A Polymerase Chain Reaction/Ligase Detection Reaction–Fluorescent Microsphere Assay to Determine *Plasmodium falciparum* MSP-119 Haplotypes
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**Abstract.** The merozoite surface protein-1 (MSP-1) is a blood stage antigen currently being tested as a vaccine against *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. Determining the MSP-119 haplotype(s) present during infection is essential for assessments of MSP-1 vaccine efficacy and studies of protective immunity in human populations. The C-terminal fragment (MSP-119) has four predominant haplotypes based on point mutations resulting in non-synonymous amino acid changes: E-TSR (PNG-MAD20 type), E-KNG (Uganda-PA type), Q-KNG (Wellcome type), and Q-TSR (Indo type). Current techniques using direct DNA sequencing are laborious and expensive. We present an MSP-119 allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR)/ligase detection reaction–fluorescent microsphere assay (LDR-FMA) that allows simultaneous detection of the four predominant MSP-119 haplotypes with a sensitivity and specificity comparable with other molecular methods and a semi-quantitative determination of haplotype contribution in mixed infections. Application of this method is an inexpensive, accurate, and high-throughput alternative to distinguish the predominant MSP-119 haplotypes in epidemiologic studies.

**INTRODUCTION**

Merozoite surface protein-1 (MSP-1) is the most abundant protein found on the surface of blood stage *Plasmodium falciparum* (Pf) merozoites and is currently being tested as a vaccine against Pf malaria. MSP-1 is expressed late in the blood stage cycle as a ~200-kd precursor protein attached to the merozoite surface through a C-terminal glycosyl phosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor. Full-length MSP-1 undergoes primary proteolytic processing just before schizont rupture to produce a complex of four MSP-1 fragments that remain non-covalently associated on the merozoite surface. During merozoite invasion of the erythrocyte, the MSP-142 fragment that anchors the complex to the merozoite surface is further processed to produce MSP-133 and MSP-119. MSP-119 remains on the merozoite surface during invasion and is readily detectable in the newly infected erythrocytes. The MSP-1 gene can be divided into conserved, semi-conserved, and variable blocks based on comparisons of deduced amino acid sequences of various clones and field isolates. Block 17 encodes MSP-119, which includes 98 highly conserved amino acids with the exception of residues 1644, 1691, 1700, and 1701. Non-synonymous changes at these positions result in four predominant haplotypes: E-TSR (PNG-MAD20 type), E-KNG (Uganda-PA type), Q-KNG (Wellcome type), and Q-TSR (Indo type).

Antibodies directed against the conserved MSP-119–kDa C-terminal region are associated with protection against malaria infection or disease. It is unclear whether protective immune responses are haplotype specific or if past exposure to one haplotype conveys cross protection to another. Some cross-protection has been shown in animal models but is not definitively shown in human studies. MSP-1 vaccines are currently in clinical trials. To interpret immunologic endpoints for MSP-1 vaccine trials in malaria-endemic areas, it is important to determine the MSP-119 haplotype variants within a population and their influence on the development of MSP-119–specific immunity. Traditionally, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and DNA sequencing have been preferred methodologies to determine allelic prevalence in a population. This method has been used to estimate the proportions of alleles in mixed Pf infections when those differences were caused by single nucleotide polymorphisms; however, this method tends to be costly, does not lend itself to high-throughput sample processing, and requires knowledge of bioinformatics for accurate comparisons within large datasets. Cloning multiple PCR amplicons from a single individual can also be used to determine the proportion of alleles in a mixed infection, but this is impractical on a large scale with population-based studies. New methods that exploit targeted sequencing of specific genes can overcome laborious cloning. These methods are very precise, but expensive and time consuming. Real-time quantitative PCR (RTQ-PCR) has been used to determine *Plasmodium* parasite density but amplifies a species-specific ribosomal subunit and is not successful at distinguishing allelic variants that differ by single nucleotide polymorphisms. Additionally, it is limited to only a few allelic markers that can be differentiated in a single multiplexed reaction.

Our goal was to develop a high-throughput, accurate, and inexpensive method to determine the relative contribution of each predominant MSP-119 haplotype within a mixed Pf strain infection. We adapted a PCR-based ligase detection reaction–fluorescent microsphere assay (LDR-FMA) method to distinguish between the four predominant MSP-119 haplotypes, their relative frequency within a population, and their quantitative contribution to an individual sample within a mixed infection. This method is particularly suited to monitor Pf MSP-1 genetic diversity within and between human populations and will significantly contribute to understanding MSP-1 vaccine intervention outcomes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study population.** Healthy individuals (101 adults and 100 children) from the malaria holoendemic sub-location of Kanaywagi, Kenya, were enrolled in July 2003 during a time of relatively high malaria transmission. Adults were older than 18 years of age, and the average age of the children was 7.7
years old. All study participants were afebrile and had normal age-adjusted hemoglobin levels. Ethical approval for human study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board at Case Western Reserve University, University Hospital of Cleveland, and the Ethical Review Committee at the Kenya Medical Research Institute. Adults participating in this study signed a written consent form in English or Duhluo (the local language), and parents or guardians signed in the case of minors.

**Blood smear examination.** Thick and thin blood smears (BSs) were prepared, fixed in 100% methanol, stained with 5% Giemsa solution, and examined by light microscopy for *P. falciparum*–infected erythrocytes. The density of parasitemia was expressed as the number of asexual *P. falciparum* per microliter of blood assuming a leukocyte count of 8,000/µL.

**DNA extraction.** DNA was extracted from 200 µL of venous blood (collected in EDTA anti-coagulant) and parasite cultures (3D7 and K1 strains) using QIAamp DNA blood mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

**RTQ-PCR.** Extracted DNA (2.5 µL) was used as template for amplification of the multicopy *P. falciparum* 18S small subunit ribosomal (ssu) RNA gene by RTQ-PCR. Results are reported as copies per microliter of blood.

**MSP-1<sub>19</sub> DNA sequencing.** Samples were sequenced from MSP-1<sub>19</sub> PCR products using primers and conditions previously described. PCR products were purified using QIAquick 96 PCR purification kit (Qiagen). DNA sequencing was performed by MGW Biotech (MGW Biotech, High Point, NC).

**MSP-1<sub>19</sub> and Pf rRNA PCR amplification.** PCR primers were synthesized to amplify a 376-bp region of MSP-1<sub>19</sub> containing the point mutations of interest: upstream primer 5’AACATTTTACACCCATGC-3’ and downstream primer 5’TGAAGGATACATAATTCTACAC-3’ (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA). This set of MSP-1<sub>19</sub> PCR primers was multiplexed with Pf-specific PCR primers for ssu rRNA gene fragment as previously published. Each PCR (25 µL) contained 1× PCR buffer (PerkinElmer, Norwalk, CT), 1.5 mmol/L MgCl₂, 200 µmol/L dNTPs, 300 mmol/L of each primer, and 1.5 units of AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (PerkinElmer), to which 1 mmol/L NAD+, 10 mmol/L dithiothreitol, and 0.1% Triton X-100. PCR reactions were heated to 94°C for 7 minutes. A 3-µL aliquot was removed from each reaction at 27 cycles during optimal non-saturated PCR cycling. Twenty-seven-cycle PCR aliquots were used for the semi-quantitative determination of MSP-1<sub>19</sub> allele and Pf infection levels. LDR-FMA using 35-cycle PCR products were used to determine the presence of MSP-1<sub>19</sub> alleles and detect Pf infection in samples. PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels, stained with SYBR Gold (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), and visualized on a Storm 860 using ImageQuant, 5.2 software (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA).

**LDR-FMA.** The LDR-FMA was adapted to distinguish between single nucleotide polymorphisms that recognize G versus A corresponding to amino acid position 1644 (E versus Q variant), and GCA versus ACG (position 1699) corresponding to the last two nucleotide bases of S and N codons and the first base of the R and G codons, respectively. Four allele-specific probes and two fluorescently labeled conserved sequence probes were designed (Table 1). Additionally, a *Plasmodium*-specific fluorescently labeled conserved probe (designated “Common1”) and a Pf specific probe (designated “P<sub>I</sub>”) previously described were included in the LDR-FMA reaction. In total, five specific probes (four MSP-1<sub>19</sub> allele specific and one Pf-specific) and three conserved sequence probes were included in the multiplexed detection reaction. To one LDR reaction, 1 µL of the PCR reaction was added (either from the 27-cycle or 35-cycle PCR product aliquot). The LDR reaction was performed with 1 µL of the PCR reaction, 10 nmol/L (200 fmol) of each probe, and 2 units of Taq DNA ligase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) in a buffer containing 20 mmol/L Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.6, 25 mmol/L potassium acetate, 10 mmol/L magnesium acetate, 1 mmol/L NAD+, 10 mmol/L dithiothreitol, and 0.1% Triton X-100. LDR reactions (total volume 15 µL) were heated to 95°C for 1 minute, followed by 32 thermal cycles at 95°C for 15 seconds and 58°C for 2 minutes. Five microliters of the multiplexed LDR reaction was added to 60 µL of hybridization solution: 3 mol/L tetramethylammonium chloride (TMAC), 50 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 3 mmol/L EDTA, pH 8.0, and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, containing 250 Luminox FlexMAP microspheres from each allelic set (total number = 5). The hybridization reactions were heated to 95°C for 90 seconds and incubated at 37°C for 40 minutes to allow hybridization between allele-specific LDR products and microsphere-labeled anti-TAG probes. After hybridization, 6 µL of streptavidin-R-phycocerythrin (Molecular Probes) in TMAC hybridization solution (20 ng/µL) was added to the hybridization reaction and incubated at 37°C for 40 minutes in Costar-6511 M polycarbonate 96-well V-bottom plates (Corning, Corning, NY). Detection of allele-specific

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele-specific primers</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>FlexMAP microsphere name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSP-1&lt;sub&gt;19&lt;/sub&gt;-E</td>
<td>5’-ctaaatatcataaatctaa-3’</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP-1&lt;sub&gt;19&lt;/sub&gt;-Q</td>
<td>5’aactataaatatatcataatc-3’</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP-1&lt;sub&gt;19&lt;/sub&gt;-TSR</td>
<td>5’-cataaatataaatataaatc-3’</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP-1&lt;sub&gt;19&lt;/sub&gt;-KNG</td>
<td>5’-ctaaatatcataaatcataaatc-3’</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conserved primers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP-1&lt;sub&gt;19&lt;/sub&gt;-Common1</td>
<td>5’-Phos-AAAAATCGTGGATTTACACATT-3’-Biotin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP-1&lt;sub&gt;19&lt;/sub&gt;-Common2</td>
<td>5’-Phos-GAAAGAAAATTCATGGAATGTA-3’-Biotin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nucleotides in lowercase represent the TAG sequences added to the 5’ end of each allele-specific LDR primer. Nucleotides in bold represent differences between the differentiated alleles (Q vs. E and KNG vs. TSR).*
LDR:microsphere-labeled anti-TAG hybrid complexes was performed using a BioPlex array reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Each Luminex fluorescent microsphere emits a unique fluorescent “classification” signal across the range of 658–712 nm. “Reporter” fluorescent signals from R-phycocerythrin are detected, classified into the allel-specific bins, and reported as median fluorescent intensity (MFI) by the BioPlex array reader and BioPlex Manager 3.0 software.

RESULTS

MSP-1<sub>19</sub> PCR/LDR-FMA determined allele specificity. MSP-1<sub>19</sub>-allele specific determination was conducted using PCR products generated after 35 PCR cycles when saturated cycling occurs. Each Luminex fluorescent microsphere has 10<sup>10</sup> anti-TAG oligos per bead. Thus, the maximal fluorescent signal associated with each microsphere must be ascertained. Determining positive threshold cut-off values was established for each allel-specific microsphere using DNA isolated from cultured Pf strains 3D7 (E-TSR haplotype) and K1 (Q-KNG haplotype). Paraspore DNA was combined in a 1:1 ratio and serially diluted. Figure 1 shows the average MFIs for each allele at each dilution for 16 separate MSP-1<sub>19</sub> PCR/LDR-MFA experiments to determine each microsphere threshold value. Fluorescence cut-off values for microspheres associated with “Q” and “E” were set at an MFI of 800, and cut-off values for microspheres associated with “KNG” and “TSR” were set at an MFI of 3,000. The cut-off values for the microsphere associated with “PT” was set at an MFI of 3,000. Thresholds for MSP-1<sub>19</sub> allele or Pf detection did not change when experiments were performed with background human DNA added to cultured parasite DNA (data not shown).

Sensitivity and specificity of MSP-1<sub>19</sub> allele-specific PCR/LDR-FMA compared with sequencing. Sixty-one Pf PCR-positive (56 BS positive) Kenyan samples were sequenced using traditional direct sequencing and compared with PCR/LDR-FMA. In a single sample, multiple alleles may be present; each sample has the potential to have all four alleles present. Therefore, 244 alleles (61 × 4) had to be determined. Each allele (Q, E, KNG, and TSR) was therefore assessed independently for each sample. As an example, sequencing a single sample may detect alleles Q and KNG. Q and KNG would be considered “positive” alleles, whereas E and TSR would be considered “negative” alleles for this sample. We found 158 alleles that were positive both by LDR-FMA and direct sequencing methods (true positives); 59 alleles were negative both by LDR-FMA and direct sequencing (true negatives); 3 alleles were positive by LDR but negative by direct sequencing (false positives); and 24 alleles were negative by LDR but positive by direct sequencing (false negatives). Compared with traditional DNA sequencing, the gold standard, MSP-1<sub>19</sub> PCR/LDR-FMA had a sensitivity of 87% and specificity of 95%. Specificity was most affected by the E allele, and sensitivity was most affected by the TSR allele. Repeat PCR/LDR-FMA experiments showed 99% concordance, indicating excellent reproducibility.

Pf parasite density by BS, RTQ-PCR, and PCR/LDR-FMA. Kenyan samples (N = 201) were analyzed by microscopy, RTQ-PCR and Pf-specific PCR/LDR-FMA (27 cycles) to compare parasite densities. As expected in a malaria holoendemic area, more children (82%) than adults (34%) were Pf positive by BS, with parasite densities of 3,740/µL blood (range, 80–48,000) and 148/µL blood (range, 80–5,120), respectively. We found 117 of the 201 (58%) samples were positive by BS. Parasite density as determined by the three methods showed a positive correlation between increasing Pf-specific MFI and increasing Pf parasite detection (by BS or RTQ-PCR; data not shown), which is consistent with previously published studies. As with most nucleic acid detection methods, PCR-based LDR-FMA is more sensitive than microscopy for Pf infection detection. Of 84 BS-negative Kenyan samples, 24 were positive by Pf LDR-FMA (35 PCR cycles and MFI cut-off of 3,000) and 37 were positive by Pf RTQ-PCR (copy number > 10,000). Nineteen of the BS negative samples were positive by both Pf LDR-FMA and Pf RTQ-PCR methods.

Relative MSP-1<sub>19</sub> allele contribution to Pf infection can be determined with MSP-1<sub>19</sub> PCR/LDR-FMA. The MSP-1<sub>19</sub> allele-specific PCR/LDR-FMA was multiplexed with the Pf specific PCR/LDR-FMA method so that semi-quantitative Pf infection levels and relative MSP-1<sub>19</sub> allele contributions to haplotypes could be determined. Optimal non-saturated PCR cycling for determining semi-quantitative Pf infection levels was previously found to be 27 cycles. To establish that allele-specific MFIs reflect quantitative differences in their contribution to a mixed strain Pf infections, known amounts of cultured parasite DNA from strains 3D7 (E-TSR) and K1 (Q-KNG) were combined at various concentrations (i.e., 3×Q-KNG:1xE-TSR, 2×Q-KNG:1xE-TSR, 1×Q-KNG:1xE-TSR). Twenty-seven cycles of MSP-1<sub>19</sub>-specific PCR/LDR-FMA were performed, with the results summarized in Figure 2. Figure 2A compares KNG to TSR microspheres with similar maximum MFIs and shows the expected increase or decrease according to the relative amounts of each allele. Figure 2B shows Q versus E microspheres that do not have similar MFI ranges, and therefore the relative contribution from the less intense microsphere (Q) appears skewed to the left. However, if the MFI for Q is adjusted by a factor of 2, the expected result occurs, and the relative Q:E contributions can be dis-
concerned (Figure 2C). Thus, the predominant allele can be determined by a stronger relative fluorescence signal in each mixture. Using Kenyan samples, comparisons of each allele-specific MFI can be made against Pf-specific semi-quantitative results. Thus, different FlexMap microsphere intensities can be compared directly to each other (Figure 3).

Using this method, KNG- and TSR-associated microspheres had comparable fluorescence intensity ranges. Q and E microspheres did not. E MFIs were approximately twice as intense as Q MFIs. This is consistent with mixed cultured parasite DNA experiments. Figure 3 also shows positive correlations between allele intensity and Pf MFI, further validating the semi-quantitative aspect of this method. There was little variability of allele-specific MFI observed in repeated experiments (data not shown).

Using qualitative and quantitative MSP-19 allele determination, haplotype assignments were made for each Pf-positive sample. An example of how haplotype assignments were determined using 35 and 27 PCR cycle products with LDR-FMA in field samples is shown in Table 2. Twenty-seven-cycle Q allele MFIs were adjusted as described in Figure 2.

Prevalence and intensity of MSP-19 haplotypes in field isolates. We used MSP-19–allele specific PCR/LDR-FMA to evaluate field isolates and found 28% (17) of the samples to have single haplotype infection, 62% (38) with mixed infections, and 10% (6) were negative. Single infections contained only E-KNG or Q-KNG haplotypes. In mixed infections where two or three alleles were detected, the more prevalent haplotypes were E-KNG (31) and Q-KNG (30), with E-TSR (5) and Q-TSR (1) being less common as a co-infecting variant. Thirteen samples were positive for all four alleles; therefore, in these samples, dominant haplotype assignments were made within each infection using semi-quantitative data based on the 27 PCR cycle LDR-FMA results with the assumption that only two Pf strains infected an individual at the sampling time point. Of these 13 samples, haplotype assignment of Q-KNG and E-TSR was made in 8 samples, and E-KNG and Q-TSR was made in 5 samples. Using this criterion for assigning major haplotype within samples with four alleles, the overall haplotype prevalence in this study population was Q-KNG (38), E-KNG (36), E-TSR (13), and Q-TSR (6).

Of the 38 samples where three or four alleles were detected, 25 had a clear predominant (major) haplotype and a subordinant (minor) haplotype when comparing microsphere intensities after adjusting for the Q allele microsphere with the lower MFI (Figure 2C). In the mixed infection samples, the predominant haplotypes were Q-KNG and E-KNG. Q-TSR was always a minor component of an infection and was not detected as a single infecting haplotype.

DISCUSSION

MSP-19 allele–specific PCR/LDR-FMA is an inexpensive, accurate, and high-throughput method well suited for monitoring MSP-19 haplotypes in immunoepidemiologic studies or MSP-1 vaccine efficacy trials. With its excellent sensitivity and specificity and at 1/10th the cost compared with traditional DNA sequencing, the MSP-19 PCR/LDR-FMA is a feasible option for large population-based studies. The unique feature of this method is that within one multiplexed
reaction, semi-quantitative Pf infection levels and relative MSP-19 haplotype contributions can be determined. Establishing the relative contribution of each MSP-19 haplotype will allow a more complete understanding of MSP-19 variability, which may be especially relevant for determining vaccine efficacy and immunologic cross-reactivity studies. Another advantage of this technique is that it can be expanded to include other rare MSP-19 haplotypes or other SNPs in genes of interest. One hundred unique fluorescent microspheres are available that potentially can be multiplexed in a single-tube reaction. Recently, examination of 22 different SNPs from three malaria drug resistance genes was multiplexed into one LDR-FMA reaction. This method lends itself to further expansions to include unique sequences from any *Plasmodium*, human, or other species gene of interest that then can be compared directly.

The concentration of template DNA for amplification or sequencing has been shown to not affect the determination of the relative proportion of alleles in mixed infections. For the LDR method, we start with a uniform amount of DNA extracted from whole blood with varying concentrations of *Plasmodium* genomic DNA determined by the parasite infection density. We found no differences in our MFI threshold determinations at different levels of parasitemia.

MSP-19 haplotypes have traditionally been discovered using direct DNA sequencing techniques that have been adapted to estimate proportions of alleles within an infection using proportional sequencing. The advantage of direct sequencing over the PCR/LDR-FMA method is its ability to detect rare or novel haplotypes. Recently, a novel pyrosequencing method has been shown to be able to identify rare MSP-19 haplotypes in blood samples from Mali. This method can also determine the relative haplotype contribution to infection but does not indicate overall Pf infection levels and is more labor intensive than the MPS-19 PCR/LDR-FMA method.

Our results show that the E-KNG and Q-KNG haplotypes are most common in our study population. Prior studies from nearby regions show similar results demonstrating MSP-19 haplotype stability despite its immunologic recognition. MSP-19 haplotypes have traditionally been discovered using direct DNA sequencing techniques that have been adapted to estimate proportions of alleles within an infection using proportional sequencing. The advantage of direct sequencing over the PCR/LDR-FMA method is its ability to detect rare or novel haplotypes. Recently, a novel pyrosequencing method has been shown to be able to identify rare MSP-19 haplotypes in blood samples from Mali. This method can also determine the relative haplotype contribution to infection but does not indicate overall Pf infection levels and is more labor intensive than the MPS-19 PCR/LDR-FMA method.

Our results show that the E-KNG and Q-KNG haplotypes are most common in our study population. Prior studies from nearby regions show similar results demonstrating MSP-19 haplotype stability despite its immunologic recognition. MSP-19 haplotypes have traditionally been discovered using direct DNA sequencing techniques that have been adapted to estimate proportions of alleles within an infection using proportional sequencing. The advantage of direct sequencing over the PCR/LDR-FMA method is its ability to detect rare or novel haplotypes. Recently, a novel pyrosequencing method has been shown to be able to identify rare MSP-19 haplotypes in blood samples from Mali. This method can also determine the relative haplotype contribution to infection but does not indicate overall Pf infection levels and is more labor intensive than the MPS-19 PCR/LDR-FMA method.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>35-cycle MFI</th>
<th>27-cycle MFI</th>
<th>Major haplotypes</th>
<th>Minor haplotypes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Q: 6228, E: 16785, KNG: 25018, TSR: 935</td>
<td>2xQ: 4834, E: 6051, KNG: 17375, TSR: 508</td>
<td>QKNG/EKNG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thirty-five-cycle MFI in bold indicates positive alleles. Major vs. minor allelic contributions to haplotype assignments were determined using 27-cycle MFI. An adjusted 27-cycle Q allele MFI (designated 2xQ) as described in Figure 2 and Results was used. In sample A, Q, E, and KNG alleles are positive (35 cycles). The Q allele contribution to infection is greater than the E allele contribution, thus determining the haplotype assignment of QKNG > EKNG. Conversely, in sample B, a predominant haplotype cannot be inferred as the 27-cycle comparative MFIs because Q and E are too similar. In sample C, all four alleles are positive. Assuming a two-strain infection, Q and KNG alleles have the highest MFI and are therefore assigned as the major haplotype. E and TSR have lesser MFI and are assigned as the minor haplotype.
142 vaccine trials ongoing in this region use the MSP-142 3D7 (E-TSR) variant.\textsuperscript{22} It is unclear whether immunity is haplotype specific underscoring the need to investigate parasite genetics in conjunction with vaccine and immunologic studies. The haplotype-specific PCR/LDR-FMA technique is ideal for such studies.

Additionally, the MSP-142 PCR/LDR-FMA assay is more sensitive than BS for detecting Pf infection. To understand the kinetics of infection, immunologic pressures on haplotype frequency and individual susceptibility to clinical disease, accurate detection and quantification of infection must be understood. High-throughput methods are essential for large population-based studies of malaria needed to understand these complex interactions.
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