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Introduction

Parapneumonic abscess is associated with a mortality of about 5-20% in the general patient population and increases to over 50% for patients who are elderly or have comorbid conditions. When parapneumonic abscesses become organized, thoracotomy and decortication are indicated for adequate drainage and to allow for maximal lung re-expansion. Bronchopleural fistula (BPF), a communication between the bronchial tree and the pleural space, is associated with a mortality of 20-50%. Although rare, BPF remains a serious complication of thoracic surgery and poses significant challenges to intraoperative respiratory management. While multiple surgical and medical management strategies for BPF have been described through various case reports and retrospective studies, no controlled studies exist comparing the various treatment modalities and there are no established guidelines for the management of patients with BPF. BPF that develops secondarily to surgical parapneumonic abscess drainage represents a challenging and complex management problem.

Case Report

A 62-year-old male patient with a right encapsulated parapneumonic empyema presented for a right thoracotomy and decortication. Past medical history was significant for severe aortic stenosis, COPD, gastric cancer in remission, and chronic anemia and cachexia and increased dyspnea. In preparation for surgery, an arterial catheter and two large bore peripheral IVs were inserted. Following induction of general anesthesia, the patient was intubated with an 8.5 endotracheal tube (ETT) under general anesthesia and ventilation with positive and expiratory pressures (PEEP). A preoperative flexible bronchoscopy was performed to ensure that there was not an overabundance of secretions and that single lung ventilation during the decortication could be tolerated. No gross abnormalities of the carina or main bronchi were observed. After withdrawal of the bronchoscope, a left sided double lumen endotracheal tube (DLT) was inserted. Confirmation of proper placement was made with bronchoscopy. Following right lung isolation decortication was begun. Multiple parenchymal avulsions occurred during decortication demonstrating the fragility and friability of the tissue. Subsequently, the patient’s oxygenation began to decrease. At this point, it was determined that the DLT had migrated and could not be repositioned correctly. The DLT was changed for a single lumen ETT and ventilation and oxygenation were restored. The decortication proceeded and a large abscess was drained of about 250-300mL of purulent fluid. Because of the necrotic and deeply adherent nature of the rim around the abscess, the decortication was left incomplete. During the decortication, oxygen saturations were difficult to maintain with an average saturation in the 80% range despite frequent suctioning of secretions and the use of 100% oxygen. In addition, the patient developed septic shock requiring the use of vasopressors for blood pressure support. Four chest tubes were placed on the right side and the tissues were well approximated at the close of the surgery. Significant air leaks were noticeable in the underwater seal draining the right side and the tissues were well approximated at the close of the surgery.

The chest tubes during both inspiratory and expiratory phases and a BPF was suspected. Significant air leaks were noticeable in the underwater seal draining the right side and the tissues were well approximated at the close of the surgery. The chest tubes were noted to be dislodged on several occasions and were replaced twice. The average tidal volume was 10-15mL/kg and the positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) was increased to salvage oxygenation. Despite increased PEEP, the arterial oxygen saturation was difficult to maintain with an average saturation in the 80% range. To improve oxygenation, independent lung ventilation with positive end expiratory pressures (PEEP) was used. Following induction of general anesthesia, the patient was ventilated with positive end expiratory pressures (PEEP). A preoperative independent lung ventilation strategy was chosen for management of the BPF and ARDS. After withdrawal of the bronchoscope, a left sided double lumen endotracheal tube (DTL) was inserted. Following induction of general anesthesia, the patient was ventilated with positive end expiratory pressures (PEEP). A preoperative independent lung ventilation strategy was chosen for management of the BPF and ARDS.

While multiple surgical and medical management strategies for BPF have been described through various case reports and retrospective studies, no controlled studies exist comparing the various treatment modalities and there are no established guidelines for the management of patients with BPF. BPF that develops secondarily to surgical parapneumonic abscess drainage represents a challenging and complex management problem.

Discussion

We report the use of ILV in a patient with severe underlying parenchymal disease who developed BPF after thoracotomy and decortication of an empyema with subsequent development of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). While a great majority of patients with either ARDS or BPF can be successfully managed with conventional ventilation strategies, the additional management challenges presented by BPF in the setting of ARDS and sepsis necessitate the utilization of alternative ventilation strategies such as ILV, high frequency ventilation (HFV), and extracorporeal support. Adjuncts to these strategies such as flexible bronchoscopy, tracheobronchial stents, PEEP to the chest tube, and timed occlusion of chest tubes have been described in case reports with variable success.

Recommendations from several case reports and studies suggest that BPF management should focus on decreasing air leak across the fistula and promote healing through employing ventilation strategies that emphasize decreasing airway pressure and alveolar distension, minimizing pleural suction while maintaining lung expansion, weaning from positive pressure ventilation, and avoidance of alveolar hyperinflation. In the management of ARDS, the recruitment of abnormal areas of noncompliant atelectatic lung is necessary to improve oxygenation and minimize intrapulmonary shunting. Traditionally, this is achieved through conventional positive pressure ventilation with a single lumen endotracheal tube and increased tidal volume and PEEP. Because the strategies for management of BPF and ARDS are often contradictory, it is necessary to tailor ventilation to each patient. Independent lung ventilation with differential PEEP has been reported by numerous case reports and studies as a method to restore alveolar volume and oxygenation while promoting healing and closure of bronchopleural fistulas. ILV employs a DLT and two mechanical ventilators to anatomically and physiologically isolate each lung for individual ventilation, allowing for differential PEEP tidal volume, inspiratory flow, and FiO2. While ILV is usually a second line strategy in ventilation management in patients in which conventional ventilation had failed, ILV was anticipated in this patient due to his severe parenchymal lung disease, the parenchymal injuries anticipated in thoracotomy and decortication, and his recent pneumonia for which anatomical lung isolation would be beneficial in preventing contamination of the non-operated lung. Challenges in using ILV include the imperative of maintaining correct DLT position (which is often difficult) and pulmonary toilet (secretion clearance may be difficult due to the small size of the endolumen).

High frequency ventilation, another alternative strategy for management of BPF, has been advocated in patients with BPF as a conduit for providing adequate gas exchange at lower mean airway pressures. Various HFV approaches including high frequency jet ventilation (HFJV) and high frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV) have been proposed and utilized. HFV employs high gas pressures provided through a small-bore cannula through the endotracheal tube. The small tidal volumes averaging 2 to 5 mL/kg provided at high frequencies ranging from 100 to 200 breaths per minute allows these benefits but also presents difficulties in gas warming and humidification. HFV has been evaluated in case reports or case series of BPF with mixed success. HFV may only be of benefit if the peak airway pressure is reduced with its use (Figure 1). HFOV provides small gas volumes under constant mean airway pressures. Benefits of HFOV include active expiration, decreasing air trapping risks. HFOV, however, requires relatively high mean airway pressures (through auto-PEEP) and its successes have only been described in neonates. The successful use of a combination of ILV and HFOV has also been described.

Intermittent inspiratory chest tube occlusion is another means by which a BPF can be managed. During inspiration, the chest tube is pressurized by the ventilation, whereas during expiration, the chest tube is ventilated. The small tidal volumes averaging 2 to 5 mL/kg provided at high frequencies ranging from 100 to 200 breaths per minute allows these benefits. However, special equipment is needed to be able to pressure the chest tube(s). Consequently, this method has not achieved widespread popularity.
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