11-1-2013

Pax3 synergizes with Gli2 and Zic1 in transactivating the Myf5 epaxial somite enhancer

Charis Himeda
*University of Massachusetts Medical School, Charis.Himeda@umassmed.edu*

Marietta V. Barro
*University of California at Berkeley*

Charles P. Emerson Jr.
*University of Massachusetts Medical School, charles.emersonjr@umassmed.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emerson](http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emerson)

Part of the [Cell Biology Commons](http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emerson), [Developmental Biology Commons](http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emerson), [Molecular Biology Commons](http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emerson), [Molecular Genetics Commons](http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emerson), [Musculoskeletal Diseases Commons](http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emerson), and the [Nervous System Diseases Commons](http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emerson)

Repository Citation

Himeda, Charis; Barro, Marietta V; and Emerson, Charles P. Jr., "Pax3 synergizes with Gli2 and Zic1 in transactivating the Myf5 epaxial somite enhancer" (2013). *Emerson Lab*. 3.
[http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emerson/3](http://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emerson/3)

This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Emerson Lab by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.
Pax3 synergizes with Gli2 and Zic1 in transactivating the Myf5 epaxial somite enhancer

Charis L. Himeda⁎, Marietta V. Barro, Charles P. Emerson Jr⁎,*

⁎The Departments of Cell and Developmental Biology and Neurology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01655, USA
⁎⁎The Department of Molecular & Cell Biology, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 29 April 2013
Received in revised form 28 August 2013
Accepted 3 September 2013
Available online 10 September 2013

Keywords:
Myf5
Myogenesis
Pax
Zic
Gli
Skeletal muscle

A B S T R A C T

Both Gli1, the downstream effectors of hedgehog signaling, and Zic transcription factors are required for Myf5 expression in the epaxial somite. Here we demonstrate a novel synergistic interaction between members of both families and Pax3, a paired-domain transcription factor that is essential for both myogenesis and neural crest development. We show that Pax3 synergizes with both Gli2 and Zic1 in transactivating the Myf5 epaxial somite (ES) enhancer in concert with the Myf5 promoter. This synergy is dependent on conserved functional domains of the proteins, as well as on a novel homeodomain motif in the Myf5 promoter and the essential Gli motif in the ES enhancer. Importantly, overexpression of Zic1 and Pax3 in the 10T1/2 mesodermal cell model results in enrichment of these factors at the endogenous Myf5 locus and induction of Myf5 expression. In our previous work, we showed that by enhancing nuclear translocation of Gli factors, Zics provide spatiotemporal patterning for Gli family members in the epaxial induction of Myf5 expression. Our current study indicates a complementary mechanism in which association with DNA-bound Pax3 strengthens the ability of both Zic1 and Gli2 to transactivate Myf5 in the epaxial somite.

Published by Elsevier Inc.

Introduction

Development of skeletal muscle is controlled by the myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs): Myf5, MyoD, Myogenin, and MRf4. These transcription factors are essential for the determination and differentiation of skeletal muscle during embryogenesis (Francetic and Li, 2011; Pownall et al., 2002), and display the unique ability to convert non-muscle cell types to skeletal muscle (Weintraub et al., 1991). Myf5 is the first MRF to be expressed, at E8 in the mouse (Ott et al., 1991). Transcripts are first detectable in the dermomyotome of the earliest somites, then in the newly formed myotome, followed by expression in the ventral dermomyotome and branchial arches (Ott et al., 1991). Following migration of muscle precursor cells from the somites to the limb buds, Myf5 is also transiently activated in the developing limbs (Ott et al., 1991; Tajbakhsh and Buckingham, 1994). In the adult, Myf5 expression is downregulated and only maintained in muscle satellite cells and spindles (Zammit et al., 2004). Targeted disruption of Myf5, MyoD, and MRf4 in the mouse results in a complete absence of myoblasts, underscoring the importance of these factors for myogenic commitment (Braun et al., 1992; Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004; Rudnicki et al., 1992).

Myf5 and MRf4 are linked, and the shared locus is subject to complex transcriptional regulation (Francetic and Li, 2011). Manipulation of the locus in transgenic reporter mice has uncovered a number of discrete enhancers that direct expression of each gene in specific progenitor cell populations in the embryo (Carvajal et al., 2008). One of the best characterized enhancers in the locus is the Myf5 epaxial somite (ES) enhancer. This region lies ~6.6 kb upstream of the Myf5 transcription start site and controls the expression of Myf5 in the epaxial muscle progenitors of the dorsal somite (Summerbell et al., 2000; Teboul et al., 2002). Interestingly, while the Myf5 ES enhancer activates its own promoter, it cannot engage productively with the closer MRf4 promoter or with several cryptic promoters in the locus (Carvajal et al., 2008; Teboul et al., 2003), suggesting that expression of Myf5 in the epaxial somite requires specific interactions with its homologous promoter.

Several signaling pathways and their downstream effectors have been implicated in activity of the Myf5 ES enhancer. Correct expression of Myf5 in the epaxial somite requires Sonic hedgehog (Shh) signaling via a conserved Gli motif. Wnt signaling through multiple TCF/LEF motifs, and Dmrt2 motifs in the ES enhancer (Borello et al., 2006; Gustafsson et al., 2002; Sato et al., 2010; Teboul et al., 2003). Much less is known regarding control of the
Myf5 promoter, but FoxD3 binding to a conserved motif in the Myf5 promoter of zebrafish is required for maintenance of Myf5 expression in the somites (Lee et al., 2006).

Gli2 and Zic2 are closely related zinc-finger transcription factors, shown to have antagonistic effects in neural patterning (Brewster et al., 1998), and cooperative effects in skeletal patterning and myogenesis (Aruga et al., 1999; Pan et al., 2011). Mutations in both families result in a range of developmental abnormalities (Houtmeyers et al., 2013; Hui and Angers, 2011), and members of both families are important for Myf5 expression in the epaxial somite (Borycki et al., 2000; McDermott et al., 2005; Pan et al., 2011). Expression of Pax3, a paired-domain transcription factor that is essential for both myogenesis and neural crest development, also overlaps with that of Myf5 in myogenic progenitors in the dermomyotome and limb buds. Pax3 has been shown to activate several Myf5 enhancers, both directly (Bajard et al., 2006; Daubas and Buckingham, 2013) and indirectly (Sato et al., 2010), and mutations in Pax3 lead to Waardenburg syndrome types I and III, diseases characterized by defects in muscle and neural crest derivatives (Hoth et al., 1993).

Given the overlapping roles of Gli, Zic, and Pax transcription factors in somite myogenesis, we asked whether these factors are capable of synergizing in activating the Myf5 ES enhancer and homologous promoter. Here we demonstrate novel synergistic interactions between Gli2 and Pax3, and Zic1 and Pax3. This synergy is dependent on conserved functional domains of the proteins, as well as on a novel homeodomain motif in the Myf5 promoter and the essential Gli motif in the ES enhancer. Importantly, overexpression of Zic1 and Pax3 in the 10T1/2 mesodermal cell model results in the enrichment of these factors at the endogenous Myf5 locus and induction of Myf5 expression. Unlike Gli2 and Pax3, Zic1 is expressed exclusively in epaxial muscle progenitors within the dermomyotome. In our previous work, we showed that Zics provide spatiotemporal patterning for Gli family members in the induction of Myf5 expression (Pan et al., 2011). Here we show that in addition to enhancing the nuclear translocation of Gli factors (Koyabu et al., 2001; Pan et al., 2011), Zic1 also associates with Pax3 on the Myf5 promoter to drive Myf5 expression. Likewise, the ability of Gli2 to transactivate Myf5 is strengthened by a synergistic association with Pax3. Collectively, our data indicate novel interactions that link several well-established myogenic pathways.

Materials and methods

Plasmids and antibodies

Mammalian expression plasmids Gli1 and Gli2 in pcDNA3.1-His, and Gli2 lacking the C-terminal domain (Gli2ΔC2) and Gli2ΔC4) have been described previously (Sasaki et al., 1999). Zic1 and Zic2 in pCS2FLAG have also been described (Pan et al., 2011). Pax3–HA in pcDNA3 and Pax7–FLAG in pBHR7 were purchased from Addgene (#27319 and #17521, respectively). Zic1 lacking the ZOC domain (Zic1ΔZOC) or zinc fingers (Zic1ΔZF), and Pax3 lacking the homeodomain (Pax3ΔHD) or transactivation domain (Pax3ΔATD) were made using standard site-directed mutagenesis on the plasmids described above. The Myf5 ES enhancer, EpExt in (Borello et al., 2006), and Myf5 promoter (Teboul et al., 2003) were amplified from mouse genomic DNA and cloned into the firefly luciferase reporter vector pGL3-Basic (Promega) to generate E-P-luc. Mutations in the ES enhancer Gli motif (Gli-mt) (Gustafsson et al., 2002) and Myf5 promoter homeodomain motif (HD-mt) were made using standard site-directed mutagenesis of E-P-luc. (Gli)8-TK-luc, containing eight C. L. Himeda et al. / Developmental Biology 383 (2013) 7–14

Gel mobility shift assays

Gel-shift assays were carried out as previously described (Himeda et al., 2008) using nuclear extracts prepared as above. Incubations with antibodies or unlabeled oligonucleotide competitors were carried out at room temperature for 20 min prior to the addition of probe.
Forward sequences of oligonucleotides used as probe/competitors are: consensus Pax3 motif from the −58/−56 kb distal Myf5 enhancer: 5′-GCGATCAACTTCCGACTCTGACGAGAAA-3′ (Buchberger et al., 2007); wt Pax3 HD motif from the Myf5 promoter: 5′-CTGCGGTATATCATATCCACC-3′; mt Pax3 HD motif from the Myf5 promoter: 5′-CTGCGGTATAGGATCATCCACC-3′. Mutated bases are underlined.

**RT-PCR**

RNA was extracted using the Qiagen RNeasy kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was DNase-treated and reverse-transcribed as previously described (Himeda et al., 2008). PCR was performed using 20–50 ng cDNA and Pfu DNA polymerase with the following cycling conditions: 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 38 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 51 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 45 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. Primer sequences for amplifying Myf5 and GAPDH are as described (Pan et al., 2011).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays**

ChIP assays were performed with 10T1/2 cells overexpressing FLAG–Zic1 and Pax3–HA using the Fast ChIP method (Nelson et al., 2006) with some modifications. Cells were fixed in 1% formaldehyde in DMEM for 10 min and dounced 10 × prior to sonication. Cells were sonicated for 8 rounds of 15-s pulses at 90% power output on a Branson Sonifier 450 (VWR Scientific) to shear the DNA to a ladder of ~200–800 bp, and efficiency of shearing was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis. Chromatin was immuno–precipitated using 2 μg of specific antibodies or normal rabbit IgG. Quantitative PCR was performed using forward and reverse primers (300 nM) and the QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen). Reaction conditions were 40 cycles of: 94 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Sequences of primers are as follows: Myf5 promoter: F: 5′-CTCAAGGGCCAGTAAAC-3′; R: 5′-GGGGCTCTTTATATATCTCG-3′; ES enhancer: F: 5′-CAAAGCCAGAAGCCCGA-3′; R: 5′-CTGCGGTATCGTTTGCCTTGC-3′. PCR products were analyzed on a 1.5% agarose gel to verify correct size of product and specificity of primer annealing.

**Results and discussion**

**Pax3 synergizes with Gli2 and Zic1 in transactivating the Myf5 ES enhancer and promoter**

It has been demonstrated that promoter context is critical in determining the behavior of enhancers, and the Myf5 ES enhancer is no exception (Gustafsson et al., 2002; Teboul et al., 2003). To rule out potentially spurious results following the use of a non-homologous promoter, we cloned the mouse ES enhancer and Myf5 promoter (Teboul et al., 2003) upstream of the firefly luciferase reporter for use in these studies. To test whether Gli and Zic transcription factors cooperate with members of the Pax family in activating the Myf5 ES enhancer, we co-transfected expression constructs for these factors with the reporter construct into 3T3 cells, and assayed luciferase activity (Fig. 1). 3T3 fibroblasts represent a convenient system in which to test these potential interactions, since these cells express low levels of Gli2 and no Pax3 or Zic factors. While overexpression of Zic1 or Pax3 alone increased activity of the reporter construct, the combination of factors displayed a modest, but statistically significant synergy (Fig. 1A). Likewise, Gli2 and Pax3 synergized in activating the ES enhancer–promoter (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, other family members (Gli1, Zic2, and Pax7) showed no synergistic effects (Fig. 1C and D), suggesting that factor-specific contacts are required for recruitment/stabilization of coactivators.

To determine whether Gli2 and Zic1 can physically associate with Pax3 in the absence of DNA, we used cytoplasmic extracts from 10T1/2 cells transfected with FLAG–Zic1 and Pax3–HA. 10T1/2 cells were used because they produced high levels of the overexpressed proteins for co-immunoprecipitation assays. Co-immunoprecipitations were performed using antibodies to FLAG or endogenous Gli2, and immunoprecipitated proteins were probed with HA antibodies. Pax3–HA, which runs at ~60 kD, was not detected in mock-transfected lysates, only in transfected cells (Fig. 1E). Pax3 was precipitated with antibodies to FLAG or Gli2; by contrast, only a very faint band was detected using antibodies to an unrelated V5 epitope (Fig. 1E). These results indicate a specific physical interaction between Zic1–Pax3 and Gli2–Pax3.

**A novel homeodomain motif in the Myf5 promoter is required for Pax3 synergy with Gli2 and Zic1**

The Pax family is structurally defined by the presence of a DNA-binding motif called a paired domain (PD). A subset of family members, including Pax3, contain an additional DNA-binding domain known as a paired-type homeodomain (HD) (Mansouri et al., 1996; Noll, 1993). The PD and HD are functionally inter-dependent and capable of modifying Pax binding to DNA (Corry et al., 2010). Although the Myf5 ES enhancer and promoter do not contain a consensus PD motif, multi–species sequence alignments revealed a highly conserved single HD motif (TAAT) at −79 relative to the transcription start site in mouse. To determine whether Pax3 can bind this sequence, we performed gel-shift assays using nuclear extracts from 10T1/2 cells transfected with Pax3–HA. Pax3–HA bound to the labeled probe containing a consensus Pax3 motif from the −58/−56 kb distal Myf5 enhancer (Buchberger et al., 2007), and this complex was supershifted with antibodies to HA (Fig. 2A, lanes 1–2). An excess of cold competitor oligonucleotides containing the consensus Pax3 motif competed away this complex (Fig. 2A, lane 3). Importantly, the wild-type, but not the mutant Myf5 promoter HD motif also competed for Pax3 binding (Fig. 2A, lanes 3–5), although not as well as the consensus Pax3 sequence, which contains both a paired motif and a HD motif. This indicates that Pax3 can recognize the HD motif from the Myf5 promoter, although binding is not as strong in the absence of a paired motif.

To determine whether the HD motif is required for Pax3 synergy with Zic1 and Gli2, we performed cotransfection experiments with the Myf5 reporter construct containing a mutated HD motif, as described above. Surprisingly, Zic1 appears to be a stronger activator of the ES enhancer–promoter construct when the HD motif is mutated, and Pax3 is still able to activate this construct, indicating an indirect effect of Pax3 on the ES enhancer or Myf5 promoter (Fig. 2B). Importantly, despite the higher individual activity of these factors, Zic1–Pax3 synergy is completely abrogated in the absence of a functional HD motif (Fig. 2B). Likewise, Gli2–Pax3 synergy is lost on the HD-mutated construct (Fig. 2B). These results indicate that the novel HD motif in the Myf5 promoter is required for Pax3 to synergize with both Zic1 and Gli2, in spite of the fact that these factors can interact in the absence of DNA. It is possible that association between Zic1/Gli2 and Pax3 helps to stabilize Pax3 binding to the HD motif in the absence of a paired motif in the Myf5 promoter.

To confirm that Pax3 does not cooperate with Gli2 in the absence of a Pax binding site, we tested Pax3–Gli2 interactions on a reporter construct containing 8 Gli binding sites upstream of the Thymidine kinase (TK) promoter. As expected, Gli2 strongly activates this construct, whereas Pax3 does not (Fig. 2C). The combination of Gli2 and Pax3 is less potent than Gli2 alone, demonstrating that Pax3 does not behave as a cofactor for Gli2 (Fig. 2C).
The essential Gli motif in the Myf5 ES enhancer is required for Pax3–Gli2 synergy

The conserved variant Gli motif in the ES enhancer is required for maintenance of Myf5 expression in the epaxial somite via Shh signaling (Gustafsson et al., 2002; Teboul et al., 2003), and Glis have been demonstrated to bind this essential site (Gustafsson et al., 2002). To verify that this sequence is required for Gli2–Pax3 synergy, we performed cotransfection experiments with a Myf5 reporter construct containing a mutation in the ES enhancer Gli motif. As expected, synergy between Gli2 and Pax3 is abolished in the absence of a functional Gli binding site (Fig. 2D), indicating that Gli2 binding to the ES enhancer is required for synergy with Pax3.

Both Zic and Gli family members bind to DNA via five C2H2-type zinc fingers, and Zics have been shown to recognize Gli binding sites, albeit with much lower affinity than Gli factors (Mizugishi et al., 2001). To test whether Zic1 synergizes with Pax3 via binding to the Gli motif in the ES enhancer, we tested Zic1–Pax3 interactions on the Gli-mt reporter construct. Zic1 and Pax3 are still capable of synergizing in the absence of a functional Gli binding site, indicating that Zic1 does not require the Gli motif in the ES enhancer to synergize with Pax3 (Fig. 2D). Furthermore, Zic1–Pax3 synergy does not take place on a construct driven by multiple Gli motifs (Fig. 2C), providing further evidence that Zic1 does not synergize with Pax3 via binding to Gli motifs.

In addition to recognizing Gli motifs, Zic family members have been shown to bind a wide range of GC-rich sequences in their target genes (Ebert et al., 2003; Mizugishi et al., 2001; Salero et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2000). Two conserved candidate sequences in the Myf5 promoter were able to compete for Zic1 binding in gel-shift assays; however, mutation of either sequence had no effect on Zic1–Pax3 synergy (data not shown). This suggests that Zic1 synergizes with Pax3 by binding to functionally redundant motifs.
in the Myf5 promoter; however, we cannot rule out that Zic1 acts as a transcriptional cofactor for Pax3. This is particularly difficult to test in light of the fact that Zic1 activates a wide variety of promoters through binding to degenerate GC-rich motifs (Merzdorf, 2007; Mizugishi et al., 2001).

Conserved regions of Pax3, Gli2, and Zic1 are required for synergy

To determine regions of the proteins required for synergy, we tested various truncated forms of the three factors (Fig. 3A) in cotransfection experiments with the Myf5 ES enhancer–promoter reporter. Interestingly, Zic1 lacking the Zic–Oga conserved motif (Zic1ΔZOC) was a more potent transactivator than full-length Zic1, suggesting that the ZOC motif may serve a repressive function (Fig. 3B). However, despite its higher activity, Zic1ΔZOC was unable to synergize with Pax3, indicating that in addition to repressing Zic1 activity, the ZOC motif is also required for cooperative interactions with other factors (Fig. 3B). This is consistent with previous studies indicating that this protein domain behaves as a context-dependent activator or repressor of transcription (Mizugishi et al., 2004). Zic factors associate with DNA via their zinc fingers; as expected, when the zinc fingers of Zic1 were removed (Zic1ΔZF), the protein had little effect on reporter activity and was incapable of synergizing with Pax3 (Fig. 3B). Truncation of the Pax3 HD also resulted in a loss of synergy with Zic1, further confirming that DNA-binding of Pax3 is required for synergy (Fig. 3B). Likewise, when the transactivation domain (TD) of Pax3 was deleted, the remaining protein was incapable of synergizing with Zic1, suggesting that the ability of Pax3 to recruit coactivators is critical for synergy (Fig. 3B).

Interestingly, truncation of the C-terminal TD of Gli2 (ΔC2 and ΔC4) also abrogated synergy with Pax3, but deletion of the Pax3 TD had no effect on synergy with Gli2 (Fig. 3C), suggesting that in the context of Gli2–Pax3 interactions, it is the Gli2 TD that is competent to recruit transcriptional coactivators. This is consistent with studies indicating that Gli2 acts primarily as a transcriptional activator (Ding et al., 1998; Matise et al., 1998) and is the major transducer of Shh signaling in the mouse (McDermott et al., 2005; Park et al., 2000). As with Pax3–Zic1, Pax3–Gli2 synergy is dependent on the conserved HD of Pax3 (Fig. 3C).

Pax3 and Zic1 are enriched at the ES enhancer during induction of Myf5 transcription

Zic1 has been shown to initiate expression of Myf5 in 10T1/2 cells, which can be induced to form skeletal muscle in response to myogenic cues (Pan et al., 2011). To confirm that Zic1 and Pax3 occupy the endogenous Myf5 promoter during activation of Myf5 expression, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays on chromatin from 10T1/2 cells overexpressing FLAG–Zic1 and Pax3–HA. Myf5 induction in the transfected cells was confirmed by RT-PCR (Fig. 4A). Immunoprecipitation with either FLAG- or HA-specific antibodies yielded ~2-fold enrichment of the Myf5 promoter over that obtained with non-immune IgG (Fig. 4B), demonstrating that these factors occupy the endogenous Myf5 promoter during induction of Myf5 expression. Interestingly, we also observed enrichment of FLAG–Zic1 and Pax3–HA at the ES enhancer and promoter with a mutation in the promoter HD motif (HD-mt) was transiently transfected into 3T3 cells with or without expression plasmids for Zic1, Gli2, or Pax3. For B-D, cells were harvested and assayed, and data was analyzed as in Fig. 1. Asterisk in D indicates synergy between Zic1 and Pax3 (p < 0.05, one-tailed, one-step t-test comparing activity of factors in combination to the sum of individual factors).

Fig. 2. Sequences in the Myf5 ES enhancer and promoter are required for Pax3 synergy with Gli2 and Zic1. A. Labeled probe containing a consensus Pax3 binding site from the –58/–56 kb distal Myf5 enhancer (Buchberger et al., 2007) was mixed with nuclear extracts from 10T1/2 cells overexpressing Pax3–HA, and analyzed via gel-shift assays. Antibodies (αHA, lane 2) or competitor oligos (Pax3 consensus motif, lane 3; wt HD motif in Myf5 promoter, lane 4, and mt HD motif in Myf5 promoter, lane 5) are indicated. The complex containing Pax3–HA bound to the probe (supershifted with αHA in lane 2) is labeled. Arrowhead indicates free probe. B. A luciferase reporter plasmid containing the Myf5 ES enhancer and promoter with a mutation in the promoter HD motif (HD-mt) was transiently transfected into 3T3 cells with or without expression plasmids for Zic1, Gli2, or Pax3. C. A luciferase reporter plasmid containing 8 consensus Gli motifs upstream of the thymidine kinase (TK) promoter was transiently transfected into 3T3 cells with or without expression plasmids for Zic1, Gli2, or Pax3. D. A luciferase reporter plasmid containing the Myf5 ES enhancer and promoter with a mutation in the ES enhancer Gli motif (Gli-mt) was transiently transfected into 3T3 cells with or without expression plasmids for Zic1, Gli2, or Pax3. For B-D, cells were harvested and assayed, and data was analyzed as in Fig. 1. Asterisk in D indicates synergy between Zic1 and Pax3 (p < 0.05, one-tailed, one-step t-test comparing activity of factors in combination to the sum of individual factors).
enhancer, likely due to enhancer–promoter looping interactions (Fig. 4B) (Sanyal et al., 2012).

**Cooperation among several distinct pathways promotes myogenesis in the epaxial somite**

Taken together, our data suggest an intriguing new model for cooperative interactions between Pax3 and Zic1, in which Pax3 binds its recognition motif in the Myf5 promoter via the HD and Zic1 binds GC-rich sequences via its zinc finger domain (Fig. 5A). Contacts between Pax3 and the ZOC motif of Zic1 (which is normally repressive in the absence of Pax3) prevent Zic1 from recruiting transcriptional co-repressors, while the transactivation domain (TD) of Pax3 serves to recruit transcriptional co-activators for gene expression (Fig. 5A).

Our work places Zic and Pax genes in the broader context of several well-established signaling pathways that regulate myogenesis (Fig. 5B). As shown in our earlier work, by enhancing nuclear translocation of Gli factors, Zics provide spatial patterning for the Gli family, which is expressed throughout the somite, to activate Myf5 expression in epaxial muscle progenitors (Pan et al., 2011).

In contrast to this, our current study indicates a different mechanism of cooperativity between Zic1 and Pax3, through the establishment of interactions that require DNA-binding and likely help to recruit
or stabilize coactivator proteins. Interestingly, the combination of Pax3/7 and Zic genes is sufficient to induce neural crest formation in Xenopus (Sato et al., 2005), and in the mouse, Pax3 and Zic1 are both expressed in the dorsal neural tube (Goulding et al., 1991; Pan et al., 2011). This indicates that in addition to driving commitment of cells to other lineages, the presence of these factors is not sufficient to induce Myf5 expression in non-muscle tissues.

Pax3 serves to regulate Myf5 in the epaxial somite at multiple levels – as we have shown, through direct binding of a homeodomain motif within the promoter, as well as indirectly, via upregulation of FoxD3 and Dmr2 (Lee et al., 2006; Sato et al., 2010) (Fig. 5B). Although the BMP antagonist Noggin is required for Zic2 expression in the epaxial somite (Pan et al., 2011), the positive signals mediating expression of Zics in this compartment are still unknown, although Wnts secreted from the dorsal neural tube and surface ectoderm are likely candidates. Signaling by both canonical and non-canonical Wnts plays an important role in myogenesis (von Maltzahn et al., 2012), and direct binding by LEF1/β-catenin is required for full activity of the ES enhancer via synergy with Gli (Borello et al., 2006) (Fig. 5B).

It will be interesting to determine if the novel synergistic interactions described here extend to Myf5 activation/maintenance in other muscle lineages. During embryogenesis, Pax3 is expressed in the dorsal neural tube and PSM, followed by expression throughout the somites which is subsequently restricted to the dermomyotome (Goulding et al., 1994, 1991). Following this, Pax3 expression is decreased in the epaxial somite and maintained in hypaxial precursors (Bober et al., 1994; Goulding et al., 1994; Williams and Ordahl, 1994). At E12.5, Pax3 continues to be expressed in MyoD-positive regions in the trunk and the limbs before expression is lost at later stages (Horst et al., 2006). Pax3 directly regulates Myf5 expression in the hypaxial somite and some hindlimb muscle precursors via binding to a ~57.5 kb upstream enhancer (Bajard et al., 2006). Recently, Pax3 was also shown to be a direct regulator of the ~111 kb enhancer, which regulates expression of Myf5 in the ventral somite and a subset of limb muscle precursors (Daubas and Buckingham, 2013). Since Shh was recently shown to be required for Myf5 expression in limb muscle progenitor cells (Anderson et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2012), it will be important to determine whether Gli2 and Pax3 synergize in driving Myf5 expression in this muscle lineage. Although Shh is not required for Myf5 activation in hypaxial progenitors (Borycki et al., 1999; Kruger et al., 2001), Gli2 expression overlaps that of Myf5 in this domain (McDermott et al., 2005). This raises the possibility that Gli2 (activated independent of Shh signaling; possibly via FGF and PKCζ/MEK1 (Huang et al., 2003; Riobo et al., 2006)) and Pax3 synergize in activating hypaxial Myf5 expression. Likewise, while the strong epaxial expression of Zics closely mimics that of Pax3 at E9.5 (Pan et al., 2011), Zic2/3 are also expressed in the limb buds and in the developing limbs at later stages (Nagai et al., 1997). Thus, it will also be important to determine whether Zics synergize with Pax3 in activating the hypaxial Myf5 enhancers. Understanding the spatiotemporal dynamics of these interactions and the mechanisms by which these factors cooperate to drive myogenesis remains a significant challenge for future studies.
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