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Abstract

Background: Animal data demonstrate associations of dioxin, furan, and PCB exposures with altered male gonadal maturation. It is unclear whether these associations apply to human populations.

Objectives: We investigated the association of dioxins, furans, PCBs and corresponding toxic equivalent (TEQ) concentrations with pubertal onset among boys in a dioxin-contaminated region.

Methods: Between 2003-2005, 489 boys were enrolled at ages 8-9 years in a longitudinal study in Chapaevsk, Russia. Pubertal onset – stages 2 or higher for genitalia (G2+) or testicular volume (TV) > 3 ml – was assessed annually between ages 8-12 years. Serum levels at enrollment were analyzed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess age at pubertal onset as a function of exposure adjusted for potential confounders. Sensitivity analyses were conducted excluding boys with pubertal onset at enrollment.

Results: The median (range) total serum TEQ concentration was 21 (4-175) pg/g lipid, approximately three times higher than values in European children. At enrollment, boys were generally healthy and normal weight (mean BMI 15.9 kg/m²), with 30% having entered puberty by G2+ and 14% by TV criteria. Higher dioxin TEQs were associated with later pubertal onset by TV, hazard ratio = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.49-0.95 for the highest compared with the lowest quartile. Similar associations were observed for 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) and dioxin concentrations for TV but not G2+. Results were robust to sensitivity analyses.

Conclusions: Findings support an association of higher peri-pubertal serum dioxin TEQs and concentrations with later male pubertal onset reflected in delayed testicular maturation.
Introduction

The transition from pre-puberty to sexual maturity entails rapid physical, hormonal, and behavioral development. Alterations in the timing of pubertal onset or pace of its progression can adversely impact not only physical and sexual maturation but also social, cognitive and behavioral development as well as adult health (Graber et al. 2004; Michaud et al. 2006). For example, earlier puberty incurs risk for metabolic syndrome and obesity in later life and delayed puberty is associated with decreased bone mineral density in adults raising concerns about increased fracture risk (Biro et al. 2003; Finkelstein et al. 1996; Van Lenthe et al. 1996).

In recent decades, suggestive evidence of earlier onset of breast development and age at menarche has been observed in girls but data in boys are limited (Biro et al. 2010; Euling et al. 2008; Herman-Giddens et al. 1997; Herman-Giddens et al. 2001; Sorensen et al. 2010). Explanations for this possible trend include changes in diet and activity, and environmental exposures. Chemicals that can disrupt gonadal steroidogenesis and neuroendocrine pathways, such as organochlorine pollutants including dioxins, furans, and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), are of particular concern (Jacobson-Dickman and Lee 2009; Schoeters et al. 2008). Despite efforts to limit dioxin emissions, and longstanding bans on PCB manufacture and use, human exposure is ongoing, primarily through diet. For example, fish and dairy are potential exposure sources due to organochlorines’ lipophilic properties, long half lives, on the order of years to decades, and propensity to bioconcentrate (Schecter et al., 2001).

Gestational or lactational dioxin exposures in animals have been consistently associated with delayed male pubertal onset (Bell et al. 2007; Hamm et al. 2003; Theobald et al. 2003). However, the few human epidemiologic studies of organochlorine exposures and puberty in boys did not examine onset and had inconsistent findings. Where direct exposure biomarkers were used, dioxins, furans or PCBs were associated with no differences in (Den Hond et al. 2002; Gladen et al. 2000; Leijts et al. 2008) or earlier (Den Hond et al. 2010) male genital maturation.
among older adolescents but inferences were often limited by small sample sizes (Den Hond et al. 2002; Leijs et al. 2008).

Chapaevsk, Russia is an industrial town contaminated with dioxins consequent to past production of chemical warfare agents and recent production of chlorinated chemicals. Waste from these industries resulted in pervasive dioxin contamination of soils, water, and local food (Revich et al. 1999; Sergeyev et al. 2007). Community concerns regarding potential health hazards from this contamination led to a study of dioxins and male pubertal development.

**Materials and Methods**

*Study Population*

The Chapaevsk study is an ongoing prospective study of 499 generally healthy boys (Hauser et al. 2008). All (n=623) eight- to nine-year-old male residents were identified between 2003 and 2005 using health insurance records and the town’s clinic system. Of these, 572 met eligibility criteria and 516 (90%) agreed to participate. Children were ineligible if their address was unavailable, if they were likely to move during the study, or if they had severe cerebral palsy. After enrollment, 17 children living in orphanages were excluded because of missing birth or family history. For this analysis, 10 additional boys were excluded for chronic illnesses that could affect growth – for example, severe asthma or malignancy – leaving 489 boys.

Once enrolled, each boy underwent a physical examination, provided a blood sample, and, with his mother/guardian, completed health, lifestyle and dietary questionnaires. Annual follow up examinations were conducted on or close to each boy’s birthday and questionnaires updated. For this analysis, three years of follow-up data were available with each boy observed up to four times between 8 to 11, or 9 to12 years.

The study was approved by the Human Studies Institutional Review Boards of the Chapaevsk Medical Association (Chapaevsk, Russia); Harvard School of Public Health and
Brigham and Women’s Hospital (Boston, MA), and University of Massachusetts Medical School (Worcester, MA). The parent/guardian signed an informed consent and each boy signed an assent prior to participation.

**Growth and Pubertal Assessment:**

At annual visits, an endocrinologist (OS, with a nurse present) conducted standardized physical examinations without knowledge of the boy’s exposure. Examination included measurement of height in stocking feet (to the nearest 0.1 cm) using a fixed arm stadiometer and weight in underclothes (to the nearest 100 gm) using a balance scale. Body mass index (BMI; kg/m²) was calculated from measured height and weight. Pubertal maturation was graded from 1 to 5 by visual inspection according to established criteria (Tanner and Whitehouse 1976). Testicular volume (TV) was measured using an orchidometer. Pubertal onset was defined as stages 2 or higher for genitalia (G2+) or testicular volume (TV) > 3 ml for either testis.

**Questionnaire Assessment:**

At enrollment, each mother/guardian completed a nurse-administered questionnaire ascertaining the child’s birth and medical history, breastfeeding status, physical activity; family demographics, income, residential history; and parental reproductive and medical history, occupation, education, smoking and alcohol consumption. Birth weight and gestational age were obtained from medical record review. Diet was ascertained using a food frequency questionnaire modified from a validated Russian Institute of Nutrition instrument (Burns et al. 2009; Martinchik et al. 1998).

**Organochlorine Exposure Assessment:**

Fasting blood samples were collected prior to baseline examination and the serum fraction was stored at -35°C until shipment for analysis at the National Center for Environmental Health at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. Analytes included 7 polychlorinated dibenzo-\(p\)-dioxins (PCDDs or dioxins), 10 polychlorinated dibenzofurans...
(PCDFs or furans), 4 co-planar polychlorinated biphenyls (co-PCBs), 6 mono-ortho substituted PCBs, and 31 other PCBs (non-dioxin-like PCBs) described in Burns et al. (2009).

For dioxin-like analytes, sera, method blanks, and quality control samples ( aliquots of pooled bovine sera) were spiked with a mixture of $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$-labeled PCDDs/PCDFs and co-PCBs as internal standards and serum analytes were isolated by solid phase extraction (SPE) followed by a multicoloum automated cleanup and enrichment procedure (Turner et al. 1997). Analytes were separated on a DB-5 MS capillary column and quantified using selected-ion-monitoring (SIM) high resolution (10,000 resolving power) mass spectrometry (HRGC-ID/HRMS) (Patterson et al. 1987). Quantification by isotope dilution mass spectrometry used calibration standards containing $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$-labeled, and unlabeled analytes.

A similar approach was used for mono-ortho and non-dioxin-like PCBs (Barr et al. 2003). Samples were spiked with $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$-labeled PCBs, extracted by either large (Turner et al. 1997) or small (Sjordin et al. 2004) volume SPE, and analyzed using high resolution GC/MS in SIM (Barr et al. 2003).

For all analytes, quality control sample coefficients of variation (CVs) combining between and within run reproducibility were generally below 15%. All concentrations were expressed on a per lipid basis with serum total cholesterol and triglycerides measured enzymatically, and total lipids calculated using the Phillips equation (Phillips et al. 1989). Congener concentrations below the limit of detection (LOD) were assigned the LOD divided by the square root of 2.

Statistical Analysis:

Dioxin toxic equivalents (TEQs) were computed on a lipid basis using the 2005 WHO toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) to weight each congener’s potency relative to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodioxin (TCDD) prior to summation (Van den Berg et al. 2006). Nine different exposure measures were considered: (1) total (summed) TEQ measures (pg/g lipid) for
combined dioxin, furan, co-PCB, and mono-ortho PCB congeners; (2) TCDD (pg/g lipid); (3-5) total (summed) TEQs (pg/g lipid) for each of dioxins, furans, and co-PCBs; (6-8) total (summed) concentrations (pg/g lipid) for each of dioxins, furans and co-PCBs; and (9) total (summed) concentrations of non-coplanar PCBs, including mono-ortho-substituted PCBs (ΣPCBs, ng/g lipid). Organochlorine measures were categorized into quartiles because of potential non-linear associations. Analyses were repeated using a quartile indicator (1, 2, 3, 4) for exposure to test for trend across quartiles. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value < 0.05.

Standard Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess time to pubertal onset as a function of exposure adjusted for potential confounders. Age of pubertal onset was assigned to the midpoint between age at the previous visit and age at the visit at which onset was noted. For boys in puberty at study enrollment (n=141 by G2+; n=66 by TV>3 ml), age at onset was defined as 6 months prior to age at enrollment. Observations were censored at the last visit for boys not yet in puberty.

Sensitivity analyses were performed using both interval censored likelihood-based models and repeated measures generalized estimating equation (GEE) models. The interval censored approach does not assign a specific time of onset, but instead assumes that pubertal onset occurred in the interval between study visits. This approach was used to estimate overall mean age of pubertal onset, assuming a normally distributed age at onset, and mean age at pubertal onset for each exposure quartile, adjusted for confounders. The GEE approach was used to fit a logistic regression model for pubertal onset at each visit as a function of age at visit, with adjustment for potential confounders and correlation among multiple visits via an autoregressive structure. GEEs were also used to evaluate the impact of clustering within household for twins (4 pairs) and siblings (3 pairs). To account for possible examiner and laboratory drift over time, uncertainty regarding age of pubertal onset, and the potential for
reverse causation (due to dilution of dioxin concentrations in larger, more mature boys),
additional sensitivity analyses were performed excluding boys with pubertal onset at study entry
and adjusting for year of organochlorine analysis.

Covariates considered in models included potential determinants of pubertal onset:
child's age at exam, birthweight, gestational age, breastfeeding; nutrition, height, weight, and
BMI at enrollment; household income; maternal age at birth and parity; prenatal smoking (active
and second hand) and alcohol intake; parental education; and blood lead (Williams et al. 2010).
A core model was developed by first assessing the univariate relation of covariates to each
pubertal onset measure and retaining those with a p-value <0.20. Covariates meeting this
criterion were included in a ‘full’ model and then backward selection (likelihood ratio test) was
used to iteratively exclude the least important covariates (retain p<0.15). Covariates were
retained if they were significant for at least one pubertal onset measure, or if they resulted in a
10% or greater change in exposure effect estimates when added, one at a time, into our final
model. Because height and BMI at enrollment may be proxies for pubertal onset or on the causal
pathway relating dioxins with onset, sensitivity analyses were performed excluding these
covariates from the final model. As mother’s age at menarche was missing for 8% of
participants, this covariate was added to the final models in sensitivity analyses.

The association of pubertal onset with each of the nine different exposure measures was
assessed, one exposure at-a-time. These nine measures were moderately to strongly correlated
(Spearman r = 0.44-0.90) so secondary analyses were performed to assess the independent
relation of dioxin-like versus non-dioxin-like exposures with pubertal onset. Specifically, final
models for the relation of each dioxin-like measure (total TEQ, TCDD, as well as dioxin, furan,
and co-PCB TEQ and concentration measures) with pubertal onset were re-run with non-
coplanar PCB concentrations (ΣPCBs) added to the models.
Results

Demographic and exposure characteristics:

The boys were racially homogeneous (all Caucasian) and most were full term with normal birth weight and enrollment BMI (Table 1). Of the 489 boys, 473 had dioxin/furan measures and 468 had PCB measures. The median (range) total serum TEQs at 8-9 years was 21.1 (4.0 to 174.7) pg/g lipid, about three times higher than levels among similar aged European children (Table 2) (Leijs et al. 2008; Link et al. 2005).

Pubertal onset characteristics:

Most (85%) boys had four annual examinations between ages 8-11 or 9-12 with 6%, 4%, and 5%, respectively, examined three times, twice or once. At study entry (both 8- and 9-year-olds), 30% had entered puberty by G2+ and 14% by TV criteria. By age 12, most had entered puberty (92% by G2+ and 83% by TV criteria). Overall, the estimated mean (95% CI) age of onset by G2+ and TV, based on interval censored models, was 9.4 (9.2, 9.6) and 10.5 (10.3, 10.7) years, respectively. In multivariable models (results not shown), pubertal onset was significantly earlier with higher birth weight (both measures), lower gestational age (G2), higher percentage of dietary fat (TV), and greater height or BMI at the initial study visit (both measures). Conversely, pubertal onset was significantly later with maternal alcohol consumption during pregnancy (TV), low household income (TV), older maternal age at menarche (both measures), and high blood lead (both measures).

Association of dioxins, furans, and PCBs with pubertal onset:

In multivariable Cox proportional hazards models, pubertal onset was later with increasing dioxin exposure for TV but not G2+ (Table 3; Figure 1). For example, for TV > 3ml, the hazard ratio (HR) was 0.69, 95% CI: 0.48, 0.98 for the highest compared with the lowest quartile of serum TCDD; similar associations were observed for PCDD TEQs (Table 3; Figure 1). There was suggestive evidence of later pubertal onset (TV) with increasing PCDF or co-PCB
concentrations but not with PCDF TEQs, co-PCB TEQs, or ΣPCB levels (Table 3; Figure 1).
Similar findings were observed in adjusted interval censored models; for example, TCDD was
associated with approximately 5½ months’ later onset (TV) for the highest compared with lowest
quartile of serum levels (95% CI: -0.6, 11.9; p trend = 0.07) (Supplemental Material, Table 1).

In sensitivity analyses, the observed associations of dioxins with later pubertal onset by
TV criteria were similar in GEE models and, in Cox models, were essentially unchanged after
inclusion of maternal age at menarche, excluding boys who were pubertal at enrollment,
excluding twins and siblings, or adjusting for year of serum analyses. However, adjustment for
enrollment height and BMI attenuated the findings. For example, for onset by TV, the 4th (vs. 1st)
quartile HR for total TEQs or PCDF TEQs, respectively, were 0.75 (95% CI: 0.54, 1.05; p-
trend=0.08) and 0.76 (95% CI: 0.54, 1.09; p-trend=0.12) without height and BMI adjustment
compared with 0.81 (95% CI: 0.58, 1.15; p-trend=0.19) and 0.86 (95% CI: 0.60, 1.24; p-
trend=0.32) with adjustment (Table 3).

Adjusting models for ΣPCB levels resulted in slightly stronger associations of dioxin-like
measures with later onset by TV (Supplemental Material, Table 2). For example, the 4th (vs. 1st)
quartile HR for total TEQs was 0.63 (95% CI: 0.38, 1.06; p-trend=0.07) compared with 0.81
(95% CI: 0.58, 1.15; p-trend=0.19) without adjustment for ΣPCBs. Although the association of
dioxin-like exposure measures with onset by G2+ remained largely non-significant, these
associations were also stronger for most exposure measures after adjustment for ΣPCB levels
(Supplemental Material, Table 2). For example, the 4th (vs. 1st) quartile HR for total TEQs was
0.66 (95% CI: 0.41, 1.05; p-trend=0.12) compared with 0.91 (95% CI: 0.67, 1.23; p-trend=0.77)
without ΣPCB adjustment.

ΣPCB levels were not associated with pubertal onset in the primary study analyses (Table
3), but secondary analyses, although mostly non-significant, supported a tendency for earlier
pubertal onset by both TV and G2+ criteria with increasing ΣPCBs in models adjusted for
Dioxin-like measures (Supplemental Material, Table 3). For example, the 4\textsuperscript{th} (vs. 1\textsuperscript{st}) quartile HR for ΣPCBs (adjusted for total TEQs), was 1.41 (95% CI: 0.82, 2.42; p-trend=0.23) for onset by TV and 1.51 (95% CI: 0.94, 2.43; p-trend=0.08) for onset by G2+.

**Discussion**

This is perhaps the only, large prospective cohort study of the relation of serum peri-pubertal dioxins, furans and PCBs with physician assessed male pubertal onset. The results demonstrate a relation of peri-pubertal dioxin exposure measures with subsequent delays in testicular maturation. Study results are consistent with animal models in which delayed male pubertal onset, assessed by genital maturation, is a consistently demonstrable correlate of early life dioxin exposure (Bell et al. 2007; Hamm et al. 2003; Theobald et al. 2003).

In contrast, findings have been inconsistent among previous epidemiologic studies that examined late pubertal milestones rather than pubertal onset (potentially a more sensitive endpoint) and have been limited either by small sample size, cross-sectional design, self-reported pubertal staging, or lack of an exposure biomarker. For example, 80 Belgian teenage boys living near an incinerator (a presumed dioxin exposure source) had later sexual maturity, including smaller TV, compared with boys in an unpolluted town but TV did not correlate with indirect (CALUX assay) measures of dioxin exposure (Den Hond et al. 2002). Conversely, in a large (n=887) cross-sectional study of 14- to 15-year-old Belgian boys, serum organochlorine levels (PCBs, p,p’-DDE, and hexachlorobenzene) were associated with earlier genital development (increased odds of G3) on routine school health examinations performed, on average, within about one month of serum collection (Den Hond et al. 2010). Biomarkers of dioxin exposure were not assessed but the PCB findings are consistent with our secondary analyses suggestive of possible earlier pubertal onset with increasing PCB exposures (Supplementary Material, Table 3). Among 14- to 18-year-old Dutch boys, pubertal maturation, including TV, was not
associated with perinatal or concurrent dioxin levels but there were only 15 boys in this study
and, as with the Belgian studies, assessments focused on late stages of puberty (Leijs et al. 2008).
Lastly, among 244 (primarily 12- to 14-year-old) North Carolina boys, age of self-reported
pubertal stages was not associated with measures of prenatal PCB or DDE exposure (Gladen et
al. 2000). Although larger than most other studies, the North Carolina assessments did not
include measures of dioxin, TV, or pubertal onset.

Several potential limitations impact interpretation of study findings. First, although both
TV and G2+ maturation reflect hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) activation, associations
were observed for TV but not genital staging (Table 3) with suggestive but mostly non-
significant G2+ delays observed in association with dioxin-like measures only after adjustment
for ΣPCBs. Assessment of TV by palpation and comparison with a standardized orchidometer
is considered a more precise measure of gondal development and pubertal status than genital
staging (Biro et al. 1995; Euling et al. 2008) with minimal intraobserver variability (Carlson et
al., 2000) which could account for its greater sensitivity. In addition, testicular growth reflects
both luteinizing hormone (LH) and follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) stimulation and paracrine
androgen actions whereas penile and scrotal maturation (G2) are primarily influenced by
circulating androgens (Macleod et al. 2010; Raivio et al. 2007). In animal models, early life
dioxin exposure inhibits androgen biosynthesis and disrupts the HPG axis (Clements et al. 2009;
Cooke et al. 1998; Fukuzawa et al. 2004; Kakeyam et al. 2008). If dioxins disproportionately
impair gonadotropin secretion relative to androgen biosynthesis, TV could be affected more than
G2.

Although the boys’ mean age of pubertal onset by TV (10.5 years) is consistent with
other studies (Herman-Giddens et al. 2001; Susman et al. 2010), later onset has been observed in
Danish boys (Sorensen et al. 2010) and Chapaevsk boys’ mean age of onset by G2 (9.4 years) is
younger than reported elsewhere. Whether the apparent earlier G2 reflects a true difference
between Chapaevsk boys and other populations is unclear as most data on male pubertal development is cross-sectional and/or collected at older ages (Biro et al. 1995; Sun et al. 2005; Susman et al. 2010) making comparisons difficult. But, given that study exams were all performed by a single physician, internal comparisons among study boys should be valid and unbiased.

Additional study limitations include the observation that a number of Chapaevsk boys had entered puberty prior to study enrollment (e.g., 12% of 8-year-olds and 18% of 9-year-olds by TV); it is unclear whether exposure measures obtained after pubertal onset reflect relevant exposure risk. However, the relationship of dioxins with TV persisted after exclusion of boys in puberty at enrollment. Although lower BMI and socioeconomic status were associated with both higher serum dioxins and later pubertal onset in this cohort (Burns et al. 2009) and thus may confound results, the relationship of dioxins with TV persisted after adjustment for height, BMI, and measures of socioeconomic status (Table 3).

Lastly, if dioxin-associated alterations in male pubertal development are aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) mediated toxicities (Theobald et al. 2003), then the apparent stronger association of TV with PCDD TEQs compared to PCDF or co-PCB TEQs is difficult to explain. It is possible that non-AhR-mediated mechanisms are relevant (Butler et al. 2004) and congeners contributing to such mechanisms correlate better with some TEQ measures than others. Also, sources of environmental contamination with PCDDs, PCDFs or PCBs may vary, as the latter are a manufactured product rather than a byproduct of chemical production or incineration. Thus, confounding by differing unmeasured co-occurring exposures may explain apparent differential TEQ effects. The results of secondary analyses suggestive of earlier, rather than later, puberty in association with non-co-planar PCB exposures, are consistent with the possibility that non-AhR-related mechanisms may be important to organochlorine-associated alterations in male pubertal onset. Although Taiwanese boys with substantial prenatal furan and
PCB exposure, had no apparent exposure-associated differences in pubertal stage (Hsu et al. 2005), concurrent PCB levels have been associated with earlier, not later, male genital development in other populations (Den Hond et al. 2010).

Conclusions

Although recent emphasis has been placed on environmental risk factors for earlier breast development and menarche in girls, environmental contaminants may also delay puberty (Selevan et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2003) and impact puberty in boys. In the present study, serum dioxins measured at age 8 or 9 years were associated with later male pubertal onset (by TV criteria). Although this was not indicative of clinically delayed onset, modest changes in the mean value of a health indicator, such as pubertal onset, can signal substantial changes in the prevalence of clinically evident disease within a population (Korrick and Bellinger 2007).
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Table 1: Demographic, physical exam, and family characteristics at study entry for Chapaevsk boys with dioxin or PCB levels (n=473)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Missing (N)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Mean (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growth measures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.4 (7.8 – 9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>130 (111 - 147)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.1 (15.4 – 49.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.9 (11.8 – 25.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80 (17%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28 (6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pubertal maturation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanner G2+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>141 (30%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testicular volume &gt;3ml</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66 (14%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nutrition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calories/day (kcal)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2820 (884 – 5000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Protein</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.6 (6.6 – 18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Fat</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.9 (15.3 – 51.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Carbohydrate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.5 (33.2 – 72.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Birth and neonatal history</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (kg)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.34 (1.40 – 4.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low birth weight (&lt;2500 gm)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (wks)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.1 (30.0 – 44.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm (&lt;37 wks)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37 (8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration breastfed (wks)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.4 (0 – 312.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal characteristics and pregnancy exposures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at birth (years)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.9 (15.1 – 42.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at menarche (years)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.3 (13.0 – 17.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparous</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>303 (67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal pregnancy smoking</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36 (8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any household smoking</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>224 (48%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal pregnancy alcohol</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>59 (13%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Income (per month)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$175</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>(35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$175-$250</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>(26%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$250</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>(39%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental Education&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ High school</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college or junior college</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>(59%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduate</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>(33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other exposures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood lead ≥5µg/dl</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>132 (28%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Overweight (≥ 1 SD above mean BMI for age); very underweight (≥ 2 SD below mean BMI for age) using WHO standards (de Onis et al. 2007).

<sup>b</sup>Maximum of mother’s and father’s education.
Table 2: Serum dioxin, furan and PCB Toxic Equivalents (pg TEQ/g lipid), and dioxin, furan and PCB concentrations among Chapaevsk boys at study enrollment (n=473)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organochlorine</th>
<th>Mean ±SD</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>75%</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCDD&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDD TEQ</td>
<td>10.6 ± 9.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>89.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDF TEQ</td>
<td>7.0 ± 11.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>154.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-PCB TEQ&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.1 ± 6.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total TEQ&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27.7 ± 22.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>174.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentration (pg/g lipid)

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCDD</td>
<td>160 ± 110</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>1237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDF</td>
<td>57 ± 78</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-PCB&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>209 ± 143</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>2067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentration (ng/g lipid)

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΣPCBs&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>331 ± 312</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>4248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The median limit of detection (LOD) for TCDD was 0.60 pg/g lipid; 123 (26%) of TCDD values were less than this LOD (Burns et al. 2009).

<sup>b</sup>Sum of co-planar PCB TEQs (IUPAC congeners: 77, 81, 126, 169)

<sup>c</sup>Sum of TEQ measures for combined dioxin, furan, co-PCB and mono-ortho-PCB congeners.

<sup>d</sup>Sum of co-planar PCB concentrations (IUPAC congeners: 77, 81, 126, 169)

Table 3: Adjusted hazard ratios (HRs)\(^a\) and 95% confidence intervals for associations of quartiles of serum dioxins, furans, and PCBs with pubertal onset between ages 8-12 years among Chapaevsk boys (n=453)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organochlorine</th>
<th>Toxic Equivalent Measures</th>
<th>Concentration Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartiles</td>
<td>TV&gt;3ml(^b)</td>
<td>G2+(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total TEQ (pg TEQ/g lipid)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 (&lt; 14)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 (14 - &lt;20)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.72, 1.47)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.58, 1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 (20 - &lt;30)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.57, 1.35)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.66, 1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 (30 - 175)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.58, 1.15)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.67, 1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-trend</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCDD (pg TEQ/g lipid)(^d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 (&lt; 1.3)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 (1.3 - 2.7)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.70, 1.34)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.73, 1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 (2.8 - 3.9)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.63, 1.24)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.76, 1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 (4.0 - 45)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.48, 0.98)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.79, 1.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-trend</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDD TEQ (pg TEQ/g lipid)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 (&lt; 5)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 (5 - 7.9)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.62, 1.21)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.55, 1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 (8 - 12.9)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.43, 0.85)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.56, 1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 (13 - 90)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.49, 0.95)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.69, 1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-trend</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDF TEQ (pg TEQ/g lipid)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 (&lt; 3)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 (3 - 3.9)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.85, 1.72)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.62, 1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 (4 - 6.9)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.77, 1.52)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.79, 1.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 (7 - 154)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.60, 1.24)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.58, 1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-trend</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>co-PCB TEQ (pg TEQ/g lipid)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 (&lt;4.5)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 (4.5 - 6.4)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.88, 1.70)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.85, 1.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 (6.5 - 9.4)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.79, 1.59)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.71, 1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 (9.5 - 67)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.72, 1.43)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.75, 1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-trend</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣPCBs (ng/g lipid)(^e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 (&lt; 175)</td>
<td>N/A(^c)</td>
<td>N/A(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 (175 -&lt;250)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.84, 1.69)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.74, 1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 (250 -&lt;400)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.79, 1.58)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.84, 1.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 (400 - 4248)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.68, 1.39)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.83, 1.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-trend</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Adjusted for: birth weight, gestational age, parental education, household income, 8/9-year diet (total calories, %protein, %fat), blood lead ≥5 µg/dl, maternal pregnancy alcohol intake, baseline height and BMI.

b Testicular volume (TV)>3ml; genitalia staging ≥2 (G2+).

c Concentration or TEQ measures not applicable.

d TCDD TEQ is identical to TCDD concentration.

e n=448.
Figure Legend

Figure 1: Adjusted Hazard Ratios for Pubertal Onset According to Quartiles of Serum Levels of TCDD, Dioxins, Furans, and co-planar PCBs

Hazard Ratios (HR) with bars denoting 95% confidence intervals for the association of serum quartiles of both concentrations and TEQs for dioxin (TCDD), dioxins (PCDD), furans (PCDF), and co-planar PCBs (co-PCBs) with risk of pubertal onset (TV>3ml) between ages 8-12 years among Chapaevsk boys. Results adjusted for: birth weight, gestational age, parental education, household income, 8/9-year diet (total calories, %protein, % fat), blood lead ≥5 µg/dl, maternal pregnancy alcohol intake, baseline height and BMI.
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* P for trend < 0.05