex</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>Months since onset</th>
<th>ALSFRS</th>
<th>Slow vital capacity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALS**</td>
<td>bulbbar</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALS</td>
<td>lower left limb</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALS</td>
<td>upper limbs</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>200*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALS</td>
<td>upper limbs</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALS</td>
<td>upper limbs</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALS**</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALS**</td>
<td>upper limbs</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALS</td>
<td>bulbbar</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>3</sup>SVC is calculated based on height; subject is unable to stand up straight, therefore height is underestimated

N/A: not applicable; ND, not determined

**Negative for C9orf72 expansion; <30 repeats present

<sup>3</sup>Unless otherwise noted, no genetic testing was performed on participants
Figure III-6. Human red blood cells (RBC) contain modified SOD1 protein. A total of 300 µg of protein lysate was subjected to 2D-GE western analysis probed with anti-SOD1. SOD1 ran at the expected MW of ~16 kDa. 2D-GE demonstrates the presence of multiple differentially charged species of SOD1 in both SALS (left) and Control (right) RBC lysates. The number (n) of patients pooled for each sample is shown with the estimated pI displayed above. *indicates sample subjected to immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry analysis.
As an alternative approach, the presence of misfolded SOD1 in RBCs was assessed by employing the C4F6-ELISA, as described above for CSF and sera. No misfolded SOD1 was detected in control or SALS patient derived RBC lysate (data not shown). This data suggests that the misfolded SOD1 detected by C4F6 is either not present or at concentrations lower than the limit of detection in these samples. This does not preclude the possibility that a different misfolded species is present, as multiple antibodies have been generated that recognized different regions of SOD1 exposed upon misfolding (Table I-1, Fig. I-3 (Jonsson et al. 2004, Urushitani et al. 2006, Rakhit et al. 2007, Gros-Louis et al. 2010, Kerman et al. 2010)).

To further elucidate the potential differential modifications in SALS and control RBCs, immunoprecipitated SOD1 from RBC lysate from SALS (n=2) and controls (n=2) was digested with trypsin and analyzed by mass spectrometry. Sequence coverage of 100% was observed for both pooled SOD1 samples. Consistent with previously published data, deamidation at N26D was present in the control group (Fig. III-7A) (Shi Y. et al. 2013), as well as the SALS group (data not shown). A novel phosphorylation site at T135 was also observed in both groups (Fig. III-7B), but further validation is required as the immunoprecipitated SOD1 was washed with phosphate buffered saline (0.01 M
Figure III-7. Phosphorylation and deamidation are present on SOD1 isolated from RBCs. Immunoprecipitated SOD1 (Fig. III-6, *) was subjected to mass spectrometry analysis to identify the modifications present. Two samples were pooled for SALS and Ctl cohorts. Representative spectra of modifications identified in both SALS pool and Ctl pool are shown. Insets demonstrate ion coverage of the indicated modification, allowing for the amino acid assignment. Sequence and sequence coverage is displayed above. The monoisotopic peaks of y, a, and b-ions assigned to the indicated peptide are illustrated in orange. Both SOD1 IP’d from SALS (n=2) and Ctl (n=2) RBCs contained deamidation at N26 (DE, A) and phosphorylation at T135 (PH, B).
PBS, 0.01% Tween20) which may have induced artificial phosphorylation. Phosphorylation has been previously observed at other sites, T2 and T59/S58, neither of which were identified in these samples (Wilcox et al. 2009). Unfortunately, quantification of phosphorylation by mass spectrometry is highly unreliable because of variability in the phosphate bond breaking during ionization and further enrichment may be required to identify differential phosphorylation (Quan and Liu 2013).

In addition to RBCs, another source that could harbor misfolded SOD1 species are peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), which can be isolated from total blood by centrifugation techniques (see Materials and Methods). PBMCs constitute about 1% of the total cells in blood and include multiple white blood cells such as monocytes and lymphocytes (Mantovani et al. 2009). PBMCs reflect many of the same pathological hallmarks of ALS as seen in other cell types such as altered calcium homeostasis, increased nitrative stress, and decreased levels of Bcl-2 (Curti et al. 1996, Cova et al. 2006, Nardo et al. 2009). To assess the extent of differential modifications of SOD1 in PBMCs isolated from SALS and control patients, the same 2D-GE technique was employed as described above for RBCs. Blood samples for both RBCs and PBMCs were drawn simultaneously from the same cohorts (Table III-1). However, contrary to RBCs, the 2D-GE blots of SOD1 from PBMCs had only 3 prominent spots (Fig. III-8). Both PBMCs from control (n=9) and SALS (n=9) subjects have an abundant SOD1 species at pl of ~5.6 (Figure III-8A). This SOD1 species,
Figure III-8. PBMCs isolated from control cases harbor an SOD1 species greatly reduced in SALS. (A) Western analysis probed with anti-SOD1 of 2D-GE of PBMC lysate from SALS (left) and control (right) isolated from blood. Estimated pl, based on the isoelectric focusing strip used, is displayed above. The number of patients (n) pooled for each gel sample is shown. (B) A representative 2D-GE as described in (A) to demonstrate the method used for quantification. (C,D) Quantification of the relative abundance of “Spot 1” and “Spot 2” normalized to the total SOD1 (Spot 1 + Spot 2 + Spot 3). “Spot 2” is significantly decreased in SALS compared to control (Ctl, p=0.0004). Statistics were determined by an unpaired t-test (***, p<0.001; n=9). No significant difference for “Spot 1” quantification.
Figure III-8 *continued.* PBMCs isolated from control cases harbor an SOD1 species greatly reduced in SALS. (E) PBMC lysate was subjected to western analysis to determine the relative SOD1 abundance (Tub, α-tubulin). (F) Quantification of (E) by densitometry was performed and SOD1 was normalized to the loading control, tubulin. These data demonstrate no significant difference in the abundance of SOD1 observed between SALS and healthy controls.
designated as “Spot 3” (Figure III-8B), signifies the major species of SOD1 present in PBMCs (90-95% of total SOD1), and likely represents unmodified SOD1 with a theoretical pl of 5.7. The SOD1 species at pl 5.0 (Spot 1) appeared in a subset of both control and SALS patients, with no significant overrepresentation in either cohort (Fig. III-8C). The SOD1 species at pl 5.4 (Spot 2), however, is significantly overrepresented in control compared to SALS subjects and accounts for 8.1 ± 1.1% and 2.7 ± 0.3% of total SOD1, respectively (Fig. III-8D). Both Spot 1 and Spot 2 correspond to SOD1 with a modification that leads to a net change in charge, either a loss of a positive charge or the gain of a negative charge relative to Spot 3. No significant change in the relative levels of total SOD1 was observed (Fig. III-8E,F).

**An over-oxidized SOD1 species is present in SALS PBMCs**

Immunoprecipitated SOD1 from pooled PBMCs (n=9 SALS, n=9 Ctl), as described above for RBCs, was subjected to mass spectrometry analysis to identify Spot 2 that was overrepresented in controls. First, it was vital to confirm that Spot 2 was immunoprecipitated by the monoclonal anti-SOD1 antibody employed, since we aimed to identify this species by mass spectrometry. As illustrated by 2D-GE followed by western blotting (Fig. III-9A), Spot 2 (pl 5.4) is immunoprecipitated from Control PBMC lysate. Of note, the antibody used for the immunoprecipitation (Pierce) differs from those used to probe SOD1 in the western analysis (AbCam and (Taylor et al. 2007)), confirming by 3 independent anti-SOD1 antibodies that Spot 2 is SOD1 and not cross-reactivity with another
Figure III-9. Immunoprecipitated SOD1 from Control PBMCs contains Spot 2. (A,B) Immunoprecipitation of SOD1 from PBMCs for mass spectrometry analysis. (A) 2D-GE probed with anti-SOD1 confirms that the SOD1 species at pI 5.4 is depleted from the flow-through lysate (FT, top) and present in the immunoprecipitated sample (bottom). (B) Immunoprecipitated SOD1 was subjected to Coomassie SDS PAGE. SOD1 is seen in anti-SOD1 IP, but not the IgG control. This 16 kDa species was excised, digested with trypsin and subjected to mass spectrometry.
The low abundance of Spot 2 precluded our ability to isolate it from total SOD1 for mass spectrometry analysis. Instead, to determine protein modifications that induce the shift of SOD1 by 2D-GE, total SOD1 was immunoprecipitated and subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie. The 16 kDa SOD1 species (Fig. III-9B) was excised, digested with trypsin, and analyzed by mass spectrometry. Sequence coverage of 100% was observed for SOD1 in both SALS (n=9) and Ctl (n=9) pooled samples. Overall, SALS SOD1 contained more oxidized amino acid residues than control SOD1. Since oxidative stress is a known hallmark of ALS, these results are not surprising (Carri et al. 2015). The oxidation of Pro13 and Phe20, for example, was only identified in SALS SOD1 on the peptide spanning Gly10 to Lys23 (Table III-2). It is also worth noting that oxidation of Lys122 was observed only in the SALS SOD1 pool (6.7% of Tyr116-Lys128). Lysine oxidation inhibits ubiquitination and, in turn could interfere with regulation of protein turnover (Spasser and Brik 2012).

Moreover, an increase in the total relative abundance of peptides with oxidized amino acids (H, W, P, D, N, F, K) was observed (all peptides weighted equally; SALS, 3.7%; Ctl, 1.6%; Table III-2). This percentage is calculated by weighting all peptides equally. In other words, 100% oxidation would indicate every peptide identified by mass spectrometry has at least one oxidized residue within it. This is a conservative calculation that does not consider the relative
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>% Relative Abundance</th>
<th>% Oxidized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CII</td>
<td>SALS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-ATKAVCLK-9</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-AVCVLKGDGPVQGQINFEQK-23</td>
<td>2.688</td>
<td>2.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-AVCVLKGDGPVQGQINFEQKESNPGVK-30</td>
<td>7.610</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-GDGPGQINFEQK-23</td>
<td>21.381</td>
<td>22.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-GDGPGQINFEQKESNPGVK-30</td>
<td>6.144</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-GDGPGQINFEQKESNPGVKWGSJK-36</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-ENSGPVK-30</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-ENSGPVKWGSJK-36</td>
<td>11.752</td>
<td>1.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-GLTEGLHGFHVHEFGDNTAGCTSAFPFPNPLSR-69</td>
<td>16.325</td>
<td>2.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-KHGPKDEER-79</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-DEEHVCGDLGVNTADK-91</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-HVDGLGNVTADK-91</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>11.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-HVDGLGNVTADKDGVDVSEDSVISLSGSQGHIIGR-115</td>
<td>2.141</td>
<td>1.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92-GVAVDSVEHEDSVGSDGHGIIIGR-115</td>
<td>1.057</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116-TLVHEK-122</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116-TLVHEKADDLGK-128</td>
<td>1.676</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116-TLVHEkadlgkGGNEESTK-146</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123-ADDLGKGGNEESTK-146</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128-GGNEESTK-136</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137-TCNAGSR-143</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137-TCNAGSRLACCVIGIAQ-153</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144-LACCVIGIAQ-153</td>
<td>27.611</td>
<td>60.717</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Represents the percentage of relative abundance for oxidized precursors/total relative abundance for that individual peptide
2 ND, not detected
3 Represents the total percent of oxidized precursors with all peptides equally weighted
4 The percentage of the peptide's total precursor intensity normalized to sum of all SOD1 total precursor intensities identified in sample
abundance of individual peptides. For example, 72% of the total oxidation found in theCtl SOD1 pool is attributed to the peptide spanning His80 to Lys91, which was uniquely over-oxidized in controls compared to SALS. However, this is misleading as the His80 to Lys91 peptide was significantly underrepresented in the control SOD1 (Relative Abundance: SALS, 11.3%;Ctl, 0.006%; Table III-2). The underrepresentation of this peptide in controls is likely skewing the percentage of oxidation present, as His80 to Arg115, a peptide that has the His80 to Lys91 sequence within it, contains no sites of oxidation with a much greater relative abundance (2.1%). Thus, this suggests that i) there is an unidentified modification on His80 to Lys91 in the control pool that is precluding its identification or ii) a modification on the terminal lysine is inhibiting the tryptic digestion.

Likewise, the total calculated oxidation present in the SALS pool (3.7%) is misleading. Approximately 23.1% of the peptide spanning Glu24 to Lys36 is oxidized in the SALS SOD1 pool, while only 0.5% is oxidized in the control pool. For the SALS SOD1 pool, Trp32 oxidation (Trp32ox) within Glu24-Lys36 contributes to more than 90% of the oxidation observed (Table III-2, Fig. III-10). Glu24 to Lys36 is the only peptide identified that contains this Trp32 within it, suggesting that 21% of the SOD1 population from the SALS pool contains Trp32ox. Assuming similar ionization efficiencies between the oxidized and non-oxidized Glu24-Lys36 peptides, this data implies that 21% of all SOD1 protein immunoprecipitated is aberrantly oxidized at this tryptophan residue. Trp32 is
Figure III-10. Tryptophan oxidation at residue 32 of SOD1 is elevated in SALS PBMCs. Immunoprecipitated SOD1 from both SALS (n=9) and Ctl (n=9) PBMCs as described in Fig. III-9, were subjected to mass spectrometry analysis to identify protein modifications. (A) Tryptophan is readily oxidized resulting in its conversion to hydroxy-tryptophan, among other oxidation products, which increases the MW by 16 Da. (B) The peptide spanning Glu24 to Lys36 contains an oxidized tryptophan residue present on SOD1 immunoprecipitated from both SALS (≥ 21%) and control (≤ 0.5%) pools. A representative spectrum of the oxidation of tryptophan (Trp32) to hydroxy-tryptophan from SALS pooled SOD1 sample is shown. The sequence coverage is displayed above (OX, oxidized tryptophan). The monoisotopic peaks of y, a, and b-ions assigned to the indicated peptide are illustrated in orange.
implicated in FALS-linked mutant SOD1 pathogenesis, suggesting Trp32ox may be the first direct link to a common pathogenic mechanism of SOD1 in both SALS and FALS (Zhang H. et al. 2003, Taylor et al. 2007, Grad et al. 2011). Whether all 9 SALS samples that were pooled for this analysis contain this 21% oxidation or if it is higher in a subset of patients remains to be determined.

Another interesting differential modification present on SOD1 in PBMCs is histidine oxidation to 2-oxo-histidine, a modification induced by metal-catalyzed oxidation ((Rakhit et al. 2002, Uchida 2003), **Fig III-11A**). This modification was previously reported to occur in the active site of SOD1 (Uchida and Kawakishi 1994, Kurahashi et al. 2001, Rakhit et al. 2002). In fact, 2-oxo-histidine was observed in both the SOD1 from the control and SALS cohorts (**Fig. III-11B**). Specifically, in the peptide spanning Gly37 to Arg69, oxidation was observed at His46 and His48, both of which coordinate Cu(II) in the active site (Selverstone Valentine et al. 2005). Since these histidines are in the active site of SOD1, their oxidation likely results in inactivation of the protein (Fucci et al. 1983, Smith C. D. et al. 1991, Berlett and Stadtman 1997, Selverstone Valentine et al. 2005). Further, the percentage of total oxidation of the peptide spanning Gly37 to Arg69 was enhanced in SALS, as indicated by the relative abundance (SALS, 12.4%; Ctl, 5.3%; **Table III-2**), with at least 60% of the oxidation attributed to oxidized histidine residues.
Figure III-11. Histidine oxidation to 2-oxo-histidine is present on PBMCs isolated from control and SALS samples. Immunoprecipitated SOD1 from both SALS (n=9) and Ctl (n=9) PBMCs as described in Fig. III-9, were subjected to mass spectrometry analysis to identify protein modifications. (A) Histidine is converted to 2-oxo-histidine in the presence of reactive oxygen species and further converted to aspartic acid under prolonged exposure and/or degradation. (B) The peptide spanning Gly37 to Arg69 contains multiple oxidized residues such as His46 and His48. A representative spectrum of the oxidation of histidine (His46) to 2-oxo-histidine from SALS pooled SOD1 sample is shown. His46 oxidation is present in both SALS and control pooled SOD1 samples. The sequence coverage is displayed above (OX, oxidized histidine; CA, carbamidomethyl adduct). The monoisotopic peaks of y, a, and b-ions assigned to the indicated peptide are illustrated in orange.
Within the same peptide discussed above, Gly37 to Arg69, the conversion of His46 to aspartic acid, was uniquely observed in SOD1 isolated from controls. The conversion of histidine to aspartic acid represents a ring-ruptured form of 2-oxo-histidine that may be a product of histidine degradation (Fig. III-11A, III-12, Dean et al. 1989, Uchida 2003). The aspartic acid residue at position 46 is expected to induce a charge shift like that observed for the SOD1 species at pI 5.4 (Spot 2) identified in the 2D-GE western analysis (Fig. III-8). In fact, the theoretical pI of the histidine to aspartic acid conversion is 5.4. The discrepancy in the quantification of Spot 2 by 2D-GE (8.1%) and His46Asp in mass spectrometry (0.1% of the total precursor intensity of the peptide) is likely due, at least in part, to the decreased ionization efficiency of a peptide with increased negative charge.

One possibility for why the histidine to aspartic acid conversion is observed in controls and not the SALS groups could be the overrepresentation of the peptide in the mass spectrometer (i.e. lower concentration could result in loss of detection). In fact, the total SOD1 injected into the mass spectrometer was 2-fold higher in control SOD1 (Ctl, 1.8x10^{11}; SALS, 8.99 x 10^{10}). To address this issue, the relative abundance of each peptide was quantified using the total intensity of the precursor ion (Table III-2). The SOD1 peptide Gly37 to Arg69 was overrepresented in controls compared to SALS (Relative Abundance: Ctl, 16.325; SALS, 2.113). Nonetheless, if the histidine substitution to aspartic acid were present at similar percentages in the SALS SOD1, it would likely be
Figure III-12. Histidine oxidation to aspartic acid is unique to SOD1 in PBMCs isolated from controls. (A) The MS/MS spectra of the peptide identified as Gly37-Arg69. A carbamidomethyl modification is present in both samples on Cys57, which is an artifact of sample preparation (CA). Representative spectra with (Ctl, bottom; blue) and without (SALS, top; orange) the His46 to aspartic acid conversion are shown. The monoisotopic peaks of y, a, and b-ions assigned to the indicated peptide are illustrated in orange and blue, respectively. Note the similarity between the two spectra (sequence coverage displayed above).
Figure III-12 continued. Histidine oxidation to aspartic acid is unique to SOD1 in PBMCs isolated from controls. (B) The conversion of histidine to aspartic acid results in a loss of -22.01 Da at His46 (Actual Mass of Gly37-Arg69 peptide: His46, 3518.62 Da, Asp46, 3496.61 Da; 3518.62-3496.61=-22.01). A zoomed in overlay of the spectra with (Ctl, blue) and without (SALS, red) the amino acid substitution highlights the peak shifts present on b-ions (b(16), b(17)) that include residue 46, the site of histidine conversion to aspartic acid. These data demonstrate the change of -22.01 observed with the aspartic acid substitution (-22.01/+2)=-11.0).
detectable with a total precursor intensity of $10^6$. It was not, however, detectable in the SALS SOD1 pool. Repeating the injection with higher concentrations of SALS SOD1 or doing a targeted mass spectrometry approach is required to confirm these findings. Moreover, these data represent a single set of immunoprecipitated SOD1 from control and SALS pools and a replicate analysis with new blood samples will be required to confirm the differences in peptide abundance and the differential modifications.

**Discussion**

The structural loosening that results from SOD1 misfolding induced by mutations and/or other environmental factors could make SOD1 more susceptible to adverse modification(s) (See Chapter II). Thus, in this study, we aimed to devise a mechanism to identify misfolded SOD1 in the context of sporadic ALS in order to exploit it as a biomarker. We employed C4F6, a misfolded SOD1-specific antibody, to detect misfolded SOD1 in CSF, sera, PBMCs and RBCs. Consistent with previous findings, total SOD1 is elevated in the CSF of both SALS and neurological controls (Fig. III-2, (Winer et al. 2013)), likely due to the oxidative stress found in these neurological disorders (Fig. III-2) (Christen 2000, Gilgun-Sherki et al. 2004, Carri et al. 2015). The nonspecific increase of SOD1 protein in neurodegenerative diseases renders SOD1 protein levels a weak biomarker in the context of ALS.

Misfolded SOD1, as detected by the C4F6-ELISA, was found in the sera of 2 out of 11 SALS patients selected based on their elevated levels of SOD1ox
antibodies. Although this data is intriguing, a larger cohort of both SALS and healthy controls is required to quantify the extent of this misfolding in sera and its relationship to disease. In contrast, misfolded SOD1 was not identified in RBCs, PBMCs (data not shown), or CSF samples from SALS (Fig. III-2). C4F6 may not be the optimal misfolded SOD1-specific antibody for detection in complex samples. First, the apparent K_d of SOD1 binding to C4F6 is relatively low (Table II-1), in the low micromolar range. This suggests that in complex mixtures, C4F6 will be competing with other SOD1 binding proteins, with C4F6 less favored to bind SOD1. Also, at the concentrations of SOD1 present in CSF (100-200 ng/mL) and Sera (10-70 ng/mL), C4F6 is reaching its detection limit, as it is unable to differentiate a subset of misfolded SOD1 (A4V and G85R) from WT SOD1 (Fig. III-1). Developing antibodies with a higher affinity to misfolded SOD1 will likely have greater diagnostic and therapeutic potential. It is also possible that misfolded SOD1 is not present in all biofluids and tissues. We have shown that toxic SOD1 is in the spinal cord and brain tissue, as indicated by axonal transport inhibition, but whether or not this misfolded SOD1 extends to the CSF and RBCs is unknown at this time. The presence of an over-oxidized SOD1 species in PBMCs, however, supports the presence of misfolded SOD1 in these samples.

By subjecting spinal cord derived hSOD1 to limited proteolysis with endoproteinase k, we aimed to assess the structural integrity of SALS-linked hSOD1 compared to controls. In this study, we assessed the susceptibility of the entire population of SOD1 to proteolysis and found no difference in digestion
patterns between hSOD1 from SALS and healthy controls (Fig. III-5). The fact that hSOD1 isolated from the spinal cord demonstrates signs of toxicity through axonal transport inhibition, argues for the presence of misfolded SOD1. Whether this species is more susceptible to proteolytic digestion is unknown. Previous studies demonstrated the susceptibility of a subpopulation of misfolded SOD1, immunoprecipitated by DSE2 (Table I-1), to limited proteolysis (Grad et al. 2014). In the current study, only the total population of SOD1 was assessed, which does not rule out the possibility of a subpopulation of SOD1 present in the spinal cord that may be more destabilized in this cohort as well, as suggested by the Cashman study (Grad et al. 2014). Another possibility is an enhanced aggregation propensity of SALS-purified SOD1, increasing the oligomeric SOD1 species resulting in proteolytic resistance under the conditions assessed.

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) are a vital immune system defense and could be a biomarker source for ALS, as they recapitulate many aspects of disease. PBMCs isolated from ALS patients, for example, demonstrate increased nitrative stress and signs of excitotoxicity such as altered calcium homeostasis and glutamate receptor expression (Curti et al. 1996, Pouloupolou et al. 2005, Nardo et al. 2009). In fact, when PBMCs are exposed to misfolded SOD1, they secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines (Fiala et al. 2010). In this study, we identified an SOD1 species at pl 5.4 greatly reduced in SALS patients compared to controls in PBMCs. Likely, a modification is present in controls that is lacking in the SALS SOD1 causing the shift in pl observed.
Another possibility is an additional modification on SALS SOD1 that shifts the species back to the “5.6” pI, while the modification originally causing the shift to 5.4 remains. Alternatively, there could be a slower formation or a more efficient clearing of this species of pI 5.4 in SALS patients.

PBMCs represent a mixed population of white blood cells consisting of mainly lymphocytes and monocytes (Ratts and Weng 2012). These cell types can be further divided into subclasses with the ratio of cell types varying between individuals. One possibility for the lack of this SOD1 species in the SALS population could be a PBMC cell type expressing these species that is underrepresented in diseased individuals. In fact, SALS patients have demonstrated an altered subpopulation of CD3+T lymphocytes, which is the major cell type present in PBMCs. Even though the total percentage of CD3+ T cells is unchanged, the subpopulations are shifted resulting in an increase in CD4+ T helper cells and a decrease in CD8+ T cytotoxic cells relative to controls (Mantovani et al. 2009). In this scenario, it remains to be determined if CD8+ T cytotoxic cells are the population that express the 5.4 SOD1 species.

By analyzing SOD1 immunoprecipitated from both SALS and control PBMCs by mass spectrometry, we were able to identify an over-oxidized form of SOD1 unique to SALS-linked SOD1. This over-oxidized form of SOD1 would likely not induce a pI shift by 2D-GE, as the majority of oxidized residues do not reduce the charge of SOD. Additionally, the mass difference of oxidation is too small to detect by SDS-PAGE and western analysis. In support of this
differentially modified SOD1 species, previously published data identified an over-oxidized species of SOD1 in immortalized lymphocytes, the major constituents of the PBMC population, derived from SALS patients (Guareschi et al. 2012). An increase in protein oxidation that correlates with aging has also been observed (Smith C. D. et al. 1991). In fact, aging, oxidative stress, and inflammation are implicated in an increased production of reactive oxygen species (Berlett and Stadtman 1997) and a decrease in activity of the hydrogen peroxide scavenger, catalase (Alper 1998, Tsay et al. 2000). SOD1 is responsible for converting the reactive oxygen species, $O_2^-$, to oxygen and hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$). However, in the absence of downstream peroxidases to convert $H_2O_2$ to non-reactive species, or an overload of produced $H_2O_2$, $H_2O_2$ can accumulate and participate in metal-catalyzed oxidation resulting in the production of toxic hydroxyl radicals, $\cdot OH$. These toxic hydroxyl radicals can induce histidine oxidation, among many other oxidation products.

The increase in oxidation of the SALS SOD1 pool has three major plausible sources. First, the increase in overall oxidative stress evident in ALS (Barber and Shaw 2010, Pollari et al. 2014, Carri et al. 2015) could be inducing this over-oxidized state. Second, a decrease in the structural integrity of SOD1 could enhance susceptibility of the protein to oxidative modifications. As an alternative route to limited proteolysis, one could test this by performing H/D exchange followed by mass spectrometry on immunopurified SOD1 from PBMCs to identify regions of SOD1 with enhanced flexibility. Third, a deficiency in protein
turnover could result in the accumulation of over-oxidized SOD1 species. This could be tested by pulse-chase radiolabeling of newly synthesized proteins to assess the half-life of SOD1 in PBMCs, as PBMCs can be cultured for short periods of time (Cova et al. 2006). These theories are not mutually exclusive. The amount of protein oxidation is thought to be a balance between the rate of protein oxidation and protein turnover. A decrease in protein degradation, for example, would likely increase the amount of oxidized species present. Supporting this hypothesis, the two major mechanisms involved in protein turnover, the ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS) and autophagy, are compromised in ALS (Ferrucci et al. 2011). In fact, lysine oxidation, as seen at Lys122 in SALS SOD1 from PBMCs, would interfere with SOD1 turnover via the UPS and/or autophagy if it functions as a ubiquitination site, as ubiquitination would be inhibited (Basso et al. 2006, Kabuta et al. 2006, Spasser and Brik 2012). Bioinformatics sites have identified Lys122 as a non-ubiquitination site (http://www.jci-bioinfo.cn/iUbiq-Lys). Lys122 may still function as a ubiquitination site, as consensus sequences for ubiquitination are lacking and Lys136, a known ubiquitination site of SOD1, was identified as a non-ubiquitination site as well (Basso et al. 2006). Previous studies have demonstrated that even non-canonical sites of ubiquitination, such as cysteine (Spasser and Brik 2012) are oxidized in WT SOD1 in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (Bosco et al. 2010). Unfortunately, the extent of oxidation on cysteine residues in PBMC SOD1 was unable to be determined because the alkylation and reduction steps employed
during sample preparation for mass spectrometry are expected to eliminate the presence of these species. Generally, oxidized proteins are degraded more rapidly by a ubiquitin-independent proteasome pathway, but the accumulation of oxidized SOD1 in SALS PBMCs suggests an impairment of this pathway as well (Shringarpure et al. 2003). Together, these data suggest an inefficient/altered turnover of SOD1 in sporadic ALS. This does not necessarily translate to an increase in the overall level of SOD1 protein, as SOD1 expression is regulated by multiple mechanisms (Milani et al. 2011, Milani et al. 2013). In fact, published data suggests a decrease in total SOD1 in PBMCs, although this was not observed in the work presented in this chapter (Fig. III-8, Cova et al. 2006, Gagliardi et al. 2010).

Interestingly, Trp32 was found to be over-oxidized in SOD1 isolated from SALS PBMCs compared to controls (Table III-2, Fig. III-10). This tryptophan residue is directly implicated in FALS-linked SOD1 pathogenesis. Trp32 is not required for toxicity of FALS-linked SOD1 variants as mice expressing mouse SOD1 G86R, a model lacking Trp32, develop an ALS-like phenotype, suggesting other mechanisms are involved in SOD1 pathogenesis as well (Ripps et al. 1995). However, multiple studies have suggested the Trp32 residue contributes to toxicity and misfolding of human SOD1. For example, oxidation-induced aggregation of WT SOD1 requires the presence and oxidation of Trp32 to proceed (Zhang H. et al. 2003). In immortalized cell lines, the propagation of SOD1 misfolding by FALS-linked SOD1 is prevented when Trp32 is replaced...
with a serine (Grad et al. 2011). Moreover, the toxicity due to FALS-linked SOD1 expression in cultured motor neurons is ameliorated when Trp32 is mutated to a phenylalanine (Taylor et al. 2007). The heightened oxidation of Trp32 specifically on SOD1 from SALS PBMCs suggests SALS-linked SOD1 may contribute to ALS pathogenesis in a similar manner.

One potential modification responsible for the SOD1 species observed at pI 5.4 is the oxidation of His46 to produce aspartic acid. Being that aspartic acid is negatively charged, this conversion from histidine induces a reduction in pI like that observed for the SOD1 in control samples by 2D-GE (Fig. III-8). Histidine is known to be one of the primary targets of metal catalyzed oxidation (Dean et al. 1989, Schoneich 2000, Uchida 2003), and as such, the oxidation of this amino acid is not surprising. In fact, the oxidation of histidine to 2-oxo-histidine that was identified in both the SALS and control pooled SOD1 samples has been previously identified in SOD1 from bovine and human erythrocytes (Uchida and Kawakishi 1994, Rakhit et al. 2002). One can imagine the unique susceptibility of histidines in SOD1 to be oxidized, as the majority of the histidines coordinate zinc and copper in the active site, which in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$, can participate in metal-catalyzed oxidation (Fig. III-11). Further, SOD1 isolated from bovine erythrocytes subjected to amino acid composition analysis demonstrated a decrease of histidine and an increase in aspartic acid after exposure to H$_2$O$_2$ (Uchida and Kawakishi 1994). The conversion of histidine to aspartic acid in the control SOD1 pool only, however, is surprising especially since an overall
increase in oxidation is seen in the SALS SOD1 pool (Table III-2). One theory is that this difference may signify a change in the cellular environment of PBMCs in SALS compared to controls. For example, oxidation of SOD1 by other methods in vitro, such as CuCl₂ and ascorbic acid, results in a decrease in the histidine composition, like what is seen for H₂O₂ induced oxidation, but has no effect on aspartic acid composition (Rakhit et al. 2002). These data suggest that the oxidized SOD1 products may be indirect evidence of altered oxidative species present inside the cell. A second theory is that the ring-ruptured product of oxidized histidine, aspartic acid, is an early step in the degradation of SOD1. In fact, the ring-opening products of histidine have been proposed to be products of degradation as they are downstream events of 2-oxo-histidine (Dean et al. 1989, Uchida 2003). Therefore, the conversion of histidine to aspartic acid found enriched in SOD1 from control PBMCs could be evidence of a protein degradation pathway compromised in SALS. Further, the over-oxidized SOD1 species present in SALS PBMCs, which is likely misfolded (Rakhit et al. 2002, Rakhit et al. 2004), may interfere with protein clearance mechanisms directly by triggering ER stress through an interaction with Derlin-1, similar to what is seen for FALS-linked SOD1 variants (Nishitoh et al. 2008).

This is the first study to demonstrate differential species of SOD1 in SALS PBMCs compared to controls. The 5.4 SOD1 species identified by 2D-GE provides a direct link between altered SOD1 homeostasis and sporadic ALS. Future studies aimed at evaluating the SOD1 species in sera and PBMCs of
SALS patients over time will help us discover the role of this protein in disease progression. Further study is required to identify if this connection is unique to ALS, or if it has a more global application with other neurodegenerative and inflammatory diseases.

**Materials and Methods**

**SOD1 protein expression and purification**- See Chapter II, Materials and Methods for details on protein purification.

**Sandwich ELISA for misfolded SOD1**- A 384-well high binding plate (Greiner, #781061) was coated with 12.5 µl/well of C4F6 antibody at 9 µg/ml in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and incubated at 4°C for 16 h. The plate was washed by submersion 3x in phosphate buffered saline, tween20 (0.01 M PBS, 0.05% tween20) followed by a blocking step with 25 µL/well of 5% BSA in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Following the blocking period, the plate was washed 1x with PBST and recombinant SOD1, CSF, sera or lysate were added in a dilution series (1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:8) and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The plate was then washed 3x with PBST and the primary antibody, anti-SOD1 (AbCam, ab79390), was added at 1:1000 in PBST, incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The plate was then washed 3x in PBST and probed with poly HRP-conjugated anti-Rabbit (Fisher, PI32260) at 1:10,000 in PBST for 1 h at room temperature. After washing 3x with PBST, 25 µL of TMB substrate (SurModics, TMBS-0100-01) was added to each well for 30 min. The reaction was quenched by adding 25
µL/well of Stop Solution (SurModics, LSTP-0100-01). Absorbance at 450 nm was detected with a 384-well plate reader (Safire).

**Sandwich ELISA for total SOD1**- For total SOD1 calculations, ELISA was performed essentially as described above with the following exceptions. The coating antibody was anti-SOD1 at 1:40 and the detection antibody was HRP conjugated anti-SOD1 at 1:1000 (eBioscience, BMS222MST).

**Isolation and lysis of RBCs and PBMCs**- All SALS samples were processed with a healthy control in parallel. RBCs and PBMCs were processed from the same blood draw from the same individual. Blood samples were collected using standard venipuncture technique in yellow top tubes containing 22 g/L Trisodium Citrate, 8 g/L Citric Acid, 24.5 g/L Dextrose. For RBCs, 8 mL of blood was centrifuged in a 15 ml conical tube for 15 min at 300 rcf. Then, the cells were washed with 12 ml of Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS; Invitrogen, 14025076) and spun for 15 min at 300 rcf. Supernatant was removed and the cell pellet was resuspended in 500 µL of lysis buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 7.8) and sonicated for 5 sec at 10% at 4°C. The lysed RBCs were then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was aliquoted and stored at -80°C.

For PBMCs, 4 mL lymphoblast separation solution (Lonza, 17-829F) was added to an isolation tube (Greiner, 163290P) and spun at 1000 rcf for 1 min for tube priming. Then, 5 ml blood was added slowly to the upper layer of the tube
and spun at 1600 rcf for 30 minutes. Immediately following centrifugation, the mononuclear cell layer was collected with a Pasteur Pipette and transferred to a 15 ml conical tube and spun at 300 rcf for 15 min. Cells were washed with 12 ml of HBSS and mixed by inverting tube 5 times. The PBMCs were centrifuged for 15 minutes at 300 RCF. The supernatant was removed and the cells were resuspended in 200 µl of lysis buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 7.8) and sonicated for 5 sec at 10% at 4°C. The cell lysate was Centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. Aliquoted supernatant was stored at -80°C. Lysates were processed within one week by 2D-GE analysis. Lysates were processed within one month for immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry analysis.

**Immunoblots** - For denaturing western analyses, samples were diluted in 6x sample buffer (Boston Bioproducts, BP-111R). Samples were separated by PAGE with 15% Tris-acrylamide gels in 1% SDS, 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine and transferred to PVDF membrane (Millipore) in 25M Tris, 192 mM glycine. Membranes were blocked for 1h in Odyssey blocking buffer (LI-COR). Primary antibodies were incubated at 4°C overnight at the following dilutions: (pan-SOD1(Taylor et al. 2007), 1:500; anti-α tubulin (Sigma), 1:5000; anti-SOD1 (AbCam, ab79390), 1:50,000). The blots were incubated for 1 h with fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies (LI-COR) prior to visualization by the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR). The Odyssey infrared imaging software (LI-COR) was used for densitometry calculations.
2-D Gel Electrophoresis:Samples were mixed with rehydration buffer (8 M Urea, 1.5% CHAPS, 50 mM DTT, 0.2% Bio-lytes (Bio-Rad, 1632097), trace amounts of Bromophenol Blue) and separated by isoelectric focusing on a pH strip range 4.7-5.9 (BioRad, 163-2025 (discontinued); suggest 163-2017 as alternative). The following parameters were used after a 12 h passive rehydration:

Step 1: 250 V for 15 min
Step 2: 2000 V hours
Step 3: 8000 V for 2 hours
Step 4: 500 V hold

Upon completion of focusing, strips were moved to rehydration trays containing 500 µl of equilibration buffer (6 M Urea, 2% SDS, 0.375 M Tris, 20% Glycerol, 150 mM DTT) for 5 min. The strips were then secured in gel with agarose sealing solution (1% agarose, 1% SDS, 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine) and subjected to SDS-PAGE in a 15% Tris-acrylamide gels in 1% SDS, 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine. Where applicable, the gels were then transferred to a PVDF membrane for 16 h at 30 V at 4°C. Membranes were blocked for 1 h in Odyssey blocking buffer (LI-COR). Primary antibodies were incubated for 3 h at the following dilutions: anti-SOD1 (Taylor et al. 2007); anti-SOD1 (AbCam, 1:50,000). The blots were incubated for 1 h with fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies (LI-COR) prior to visualization by the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR). The Odyssey infrared imaging software (LI-COR) was used for densitometry calculations.
Mass spectrometry analysis of immunoprecipitated SOD1. Protein G Dynabeads (Invitrogen, 10004D) were coated with mouse IgG (Sigma, I5381) for preclearing of lysate) and anti-SOD1 (Pierce, LFMA0023) for immunoprecipitation. Approximately 1400 µg (RBC) or 350 µg (PBMC) of lysate diluted in PBST was added to 50 µL mouse IgG coated beads, rotating at room temperature for 1 h. The precleared lysate was removed and added to anti-SOD1 coated beads for 2 h rotating at room temperature. SOD1 was boiled off the beads with 50 µL of 3x SDS Page loading buffer and subjected to SDS-PAGE and stained with coomassie. Tryptic (Promega) in-gel digest was performed essentially as described (Shevchenko et al. 2006). Extracted peptides were lyophilized and resuspended in 16 µL of 5% acetonitrile in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid immediately prior to injection on LC/MS/MS. A 4.0 µL aliquot was directly injected onto a custom packed 2cm x 100µm C_{18} Magic 5µm particle trap column. Peptides were then eluted and sprayed from a custom packed emitter (75µm x 25cm C_{18} Magic 3µm particle) with a linear gradient from 95% solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) to 35% solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) in 45 minutes at a flow rate of 300 nanoliters per minute on a Waters Nano Acquity UPLC system. Data dependent acquisitions were performed on a Q Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) according to an experiment where full MS scans from 300-1750 m/z were acquired at a resolution of 70,000 followed by 10 MS/MS scans acquired under HCD fragmentation at a resolution of 17,500 with an isolation width of 1.6 Da. Raw data files were processed with Proteome
Discoverer (version 1.4) with Mascot (Version 2.5, Matrix Science) using the human Uniprot database. An error tolerant search was employed to identify modifications present on SOD1 peptides. Scaffold was used to quantify the modified (oxidation, deamidation, carbidomethylation, N-term acetylation, phosphorylation) and unmodified peptides (false discovery rate (FDR), 1.3%) identified in the error tolerant search.

**Axonal Transport Assay** - Squid axoplasms were isolated and perfused with SOD1 essentially as previously described (Morfini G. et al. 2006). The isolated axoplasms were perfused with 1.4 μM hSOD1 (pooled from 3 patients) and monitored for 50 min using live imaging as described (Morfini G. et al. 2006).
PREFACE TO CHAPTER IV:
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CHAPTER IV: DISCUSSION

ALS is a devastating disease resulting in motor neuron degeneration, muscle atrophy, and paralysis. Although ALS is relatively rare, the lifetime risk is estimated at greater than one in 500, as it primarily targets the aged population (Alonso et al. 2009, Wijesekera and Leigh 2009). ALS is known to be a multi-faceted disease with numerous contributing factors such as oxidative stress, excitotoxicity, protein aggregation, mitochondrial dysfunction and chronic inflammation (Wijesekera and Leigh 2009). The ALS community is starving for an effective treatment, as Riluzole, the only FDA approved drug to treat ALS, has minimal effects on survival (Miller et al. 2012).

In addition to misfolding of SOD1 induced by ALS-linked mutations, aberrant post-translational modifications (PTMs) have been shown to result in major structural disturbances, a phenomenon that could result from the environmental/genetic factors involved in SALS pathology. Examples of such PTMs include oxidation of amino acid side chains (Ezzi et al. 2007, Fujiwara et al. 2007, Bosco et al. 2010, Chen X. et al. 2012b, Auclair et al. 2013b), lack of metal coordination (Ding and Dokholyan 2008, Banci et al. 2009, Durazo et al. 2009), and loss of the stabilizing intramolecular disulfide bridge (Chan et al. 2013).

The work presented in this dissertation provides preliminary data on methods of tracking misfolded and differentially modified forms of SOD1 in biofluids as a biomarker strategy for ALS. As discussed in Chapter III, finding a reliable biomarker for ALS is vital for patient stratification in clinical trials, early diagnosis, tracking patient response to therapeutics and for tracking disease progression (Robelin and Gonzalez De Aguilar 2014). With this in mind, it is necessary to repeat these preliminary studies with a larger cohort of ALS patients to verify the validity and reproducibility of the findings put forth in this dissertation.

In addition to exploiting SOD1 as a biomarker for ALS, the work presented in this dissertation has put forth stabilizing the zinc binding and electrostatic loops of misfolded SOD1 as a therapeutic target. Modified forms of SOD1, whether by mutations or aberrant post-translational modifications, result in a loosening of the
protein structure that further exposes the hydrophobic core (Fig. I-1, IV-1). This effect may increase SOD1’s vulnerability to oxidation (Table III-2) and lead to a more promiscuous SOD1 protein, engaging in aberrant protein-protein interactions and/or tighter binding with normal protein-protein interactions thereby altering downstream effects (Fig. I-4). ALS-linked SOD1 has been shown to exhibit prion-like properties, similar to those of established prion proteins (Polymenidou and Cleveland 2011). In cell culture models, misfolded and aggregated SOD1 is secreted and taken up by surrounding cells, inducing misfolding and aggregation of endogenous, nonpathogenic SOD1 protein (Grad et al. 2011, Munch et al. 2011). Recently, spinal cord homogenates from paralyzed SOD1 G93A transgenic mice injected directly into the spinal cord of predisposed ALS mouse models have been shown to induce aggregation and progressive paralysis (Ayers et al. 2014). Stabilizing SOD1 in its proper fold and/or blocking this “toxic” region and inhibiting the exponential process of SOD1 misfolding could potentially curb disease progression in both sporadic and familial forms of ALS.

**SOD1-Based Therapeutics**

Being that SOD1 pathological aggregates are a downstream consequence of SOD1 misfolding, the presence of such aggregates in human post-mortem tissues and ALS-mice argues for a role of misfolded SOD1 in disease. As discussed earlier, there is evidence for misfolded SOD1 in both sporadic and familial ALS (see Chapter I). Strategies for neutralizing, decreasing, and
Figure IV-1. PTM-linked and FALS-linked SOD1 share a common misfolded conformation. We hypothesize that misfolded SOD1 results from both ALS-linked mutations and aberrant post-translational modifications. The term “misfolding” refers to the structural loosening of SOD1 due to a mutation and/or altered post-translational modification within a soluble form of the protein. Misfolded, soluble SOD1 can engage in aberrant protein-interactions and acquire new toxic functions (illustrated in Figure I-4). Misfolded SOD1 can also assemble into aggregates.
repairing this load of misfolded SOD1 species are being explored as potential therapies (Fig. IV-2).

**Immunization Strategies Targeting SOD1**

Studies from van Blitterswijk et al. suggest that longer survival is associated with ALS patients harboring antibodies directed at misfolded SOD1 (van Blitterswijk et al. 2011). In fact, immunization strategies targeting misfolded SOD1 species have had therapeutic outcomes in ALS mice. A passive immunization strategy with the D3H5 antibody that specifically reacts with misfolded SOD1 extended survival in G93A SOD1 transgenic mice (Gros-Louis et al. 2010)(Fig I-3, Table I-1). A greater therapeutic impact was shown in active immunization trials with the SOD1 G37R transgenic mouse model, using both recombinant apo-SOD1 G93A (Urushitani et al. 2007) and the SEDI (SOD1 exposed dimer interface) peptide (Liu et al. 2012) as immunogens. Use of SEDI increased survival and delayed disease onset to a greater extent than the full-length SOD1 immunogen, likely due to specific targeting of a misfolded toxic epitope within mutant-SOD1 (Liu et al. 2009, Liu et al. 2012).

A more modern approach by Patel et al. demonstrated the effectiveness of a “chronic passive immunization” treatment (Patel et al. 2013). This approach involves the intrathecal delivery of a viral vector expressing a single chain antibody fragment derived from the D3H5 described above. The result is chronic
Figure IV-2. Therapeutic Strategies for SOD1-linked ALS. (A) Immunization strategies targeting misfolded SOD1. Passive immunization approaches include treatment with misfolded-SOD1 specific antibodies (1) and viral vectors to chronically express antibody fragments (2). Active immunization with either fragments of (3) or full-length (4) misfolded SOD1 are also being explored. (B) Knockdown approaches involving RNAi expressing viral vectors (5), antisense oligonucleotides (6, in clinical trials), and siRNA mechanisms (7) are being investigated. (C) Small molecules that repair and/or neutralize the load of misfolded SOD1 are currently in clinical trials.
expression of the variable region of a misfolded SOD1-specific antibody. The methodology requires more fine-tuning and optimization to increase consistency, but shows great promise as survival in SOD1 G93A transgenic mice was extended from 140 to 180 days upon treatment (Patel et al. 2013). These data suggest that immunization strategies directly targeting misfolded SOD1 could have therapeutic potential.

While these studies provide a direct correlation between misfolded SOD1 species and disease in mice, a survival benefit was not realized using humanized SOD1 antibodies in SOD1 transgenic mice (Broering et al. 2013). Going forward, immunotherapeutic strategies in humans may require the specific targeting of regions within SOD1 that are only exposed upon misfolding. Moreover, these regions should mediate some toxic effect in vivo, so that antibodies have the potential to neutralize or otherwise block that “toxic epitope”. The latter criterion is important in light of the fact that not all mutant-specific antibodies have produced a therapeutic outcome in SOD1 transgenic mice (Gros-Louis et al. 2010).

**Decreasing Expression of SOD1**

Studies underway are investigating the possibility of reducing the load of total SOD1 protein as a potential therapeutic target. Although the major school of thought is the gain-of-toxic function model for SOD1-induced ALS, there is increasing evidence that loss-of-function contributes to disease progression as
well (reviewed in (Saccon et al. 2013)), which argues against decreasing SOD1 expression as treatment. For example, the anti-oxidizing activity, although still functional, is decreased in the majority of FALS-linked SOD1 mutations (Borchelt et al. 1994, Robberecht et al. 1994).

Reducing the load of misfolded SOD1 could be a promising avenue, but could also increase neuronal susceptibility to degeneration in the absence of such a highly abundant anti-oxidizing enzyme. One can imagine in a disease such as ALS, where oxidative stress is elevated, that the lack of an abundant anti-oxidizing enzyme, like SOD1, could be detrimental. Mice lacking SOD1 protein, for example, have an increased sensitivity to neuronal injury (Reaume et al. 1996). This study implies that when faced with additional neuronal stress, which is evident in ALS pathology, SOD1 protein is required as part of the coping mechanism. In fact, evidence suggests oxidative stress increases with age (Smith C. D. et al. 1991). Oxidative stress is known to induce destabilization, aggregation, and reduced activity of SOD1, which could be one of the reasons for the age-related onset of ALS (Smith C. D. et al. 1991, Rakhit et al. 2004, Ezzi et al. 2007).

Altering SOD1 levels has been explored as a novel cancer therapeutic. In cell culture systems, SOD1 knockdown results in senescence and therefore has the potential to halt tumor growth, and even induces cell death in a cancer cell line (Blander et al. 2003). Tumors, however, have evidence of decreased SOD1
activity (Sun et al. 1993), which is linked to tumorogenesis. SOD1 deficient mice, for example, have a shorter lifespan caused by hepatocellular carcinomas, or liver tumors (Elchuri et al. 2005). In fact, overexpression of SOD1 has been proposed as a possible cancer treatment, as it has been shown to inhibit tumor growth (Bai et al. 1998, Zhang Y. et al. 2002). Hepatocellular carcinoma, one of the more common cancers, is likely caused by DNA damage induced by oxidative stress (Cardin et al. 2014). The liver has a unique susceptibility to spontaneous DNA mutations in SOD1-deficient mice. In contrast, the brain does not demonstrate an increase in spontaneous DNA mutations (Busuttil et al. 2005), which implies a CNS-specific knockdown of SOD1, in the context of ALS, might not have the same carcinoma-inducing effect.

Indeed, several ALS animal models expressing FALS-linked SOD1 have demonstrated the protective effects of SOD1 knockdown in vivo (Ralph et al. 2005, Smith R. A. et al. 2006, Wang L. et al. 2010). It is possible that a more selective knockdown in specific cell types could be beneficial while still maintaining the protective effect of SOD1 in other parts of the body. More specific targeting of SOD1 knockdown in select cell types such as Schwann, motoneuron, and astrocytes exhibit protective effects as well (Wang L. et al. 2012b, Dirren et al. 2015). Ideally, we could identify a method to specifically knockdown only the mutant allele, leaving the other allele to express native SOD1. Nevertheless, decreasing SOD1 expression is a therapy worth exploring as beneficial effects have been observed.
Small Molecules Targeting Misfolded SOD1

Another potential therapeutic method being explored is to target SOD1 with small molecules to either stabilize it in its dimeric form or neutralize the toxic properties (reviewed in (Limpert et al. 2013)). Being that misfolded SOD1 engages in multiple aberrant protein-protein interactions (Fig. I-4), high-throughput screens directed at disrupting them, such as the dynein-SOD1 interaction, are being explored (Zhang F. et al. 2007, Tang et al. 2012). In fact, we identified several small molecules that have the potential to repair misfolded SOD1 by employing the misfolded-specific antibody, C4F6 (described in Appendix II).

Monomeric SOD1 is thought to be a precursor to misfolding and aggregation. One route of targeting for therapeutics would be to find small molecules that can stabilize SOD1 in its dimeric state. Several in silico screens have been performed to identify such compounds. In the first in silico study, Ray et al. identified 100 compounds with a predicted high binding affinity to SOD1 dimer (Ray et al. 2005, Nowak et al. 2010). Of these initial hits, 15 were able to prevent dimer loss of mutant SOD1 in vitro. These compounds stabilized SOD1 as indicated by unfolding studies with guanidine-HCl. In follow-up in vitro studies, however, the aggregation of FALS-linked SOD1 was unaltered in the presence of these compounds, despite the fact that one of the compounds was found to bind SOD1 at an amino acid implicated in SOD1 pathogenesis, Trp32 (Wright et al. 2013).
Several studies have searched for small molecules that reverse the toxic properties of FALS-linked mutant SOD1 in cell culture systems (Benmohamed et al. 2011, Yang et al. 2013). Benmohamed et al. identified compounds that reduced MG132-induced toxicity and FALS-linked SOD1 aggregation (Benmohamed et al. 2011). The benefit of this type of screen over the completely in vitro approach with recombinant SOD1 protein is the ability to identify compounds that indirectly tweak the cell’s coping mechanisms as opposed to only identifying compounds that directly bind SOD1 to interfere with aggregation. An aryloxanyl pyrazolone derivative, an optimized version of 1 of the 3 original chemical scaffolds identified, extends survival in an ALS mouse model (Benmohamed et al. 2011, Chen T. et al. 2012a). These compounds are currently being optimized to further enhance the pharmacokinetic properties (Zhang Y. et al. 2013) prior to clinical trials. Preferably, we can identify a therapeutic approach specifically targeting the misfolded SOD1 species, leaving WT unchanged. This is a challenging endeavor, as SOD1 is a homodimer, and dimeric species are likely a mixture of misfolded and normal WT SOD1 (Ganesan et al. 2008).

**Other Promising Future Therapeutics**

Multiple small molecules are being explored that target different aspects of disease such as decreasing excitotoxicity, conserving mitochondria, increasing neuronal survival, decreasing inflammation and increasing muscle function (Pandya et al. 2013). A variety of pathways are involved in ALS pathogenesis
and, as such, a more effective approach may be therapeutics used in combination to enhance the benefits of these compounds. Some of the more promising compounds are discussed herein.

There is ample evidence that excitotoxicity is linked to ALS pathology in cell culture models, transgenic models, and patients (Van Den Bosch et al. 2006). Even Riluzole, the only drug currently available to ALS patients, is thought to act as an anti-excitotoxic agent by decreasing extracellular glutamate (Martin et al. 1993). Beta-lactam antibiotics act by increasing the expression of glutamate transporter, GLT1, which protects against excitotoxicity (Rothstein et al. 2005). One clinical trial in progress is testing whether Ceftriaxone, a beta-lactam antibiotic, has any promising effects since it indirectly results in a decrease in glutamate. Preliminary data shows safety and efficacy, but long-term studies are unable to be interpreted, as too many patients left the trial. Therefore, further studies are required to determine the effects this treatment has on curbing disease progression ((Berry et al. 2013); https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00349622?term=ALS+ceftriaxone&rank=1).

Cell replacement therapy is another promising avenue being explored as a treatment for ALS (Thomsen et al. 2014). This can be further divided into two main approaches. The first is to replenish the depleted motor neurons with neuronal progenitor cells. This approach, although potentially very powerful, is quite challenging because these cells need to incorporate themselves into an
established complex network (Becker and Diez Del Corral 2015). The second approach is to deliver cells to serve as a support system for the motor neurons in jeopardy. Specifically, mesenchymal stem cells are being explored for this purpose and their safety has been established (Mazzini et al. 2009). Mesenchymal cells have been shown to promote neurotrophic factors and decrease gliosis in ALS mouse models (Vercelli et al. 2008, Sadan et al. 2009). Being that neuronal death and lack of muscle stimulation results in progressive paralysis in ALS, replacing and/or supporting the motor neurons through cell replacement therapy is a promising therapeutic approach. It is, however, important to proceed with caution as the chronic immune suppression regimen that accompanies these cell replacement therapies can have detrimental side effects to ALS patients (Thomsen et al. 2014).

Directly targeting the toxic properties displayed by ALS-linked neurons is a possible treatment for ALS as well. By investigating multiple iPSC-derived motor neuron cell lines, Wainger and colleagues demonstrated a hyperexcitability unique to ALS patient lines. By using retigabine, an FDA approved anticonvulsant for the treatment of epilepsy, a decrease in the hyperexcitability was observed. Treatment with retigabine also decreased signs of ER stress, a cellular response to unfolded/misfolded protein accumulation, such as reduced splicing of \textit{XBP1} (Wainger et al. 2014).

As discussed below, protein misfolding and aggregation is a hallmark of ALS. In theory, the upregulation or enhancement of the cellular system that deal with this burden, such as the UPS and autophagy, could be exploited as a therapeutic approach. One such autophagy inducer, Tamoxifen, originates as a breast cancer drug. A patient with both ALS and breast cancer was prescribed Tamoxifen and appeared to have a milder case of ALS (http://www.als.net/ALS-Research/Tamoxifen/ALS-Topics/), launching an investigation into this drug as a treatment for motor neuron disease. Tamoxifen treatment results in both neuronal protection and a decrease in TDP43 inclusions in an FTLD mouse model with TDP43 proteinopathy (Wang I. F. et al. 2012a). Now, Tamoxifen has passed phase II clinical trials and is currently recruiting for phase III (Traynor et al. 2006, Rubinsztein et al. 2007 ). Hopefully, over the next couple of years, a handful of these promising compounds will prove beneficial in
the treatment of ALS in patients and slow, halt, or even revert, the process of neurodegeneration and paralysis.

**Protein Misfolding and ALS**

**Evidence of Altered Protein Homeostasis**

Multiple mechanisms involved with maintaining protein homeostasis have been implicated in ALS. SOD1 is not, by far, the only ALS-associated protein incriminating protein misfolding and turnover in pathogenesis. In fact, the majority of FALS-linked mutations translate an aggregation prone form of the protein. This is evident in, for example, *in vitro* studies of SOD1, TDP43, and profilin-1, which demonstrate an accumulation of these proteins in the insoluble fraction of cellular lysates (Johnson et al. 2009, Prudencio et al. 2009, Wu et al. 2012). Most ALS-linked proteins, if not all, have been found in end-stage aggregates in the spinal cord of patients (reviewed in (Blokhuis et al. 2013)). These aggregates are commonly ubiquitinated and contain p62, both of which directly implicate the protein clearance mechanisms, UPS and autophagy (Seibenhener et al. 2004, Pankiv et al. 2007). Further, two proteins linked to inherited ALS, VCP and UBQLN2 are directly involved in protein degradation (Wojcik et al. 2006, Lee and Brown 2012). ALS mouse models demonstrate signs of both ER stress and proteasome malfunction (Kabashi et al. 2004, Prell et al. 2012, Gorrie et al. 2014). In fact, studies support an impairment of the proteasome in SALS patient spinal cord (Kabashi et al. 2012). Together, these data implicate impaired protein clearance mechanisms in ALS.
The Additive Effect of Aging

ALS is a disease primarily effecting the aging population. This is likely because of the breakdown of key systems that are involved with managing chronic stress. Aging tips the balance, decreasing the body’s army of defense mechanisms, allowing for people who have kept their ALS-inducing factors at bay to begin to lose the battle (Fig. IV-3).

In vitro studies demonstrate a more rapid turnover of ALS-linked misfolded proteins, presumably as a coping mechanism (Kabuta et al. 2006, Farr et al. 2011, Araki et al. 2014), which suggests protein turnover mechanisms are vital to combat disease. In the process of aging under non-diseased conditions, protein oxidation is increased which adds to the load of misfolded proteins (Oliver et al. 1987, Smith C. D. et al. 1991). This increase in protein oxidation is a contributing factor to the decrease in select enzymatic activity, as seen for glutamine synthetase (Wolff and Dean 1986) that, mainly expressed in astrocytes, is responsible for maintaining extracellular glutamate levels and protecting surrounding cells from excitotoxicity (Suarez et al. 2002). The activity of catalase, a hydrogen peroxide scavenger, has also been shown to decrease with age (Alper 1998, Tsay et al. 2000). In addition to creating an environment that promotes protein modifications and misfolding, aging induces a decrease in efficiency of mechanisms that are involved in their reparation and degradation (Martinez-Vicente et al. 2005, Cuervo 2008). The ability to transcribe chaperones, which are required to both repair damaged proteins and/or promote
Figure IV-3. Protein misfolding, aging and ALS. Both genetic and environmental factors induce ALS-linked protein misfolding. The coping mechanisms, autophagy and UPS, counteract this stress by recycling damaged proteins. With age, these coping mechanisms decrease in efficiency, resulting in a build-up of protein misfolding and subsequently aggregation, both of which interfere with cellular homeostasis.
their degradation, declines with age (Calderwood et al. 2009). This, in turn, increases the load of misfolded proteins in the cell. The effects of this are detrimental, particularly in non-dividing cells such as neurons, wherein ameliorating this burden of damaged proteins through cell division is not an option. Protein clearance mechanisms are also severely compromised in aging systems. Chaperone-mediated autophagy, for example, decreases with age in both rats and cultured human fibroblasts (Cuervo and Dice 2000). Moreover, proteasome function declines with age (Saez and Vilchez 2014). One can easily imagine a disease, such as ALS, where protein misfolding is rampant, that fully functioning protein clearance and repairing pathways are essential for survival. By teasing out the mechanism of protein misfolding in the context of ALS, we can hope to develop therapeutics that tip the system back to maintaining proteostasis.

**Conclusion**

Since the discovery of the link of $SOD1$ mutations to ALS in 1993 (Rosen et al. 1993), multiple studies have provided insight into disease pathogenesis and mechanisms. Studies suggest that SOD1 misfolding extends far beyond the inherited form of ALS and targeting this species has great therapeutic potential. In fact, SOD1 misfolding is induced by more than simply FALS-linked SOD1 mutations. Misfolded SOD1 is seen in spinal cord of patients harboring FALS-linked FUS variants as well as in SALS cases with TDP43 pathology (Bosco et al. 2010, Forsberg et al. 2010, Pokrishevsky et al. 2012). Although the direct
involvement of SOD1 in ALS pathogenesis remains controversial, the work presented in this dissertation supports a causative role for WT SOD1 in disease. To test this hypothesis, we need to investigate further the ability of aberrantly modified SOD1 species found in SALS to induce an ALS-like phenotype in vivo (See Appendix I). If misfolded SOD1 is directly involved in pathogenesis of both SALS and FALS, therapeutic targets directed at FALS-linked SOD1 have the potential to extend to SALS-linked SOD1 as well.

We now know protein misfolding, chronic inflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, impaired axonal transport, excitotoxicity and altered RNA metabolism are pathological hallmarks of disease (Barber and Shaw 2010, Sreedharan and Brown 2013). Next, we need to identify which of these pathways proactively trigger pathogenesis and how they can be exploited for therapeutic development.
PREFACE TO APPENDIX I

Alexandra Weiss preformed the surgery wherein osmotic pumps were inserted into the mice with a catheter directly inserted into the intrathecal space of the spinal cord for protein delivery. Karin Green of the UMass proteomics and Mass Spectrometry facility analyzed fluorescently tagged SOD1 by mass spectrometry. All other work presented was performed by Melissa S. Rotunno.
APPENDIX I: Investigating a Causative Role for WT SOD1 in Sporadic ALS

The primary cause of sporadic ALS, as of now, is unknown. Since multiple neurodegenerative disease-associated proteins have been implicated in both familial and sporadic forms of disease, we are testing the hypothesis that modified forms of WT SOD1 play a causative role in sporadic ALS. Conformation-specific antibodies have been developed that preferentially bind to ALS-linked mutant over WT SOD1 (Table I-1, Fig. I-3). We found that these same antibodies recognize an oxidized form of SOD1 (SOD1ox), which exerts a mutant-like toxicity in several biological assays. Therefore, SOD1ox and mutant SOD1 proteins share a similar “toxic” conformation that is not formed by native WT SOD1 (Chapter II). We are investigating whether misfolded WT SOD1 proteins that exhibit an aberrant conformation, such as SOD1ox, can induce an ALS-like phenotype in mice as observed for FALS-linked SOD1 variants. The difficulty in developing such a model lies in the simple fact that mice cannot be transgenically engineered to express proteins with aberrant post-translational modifications. To overcome this limitation, we are exploring an alternative approach to assess toxicity utilizing osmotic pumps to chronically deliver SOD1 with aberrant PTMs to the spinal fluid of mice.

SOD1 variants exhibit prolonged stability in osmotic pumps. Prior to inserting osmotic pumps directly into mice, we aimed to assess the efficiency of delivery and the stability of SOD1 proteins inside these pumps over time. Being that oxidized SOD1 is a misfolded protein, we wanted to confirm the protein was
capable of being delivered through the catheter at the concentration expected and not lost by adherence to plastic tubing or aggregation.

Four 28-day osmotic pumps were filled (>100 µl) with filter-sterilized protein (WT SOD1 and SOD1ox) at 1 mg/mL. These pumps deliver protein at a rate of 0.11 µL/h. Oxidized SOD1 exhibiting various degrees of oxidation induced by increasing hydrogen peroxide exposure time (1, 4 and 24 h) were included in this analysis (SODox1, 1 h; SODox4, 4 h; SODox24, 24 h). The pumps were placed in 50 mL conical tubes containing 15 mL of phosphate buffered saline and placed at 37°C. Protein was extracted periodically from the end of the catheter and tested for activity and stability. SOD1 activity assays were carried out immediately after taking the sample. To assess SOD1 activity, a competition assay with cytochrome C was employed (Okado-Matsumoto and Fridovich 2001). Xanthine oxidase reacts with xanthine to produce superoxide, the natural substrate of SOD1. When cytochrome C reacts with xanthine, an increase in absorbance at 550 nm is observed. When SOD1 reacts with the superoxide to form hydrogen peroxide and water, no change in absorbance is observed (Figure A1-1).

Western blot samples were prepared and placed at -80°C and run simultaneously after the completion of the time course. As determined by western analysis, an initial decrease in SOD1 was seen for all samples between
Figure AI-1. Schematic of SOD1 activity assay.
the initial setup (Day 0) and Day 3. Subsequently, the concentration of SOD1 remained stable over the 28-day period assessed (Figure AI-2).

As shown in Figure AI-3, the activity of SOD1 with the superoxide radical is maintained with minor fluctuations in the range of 80-100% of SOD1 at the start of the experiment. This data supports that the functionality of SOD1 is not impaired by a 28 d incubation at 37°C in osmotic pumps. It should be noted, however, that oxidized SOD1 dismutase activity is inherently impaired compared to WT SOD1 (Fig. AI-4).

**Osmotic pumps effectively deliver SOD1 to the spinal cord of surgically implanted mice** - Now that the stability of SOD1 has been established over a 28 d period in osmotic pumps, the next step was to assess the efficiency and efficacy of protein delivery to the intrathecal space of these mice. A pilot study was performed with 4 mice surgically implanted with osmotic pumps containing fluorescently tagged SOD1 (fluoro488-SOD1, n=3) or PBS (n=1) with the catheter directly inserted into the intrathecal space of the lumbar region. Fluorescently tagged SOD1 was used to differentiate between the human SOD1 delivered via osmotic pumps from that of endogenous mouse SOD1. To confirm SOD1 was conjugated to the fluorophore, the protein was subjected to mass spectrometry analysis (Figure AI-5). Unmodified WT SOD1 and SOD1ox24 have a molecular weight of 16,345 Da and 16,405 Da respectively. The modified WT SOD1 has molecular weights of 16,861 Da, 17,377 Da and 17,896 Da, which is
Figure Al-2. SOD1 proteins are stable for up to 28 days in osmotic pumps. Osmotic pumps were filled with the indicated protein (SOD1 WT, SOD1ox-1h, SOD1ox-4h, SOD1ox-24h) and placed at 37°C for 28 days. SOD1 samples were taken at the indicated time. The SOD1 was subjected to western analysis to assess solubility and stability of SOD1 over time. All SOD1 variants exhibited an initial decrease in concentration (compare 0 day to 3 day sample) that was maintained over the 28 day period.
Figure Al-3. SOD1 proteins retain activity for 28 days in osmotic pumps. Osmotic pumps were filled with protein (A, SOD1 WT; B, SOD1ox-1h; C, SOD1ox-4h; D, SOD1ox-24h) and placed at 37º for 28 days. Aliquots were taken at the indicated times, and tested in the SOD1 activity assay. All SOD1 variants (Sample) were normalized to activity at T=0 (Standard), with the relative activity illustrated. Results of assay show that both SOD1 WT and SOD1ox proteins retain activity, and thus appear stable, over the 28-day time course.
Figure A1-4. Oxidized SOD1 exhibits reduced activity compared to WT SOD1. The activity assay employed is a competitive assay where the greatest increase in A550 nm/sec translates to the least amount of activity, as SOD1’s competitor, cytochrome C reacting with superoxide results in the absorbance increase. The activity of SOD1ox-24h does not increase as the concentration of SOD1 increases, suggesting SOD1ox-24h is unable to compete with cytochrome C to react with superoxide under these conditions. All other SOD1 variants (SOD1ox-4h, SOD1ox-1h, WT SOD1) tested show an increase in activity correlating with an increase in concentration. WT SOD1, which demonstrates the lowest amount of increase in A550 nm/sec displays the highest amount of dismutase activity. These data suggest a loss of SOD1 activity upon exposure to H₂O₂.
consistent with the addition of 1, 2, and 3 tags, respectively (Figure Al-5A,B). Each tag adds 516 Da to available primary amines. Modified SOD1ox has molecular weights of 16,923 Da, 17,441 Da, and 17,969 Da, which is also consistent with the addition of 1, 2, and 3 tags (Figure Al-5C,D). The mass spectrometry results indicate efficient modification of both WT SOD1 and SOD1ox with 1-3 modifications per monomer in >80% of the samples.

To allow for maximum signal, a 3-day pump was employed which delivers the solution at a rate of 1 µL/h. After the 3-day period, the mice were sacrificed and assessed for protein delivery in the lumbar, thoracic and cervical spinal cord regions by immunoblotting and immunofluorescence techniques. The lumbar and cervical regions were removed and homogenized, and 12.5 µg and 25 µg of lysates, respectively were loaded onto an SDS-PAGE for western analysis. The blot was probed with anti- fluoro488 for the detection of fluoro488-SOD1 and anti-actin as a loading control. As illustrated in Figure Al-6A, 2 out of the 3 mice contained fluoro488-SOD1 in the lumbar spinal cord. Only 1 out of the 3 mice contained fluoro488-SOD1 in the cervical spinal cord. The abundance of fluoro488-SOD1 in the thoracic region of the spinal cord was not assessed by Western analyses. Instead, the thoracic region was sectioned for immunofluorescence and fluoro488-SOD1 was detected utilizing the anti-fluoro488 antibody, which showed fluoro488-SOD1 in 1 out of 3 mice (Fig. Al-6B). This data suggests delivery of SOD1 to the spinal cord is possible, but the technique requires fine-tuning to increase the efficacy of delivery.
Figure AI-5. Mass spectrometry identifies Fluoro488-tagged species of SOD1. SOD1 proteins were subjected to LC-MS to identify the number of fluorescent tags covalently bound. (A) Analysis of unmodified WT SOD1 revealed one predominant species at 16,345 Da that is consistent with the actual mass (16,347 Da). This corresponds to the full length WT SOD1 with an additional “GPLGS” on the N-terminus that remains from the GST tag employed during purification. (B) WT SOD1 conjugated with Fluoro488 revealed 4 predominant species, unmodified (16,345 Da), WT SOD1 + 1 Fluoro488 (16,861 Da), WT SOD1 + 2 Fluoro488 (17,377 Da) and WT SOD1 + 3 Fluoro488 (17,893 Da). (C,D) Same analysis as described in (A) and (B) for unmodified (C) and modified (D) 24 h oxidized SOD1 subjected to mass spectrometry analysis.
Figure Al-6. Osmotic pumps effectively deliver SOD1 protein to the spinal cord of implanted mice. An osmotic pump delivered SOD1 WT-Fluor488 to mice over a 3 day period. After 3 days, the mice were sacrificed and the spinal cord sectioned. (A) A Western analysis of homogenized lumbar (left) and cervical (right) spinal cord lysates confirmed the delivery of SOD1 WT-Fluor488 into the lumbar (mouse 2,3) and cervical (mouse 3) spinal cord. (B) SOD1 fluorescence is detected in thoracic spinal cord tissue sections from mice infused with SOD1 WT-Fluoro488 (LM=Light Microscopy).
Chronic delivery of misfolded SOD1 to mice results in no overt phenotype - To test the hypothesis that misfolded SOD1 delivered to the spinal cord of mice could result in an ALS-like phenotype, we filled 3 day osmotic pumps with SOD1 variants (PBS, n=3; WT SOD1, n=6; SOD1 G93A, n=3; SOD1 OX, n=8) at a concentration of 1.5 mg/ml. The weight of the mice was monitored over time and they were visually inspected for signs of paralysis. No other histological or diagnostic tests were performed. Approximately 9 months after surgical implantation of osmotic pumps, the mice revealed no visual signs of an ALS-like phenotype. Their weight continued to increase over the period assessed and no noticeable impairment was present (Figure AI-7).

Conclusion

Providing evidence that oxidized SOD1 can induce an ALS-like phenotype would be pivotal for ALS research, as it would demonstrate for the first time the ability of modified SOD1 to induce ALS pathology, bridging the gap between SALS and FALS. As of now, SOD1ox has been shown to be toxic when exogenously added to cultured cells (Ezzi et al. 2007, Rotunno et al. 2014). In addition, SOD1ox and WT SOD1 have been shown to be stable in osmotic pumps for >28 days and delivery to the spinal cord with these pumps is feasible. The chronic exposure of misfolded SOD1 to mice in this preliminary study did not elicit any ALS-like phenotype. This is likely because: 1) Post-translational modifications induced by hydrogen peroxide (such as SOD1ox) delivered to the
Figure Al-7. No decline in mass is observed for mice treated with SOD1 variants. Mice were surgically implanted with 3 day osmotic pumps filled with the indicated SOD1 variant or PBS. Body mass was monitored overtime for 9 months following the implantation. No decline in mass was observed for any of the cohorts.
spinal cord of mice is not sufficient to cause disease phenotype or 2) The SOD1 concentrations assessed were too mild and did not recapitulate that of transgenic mouse models vastly overexpressing mutant SOD1 (Rudick et al. 1982, Gurney et al. 1994). It is quite likely a higher concentration of SOD1 would be required to induce a disease phenotype, as these ALS transgenic mouse models have over 70 µg of mutant SOD1 present in their spinal cord. It is vital to determine the concentration of recombinant FALS-linked SOD1 variants, such as SOD1 G93A, required to induce an ALS-like phenotype using the osmotic pump system to develop a baseline to assess aberrantly modified SOD1. As described in Chapter II, SOD1ΔIV/ΔVII represents the extreme, artificial case of misfolded SOD1, making it an interesting candidate to test for the ability to induce an ALS-like phenotype in vivo in this pump assay as well. Another avenue to explore would be to assess exacerbation of disease onset and progression of an established ALS mouse model when delivering SALS-linked SOD1 variants via osmotic pumps. As of now, the causative agent of sporadic ALS has not yet been established. Obtaining a mouse model would enhance research by providing a means to further study the disease mechanism as well as to develop novel therapeutic agents to fight SALS.

**Materials and Methods**

**SOD1 Activity Assay** - SOD1 activity assay was performed essentially as previously described (Okado-Matsumoto and Fridovich 2001). Activity Assay
Buffer was prepared to reach a final concentration of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, 230 µM of Xanthine (Sigma, X7375-10G), and 100 µM of cytochrome C (Sigma, C2506-100mg). The assay was carried out in a standard 96-well plate with 100 µl of buffer per well, followed by the addition of the specified concentration of protein. Xanthine oxidase (Roche, #10110434001) was added to the wells at a concentration of 0.01 units/mL directly preceding the absorbance reading. Samples were shaken for 10 seconds prior to measuring the absorbance. Samples were read at 550 nm 12 times over a period of 5 minutes.

**Preparation of protein for osmotic pumps** – GST-tagged protein was purified essentially as previously described (Harper and Speicher 2011). The GST-tag was cleaved with preScission protease (GE Lifesciences, 27-0843-01). The SOD1 protein was concentrated to > 5 mg/mL and buffer exchanged to 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate at pH 8.3 for fluorescent tagging. Alexa Fluor 488 5-SDP ester was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml and the SOD1/tag mixture was incubated at room temperature for 2 h while rotating. Following the incubation, SOD1 was buffer exchanged into PBS.

**Protein delivery with osmotic pumps** – All SOD1 proteins were in phosphate buffered saline and filter sterilized through a 0.22 µicon (Millipore, SLGV004SL) filter prior to usage. The osmotic pumps (Alzet; 1003D, 3 d pumps; 1004, 28 d pumps) were filled with approximately 100 µL of SOD1 with a 27G x 0.45”
syringe. A mouse intrathecal catheter (Alzet, #0007743) was attached to the top of the pump.
PREFACE TO APPENDIX II

The data presented in Figure All-5 and Table All-1 was performed by Ye Shang.

All other work presented was performed by Melissa S. Rotunno.
APPENDIX II: Reverting the ALS-linked Misfolded SOD1 to its Non-toxic Wild-Type Conformation

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a devastating neurodegenerative disease characterized by a loss of voluntary movement over time, typically resulting in death within 3-5 years of diagnosis. As of now, there is only weak treatment available, extending survival by a mere 3-6 months. Approximately 10% of ALS cases are inherited or familial (FALS), the majority of which are linked to mutations in $C9orf72$ and $SOD1$. However, much less is known about the cause(s) of sporadic ALS (SALS) that account for 90% of ALS cases. FALS and SALS are clinically indistinguishable, suggesting similar mechanisms underlie both forms of this disease. SOD1 (Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase), an anti-oxidizing enzyme, represents one factor common to FALS and SALS, distinguishing it as a plausible therapeutic target. Mutations in SOD1 cause ALS through a mechanism involving misfolding of the protein. Likewise, aberrant post-translational modifications can cause non-mutated, wild-type (WT) SOD1 to adapt a similar misfolded conformation. A portion of post-mortem SALS spinal cord tissues possess misfolded WT SOD1 that, when purified exhibits mutant-like neurotoxic properties such as axonal transport inhibition. Together, these observations support the hypothesis that WT SOD1 plays a pathogenic role in SALS, analogous to the role of mutant-SOD1.

A conformation specific antibody, C4F6, preferentially reacts with ALS-linked SOD1 variants in their native state (see Chapter II). C4F6 also recognizes aberrantly modified forms of WT-SOD1 that are present in post-mortem spinal
cord tissue from a subset of SALS patients not harboring a genetic mutation in SOD1 (Bosco et al. 2010). C4F6 suppresses the axonal transport inhibition caused by SOD1 purified from SALS spinal cord tissue, while other anti-SOD1 antibodies do not. Together, these data suggest the epitope for C4F6 represents an exposed pathogenic motif within misfolded SOD1.

Instead of treating the downstream effects in patients with misfolded SOD1, we hypothesize that directly reverting ALS-linked SOD1 to its wild-type conformation will attenuate disease progression. Our studies aim to identify small molecules to repair misfolded SOD1, as indicated by a loss of C4F6 reactivity. Using high-throughput ELISAs, we identified several promising compounds with therapeutic potential, the most intriguing of which has been shown to bind and decrease SOD1 aggregation in a prior study (Banci et al. 2012a). Currently, we are investigating the ability of these compounds to repair SOD1 misfolding and curb the toxic properties of ALS-linked SOD1 in hopes of establishing an effective therapy for patients suffering from ALS.

**Six small molecules have the potential to revert misfolded SOD1 to its WT-like fold.** A sandwich ELISA (initial C4F6-ELISA) directed at misfolded SOD1 was used to identify compounds that could repair the protein. The C4F6 reactivity of SOD1 preincubated with compounds was quantified using the initial C4F6-ELISA (Fig. All-1). The compound library (LOPAC®1280, Sigma) consists of 1280 compounds that include pharmacologically active compounds and diverse chemical structures. Hits were identified as compounds that resulted in a
Figure AII-1. Initial small molecule screen, LOPAC®1280, identifies six compounds capable of reducing C4F6-ELISA signal. The binding of SOD1 G93A, a misfolded form of SOD1, to C4F6 in the presence of 1280 different compounds was assessed by employing the initial C4F6-ELISA. C4F6 reactivity was normalized to SOD1 G93A alone, the negative control. The key to the left illustrates the color gradient used in the analyses, where 1 (white) indicates no change and 0 (red) indicates a complete loss of C4F6 binding. On the right, all 16 ELISA plates are illustrated with the color gradient indicating the change in C4F6 reactivity compared to SOD1 G93A alone. Each column represents a separate 96-well plate subjected to the initial C4F6-ELISA. Compounds with greater than 75% loss in C4F6 binding were considered lead compounds. Six compounds from this screen fit this criteria and were selected for additional analyses.
>75% reduction in C4F6 binding, when compared to SOD1 G93A reactivity alone. Six compounds were selected for further validation based on this criteria (Figure All-1).

**Dose-response curve identifies one compound that reproducibly results in loss of C4F6 reactivity.** The six initial compounds were tested in a dose-response curve to determine if the initial results in the screen were reproducible. One out of the six compounds, MRS2211 (Drug A, Figure All-2) showed reduction in C4F6-ELISA signal that correlated with increasing drug concentration. Being that MRS2211 contains a phosphate group on the molecule, one possibility is that the phosphate group is interfering with the alkaline phosphatase enzyme, as phosphate inhibits its activity. To eliminate this possibility, an identical ELISA was performed with this compound using horse radish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated anti-mouse as the secondary antibody instead of alkaline phosphatase. The HRP-based ELISA produced similar results where increasing concentrations of MRS2211 resulted in a decrease in C4F6 reactivity suggesting that the signal reduction is not due to interference in the alkaline phosphatase enzyme itself (Figure All-3). Next, we wanted to test whether or not MRS2211 produced a loss of C4F6 reactivity for other SOD1 variants as well. Dose-response curves with SOD1 G93A and G85R show that increasing concentrations of MRS2211 result in loss of ELISA signal (Fig. All-4). Despite the promising data produced by these ELISAs, we were unable to detect any binding between SOD1 G93A and MRS2211 with isothermal titration
Figure AII-2. Independent verification of lead compounds with a Dose-Response analysis. The ability of lead compounds to disrupt C4F6 binding to SOD1 G93A in the presence of the six initial lead compounds identified in the LOPAC®1280 screen was assessed by C4F6-ELISA with AP-conjugated antibody as the detection method (initial C4F6-ELISA). SOD1 G93A was pre-incubated with the indicated compounds prior to analyses. One (Drug A, MRS2211) out of the 6 initial hits demonstrated a reduction in SOD1 G93A binding to C4F6.
Figure AII-3. Verification of the lead compound, MRS2211, using an alternative sandwich ELISA approach. C4F6 reactivity assessed in the presence of either WT or SOD1 G93A with increasing concentrations of MRS2211. The optimized C4F6-ELISA was employed for verification. SOD1 G93A pre-incubated with MRS2211 induces a loss of C4F6 binding as demonstrated by both the alkaline phosphatase (A) and horseradish peroxidase (B) ELISA formats with an EC50 of approximately 10 µM. This loss of C4F6 reactivity suggests MRS2211 has the potential to revert SOD1 G93A to a "WT-like" conformation.
Figure All-4. MRS2211 attenuates C4F6 reactivity in all ALS-linked SOD1 variants tested. The optimized HRP C4F6-ELISA was employed to assess C4F6 reactivity of FALS-linked SOD1 variants in the presence of MRS2211. In addition to SOD1 G93A, both ALS-linked mutations SOD1 G85R and A4V demonstrate a reduction in C4F6 binding when pre-incubated with MRS2211 ([SOD]=0.3 µM).
calorimetry experiments (ITC) and, therefore, conclude that the drug was interfering with the coating antibody and not binding SOD1 directly.

**Employing an optimized C4F6-ELISA to identify novel compounds with the potential to repair misfolded SOD1.** The C4F6-ELISA employed in Figure All-1 and All-2 exhibited a high signal to noise ratio. This resulted in huge limitation of the initial compound screen to detect promising compounds (Fig. All-1). The sandwich C4F6-ELISA antibodies were further optimized to enhance the signal to noise ratio (compare the C4F6 reactivity values from Figure All-2 (initial C4F6-ELISA, 0.16) and Figure All-3 (optimized C4F6-ELISA, 1.0). Also, horseradish peroxidase was used as an enzymatic read-out in place of the alkaline phosphatase as it demonstrated less well-to-well variability. All told, these optimizations increased the signal to noise ration from 2:1 (initial C4F6-ELISA) to greater than 10:1 (optimized C4F6-ELISA, Fig. III-1). We repeated the same LOPAC screen as discussed above employing the optimized C4F6-ELISA to identify potential compounds to repair misfolded SOD1 G93A ([SOD1]=0.04 µM, [Drug]=10 µM). As illustrated in Figure All-5, a significant reduction in overall background was observed. The improved screen identified 9 potential candidates that resulted in a greater than 50% reduction in C4F6 reactivity (Fig. All-5). None of these 9 hits were identified in the initial compound screen (Fig. All-1). A dose-response curve with SOD1 G93A generated from these hits demonstrated a reproducible reduction in C4F6 reactivity in 7 out of the 9 compounds tested (Fig. All-5B). To eliminate the compounds that directly interfered with the ELISA
Figure AII-5. Optimized C4F6-ELISA identifies 6 potential compounds to repair misfolded SOD1. (A) A summary of the LOPAC screen for molecules that result in a loss of C4F6 binding (0, complete loss of C4F6 binding; 1, no change). All samples were normalized to SOD1 G93A alone, the negative control. “Hits” were compounds that resulted in a >50% decrease in signal compared to SOD1 G93A alone. (B) The initial 9 hits were tested in a dose-response curve with SOD1 G93A. Seven out of the 9 initial hits were able to decrease absorbance at 450 nm, suggesting a loss of C4F6 binding. (C) The confirmed 7 hits were tested in an ELISA with SOD1 G93A for total SOD1. Six out of 7 compounds had no effect on total SOD1 concentration. One compound resulted in a loss of total SOD1, suggesting that it is interfering with the ELISA itself and not repairing the SOD1 misfolding.
assay, we assessed these 7 compounds in an SOD1 ELISA with WT SOD1 that detects total SOD1 using an independent set of antibodies. One out of the 7 compounds, 13 B04, interfered with the detection of WT SOD1 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. All-5C). In summary, 6 compounds have been identified that have the potential to repair misfolded SOD1 but further testing, such as ITC, is required to assess the extent of SOD1 binding.

Discussion

In this preliminary study, six compounds have been identified with the potential to repair misfolded SOD1 (Table All-1). With the exception of Cisplatin, all compounds contain a benzene ring with at least one hydroxyl group attached, suggesting this structural moiety is conducive to binding SOD1. The structural outlier, Cisplatin, is a known binder of SOD1 that interferes with the formation of high molecular weight SOD1 aggregates (Banci et al. 2012a). Cisplatin is also a common chemotherapy agent. In fact, the resistance of ovarian cancer cells to cisplatin-induced apoptosis is linked to an upregulation of the SOD1 protein (Brown D. P. et al. 2009, Kim et al. 2010).

It is important to further validate these compounds since a reduction in C4F6 reactivity does not definitively indicate a reparation of SOD1 misfolding. The possible reasons for a loss of C4F6 signal include i) binding and stabilizing the SOD1 protein thereby decreasing exposure of the C4F6 epitope, ii) binding to
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Rack #</th>
<th>C4F6-ELISA Signal Decrease (%)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Initial Screen</td>
<td>Validation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aurbritracylic acid</td>
<td>01 F05</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benserazide hydrochloride</td>
<td>03 H04</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-hydroxy-DL-DOPA</td>
<td>08 H04</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-3,4-dephostatin</td>
<td>11 H02</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>12 D09</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrphostin 47</td>
<td>16 C02</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SOD1 and inducing protein precipitation, iii) competing with C4F6 in the same binding pocket, with no effect on SOD1 conformation, iv) competing with the detection antibody for the same binding region on SOD1, or v) interfering with the coating of C4F6 directly onto the ELISA plate. Future studies are required to determine whether or not these compounds have the ability to repair misfolded SOD1 directly. Initially, ITC can be performed to determine the kinetics of this binding interaction. To test the extent these compounds repair and stabilize the fold of SOD1, we could assess the effects of the compounds on aggregation propensity, melting temperature, and urea denaturation of SOD1. We can also determine whether these compounds reduced the SOD1-induced activation of microglia, as described in Chapter II. We can determine if treatment with these compounds in in vivo ALS transgenic mouse models alters onset and progression of disease. Alternatively, approaches identifying proteins and/or genes with the ability to revert misfolded SOD1 to its wild-type conformation should be explored. One can imagine that employing siRNA screens for a loss of C4F6 binding in cell culture systems could be a powerful tool for identifying mechanisms that induce SOD1 misfolding in ALS.

Materials and Methods

C4F6-ELISA for initial small molecule screen - The plate was coated with a polyclonal anti-SOD1 (Binding Site, PC077). Then SOD1 G93A (0.3 µM) pre-incubated with small molecules (5 µM) were added to the plate and incubated for
1 h. Then C4F6 antibody at 0.6 µg/mL was added to detect misfolded SOD1. Alkaline phosphatase (AP) conjugated or HRP-conjugated anti-mouse antibody was used to quantify the reaction. Absorbance at 450 nm was recorded.

**C4F6-ELISA for optimized small molecule screen** – See Chapter III, Materials and Methods for C4F6-ELISA protocol details. The screen was performed with SOD1 at a concentration of 0.04 µM and compound at 10 µM.
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APPENDIX III: Post-translational modification by cysteine protects Cu/Zn-superoxide dismutase from oxidative damage.
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ABSTRACT: Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are cytotoxic. To remove ROS, cells have developed ROS-specific defense mechanisms, including the enzyme Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD1), which catalyzes the disproportionation of superoxide anions into molecular oxygen and hydrogen peroxide. Although hydrogen peroxide is less reactive than superoxide, it is still capable of oxidizing, unfolding, and inactivating SOD1, at least in vitro. To explore the relevance of post-translational modification (PTM) of SOD1, including peroxide-related modifications, SOD1 was purified from postmortem human nervous tissue. As much as half of all purified SOD1 protein contained non-native post-translational modifications (PTMs), the most prevalent modifications being cysteinylation and peroxide-related oxidations. Many PTMs targeted a single reactive SOD1 cysteine, Cys112. An intriguing observation was that unlike native SOD1, cysteinylated SOD1 was not oxidized. To further characterize how cysteinylation may protect SOD1 from oxidation, cysteine-modified SOD1 was prepared in vitro and exposed to peroxide. Cysteinylation conferred nearly complete protection from peroxide-induced oxidation of SOD1. Moreover, SOD1 that has been cysteinylated and peroxide oxidized in vitro comprised a set of PTMs that bear a striking resemblance to the myriad of PTMs observed in SOD1 purified from human tissue.

INTRODUCTION

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are byproducts of aerobic metabolism and are also the primary products of certain oxidoreductases. For example, the incomplete reduction of oxygen to water during mitochondrial respiration can create both hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and superoxide anion (O₂⁻·). These byproducts are harmful to cells because they can alter protein conformation, disrupt enzyme function, and mutate DNA, among other things.¹⁻² A testament to the toxicity of ROS is the monocyte-resident oxidoreductase, NADPH oxidase (NOX), which generates the superoxide enzymatically to kill targeted cells, including microorganisms. Cells combat harmful ROS species with a multifaceted antioxidant defense mechanism that includes the metalloenzyme Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD1). SOD1 catalyzes the disproportionation of the superoxide anion as follows:³

\[ 2 \text{O}_2^- + 2\text{H}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{O}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \]

Loss of SOD1 function leads to an increase in superoxide anions, causing negative effects, including cell death under conditions of oxygen stress. One potential mechanism for inactivation of SOD1 is via oxidation by its own reaction product, hydrogen peroxide. Indeed, modification by two (sulfenic acid) or three (sulfonic acid) oxygen atoms on SOD1 Cys112 are well-established peroxide-mediated modifications in vitro⁴ and in vivo.⁵ Residue 111 is situated at the SOD1 dimer interface⁶ and is highly conserved, commonly serine. In humans, great apes, and a few other species, residue 111 is a cysteine. Cys111 is highly reactive and has been shown to be modified by oxygen, copper, glutathione⁶⁻¹⁰ and potentially cysteine.¹¹⁻¹²

Modification by an oxygen atom can be detrimental to SOD1 structure and function¹⁻³ and has been implicated in diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.¹³ In fact, sulfenic acid-modified SOD1 (three oxygen atoms) is the same form of SOD1 that Bosco et al. showed inhibits fast axonal transport in a similar fashion to SOD1 familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (FALS) variant.¹³ Here, we characterize post-translational modifications (PTMs) of SOD1 in situ, including peroxide- and cysteine-related modifications, and provide in vitro evidence that cysteinylation protects SOD1 from oxidative damage.
METHODS

SOD1 Purification from Human Tissue. Two purification protocols using distinct elution buffers and antibodies were used to investigate PTMs and their relative amounts in human tissue. The first purification protocol was previously described and used monoclonal rabbit antibodies raised in house against a mixture of native and modified (by both oxygen and sulfur adducts on Cys(111)) SOD1 purified from human erythrocytes; elution was with 5% acetic acid.15 This protocol provides protein that can be directly infused into a mass spectrometer, avoiding lengthy liquid chromatography. In the second purification, SOD1 was isolated from human nervous tissue as previously described16 using a sheep polyclonal antibody raised against SOD1 from human erythrocytes and gentle elution buffer (reportedly 3 M MgCl2 at roughly neutral pH). Frozen human nervous tissue was homogenized in lysis buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 7.8, supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) at 4 °C followed by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm, and the supernatant was applied to an individual immunopurity column. Columns were washed four times with 600 µL (∼20 column volumes total) of wash buffer (25 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.8). SOD1 proteins were eluted with 2 X 500 µL of either 5% acetic acid (purification 1) or gentle antibody elution buffer (GEB), pH 6.6 (Pierce, 21027) (purification 2). To ensure that the purified samples contained a representative sampling of native and modified SOD1, we verified that SOD1 was immunodepleted from the homogenates. Following the first immunopurification, the column was reequilibrated in lysis buffer, the depleted homogenates (flow through) were reapplied, and the purifications were repeated in this way a total of three times. If protein was detected in a repeat purification (using MALDI-TOF MS; only the second purification occasionally contained minor amounts of SOD1), that purification was pooled with the first. Proteins eluted with GEB were buffer exchanged into 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, and concentrated to ~100 µL, and the concentrations were determined by Western blot and densitometry (ImageJ) analyses with recombinant wild-type SOD1 standards. Proteins eluted with 5% acetic acid were used as purified. In addition, SOD1 was purified anaerobically in the presence or absence of iodoacetamide (10 mM), iodoacetic acid (4 mM), and S-methyl methanethiosulfonate (MMTS) (0.5 mM) to block any unreacted cysteine residues and to scavenge any free cysteine using an iMBraun Unilab glovebox with oxygen levels below 10 ppm, monitored by diethyl zinc.

Recombinant SOD1 Expression and Purification. In in vitro studies, we used SOD1 overexpressed and purified from S. cerevisiae. The construct for expression of human SOD1 in S. cerevisiae was obtained through the generous gift of Dr. P. John Hart, Ph.D., (University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX). Expression and purification was carried out as previously described.15 Briefly, each construct in the yeast expression vector Yep351 was transformed into EGY118Δ-SOD1 yeast and grown at 30 °C for 36–48 h. Cultures were pelleted, lysed using 0.5 mm glass beads and a blender, and subjected to a 60% ammonium sulfate cut. After ammonium sulfate precipitation, the sample was pelleted and the supernatant was dialyzed against 0.19 volumes of a low salt buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM sodium chloride, 0.1 M EDTA, 0.25 mM DTT, pH 7.0) to a final concentration of 2.0 M ammonium sulfate. This sample was then purified using a Phenyl Sepharose 6 Fast Flow (High Sub) hydrophobic interaction chromatography column (GE Life Sciences) using a 300 mL linearly decreasing salt gradient from a high salt buffer (2.0 M ammonium sulfate, 50 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM sodium chloride, 0.1 M EDTA, 0.25 mM DTT, pH 7.0) to the low salt buffer. Samples containing SOD1 were eluted between 1.6 and 1.1 M ammonium sulfate, pooled, and buffer exchanged to a 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, buffer using Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal filter units (Millipore). The protein was then loaded onto a Mono Q 10/100 anion exchange chromatography column (GE Life Sciences) and eluted using a 200 mL linearly increasing salt gradient from a low salt buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0) to a high salt buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 M sodium chloride). The gradient was run from 0 to 30% 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 M sodium chloride, and SOD1 eluted between 5 and 12% 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 M sodium chloride. SOD1 protein was quantified using the Bradford assay with yields of 6 mg/mL (0.75 mg/L) and confirmed by MALDI-TOF and FTMS analysis.

Direct Infusion ESI-FTMS and ESI-Ion Trap MS. Samples in 5% acetic acid were analyzed by direct infusion (Figures 1 and 2A), and the results were compared to LC–MS results (Figure 2B). For ESI-FTMS infusion experiments SOD1 was diluted to approximately 1 µM concentration in 50% acetonitrile (ACN)/49.9% HPLC grade water/0.1% formic acid and infused (sprayed directly) into the FTMS using similar instrument acquisition parameters as described below. For ESI-ion trap infusion experiments SOD1 was also diluted to approximately 1 µM concentration in 40% ACN and infused (sprayed directly) into the Bruker Daltonics HCT Ultra ion trap with capillary voltage = −4000 V, skimmer 1 = −40 V, ICC on with a maximum accumulation time of 200 000 µs.

RP-HPLC and FTMS. Purified SOD1 protein in GEB was analyzed using reversed-phase liquid chromatography and Fourier transform mass spectrometry as previously described.13 Briefly, reversed-phase liquid chromatography was performed using a 2-dimensional nanoflow rate liquid chromatography (Eksigent), a 5 mm, 300 µm i.d. guard column (LC Packings, Part Number 160454), and a self-packed 14 cm, 100 µm ID column with 5 µm C18 beads (unpacked from a larger 75 µm column). Buffer A consisted of 0.1% formic acid (v/v) in HPLC grade water and buffer B consisted of 0.1% formic acid (v/v) in 100% HPLC grade acetonitrile (v/v). Samples were diluted to a final formic acid concentration of 0.1% (v/v) and injected. Following injection, samples were washed on the guard column with 160 column volumes of buffer A (8 µL min−1), and eluted at 650 nL min−1 using a 0–40% gradient over 30 min. Samples were introduced via a nanospray ion source with a dual ion funnel (Apollo II) connected to a 9.4 T hybrid quadrupole Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) FTMS mass spectrometer (Apex Q-394, Bruker Daltonics). External calibration of the m/z scale was performed using electrospray tuning mix (Agilent, G2431A) using peaks at m/z 622, 922, 1522, and 2122.

After desolvation, the ions were transferred from a source hexapole to the quadrupole mass filter where isolation could occur in a second hexapole (collision cell). Ions accumulated in the second hexapole were then transferred through the ion optics region of the instrument to the ICR cell. Frequency sweep excitation was followed by image charge detection. Important instrument operation parameters include source declustering potential = 40 V, hexapole 1 accumulation time = 0.1 ms, collision cell accumulation time = 1 s, time-of-flight = 1.8 ms (D2), sidekick extraction voltages = −1.0 V (EV1, EV2, EV3).
Figure 1. Cysteinylation, a prevalent post-translational modification of SOD1 in human nervous tissue. SOD1 was isolated from a nondiseased human spinal cord using an SOD1 antibody column, 50% acetonitrile was added to improve MS signal, and then the mixture was infused directly into the FTMS. Deprotonated and deconvoluted data for the entire mass range was analyzed, showing the monoisotopic mass for unmodified (15836.10 Da) and modified (15955.11 Da) SOD1, the delta mass being 199.01 Da, which is consistent with cysteinylation. Note that the solvents and declustering potentials used were such that native metals were not observed. Insert: 14+ charge state showing unmodified (m/z 1132.085) and modified (m/z 1141.586) apo SOD1. This data is representative of the eight human nervous tissue samples analyzed.

DEV2), RF excitation voltage = 130 V, and ICR trapping potential = 12 V. Intact protein masses were reconstructed using the deconvolution function from DataAnalysis (Bruker Daltonics, version 3.4), and monoisotopic masses were determined using the Snap II algorithm (Bruker Daltonics).

In Vitro Cysteinylation and Oxidation. Human SOD1 overexpressed and purified from S. cerevisiae, at either 0.1 or 1 μM concentration, was incubated with 40 μM cysteine overnight at room temperature and analyzed using direct infusion into the Fourier transform mass spectrometer. Oxidation was performed at room temperature for 4 h using 100 or 10 mM hydrogen peroxide. Time points were collected every hour and analyzed using direct infusion into the FTMS using similar parameters as described above. All samples were desalted using C18-containing micropipet tips according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Millipore) and diluted 1:3 in HPLC water prior to injection into the mass spectrometer.

Identification of Site of Cysteinylation Using Funnel Skimmer Dissociation (FSD). Cysteinylated SOD1 (1 μM) in the presence of 5% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid was directly infused into a 9.4 T Bruker Daltonics Fourier transform mass spectrometer in nanospray mode. Skimmer 1 voltage was then increased from 40 to 120 V in 10 V increments in order to fragment the protein using diverse fragmentation channels (at low voltage, primarily mobile proton-directed, for example at proline residues; at higher voltages, primarily charge remote, for example at acidic residues5). Data were analyzed using Bruker Daltonics’s DataAnalysis software.

RESULTS

SOD1 Purified from Human Brain and Spinal Cord Is Modified by Cysteine and Oxygen. SOD1 was immunopurified using rabbit polyclonal anti-SOD1 (each respective sample individually), from 10 nondiseased human and mouse nervous tissue samples (8 human and 2 mouse overexpressing human SOD1, brain and spinal cord), eluted using 5% acetic acid, and analyzed by direct infusion-Fourier transform mass spectrometry (Figure 1, human) or by direct infusion-ion trap mass spectrometry (Supporting Information Figure 1, mouse).
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Cysteinylolation of SOD1 was consistently the most prevalent PTM observed as peaks (e.g., the 14+ charge state at m/z 1141.856) corresponding to a deconvoluted and deisotoped monoisotopic mass of 15 955.11 Da, which is 119.01 Da larger than unmodified SOD1 (15 836.10 Da). A 119.01 Da modification is consistent with the molecular weight of cysteine with the two thiol groups of cysteine (Figure 1). In addition to cysteinylolation, we observed modifications we putatively assigned as modification with one (15 851.40 Da) and two oxygen atoms (15 866.38 Da). The abundance of these putative oxidative modifications was too low to permit further characterization; however, oxidative modifications of Cys111 and Trp90 have been described previously.

To further investigate PTMs by cysteine and oxygen, as well as their relative amounts, an additional four postmortem human brain samples were analyzed using a different purification method (different homogenization buffer, SOD1 antibodies, wash buffer, and elution buffer) and different preparative conditions (reversed-phase liquid chromatography—mass spectrometry [RPLC–MS]; Figure 2). Cysteinylolation and oxidation were observed in all four samples (Figure 2B), although the percentage of total of Cys modified SOD1 appeared slightly lower in RPLC–MS analysis (38% ± 7% via RPLC–MS versus 48% ± 4% via direct infusion). Reversed-phase chromatography generally increases the dynamic range of MS analysis, and as a result, additional modifications were detected in these samples. Therefore, the overall average cysteinylolation observed in human nervous tissue (both direct infusion and RPLC–MS) was 41% (maximum cysteinylolation observed was 62%; minimum cysteinylolation observed was 22%). Notably, cysteinylolation was not a prevalent modification in SOD1 purified from human blood by the first method but was reportedly observed in other purifications.

To determine if the extent of cysteine modification or oxidation depended upon purification methods—for example, if oxidative addition of free cysteine to thiol disulfide exchange with free cysteine—two additional controls were used. First, SOD1 was purified anaerobically. Second, SOD1 was homogenized anaerobically in the presence of iodoacetamide, iodoacetic acid, and S-methyl methanethiosulfonate (MMTS) to alkylate and scaveng any free cysteine as well as unmodified SOD1 Cys111. Cysteinylolation was observed in SOD1 purified anaerobically; however, there was approximately a 2-fold reduction in the amount observed compared to the amount of the samples purified aerobically. The cysteine alkylators and scavengers removed the majority of the cysteinylolation, but a small amount was still present.

In summary, to investigate PTMs by cysteine and oxygen, we performed purifications (1) using different antibodies and elution conditions, (2) with and without liquid chromatography, (3) by aerobic and anaerobic methods, (4) with and without alkylating agent to block endogenous "free" cysteine from binding SOD1 during homogenization, and (5) from different tissues and organisms (human and mouse spinal cord and brain). Under the conditions tested here, the SOD1 purified from human and mouse tissue, but not from yeast, contained cysteinylolated SOD1 (Table 1).

### Table 1. Amount of SOD1 Cysteinylolated Using Different Purification Procedures and from Different Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purification method</th>
<th>% cysteinylolation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyclonal rabbit antibody</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyclonal sheep antibody</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium: 5% acetic acid</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium: gentle elution buffer with liquid chromatography</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without liquid chromatography</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobically with alkylating agent</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobically without alkylating agent</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein source</th>
<th>% cysteinylolation</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human nervous tissue</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Figures 1, 2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse nervous tissue</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Supporting Information Figure 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Figure 3A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cysteinylolation of SOD1 is Protected from Oxidation

Human SOD1 expressed and purified from yeast cells was analyzed using a Fourier transform mass spectrometer (FTMS), which showed a peak consistent with native SOD1 (Figure 3A; note that acidic buffers and desolvation conditions were such that Cu and Zn could not be detected). Cysteinylolated SOD1 was created in vitro as described above. Both native and cysteinylolated SOD1 were observed, and the binding stoichiometry was approximately one cysteine per SOD1 dimer (Figure 3B), indicating that cysteinylolation of one Cys111 can potentially block cysteinylolation of the adjacent Cys111 presumably sterically.

To determine the extent to which in vitro cysteinylolation modification protected SOD1 from peroxide-mediated modification, a sample containing both cysteinylolated SOD1 and the native protein was oxidized using 10 mM hydrogen peroxide in a time course study. After 2 h, the majority of native SOD1 protein was modified by two or three oxygen atoms. Conversely, only a small amount of cysteinylolated SOD1 was oxidized (Figure 3C; red dotted lines versus blue dotted lines), indicating near complete protection. In addition, 4 h after peroxide treatment, all the native SOD1 had been oxidized by two or three oxygen atoms (the native protein is no longer observed), whereas cysteinylolated SOD1 was not oxidized (Figure 3D; red dotted lines versus blue dotted lines).

### Cysteinylolation Occurred Specifically upon SOD1 Cys111

PTMs are typically localized using endoprotease digestion followed by LC–MS/MS analysis. In both MALDI-TOF fingerprinting and LC–MS/MS experiments, we observed cysteinylolated peptides containing Cys111, and localized this modification to Cys111 using MS/MS data (Supporting Information Figures 2 and 3). This approach, however, was not
ideal for cysteinylated protein. Control experiments revealed that, following endoproteinase digestion, rapid scrambling of SOD1 disulfides occurred, including scrambling of the native disulfide (Cys$_{1}$ and Cys$_{7}$) with Cys$_{5}$ and Cys$_{12}$. This necessitated a "top-down" MS approach, whereby fragmentation occurs within the mass spectrometer such that disulfide scrambling cannot normally occur. To localize the site of modification by cysteine, SOD1 was cysteinylated in vitro and analyzed via intact protein dissociation within the FTMS (Figure 4A). Cysteinylated SOD1 was fragmented in the FTMS using collisionally activated dissociation at the funnel–skimmer interface, yielding an abundant b-ion series (Figure 4B). The b$_{1}$-ion and larger b-ions (fragments containing the N-terminus and Cys$_{5}$) fit the theoretical mass of unmodified Cys$_{5}$(Figure 4C), and no modified peaks were observed (on the basis of S/S, as little as 2% of modified Cys$_{5}$ could have been detected). In addition, we observed a y$_{10}$-ion at a mass of 14454.09 Da. This mass is consistent with a cysteinylated SOD1 y-ion containing C-terminal residues 16–153 and containing the intramolecular disulfide bond between residues 57 and 146 (Figure 4D). In summary, cysteine 57 and 146 are in a disulfide bond and are unable to be cysteinylated; we ruled out cysteinylate of cysteine 6 using MS/MS data and observed a large cysteinylated C-terminal SOD1 fragment, consistent with cysteinylation of Cys$_{12}$. In a sister publication (DOI 10.1021/bi400613h), we present the 3-dimensional structure of cysteinylated SOD1, which is consistent with the results presented here, and also characterize the binding stoichiometry as 1 cysteinylper SOD1 dimer.

**DISCUSSION**

Protein cysteinylation is not well-characterized, partially due to the practice of purifying/treating proteins in the presence of reducing agents such as DTT. It has, however, been observed in transferrin (TTR), human serum albumin, and the k1 light chain from an amyloid patient. In addition, cysteinylation has been observed in *Bacillus subtilis* during oxidative stress treatment. Hochgrafe et al. suggest that cysteinylation may play a role in protecting cysteine residues from oxidation and irreversible damage in *Bacillus subtilis* and possibly other organisms.

More than half of all the SOD1 protein isolated here from postmortem human nervous tissue contained PTMs, predominantly cysteinylation and oxidation. Cysteine-modified SOD1 was protected from peroxide-mediated oxidation in vitro, and cysteinylated SOD1 purified from human tissue appears also to have been protected from oxidation. SOD1 that had been cysteinylated and peroxide oxidized in vitro was composed of a set of PTMs that bear a striking resemblance to the myriad of PTMs observed in SOD1 purified from human tissue (Figures 1 and 2), indicating peroxide and cysteine are among the major modifiers of SOD1.

Putative cysteinylation of SOD1 was observed in vitro and in preparations from blood on the basis of differences in intact protein mass, and in some cases, the modification was labile to reductants. Li et al. observe a modified SOD1 118 Da heavier than unmodified SOD1 that disappears with DTT treatment and concluded that the modification was cysteine. However, this change in mass of 118 Da is not consistent with the 119 Da increase in mass expected for a cysteine modification. In no previous studies were peptide digest or MS data provided to confirm that the modification was cysteine or to determine the site of modification. Here, using endoproteinase sequencing by MALDI-TOF MS, MS$^{3}$ data of an LC–ESI-ion trap, and top-down MS, cysteinylation of SOD1 on residue 111 was confirmed. In addition, we suggest a possible role for SOD1 cysteinylation, namely protection from peroxide-mediated oxidation.

In anaerobic control experiments in the presence or absence of alkylating/thiol scavenging agents, we observed a reduction in the amount of cysteinylation, which is consistent with some of the modifications we observed from human tissue occurring during the homogenization process. Note that cysteinylation from cysteine occurs via thiol–disulfide exchange and is redox neutral, whereas cysteinyl modification from cysteine requires the loss of two protons and two electrons (oxidation). Although treatment with alkylating agents/cysteine scavengers is a harsh treatment with the potential to remove cysteinyl, it did not do so in vitro control experiments. Cysteinylation remained the most abundant PTM in the anaerobic (with no other chemicals) treatment.

An argument against nonenzymatic cysteinylation of SOD1 occurring during homogenization and can be made on the basis of the cellular ratios of SOD1 to free cysteine/cysteine (CHS/CSSC) and glutathione (GSH/GSSG). Although the amount of SOD1 is ~10 µM, the amount of cysteine inside the cell is approximately 2.5 µM, and the amount of cysteine is approximately 0.25–1.3 µM. Given similarities in redox potential ($E_{0}$) for CHS/CSSC and GSH/GSSG (~0.22 and...
Figure 4. SOD1 Cys111, the site of cysteinylation. (A) Mass spectrum of intact cysteinylated SOD1. (B) Fragmentation spectrum of cysteinylated SOD1 using funnel–skimmer dissociation with the N-terminal b-ion series labeled. (C) Zoom in of the b14 ion (contains cysteine 6). No peak corresponding to a cysteinylated form of cysteine 6 is observed (approximately m/z 652a dotted blue box). (D) C-terminal fragment (y16) of SOD1 (residues 16–153), which is consistent with the mass of a cysteinylated SOD1 fragment. The red lines are the theoretical isotopic distribution modeled using the simulated isotopic pattern parameter in DataAnalysis (Bruker) of cysteinylated y14 (mass of y14 plus 121.158 Da for cysteine, subtract two hydrogen atoms upon its non-native disulfide formation, and an additional two hydrogen atoms for the intramolecular disulfide).

~0.24 μM, respectively) and the 1000-fold higher concentration of glutathione in the brain (~1–2 mM GSH and ~8 μM GSSG), glutathionylation (not observed) is the most likely artifact of our purification. Furthermore, despite concentrations of cysteine and cysteine being 50–100 times higher in plasma, we do not observe cysteinylated SOD1 in blood preparations (~50 purifications). On the other hand, cysteinylation was putatively assigned, though without MS/MS or protein digest confirmation, in other purifications. Thus, on the basis of the protein concentrations in the cell, the cysteine concentration, and their redox potential, it is likely cysteinylation can occur in vivo.

Despite treatment with large excesses of cysteine, only one cysteine was detected to be bound per SOD1 dimer, consistent with cysteinylation of SOD1 Cys111, on one monomer blocking cysteinylation on the second monomer. This is probably due to the close proximity (~8–10 Å) of both cysteine residues in the dimer interface of SOD1; we were unable to model two cysteine residues per dimer without them overlapping or being strained. A sister publication characterizes the 3-dimensional structure of cysteinylated SOD1. Although the binding of free cysteine to one of SOD1’s cysteine residues blocks the binding of a second cysteine, our data suggest that it does not completely protect the adjacent Cys111 (noncysteinylated Cys111) from oxidation.

Dimer destabilization of SOD1 has been implicated in disease progression for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Oxygen-modified cysteine residues are negatively charged, and if both Cys111 are oxidized, there would be a coulombic impetus for destabilizing the SOD1 dimer. We, and others, have shown that oxidation of SOD1 by hydrogen peroxide is capable of destabilizing SOD1. In addition, the sulfonic acid modified SOD1 (three oxygen atoms per cysteine) is the same form of SOD1 that Bosco et al. show inhibits fast axonal transport in a similar fashion to SOD1 FALS variants. Thus, by preventing oxidation of Cys111, cysteinylation could ameliorate SOD1 dimer destabilization and minimize the formation of toxic SOD1 species. Under conditions of both oxidative stress and aging, there is both more cysteine, which can cysteinylate SOD1 directly, and more oxygen to promote cysteine–SOD1 oxidative coupling. For example, cysteine concentrations double with age (average of 52 μM at age 26; average of 105 μM at age 60). Cysteinylation of SOD1 is therefore more likely to occur under conditions of both oxidative stress and aging and may be an adaptive modification.
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PREFACE TO APPENDIX IV

All work was performed by Melissa S. Rotunno.
APPENDIX IV: Cysteinylation of SOD1 may protect against misfolded SOD1

As described in detail in Appendix III, cysteinylation of SOD1 may be an *in vivo* mechanism to avoid the presence of misfolded SOD1 induced by oxidation. Cysteinylated SOD1 is less likely to be oxidized and demonstrates an increase in overall stability of the protein compared to oxidized SOD1 (Auclair et al. 2013a). To assess the extent of reparation of the fold of SOD1 induced by cysteinylation, SOD1 variants were cysteinylated, run on a native PAGE, and probed with the C4F6 antibody. The C4F6 antibody demonstrates a decrease in reactivity in SOD1 G93A, G85R, and ΔIV/ΔVII upon cysteinylation ([Fig. AIV-1](#)). This supports the protective role of cysteinylation in SOD1 folding, described in Appendix III.
Figure AIV-1. Cysteinylation of recombinant SOD1 results in a decrease in C4F6 reactivity. (A) Recombinant SOD1 variants incubated with 4-fold molar excess of cysteine for 16 h were assessed for C4F6 reactivity by Native PAGE western analysis. Cysteinylated variants demonstrate a decrease in C4F6 reactivity. (B) Quantification of analysis described in (A) where each variant is normalized to the “no cys” counterpart.
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