

3-30-2006

Substrate envelope and drug resistance: crystal structure of RO1 in complex with wild-type human immunodeficiency virus type 1 protease

Moses Prabu-Jeyabalan

University of Massachusetts Medical School, Moses.Prabu@umassmed.edu

Nancy M. King

University of Massachusetts Medical School, nancy.king@umassmed.edu

Ellen A. Nalivaika

University of Massachusetts Medical School, Ellen.Nalivaika@umassmed.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/oapubs>

 Part of the [Chemical and Pharmacologic Phenomena Commons](#), [Medical Molecular Biology Commons](#), and the [Medical Pharmacology Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Prabu-Jeyabalan, Moses; King, Nancy M.; Nalivaika, Ellen A.; Heilek-Snyder, Gabrielle; Cammack, Nick; and Schiffer, Celia A., "Substrate envelope and drug resistance: crystal structure of RO1 in complex with wild-type human immunodeficiency virus type 1 protease" (2006). *Open Access Articles*. 181.

<http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/oapubs/181>

Substrate envelope and drug resistance: crystal structure of RO1 in complex with wild-type human immunodeficiency virus type 1 protease

Authors

Moses Prabu-Jeyabalan, Nancy M. King, Ellen A. Nalivaika, Gabrielle Heilek-Snyder, Nick Cammack, and Celia A. Schiffer

Rights and Permissions

Citation: *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2006 Apr;50(4):1518-21. [Link to article on publisher's site](#)

NOTES

Substrate Envelope and Drug Resistance: Crystal Structure of RO1 in Complex with Wild-Type Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Protease

Moses Prabu-Jeyabalan,¹ Nancy M. King,¹ Ellen A. Nalivaika,¹ Gabrielle Heilek-Snyder,² Nick Cammack,² and Celia A. Schiffer^{1*}

Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Pharmacology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts 01605,¹ and Roche, Palo Alto, California²

Received 22 July 2005/Returned for modification 9 September 2005/Accepted 12 January 2006

In our previous crystallographic studies of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) protease-substrate complexes, we described a conserved “envelope” that appears to be important for substrate recognition and the selection of drug-resistant mutations. In this study, the complex of HIV-1 protease with the inhibitor RO1 was determined and comparison with the substrate envelope provides a rationale for mutational patterns.

Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) protease is a key drug target for antiviral therapy (3). However, drug resistance occurs often, making many protease inhibitors (PIs) ineffective and allowing viral replication to occur (16–19). Previous studies from our laboratory on crystal structures of substrate complexes found that the protease substrates occupy an overlapping volume that we defined as the substrate envelope (15). We found that the location of primary resistance-associated mutation for existing inhibitors correlates with where that inhibitor protrudes beyond the substrate envelope (7, 8, 14) and therefore most active-site drug-resistant mutations occur at residues that are not predominantly contacted by the protease substrates. In this study, we determined the crystal structure of a new inhibitor, RO1, and compared its structure with our previously defined substrate envelope to predict likely drug resistance sites.

RO1 is a substrate-based HIV-1 PI (Fig. 1) with a 50% inhibitory concentration of 13 nM. RO1 has 5- to 10-fold greater inhibition than the 50% inhibitory concentration of

several currently prescribed PIs (4). The crystal structure of the wild-type protease-RO1 complex has been solved and refined to 1.6 Å (Table 1). In addition the thermodynamics of binding confirm that the binding of RO1 is comparable to that of the first-generation drugs, with a dissociation constant (K_d) of 0.95 nM and a free-energy change (ΔG) of -12.1 kcal/mol. Protein sample preparation, isothermal titration calorimetry, and crystallography were carried out as described previously (8, 13). The protease dimer is in the asymmetric unit with two




FIG. 1. Chemical structure of RO1.

TABLE 1. Crystallographic statistics and thermodynamic parameters of RO1

Parameter	Value (SD)
Data collection	
Space group	P2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁
Z	4
a (Å)	50.87
b (Å)	58.17
c (Å)	61.65
Resolution (Å)	1.6
Total no. of reflections	141,598
No. of unique reflections	24814
R _{merge} (%)	2.8
Completeness (%)	99.9
I/σ ₁	19.2
Refinement	
R value (%)	16.3
R _{free} (%)	19.8
RMSD ^a	
Bond length (Å)	0.006
Bond angles (°)	1.5
No. of water molecules	212
Isothermal titration calorimetry ^b	
K _d (nM)	0.95 (0.08)
ΔH (kcal/mol)	2.41 (0.06)
–TΔS (kcal/mol)	–14.5
ΔG (kcal/mol)	–12.1

^a RMSD, root mean squared deviation.

^b Mean values of experiments conducted in triplicate at 20°C.

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Pharmacology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, 364 Plantation St., Worcester, MA 01605. Phone: (508) 856-8008. Fax: (508) 856-6464. E-mail: Celia.Schiffer@umassmed.edu.

TABLE 2. Inhibitor-protease hydrogen bonds made by RO1 with the protease

Protein	Atom	Inhibitor atom	Pattern found in substrate complexes (protein . . . substrate)	Distance (Å)	
				Orientation 1	Orientation 2
Asp29	N	O-6	Asp29/29' N . . . OD1/OE1 P2/P2'	2.9	2.9
Asp30	N	O-6	Asp30/30' N . . . OD1/OE1 P2/P2'	2.9	2.8
Gly48	O	N-5	Gly48 O . . . N P2	3.3	3.3
Asp29	N	O-4	Asp29 N . . . O P3	3.0	2.9
Asp29	OD1	O-4	Asp29 OD2 . . . O P3	3.5	3.2
Water31/83	OH2	O-4		3.1	2.9
Water3	OH2	O-3		3.0	2.9
Gly27	O	N-4	Gly27 O . . . N P1	3.4	3.3
Asp25	OD1	O-2		2.6	2.7
Asp25	OD2	O-2		3.1	2.8
Asp25'	OD1	O-2		2.6	2.9
Asp25'	OD2	O-2		3.1	2.9
Water3	OH2	O-1		2.8	2.7
Water2C	OH2	N-3		3.0	3.1


inhibitor orientations. The orientation-specific inhibitor-protease interactions involving the active-site residues Asp25-Asp30, Met46-Ile50, and Pro81-Ile84 were nonetheless identified during the crystallographic refinement.

RO1-protease hydrogen bonds. The inhibitor makes 10 backbone hydrogen bonds and a network of water bridges with the

protease (Table 2 and Fig. 2). The RO1 atoms forming hydrogen bonds, except O-6, occupy substrate backbone positions of substrate-protease complexes. O-6 nonetheless overlies a substrate side chain atom which is usually Asp/Asn P2 OD1 or Glu/Gln P2 OE1 (Table 2). In addition, most RO1-protease hydrogen bonds resemble substrate-protease interactions. Another feature of RO1-protease hydrogen bonds is the involvement of both flaps (Gly48) and the floor of the active site (Asp25, Gly27, Asp29, and Asp30). In general, the flap residues do not participate in the inhibitor-protease hydrogen bonds as in indinavir (IDV), nelfinavir (NFV), TMC114, and amprenavir (APV) (Table 3). The substrates, in contrast, form hydrogen bonds with Gly48 and in certain cases even with Met46 (15).

The RO1 inhibitor is also stabilized by a network of water molecules, in addition to the five water molecules preserved in most HIV-1 protease complexes (Fig. 2, water molecules labeled W3, W5, W8, W31, and W83), four additional water molecules (W88, Wat2C, Wat3C, and Wat5C) form a network of bridges mediating the inhibitor (N-3 atom of P2') and the

Side View



Top View




FIG. 2. Inhibitor protease hydrogen bonds: The two HIV-1 protease monomers are distinguished in cyan and magenta, while RO1 is shown in yellow. Nitrogen and oxygen atoms are illustrated in blue and red, respectively. The figure was created using Molscript (9).

TABLE 3. Comparison of inhibitor protease hydrogen bonds^a

Protease position	Bond						
	Inhibitor	RO1	SQV	NFV	IDV	APV	TMC114
Asp30 OD2	OH	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Asp30 OD2	NH ₂	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
<i>Gly27 O</i>	<i>NH</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Asp29 N	O	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Asp29 N	O	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Asp29 OD1	O	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Asp30 N	O	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Asp30 O	NH	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Gly48 O	NH	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Gly48 O	NH	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Total		6	7	2	3	5	6

^a The four hydrogen bonds involving the Asp25/25' side chain are omitted, as they are conserved in all the inhibitors. The hydrogen bond affected by the D30N NFV resistance mutation is highlighted in bold, while the conserved hydrogen bond found in all of the inhibitor complexes is highlighted with italics. The coordinates used are 1HXB (saquinavir [SQV]) (10), 1OHR (NFV) (5), 1HSQ (IDV) (2), 1HPV (APV) (6), and 1T3R (TMC114) (8).




FIG. 3. (a) Outlying atoms of RO1 identified as protruding outside the substrate envelope. Atoms deviating by 1.4 to 2.0 Å and greater than 2.0 Å are distinguished in red and yellow, respectively. (b) Inhibitor RO1 superimposed on the substrate envelope: The substrate envelope is shown as a purple translucent model, while the inhibitor region embedded inside the substrate envelope and protruding atoms are shown in dark and light shades of green, respectively (also refer to the previous panel). The protruding atoms are labeled except for O-6 and C-9, which are protruding in the opposite direction. (c) Possible rationale for V32I mutation. The VDW packing interaction between Val32/32' (illustrated as yellow surfaces) and the atoms protruding outside the P2 (O-5) and P2' (C-21 and C-23) sites of the substrate envelope are shown. The flaps are displayed in tube representation for reference. The figures were created using Grasp (11).

protease (Gly48' of N and Asp30' of OD2). This water network compensates for lack of direct hydrogen bonds on the P1'-P2' side of RO1.

The substrate-like interactions and the minimal involvement

of protease side chains could allow RO1 to circumvent specific drug-resistant mutations. For instance, a specific interaction between the Asp30 side chain and NFV results in a D30N resistance mutation (Table 3) (19) while this interaction is absent in RO1. Preliminary viral studies (4) indicate that viral samples with D30N protease are inhibited by RO1 but not NFV, which is consistent with this structural analysis.

van der Waals (VDW) interactions and comparison of RO1 with the substrate envelope. The RO1 atoms protruding outside the substrate envelope were visually evaluated (Fig. 3a) and their distances from the nearest substrate positions were also computed. An inhibitor atom is then implicated as "outlying" when it protrudes outside the substrate envelope and is more than 1.4 Å away from the nearest substrate atom (averaged over six substrate complexes). Seventeen outlying atoms were identified (12 deviate by between 1.4 and 2.0 Å and five deviate by >2.0 Å) (Fig. 3a and b). These outliers form VDW interactions with Arg8, Asp25, Ala28, Asp29, Asp30, Val32, Ile47, Ile50, Thr80, Pro81, Val82, and Ile84 (Fig. 3b). Many of these residues (Arg8, Asp25, Ala28, Asp29, Thr80, and Pro81) are highly conserved, mutating less than 1 percent of the time in the patient population (18).

Structural interpretation of mutational patterns. RO1 appears to be a fairly robust inhibitor of resistant variants. One protrusion beyond the substrate envelope does not appear in and of itself to allow the development of resistance, as isolates with I50V, V82A, and I84V are inhibited (4). However, in a background of existing resistant mutations (M46L, G48V, I62V, L63P, T74S, V77I, and V82A) after 21 viral passages, V32I and I54V emerged and allowed growth under higher levels of RO1 (4). In a structural context, Ile54 is located in the flap and does not make contact with either the inhibitor or the substrates, and thus rationalization of its mutational pattern is not predictable from this analysis. However, the RO1 atoms protruding outside the P2 and P2' regions make extensive interactions with Val32 from both monomers (Fig. 3c). This interaction may be perturbed by any modification of Val32 leading to drug resistance, and a V32I mutation may lead to a VDW clash between residue 32 and RO1, possibly accounting for the selection of this particular mutation.

The V32I mutation has also been observed with lopinavir (1, 12), and a comparison of lopinavir with the substrate envelope revealed that certain outlying atoms make VDW interactions with Val32 (7). For the inhibitor RO1 resistance appears to occur when mutations arise at multiple positions that protrude beyond the substrate envelope. Therefore utilization of the substrate envelope in future inhibitor design is likely to help assess and reduce the occurrence of drug resistance.

We thank Christina Ng for collecting ITC data, Claire Baldwin for editorial assistance, Balaji Bhyravbhatla and Luca Leone for technical help, and Mohan Somasundharan for discussion.

This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grants R01-GM64347-05 and P01-GM66524-04).

REFERENCES

- Carrillo, A., K. D. Stewart, H. L. Sham, D. W. Norbeck, W. E. Kohlbrenner, J. M. Leonard, D. J. Kempf, and A. Molla. 1998. In vitro selection and characterization of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 variants with increased resistance to ABT-378, a novel protease inhibitor. *J. Virol.* **72**:7532-7541.
- Chen, Z., Y. Li, E. Chen, D. L. Hall, P. L. Darke, C. Culbertson, J. A. Shafer, and L. C. Kuo. 1994. Crystal structure at 1.9 angstrom resolution of human immu-

- nodeficiency virus (HIV) II protease complexed with L-735,524, an orally bioavailable inhibitor of the HIV proteases. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**:26344–26348.
3. **Debouck, C.** 1992. The HIV-1 protease as a therapeutic target for AIDS. *AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses* **8**:153–164.
 4. **Heilek-Snyder, G., A. Kohli, J. Ezpeleta-Brilliant, N. Cammack, and N. Parkin.** 2003. Presented at the XII International HIV Drug Resistance workshop, Los Cabos, Mexico, 10 to 14 June 2003.
 5. **Kaldor, S., V. Kalish, J. N. Davies, B. Shetty, J. Fritz, K. Appelt, J. Burgess, K. Campanale, N. Chirgadze, D. K. Clawson, B. A. Dressman, S. D. Hatch, D. A. Khalil, M. B. Kosa, P. P. Lubbehusen, M. A. Muesing, A. K. Patick, S. H. Reich, K. S. Su, and J. H. Tatlock.** 1997. Viracept (nelfinavir mesylate, AG1343): a potent, orally bioavailable inhibitor of HIV-1 protease. *J. Med. Chem.* **40**:3979–3985.
 6. **Kim, E. E., C. T. Baker, M. D. Dwyer, M. A. Murcko, et al.** 1995. Crystal structure of HIV-1 protease in complex with VX-478, a potent and orally bioavailable inhibitor of the enzyme. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **117**:1181–1182.
 7. **King, N. M., M. Prabu-Jeyabalan, E. A. Nalivaika, and C. A. Schiffer.** 2004. Combating susceptibility to drug resistance: lessons from HIV-1 protease. *Chem. Biol.* **11**:1333–1338.
 8. **King, N. M., M. Prabu-Jeyabalan, E. A. Nalivaika, P. Wigerinck, M.-P. de Bethune, and C. A. Schiffer.** 2004. Structural and thermodynamic basis for the binding of TMC114, a next-generation human immunodeficiency virus type 1 protease inhibitor. *J. Virol.* **78**:12012–12021.
 9. **Kraulis, P. J.** 1991. Molscript: a program to produce both detailed and schematic plots of protein structures. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **24**:946–950.
 10. **Krohn, A., S. Redshaw, J. C. Ritchie, B. J. Graves, and M. H. Hatada.** 1991. Novel binding mode of highly potent HIV-proteinase inhibitors incorporating the (R)-hydroxyethylamine isostere. *J. Med. Chem.* **34**:3340–3342.
 11. **Nicholls, A., K. Sharp, and B. Honig.** 1991. Protein folding and association: insights from the interfacial and thermodynamic properties of hydrocarbons. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Gen.* **11**:281–296.
 12. **Parkin, N. T., C. Chappey, and C. J. Petropoulos.** 2003. Improving lopinavir genotype algorithm through phenotype correlations: novel mutation patterns and amprenavir cross-resistance. *AIDS* **17**:955–961.
 13. **Prabu-Jeyabalan, M., E. A. Nalivaika, N. M. King, and C. A. Schiffer.** 2004. Structural basis for coevolution of a human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nucleocapsid-p1 cleavage site with a V82A drug-resistant mutation in viral protease. *J. Virol.* **78**:12446–12454.
 14. **Prabu-Jeyabalan, M., E. A. Nalivaika, N. M. King, and C. A. Schiffer.** 2003. Viability of a drug-resistant human immunodeficiency virus type 1 protease variant: structural insights for better antiviral therapy. *J. Virol.* **77**:1306–1315.
 15. **Prabu-Jeyabalan, M., E. A. Nalivaika, and C. A. Schiffer.** 2002. Substrate shape determines specificity of recognition for HIV-1 protease: Analysis of crystal structures of six substrate complexes. *Structure* **10**:369–381.
 16. **Ridky, T., and J. Leis.** 1995. Development of drug resistance to HIV-1 protease inhibitors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**:29621–29623.
 17. **Schinazi, R. F., B. A. Larder, and J. W. Mellors.** 1997. Mutations in retroviral genes associated with drug resistance. *Int. Antiviral News* **5**:129–142.
 18. **Shafer, R. W., D. Stevenson, and B. Chan.** 1999. Human immunodeficiency virus reverse transcriptase and protease sequence database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**:348–352.
 19. **Turner, D., J. M. Schapiro, B. G. Brenner, and M. A. Wainberg.** 2004. The influence of protease inhibitor resistance profiles on selection of HIV therapy in treatment-naive patients. *Antiviral Ther.* **9**:301–314.