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Testing a novel manual communication system for mechanically ventilated ICU patients

Miriam A. Goldberg\(^1\), Leigh R. Hochberg\(^2\), Dawn Carpenter\(^3\), Johnny Isenberger\(^3\), Stephen O. Heard\(^4\), J. Matthias Walz\(^4\)

\(^1\)Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Massachusetts Medical School; \(^2\)Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA and Department of Engineering, Brown University; \(^3\)UMass Graduate School of Nursing; \(^4\)Department of Anesthesiology & Perioperative Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School

Introduction: Available communication methods for intubated patients in the ICU are insufficient to meet patient needs. Both ICU patients and their care providers report broadly unsuccessful communication attempts, resulting in less effective medical care and undue stress\(^1,2\). Use of existing methods - including letter boards, writing, and mouthing words - for mechanically ventilated (MV) patients has led to a consensus that new methods are required\(^3\). We report on the testing of a new system designed to address the communication needs of MV patients that is currently being tested in a low- to medium- acuity surgical ICU\(^4\).

Methods: We have developed several generations of prototypes designed to address patient communication needs. Design of this device has focused on ICU-specific communication needs, including ICU-specific content, infection control, simple design, and capitalizing on motor movements that can be easily performed by most ICU patients. Initial testing, starting with non-MV patients able to give more detailed feedback, has begun in a low- to medium- acuity surgical ICU. Recently developed prototypes combine custom-built tablet software, focusing on the needs that nurses believe patients wish to express in the ICU setting, with a newly designed manually operated access device. The system produces visual and auditory output to allow patients to answer basic questions and effectively convey information.

Results: Initial patient impressions are encouraging, particularly among patients who have recently experienced mechanical ventilation. Many patients are unfamiliar with tablet software or struggle with manual dexterity required to access the tablet screen directly, further indicating the need for an external access method as part of the system. The content suggested by nurses via a previously conducted survey has been confirmed by patients as relevant to their experience.

Conclusions: A novel manually operated communication system has elicited both positive reviews and helpful feedback from patients.
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